

GENERIC UNIQUENESS OF A MINIMAL SOLUTION FOR VARIATIONAL PROBLEMS ON A TORUS

ALEXANDER J. ZASLAVSKI

Received 17 July 2001

We study minimal solutions for one-dimensional variational problems on a torus. We show that, for a generic integrand and any rational number α , there exists a unique (up to translations) periodic minimal solution with rotation number α .

1. Introduction

In this paper, we consider functionals of the form

$$I^f(a, b, x) = \int_a^b f(t, x(t), x'(t)) dt, \quad (1.1)$$

where a and b are arbitrary real numbers satisfying $a < b$, $x \in W^{1,1}(a, b)$ and f belongs to a space of functions described below. By an appropriate choice of representatives, $W^{1,1}(a, b)$ can be identified with the set of absolutely continuous functions $x : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^1$, and henceforth we will assume that this has been done.

Denote by \mathfrak{M} the set of integrands $f = f(t, x, p) : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^1$ which satisfy the following assumptions:

- (A1) $f \in C^3$ and $f(t, x, p)$ has period 1 in t, x ;
- (A2) $\delta_f \leq f_{pp}(t, x, p) \leq \delta_f^{-1}$ for every $(t, x, p) \in \mathbb{R}^3$;
- (A3) $|f_{xp}| + |f_{tp}| \leq c_f(1 + |p|)$, $|f_{xx}| + |f_{xt}| \leq c_f(1 + p^2)$,

with some constants $\delta_f \in (0, 1)$, $c_f > 0$.

Clearly, these assumptions imply that

$$\tilde{\delta}_f p^2 - \tilde{c}_f \leq f(t, x, p) \leq \tilde{\delta}_f^{-1} p^2 + \tilde{c}_f \quad (1.2)$$

for every $(t, x, p) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ for some constants $\tilde{c}_f > 0$ and $0 < \tilde{\delta}_f < \delta_f$.

In this paper, we analyse extremals of variational problems with integrands $f \in \mathfrak{M}$. The following optimality criterion was introduced by Aubry and Le

Daeron [2] in their study of the discrete Frenkel-Kontorova model related to dislocations in one-dimensional crystals.

Let $f \in \mathfrak{M}$. A function $x(\cdot) \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^1)$ is called an (f) -minimal solution if

$$I^f(a, b, y) \geq I^f(a, b, x) \tag{1.3}$$

for each pair of numbers $a < b$ and each $y \in W^{1,1}(a, b)$ which satisfies $y(a) = x(a)$ and $y(b) = x(b)$ (see [2, 9, 10, 12]).

Our work follows Moser [9, 10], who studied the existence and structure of minimal solutions in the spirit of Aubry-Mather theory [2, 7].

Consider any $f \in \mathfrak{M}$. It was shown in [9, 10] that (f) -minimal solutions possess numerous remarkable properties. Thus, for every (f) -minimal solution $x(\cdot)$, there is a real number α satisfying

$$\sup \{ |x(t) - \alpha t| : t \in \mathbb{R}^1 \} < \infty \tag{1.4}$$

which is called the rotation number of $x(\cdot)$, and given any real α there exists an (f) -minimal solution with rotation number α . Senn [11] established the existence of a strictly convex function $E_f : \mathbb{R}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^1$, which is called the minimal average action of f such that, for each real α and each (f) -minimal solution x with rotation number α ,

$$(T_2 - T_1)^{-1} I^f(T_1, T_2, x) \longrightarrow E_f(\alpha) \quad \text{as } T_2 - T_1 \longrightarrow \infty. \tag{1.5}$$

This result is an analogue of Mather's theorem about the average energy function for Aubry-Mather sets generated by a diffeomorphism of the infinite cylinder [8].

In this paper, we show that for a generic integrand f and any rational α , there exists a unique (up to translations) (f) -minimal periodic solution with rotation number α .

Let $k \geq 3$ be an integer. Set $\mathfrak{M}_k = \mathfrak{M} \cap C^k(\mathbb{R}^3)$. For $f \in \mathfrak{M}_k$ and $q = (q_1, q_2, q_3) \in \{0, \dots, k\}^3$ satisfying $q_1 + q_2 + q_3 \leq k$, we set

$$|q| = q_1 + q_2 + q_3, \quad D^q f = \frac{\partial^{|q|} f}{\partial t^{q_1} \partial x^{q_2} \partial p^{q_3}}. \tag{1.6}$$

