## A CLASS OF ALMOST CONTACT RIEMANNIAN MANIFOLDS

## KATSUEI KENMOTSU

(Received. Nov. 20, 1971)

1. Introduction. Recently S. Tanno has classified connected almost contact Riemannian manifolds whose automorphism groups have the maximum dimension [9]. In his classification table the almost contact Riemannian manifolds are divided into three classes: (1) homogeneous normal contact Riemannian manifolds with constant  $\phi$ -holomorphic sectional curvature if the sectional curvature for 2-planes which contain  $\xi$ , say  $K(X, \xi), > 0$ , (2) global Riemannian products of a line or a circle and a Kaehlerian manifold with constant holomorphic sectional curvature, if  $K(X, \xi) = 0$  and (3) a warped product space  $L \times_f CE^n$ , if  $K(X, \xi) < 0$ . It is known that the manifold of the class (1) in the above statement is characterized by some tensor equations; it has a Sasakian structure.

The purpose of this paper is to characterize the warped product space  $L \times_f CE^n$  by tensor equations (§ 2) and study their properties. From the definition by means of the tensor equations it is easily verified that the structure is normal, but not quasi-Sasakian (and is hence not Sasakian). In § 2, we define a structure closely related to the warped product which is studied by Bishop-O'Neill [1] and prove the local structure theorem. In § 3 we study some properties of the structure. § 4 is devoted to a study of  $\eta$ -Einstein manifolds. In the section 5 we show one of the main theorems in this paper. In the last section we study invariant submanifolds.

We follow here the notations and the terminology of the Volume 1 of Kobayashi-Nomizu [4].

2. Definition and examples. It is well-known that the structure tensors  $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$  of the almost contact Riemannian maifold M satisfy

$$\phi \xi = 0 \; , \qquad \eta (\phi X) = 0 \; , \qquad \eta (\xi) = 1 \; , \qquad$$

(2.2) 
$$\phi \phi X = -X + \eta(X)\xi$$
,  $g(X, \xi) = \eta(X)$ ,

(2.3) 
$$g(\phi X, \phi Y) = g(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y),$$

for any vector fields X and Y on M. It is known that the  $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ -structure is normal if and only if

(2.4) 
$$\phi \nabla_X \phi \cdot Y - \nabla_{\phi X} \phi \cdot Y - (\nabla_X \eta)(Y) \cdot \xi = 0,$$

where  $\nabla$  denotes the Riemannian connection for g [8].

Throughout this paper we study a class of almost contact Riemannian manifolds which satisfy the following two conditions, say (\*),:

$$\left\{egin{aligned} 
abla_{\scriptscriptstyle X}\phim{\cdot} Y &= -\eta(Y)\phi X - g(X,\,\phi Y)\,\xi\ ,\ 
abla_{\scriptscriptstyle X}\xi &= X - \eta(X)\,\xi\ . \end{aligned}
ight.$$

REMARK TO (\*). S. S. Eum studied the integrability of invariant hypersurfaces immersed in an almost contact Riemannian manifold which satisfies

(2.5) 
$$g(\nabla_X \phi \cdot Y, Z) = (\nabla_X \eta) (\eta(Y) \phi Z - \eta(Z) \phi Y).$$

If we assume  $(*)_2$  in an almost contact Riemannian manifold, then  $(*)_1$  is equivalent to (2.5).

From (2.4),  $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ -structure with (\*) is normal and since  $\xi$  is not a Killing vector field the structure is not quasi-Sasakian (cf. [2]). Thus we have

PROPOSITION 1. Let M be an almost contact Riemannian manifold with (\*). Then M is normal but not quasi-Sasakian and hence not Sasakian.

Taking the Lie derivative of g,  $\phi$  and  $\eta$  along  $\xi$  we see

PROPOSITION 2. Under the same assumption as Proposition 1,

$$(2.6) \qquad (\nabla_X \eta)(Y) = g(X, Y) - \eta(X) \cdot \eta(Y) ,$$

$$(2.7) L(\xi)g = 2(g - \eta \otimes \eta),$$

$$(2.8) L(\hat{\xi})\phi = 0,$$

$$(2.9) L(\xi)\eta = 0,$$

where  $L(\xi)$  denotes the Lie derivative along  $\xi$ .