For $N, \epsilon > 0$ we set

$$\begin{aligned} E_k(N, \epsilon) = & \{ (f, g) \in \mathfrak{M}_k \times \mathfrak{M}_k : |D^q f(t, x, p) - D^q g(t, x, p)| \\ & \leq \epsilon + \epsilon \max \{ |D^q f(t, x, p)|, |D^q g(t, x, p)| \} \\ & \forall q \in \{0, 1, 2\}^3 \text{ satisfying } |q| \in \{0, 2\}, \forall (t, x, p) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \} \\ & \cap \{ (f, g) \in \mathfrak{M}_k \times \mathfrak{M}_k : |D^q f(t, x, p) - D^q g(t, x, p)| \leq \epsilon \\ & \forall q \in \{0, \dots, k\}^3 \text{ satisfying } |q| \leq k, \forall (t, x, p) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \\ & \text{such that } |p| \leq N \}. \end{aligned} \tag{1.7}$$

It is easy to verify that, for the set \mathfrak{M}_k there exists a uniformity which is determined by the base $E_k(N, \epsilon)$, $N, \epsilon > 0$, and that the uniform space \mathfrak{M}_k is metrizable and complete [3]. We establish the existence of a set $\mathcal{F}_k \subset \mathfrak{M}_k$ which is a countable intersection of open everywhere dense subsets of \mathfrak{M}_k such that, for each $f \in \mathcal{F}_k$ and each rational $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^1$, there exists a unique (up to translations) (f) -minimal periodic solution with rotation number α .

2. Properties of minimal solutions

Consider any $f \in \mathfrak{M}$. We note that, for each pair of integers j and k the translations $(t, x) \rightarrow (t + j, x + k)$ leave the variational problem invariant. Therefore, if $x(\cdot)$ is an (f) -minimal solution, so is $x(\cdot + j) + k$. Of course, on the torus, this represents the same curve as does $x(\cdot)$. This motivates the following terminology [9, 10].

We say that a function $x(\cdot) \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^1)$ has no self-intersections if for all pairs of integers j, k the function $t \rightarrow x(t + j) + k - x(t)$ is either always positive, or always negative, or identically zero.

Denote by \mathbb{Z} the set of all integers. We have the following result (see [6, Proposition 3.2] and [9, 10]).

PROPOSITION 2.1. (i) *Let $f \in \mathfrak{M}$. Given any real α there exists a nonself-intersecting (f) -minimal solution with rotation number α .*

(ii) *For any $f \in \mathfrak{M}$ and any (f) -minimal solution x , there is the rotation number of x .*

For each $f \in \mathfrak{M}$, each rational number α , and each natural number q satisfying $q\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$, we define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}(\alpha, q) &= \{x(\cdot) \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^1) : x(t+q) = x(t) + \alpha q, t \in \mathbb{R}^1\}, \\ \mathcal{M}_f(\alpha, q) &= \{x(\cdot) \in \mathcal{N}(\alpha, q) : I^f(0, q, x) \leq I^f(0, q, y) \forall y \in \mathcal{N}(\alpha, q)\}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

We have the following result [9, Theorems 5.1, 5.2, 5.4, and Corollaries 5.3 and 5.5].

PROPOSITION 2.2. *Let $f \in \mathfrak{M}$, let α be a rational number, and let $p, q \geq 1$ be integers satisfying $p\alpha, q\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then $\mathcal{M}_f(\alpha, q) = \mathcal{M}_f(\alpha, p) \neq \emptyset$, each $x \in \mathcal{M}_f(\alpha, q)$ is a nonself-intersecting (f) -minimal solution with rotation number α and the set $\mathcal{M}_f(\alpha, q)$ is totally ordered, that is, if $x, y \in \mathcal{M}_f(\alpha, q)$, then either $x(t) < y(t)$ for all t , or $x(t) > y(t)$ for all t , or $x(t) = y(t)$ identically.*

For any $f \in \mathfrak{M}$ and any rational number α we set $\mathcal{M}_f^{\text{per}}(\alpha) = \mathcal{M}_f(\alpha, q)$, where q is a natural number satisfying $q\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$.

We have the following result (see [6, Theorem 1.1]).

PROPOSITION 2.3. *Let $f \in \mathfrak{M}$. Then there exist a strictly convex function $E_f : \mathbb{R}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^1$ satisfying $E_f(\alpha) \rightarrow \infty$ as $|\alpha| \rightarrow \infty$ and a monotonically increasing function $\Gamma_f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that for each real α , each (f) -minimal solution x with*

rotation number α and each pair of real numbers S and T ,

$$|I^f(S, S+T, x) - E_f(\alpha)T| \leq \Gamma_f(|\alpha|). \tag{2.2}$$

By [Proposition 2.3](#) for each $f \in \mathfrak{M}$ there exists a unique number $\alpha(f)$ such that

$$E_f(\alpha(f)) = \min \{E_f(\beta) : \beta \in \mathbb{R}^1\}. \tag{2.3}$$

Note that assumptions (A1), (A2), and (A3) play an important role in the proofs of [Propositions 2.1, 2.2, and 2.3](#) (see [\[9, 10\]](#)).

3. The main results

THEOREM 3.1. *Let $k \geq 3$ be an integer and α be a rational number. Then there exists a set $\mathcal{F}_{k\alpha} \subset \mathfrak{M}_k$ which is a countable intersection of open everywhere dense subsets of \mathfrak{M}_k such that for each $f \in \mathfrak{M}_k$ the following assertions hold:*

(1) *If $x, y \in \mathcal{M}_f^{(\text{per})}(\alpha)$, then there are integers p, q such that $y(t) = x(t+p) - q$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}^1$.*

(2) *Let $x \in \mathcal{M}_f^{(\text{per})}(\alpha)$ and $\epsilon > 0$. Then there exists a neighborhood \mathcal{U} of f in \mathfrak{M}_k such that for each $g \in \mathcal{U}$ and each $y \in \mathcal{M}_g^{(\text{per})}(\alpha)$ there are integers p, q such that $|y(t) - x(t+p) + q| \leq \epsilon$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}^1$.*

It is not difficult to see that [Theorem 3.1](#) implies the following result.

THEOREM 3.2. *Let $k \geq 3$ be an integer. Then there exists a set $\mathcal{F}_k \subset \mathfrak{M}_k$ which is a countable intersection of open everywhere dense subsets of \mathfrak{M}_k such that, for each $f \in \mathfrak{M}_k$ and each rational number α the assertions (1) and (2) of [Theorem 3.1](#) hold.*

Note that minimal solutions with irrational rotation numbers were studied in [\[2, 7, 9, 10, 12\]](#).

4. An auxiliary result

Let $k \geq 3$ be an integer and $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^1$. For each $f \in \mathfrak{M}_k$, define $\mathcal{A}f \in C^3(\mathbb{R}^3)$ by

$$(\mathcal{A}f)(t, x, u) = f(t, x, u) - \beta u, \quad (t, x, u) \in \mathbb{R}^3. \tag{4.1}$$

Clearly $\mathcal{A}f \in \mathfrak{M}_k$ for each $f \in \mathfrak{M}_k$.

PROPOSITION 4.1. *The mapping $\mathcal{A} : \mathfrak{M}_k \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_k$ is continuous.*

Proof. Let $f \in \mathfrak{M}_k$ and let $N, \epsilon > 0$. In order to prove the proposition, it is sufficient to show that there exists $\epsilon_0 \in (0, \epsilon)$ such that

$$\mathcal{A}(\{g \in \mathfrak{M}_k : (f, g) \in E_k(N, \epsilon_0)\}) \subset \{h \in \mathfrak{M}_k : (h, \mathcal{A}f) \in E_k(N, \epsilon)\}. \tag{4.2}$$

Set

$$\Delta_0 = 2(|\beta| + 1). \tag{4.3}$$

Equation (1.2) implies that there exists $c_0 > 0$ such that

$$\Delta_0|u| - c_0 \leq f(t, x, u) \quad \forall (t, x, u) \in \mathbb{R}^3. \quad (4.4)$$

Choose a number ϵ_0 such that

$$0 < \epsilon_0 < \min\{1, \epsilon\}, \quad 4\epsilon_0 + 4\epsilon_0(1 - \epsilon_0)^{-1}(4 + c_0) < \epsilon. \quad (4.5)$$

It follows from (4.3) and (4.4) that for each $(t, x, u) \in \mathbb{R}^3$,

$$\begin{aligned} |f(t, x, u) - \beta u| &\geq |f(t, x, u)| - |\beta u| \geq |f(t, x, u)| - |\beta| \Delta_0^{-1} (f(t, x, u) + c_0) \\ &\geq |f(t, x, u)| (1 - |\beta| \Delta_0^{-1}) - |\beta| \Delta_0^{-1} c_0 \\ &\geq 2^{-1} |f(t, x, u)| - 2^{-1} c_0. \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

Assume that

$$g \in \mathfrak{M}_k, \quad (f, g) \in E_k(N, \epsilon_0). \quad (4.7)$$

By (1.7) and (4.7) for each $(t, x, u) \in \mathbb{R}^3$,

$$\begin{aligned} |f(t, x, u) - g(t, x, u)| &\leq \epsilon_0 + \epsilon_0 \max\{|f(t, x, u)|, |g(t, x, u)|\}, \\ \max\{|f(t, x, u)|, |g(t, x, u)|\} - \min\{|f(t, x, u)|, |g(t, x, u)|\} \\ &\leq \epsilon_0 + \epsilon_0 \max\{|f(t, x, u)|, |g(t, x, u)|\}, \\ (1 - \epsilon_0) \max\{|f(t, x, u)|, |g(t, x, u)|\} &\leq \min\{|f(t, x, u)|, |g(t, x, u)|\} + \epsilon_0, \\ |g(t, x, u)| &\leq (1 - \epsilon_0)^{-1} |f(t, x, u)| + (1 - \epsilon_0)^{-1} \epsilon_0. \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

We show that $(\mathcal{A}f, \mathcal{A}g) \in E_k(N, \epsilon)$. It follows from (1.7), (4.1), (4.5), and (4.7) that, for each $q = (q_1, q_2, q_3) \in \{0, \dots, k\}^3$ satisfying $|q| \leq k$ and each $(t, x, p) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ satisfying $|p| \leq N$,

$$|D^q(\mathcal{A}f)(t, x, p) - D^q(\mathcal{A}g)(t, x, p)| = |D^q f(t, x, p) - D^q g(t, x, p)| \leq \epsilon_0 < \epsilon. \quad (4.9)$$

Let $q \in \{0, 1, 2\}^3$, $|q| \in \{0, 2\}$, and $(t, x, p) \in \mathbb{R}^3$. Equation (4.1) implies that

$$|D^q(\mathcal{A}f)(t, x, p) - D^q(\mathcal{A}g)(t, x, p)| = |D^q f(t, x, p) - D^q g(t, x, p)|. \quad (4.10)$$

If $|q| = 2$, then by (1.7), (4.1), (4.5), (4.7), and (4.10),

$$\begin{aligned} &|D^q(\mathcal{A}f)(t, x, p) - D^q(\mathcal{A}g)(t, x, p)| \\ &\leq \epsilon_0 + \epsilon_0 \max\{|D^q f(t, x, p)|, |D^q g(t, x, p)|\} \\ &< \epsilon + \epsilon \max\{|D^q(\mathcal{A}f)(t, x, p)|, |D^q(\mathcal{A}g)(t, x, p)|\}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

Assume that $q = 0$. By (1.7), (4.1), (4.5), (4.6), (4.7), and (4.8),

$$\begin{aligned}
& |D^q(\mathcal{A}f)(t, x, p) - D^q(\mathcal{A}g)(t, x, p)| \\
&= |f(t, x, p) - g(t, x, p)| \leq \epsilon_0 + \epsilon_0 \max\{|f(t, x, p)|, |g(t, x, p)|\} \\
&\leq \epsilon_0 + \epsilon_0 \max\{|f(t, x, p)|, (1 - \epsilon_0)^{-1}|f(t, x, p)| + (1 - \epsilon_0)^{-1}\epsilon_0\} \\
&= \epsilon_0 + \epsilon_0(1 - \epsilon_0)^{-1}|f(t, x, p)| + \epsilon_0^2(1 - \epsilon_0)^{-1} \\
&\leq \epsilon_0 + \epsilon_0^2(1 - \epsilon_0)^{-1} + \epsilon_0(1 - \epsilon_0)^{-1}[2|f(t, x, p) - \beta p| + 2c_0] \\
&\leq \epsilon_0 + \epsilon_0^2(1 - \epsilon_0)^{-1} + 2\epsilon_0(1 - \epsilon_0)^{-1}c_0 + 2\epsilon_0(1 - \epsilon_0)^{-1}|f(t, x, p) - \beta p| \\
&\leq 2\epsilon_0(1 - \epsilon_0)^{-1}|(\mathcal{A}f)(t, x, p)| + \epsilon \leq \epsilon + \epsilon|(\mathcal{A}f)(t, x, p)|.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

Equations (4.9), (4.11), and (4.12) imply that $(\mathcal{A}f, \mathcal{A}g) \in E_k(N, \epsilon)$. Proposition 4.1 is proved. \square

Let $-\infty < T_1 < T_2 < \infty$ and $x \in W^{1,1}(T_1, T_2)$. By (4.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
I^{\mathcal{A}f}(T_1, T_2, x) &= \int_{T_1}^{T_2} (f(t, x(t), x'(t)) - \beta x'(t)) dt \\
&= I^f(T_1, T_2, x) - \beta x(T_2) + \beta x(T_1).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.13}$$

Therefore, each $x \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^1)$ is an $(\mathcal{A}f)$ -minimal solution if and only if $x(\cdot)$ is an (f) -minimal solution.

Let $x \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^1)$ be an (f) -minimal solution with rotation number r . By Proposition 2.1 there exists $c_1 > 0$ such that for all $s, t \in \mathbb{R}^1$,

$$|x(t+s) - x(t) - rs| \leq c_1. \tag{4.14}$$

Proposition 2.3 implies that there exists a constant $c_2 > 0$ such that for each $s \in \mathbb{R}^1$ and each $t > 0$,

$$|I^f(s, s+t, x) - E_f(r)t| \leq c_2, \tag{4.15}$$

$$|I^{\mathcal{A}f}(s, s+t, x) - E_{\mathcal{A}f}(r)t| \leq c_2. \tag{4.16}$$

It follows from (4.13), (4.14), (4.15), and (4.16) that, for each $s \in \mathbb{R}^1$ and each $t > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& |E_{\mathcal{A}f}(r)t + \beta tr - E_f(r)t| \\
&\leq |E_{\mathcal{A}f}(r)t - I^{\mathcal{A}f}(s, s+t, x)| + |I^{\mathcal{A}f}(s, s+t, x) + \beta tr - I^f(s, s+t, x)| \\
&\quad + |I^f(s, s+t, x) - E_f(r)t| \\
&\leq c_2 + |\beta tr - \beta[x(t+s) - x(s)]| + c_2 \leq 2c_2 + |\beta|c_1.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.17}$$

These inequalities imply that

$$E_{\mathcal{A}f}(r) = E_f(r) - \beta r \quad \forall r \in \mathbb{R}^1. \tag{4.18}$$

5. Proof of Theorem 3.1

Let $g \in \mathfrak{M}$. We define

$$\mu(g) = \inf \left\{ \liminf_{T \rightarrow \infty} T^{-1} I^g(0, T, x) : x(\cdot) \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,1}([0, \infty)) \right\}. \quad (5.1)$$

In [13, Section 5] we showed that the number $\mu(g)$ is well defined and proved the following result [13, Theorem 5.1].

PROPOSITION 5.1. *Let $f \in \mathfrak{M}$. Then there exists a constant $M_0 > 0$ such that:*

- (i) $I^f(0, T, x) - \mu(f)T \geq -M_0$ for each $x \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,1}([0, \infty))$ and each $T > 0$.
- (ii) For each $a \in \mathbb{R}^1$ there exists $x \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,1}([0, \infty))$ such that $x(0) = a$ and

$$|I^f(0, T, x) - \mu(f)T| \leq 4M_0 \quad \forall T > 0. \quad (5.2)$$

Note that assertion (ii) of Proposition 5.1 holds by the periodicity of f in x .

Let $f \in \mathfrak{M}$. A function $x \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,1}([0, \infty))$ is called (f) -good (see [5]) if

$$\sup \{ |I^f(0, T, x) - \mu(f)T| : T \in (0, \infty) \} < \infty. \quad (5.3)$$

By [6, Theorem 4.1],

$$E_f(\alpha(f)) = \mu(f) \quad \forall f \in \mathfrak{M}. \quad (5.4)$$

For $f \in \mathfrak{M}$, $x, y, T_1 \in \mathbb{R}^1$, and $T_2 > T_1$ we set

$$U^f(T_1, T_2, x, y) = \inf \{ I^f(T_1, T_2, v) : v \in W^{1,1}(T_1, T_2), v(T_1) = x, v(T_2) = y \}. \quad (5.5)$$

It is not difficult to see that for each $x, y, T_1 \in \mathbb{R}^1$, $T_2 > T_1$,

$$\begin{aligned} U^f(T_1, T_2, x+1, y+1) &= U^f(T_1, T_2, x, y), \\ U^f(T_1+1, T_2+1, x, y) &= U^f(T_1, T_2, x, y), \quad -\infty < U^f(T_1, T_2, x, y) < \infty, \\ \inf \{ U^f(T_1, T_2, a, b) : a, b \in \mathbb{R}^1 \} &> -\infty. \end{aligned} \quad (5.6)$$

Denote by $\mathfrak{M}_{\text{per}}$ the set of all $f \in \mathfrak{M}$ such that $\alpha(f)$ is rational and denote by $\mathfrak{M}_{\text{per}}^0$ the set of all $g \in \mathfrak{M}_{\text{per}}$ for which there exist an (g) -minimal solution $w \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^1)$, a continuous function $\pi : \mathbb{R}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^1$, and integers m, n such that the following properties hold:

- (P1) $\pi(x+1) = \pi(x)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^1$;
- (P2) $n \geq 1$ and $\alpha(g) = mn^{-1}$ is an irreducible fraction;
- (P3) $w(t+n) = w(t) + m$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}^1$;
- (P4) $U^g(0, 1, x, y) - \mu(g) - \pi(x) + \pi(y) \geq 0$ for each $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^1$;
- (P5) for any $u \in W^{1,1}(0, n)$, the equality

$$I^g(0, n, u) = n\mu(g) + \pi(u(0)) - \pi(u(n)) \quad (5.7)$$

holds if and only if there are integers i, j such that $u(t) = w(t+i) - j$ for all $t \in [0, n]$.

Consider the manifold $(\mathbb{R}^1/\mathbb{Z})^2$ and the canonical mapping $P : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^1/\mathbb{Z})^2$. We have the following result [13, Proposition 6.2].

PROPOSITION 5.2. *Let Ω be a closed subset of $(\mathbb{R}^1/\mathbb{Z})^2$. Then there exists a bounded nonnegative function $\phi \in C^\infty((\mathbb{R}^1/\mathbb{Z})^2)$ such that*

$$\Omega = \{x \in (\mathbb{R}^1/\mathbb{Z})^2 : \phi(x) = 0\}. \quad (5.8)$$

Proposition 5.2 is proved by using [1, Chapter 2, Section 3, Theorem 1] and the partition of unity (see [4, Appendix 1]).

We also have the following result (see [13, Proposition 6.3]).

PROPOSITION 5.3. *Suppose that $f \in \mathfrak{M}_{\text{per}}$, $\alpha(f) = mn^{-1}$ is an irreducible fraction (m, n are integers, $n \geq 1$) and $w \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^1)$ is an (f) -minimal solution satisfying $w(t+n) = w(t) + m$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}^1$. Let $\phi \in C^\infty((\mathbb{R}^1/\mathbb{Z})^2)$ be as guaranteed in [Proposition 5.2](#) with*

$$\Omega = \{P(t, w(t)) : t \in [0, n]\}, \quad (5.9)$$

and let

$$g(t, x, p) = f(t, x, p) + \phi(P(t, x)), \quad (t, x, p) \in \mathbb{R}^3. \quad (5.10)$$

Then $g \in \mathfrak{M}_{\text{per}}^0$ and there is a continuous function $\pi : \mathbb{R}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^1$ such that the properties (P1), (P2), (P3), (P4), and (P5) hold with g, w, π, m, n and $\alpha(g) = \alpha(f)$.

In the sequel we need the following two lemmas proved in [13].

LEMMA 5.4 [13, Lemma 6.6]. *Assume that $k \geq 3$ is an integer, $g \in \mathfrak{M}_{\text{per}}^0 \cap \mathfrak{M}_k$, and properties (P1), (P2), (P3), (P4), and (P5) hold with a g -minimal solution $w(\cdot) \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^1)$, a continuous function $\pi : \mathbb{R}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^1$ and integers m, n . Then for each $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$, there exists a neighborhood \mathcal{U} of g in \mathfrak{M}_k such that for each $h \in \mathcal{U}$ and each (h) -good function $v \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,1}([0, \infty))$ there are integers p, q such that*

$$|v(t) - w(t+p) - q| \leq \epsilon \quad \text{for all large enough } t. \quad (5.11)$$

LEMMA 5.5 [13, Corollary 6.1]. *Assume that $k \geq 3$ is an integer, $g \in \mathfrak{M}_{\text{per}}^0 \cap \mathfrak{M}_k$, and properties (P1), (P2), (P3), (P4), and (P5) hold with a g -minimal solution $w(\cdot) \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^1)$, a continuous function $\pi : \mathbb{R}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^1$ and integers m, n . Then there exist a neighborhood \mathcal{U} of g in \mathfrak{M}_k and a number $L > 0$ such that for each $h \in \mathcal{U}$ and each (h) -good function $v \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,1}([0, \infty))$, the following property holds.*

There is a number $T_0 > 0$ such that

$$|v(t_2) - v(t_1) - \alpha(g)(t_2 - t_1)| \leq L \quad (5.12)$$

for each $t_1 \geq T_0$ and each $t_2 > t_1$.

Completion of the proof of Theorem 3.1. Let $k \geq 3$ be an integer and let $\alpha = mn^{-1}$ be an irreducible fraction ($n \geq 1$ and m are integers). Let $f \in \mathfrak{M}_k$. By Proposition 2.2 there exists an (f) -minimal solution $w_f(\cdot) \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^1)$ such that

$$w_f(t+n) = w_f(t) + m \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}^1. \quad (5.13)$$

Choose

$$\beta \in \partial E_f(\alpha). \quad (5.14)$$

Consider a mapping $\mathcal{A} : \mathfrak{M}_k \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_k$ defined by (4.1). By Proposition 4.1 the mapping \mathcal{A} is continuous. Clearly there exists a continuous $\mathcal{A}^{-1} : \mathfrak{M}_k \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_k$. Equations (5.14) and (4.18) imply that

$$0 \in \partial E_{\mathcal{A}f}(\alpha), \quad E_{\mathcal{A}f}(\alpha) = \min \{E_{\mathcal{A}f}(r) : r \in \mathbb{R}^1\} = \mu(\mathcal{A}f) \quad (5.15)$$

and that $\mathcal{A}f \in \mathfrak{M}_{\text{per}}$. It follows from Proposition 5.2 that there exists a bounded nonnegative function $\phi \in C^\infty((\mathbb{R}^1/\mathbb{Z})^2)$ such that

$$\{x \in (\mathbb{R}^1/\mathbb{Z})^2 : \phi(x) = 0\} = \{P(t, w_f(t)) : t \in [0, n]\}. \quad (5.16)$$

Set $f^{(\beta)} = \mathcal{A}f$ and for each $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ define

$$f_\gamma(t, x, u) = f(t, x, u) + \gamma\phi(P(t, x)), \quad (t, x, u) \in \mathbb{R}^3, \quad f_\gamma^{(\beta)} = \mathcal{A}(f_\gamma). \quad (5.17)$$

Proposition 5.3 implies that for each $\gamma \in (0, 1)$,

$$f_\gamma^{(\beta)} \in \mathfrak{M}_{\text{per}}^0 \cap \mathfrak{M}_k, \\ f_\gamma \rightarrow f \quad \text{as } \gamma \rightarrow 0^+, \quad f_\gamma^{(\beta)} \rightarrow f^{(\beta)} \quad \text{as } \gamma \rightarrow 0^+ \text{ in } \mathfrak{M}_k. \quad (5.18)$$

Fix $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ and an integer $n \geq 1$. By Proposition 5.3 the properties (P1), (P2), (P3), (P4), and (P5) hold with $g = f_\gamma^{(\beta)}$, $\alpha(g) = \alpha$ and $w(\cdot) = w_f$.

By Lemmas 5.4 and 5.5, there exists an open neighborhood $V(f, \gamma, n)$ of $f_\gamma^{(\beta)}$ in \mathfrak{M}_γ and a number $L(f, \gamma, n) > 0$ such that the following properties hold:

- (i) for each $h \in V(f, \gamma, n)$ and each (h) -good function $v \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,1}([0, \infty))$, there are integers p, q such that

$$|v(t) - w_f(t+p) - q| \leq \frac{1}{n} \quad (5.19)$$

for all large enough t ;

- (ii) for each $h \in V(f, \gamma, n)$ and each (h) -good function $v \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,1}([0, \infty))$, there is a number T_0 such that

$$|v(t_2) - v(t_1) - \alpha(f_\gamma^{(\beta)})(t_2 - t_1)| \leq L \quad (5.20)$$

for each $t_1 \geq T_0$ and each $t_2 > t_1$.

152 Uniqueness of a minimal solution

Let $h \in V(f, \gamma, n)$ and let $v \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^1)$ be an (h) -minimal solution with rotation number $\alpha(h)$. Then by [Proposition 2.3](#), [\(2.3\)](#), [\(5.4\)](#), and property (ii), $v|_{[0,\infty)}$ is an (h) -good function and there is T_0 such that [\(5.20\)](#) holds for each $t_1 \geq T_0$ and each $t_2 > t_1$. Since $v \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^1)$ has rotation number $\alpha(h)$ it follows from [Proposition 2.1](#) that there exists $c_1 > 0$ such that

$$|v(t+s) - v(t) - \alpha(h)s| \leq c_1 \quad \forall s, t \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (5.21)$$

Equations [\(5.15\)](#), [\(5.17\)](#), [\(5.20\)](#), and [\(5.21\)](#) imply that

$$\alpha(h) = \alpha(f_y^{(\beta)}) = \alpha(f^{(\beta)}) = \alpha. \quad (5.22)$$

Thus we have shown that

$$\alpha(h) = \alpha \quad \forall h \in V(f, \gamma, n). \quad (5.23)$$

Let $h \in V(f, \gamma, n)$ and let $v \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^1)$ be an (h) -minimal solution with rotation number α . It follows from [Proposition 2.3](#), [\(2.3\)](#), and [\(5.4\)](#) that $v|_{[0,\infty)}$ is an (h) -good function. By property (i) there exist integers p, q such that

$$|v(t) - w_f(t+p) - q| \leq \frac{1}{n} \quad \text{for all large enough } t. \quad (5.24)$$

Therefore we proved the following property:

- (iii) for each $h \in V(f, \gamma, n)$ and each (h) -minimal solution $v \in \mathcal{M}_h^{\text{per}}(\alpha)$, there exist integers p, q such that

$$|v(t) - w_f(t+p) - q| \leq \frac{1}{n} \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}^1. \quad (5.25)$$

Define

$$\mathcal{U}(f, \gamma, n) = \mathcal{A}^{-1}(V(f, \gamma, n)). \quad (5.26)$$

Clearly $\mathcal{U}(f, \gamma, n)$ is an open neighborhood of f_γ in \mathfrak{M}_k . By property (iii) the following property holds:

- (iv) for each $\xi \in \mathcal{U}(f, \gamma, n)$ and each (ξ) -minimal solution $v \in \mathcal{M}_\xi^{\text{per}}(\alpha)$, there exist integers p, q such that [\(5.25\)](#) holds.

Define

$$\mathcal{F}_{k\alpha} = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \cup \{ \mathcal{U}(f, \gamma, i) : f \in \mathfrak{M}_k, \gamma \in (0, 1), i \geq n \}. \quad (5.27)$$

It is not difficult to see that $\mathcal{F}_{k\alpha}$ is a countable intersection of open everywhere dense subsets of \mathfrak{M}_k .

Let $g \in \mathcal{F}_{k\alpha}$, $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ and $x, y \in \mathcal{M}_g^{(\text{per})}(\alpha)$. Choose a natural number $n > 8\epsilon^{-1}$. By (5.27) there exist $f \in \mathfrak{M}_k$, $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ and an integer $i \geq n$ such that

$$g \in \mathcal{O}U(f, \gamma, i). \quad (5.28)$$

It follows from (5.28) and property (iv) that there exist integers p_1, q_1, p_2, q_2 such that

$$|x(t) - w_f(t + p_1) - q_1| \leq \frac{1}{i} \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}^1, \quad (5.29)$$

$$|y(t) - w_f(t + p_2) - q_2| \leq \frac{1}{i} \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}^1, \quad (5.30)$$

where $w_f \in \mathcal{M}_f^{(\text{per})}(\alpha)$.

It follows from (5.29) and (5.30) that for all $t \in \mathbb{R}^1$,

$$\begin{aligned} |x(t - p_1) - w_f(t) - q_1| &\leq \frac{1}{i}, \\ |y(t - p_2) - w_f(t) - q_2| &\leq \frac{1}{i}, \\ |x(t - p_1 - q_1) - (y(t - p_2) - q_2)| &\leq \frac{2}{i}, \\ |x(t + p_2 - p_1) - y(t) - q_1 + q_2| &\leq \frac{2}{i} \leq \frac{2}{n} < \epsilon. \end{aligned} \quad (5.31)$$

Since ϵ is any number in $(0, 1)$, we conclude that there exist integers p, q such that

$$x(t + p) - q = y(t) \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}^1. \quad (5.32)$$

Assume that $h \in \mathcal{O}U(f, \gamma, i)$ and $z \in \mathcal{M}_h^{(\text{per})}(\alpha)$. By the property (iv) there exist integers p_3, q_3 such that

$$|z(t) - w_f(t + p_3) - q_3| \leq \frac{1}{i} \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}^1. \quad (5.33)$$

Combined with (5.29) this inequality implies that

$$|z(t - p_3) - q_3 - x(t - p_1) + q_1| \leq \frac{2}{i} \leq \frac{2}{n} < \epsilon \quad (5.34)$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{R}^1$. This completes the proof of [Theorem 3.1](#).

References

- [1] J.-P. Aubin and I. Ekeland, *Applied Nonlinear Analysis*, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1984.
- [2] S. Aubry and P. Y. Le Daeron, *The discrete Frenkel-Kontorova model and its extensions. I. Exact results for the ground-states*, Phys. D **8** (1983), no. 3, 381–422.

- [3] J. L. Kelley, *General Topology*, Van Nostrand, New York, 1955.
- [4] S. Kobayashi and K. Nomizu, *Foundations of Differential Geometry. Vol. I*, Interscience Publishers, New York, 1963.
- [5] A. Leizarowitz, *Infinite horizon autonomous systems with unbounded cost*, Appl. Math. Optim. **13** (1985), no. 1, 19–43.
- [6] A. Leizarowitz and A. J. Zaslavski, *Infinite-horizon variational problems with nonconvex integrands*, SIAM J. Control Optim. **34** (1996), no. 4, 1099–1134.
- [7] J. N. Mather, *Existence of quasiperiodic orbits for twist homeomorphisms of the annulus*, Topology **21** (1982), no. 4, 457–467.
- [8] ———, *Minimal measures*, Comment. Math. Helv. **64** (1989), no. 3, 375–394.
- [9] J. Moser, *Minimal solutions of variational problems on a torus*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire **3** (1986), no. 3, 229–272.
- [10] ———, *Recent developments in the theory of Hamiltonian systems*, SIAM Rev. **28** (1986), no. 4, 459–485.
- [11] W. Senn, *Strikte Konvexität für Variationsprobleme auf dem n -dimensionalen torus*, Manuscripta Math. **71** (1991), no. 1, 45–65 (German).
- [12] A. J. Zaslavski, *Ground states in Frenkel-Kontorova model*, Math. USSR-Izv. **29** (1987), 323–354.
- [13] ———, *Existence and structure of extremals for one-dimensional nonautonomous variational problems*, J. Optim. Theory Appl. **97** (1998), no. 3, 731–757.

ALEXANDER J. ZASLAVSKI: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TECHNION-ISRAEL INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, HAIFA 32000, ISRAEL

E-mail address: ajzasl@techunix.technion.ac.il