Since the proof of Proposition 2 follows by a routine calculation, we shall omit it. We give here examples of almost contact Riemannian manifolds which satisfy the condition (\*). These examples are closely related to the warped product space defined by Bishop-O'Neill [1]: Let B and F be Riemannian manifolds and f>0 a differentiable function on B. Consider the product manifold  $B\times F$  with its projection  $p\colon B\times F\to B$  and  $\pi\colon B\times F\to F$ . The warped product  $M=B\times_f F$  is the manifold  $B\times F$  furnished with the Riemannian structure such that

$$||X||^2 = ||p_*X||^2 + f^2(px) ||\pi_*X||^2$$

for every tangent vector  $X \in T_x(M)$ . We have

PROPOSITION 3. Let F be a Kaehlerian manifold and c a nonzero constant. Let  $f(t) = ce^t$  be a function on a line L. Then the warped product space  $M = L \times_f F$  have an almost contact metric structure which satisfies (\*).

PROOF. (G, J) denotes the Kaehlerian structure of F and D denotes the Riemannian connection for the Kaehlerian metric G. Let  $(t, x_1, \dots, x_{2n})$  be a local coordinates of M where t and  $(x_1, \dots, x_{2n})$  denotes the local coordinates of L and F, respectively. We define a Riemannian metric tensor g, a vector field  $\xi$  and a 1-form  $\eta$  on M as follows:

(2.10) 
$$g_{(t,x)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & f^2(t)G_{(x)} \end{pmatrix},$$

(2.11) 
$$\xi = \left(\frac{d}{dt}\right), \quad \eta(X) = g(X, \xi) \ .$$

By a direct calculation or Lemma 7.3 of [1] we have easily  $(*)_2$  because of  $\xi(f) = f$ . By  $(*)_2$  we see

(2.12) 
$$L(\xi)\eta = 0$$
.

A (1, 1)-tensor field  $\phi$  is defined  $\phi$  by  $\phi_{(t,x)}=\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \widetilde{\phi}_{(t,x)} \end{pmatrix}$ , where

(2.13) 
$$\tilde{\phi}_{(t,x)} = (\exp(t\xi))_* J_x (\exp(-t\xi))_*.$$

(2.13) is well-defined by (2.12). We can easily verify that  $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$  defines an almost contact metric structure on  $M = L \times_f F$  by (2.10)  $\sim$  (2.13). By (2.13) we see

$$(2.14) \qquad (\exp s\xi)_*\phi = \phi(\exp s\xi)_*.$$

Making use of (\*)2 and (2.14), we have easily

$$(2.15) L(\xi)g = 2(g - \eta \otimes \eta),$$

$$(2.16) L(\xi)\phi = 0.$$

By virtue of  $(*)_2$  and (2.16) we have

$$\nabla_{\xi}\phi = L(\xi)\phi = 0.$$

By (2.10), we have

$$\nabla_{X_0} Y_0 = D_{X_0} Y_0 - g(X_0, Y_0) \xi,$$

where  $X_0$  and  $Y_0$  are vector fields with  $\eta(X_0) = 0$  and  $\eta(Y_0) = 0$ , respec-

tively. We see the almost contact structure in consideration satisfies  $(*)_1$ . Let  $X_0$  and  $Y_0$  denote the F-components of X and Y. Then we have

$$\begin{split} \nabla_{X}\phi \cdot Y &= \nabla_{X_{0}+\eta(X)\xi}(\phi Y_{0}) - \phi \nabla_{X_{0}+\eta(X)\xi}(Y_{0}+\eta(Y)\xi) \\ &= D_{X_{0}}(JY_{0}) - g(X_{0}, \phi Y_{0})\xi + \eta(X)\nabla_{\xi}(\phi Y_{0}) \\ &- \phi \left\{D_{X_{0}}Y_{0} + \eta(Y)X_{0} + \eta(X)\nabla_{\xi}Y_{0}\right\} \\ &\qquad \qquad \qquad \text{(because of (2.18) and (*)_{2})} \\ &= D_{X_{0}}(JY_{0}) - \phi D_{X_{0}}Y_{0} - g(X_{0}, \phi Y_{0})\xi - \eta(Y)\phi X_{0} \\ &\qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \text{(because of (2.17))} \\ &= -g(X_{0}, \phi Y)\xi - \eta(Y)\phi X_{0}, \end{split}$$

since  $\exp t\xi$  is a homothety with respect to the distribution  $\eta = 0$  and DJ = 0.

Conversely we have the following structure theorem.

THEOREM 4. Let M be an almost contact Riemannian manifold with (\*). Then, for any  $p \in M$ , some neighborhood U(p) of  $p \in M$  is identified with a warped product space  $(-\varepsilon, +\varepsilon) \times_f V$  such that  $(-\varepsilon, +\varepsilon)$  is an open interval,  $f(t) = ce^t$  and V is a Kaehlerian manifold.

PROOF. We define a distribution b by  $\eta=0$ . It is completely integrable by (2.6). Let M(p) be the maximal integral submanifold through p. M(p) is a totally umbilical hypersurface of M because of  $(*)_2$ . J and G denote the restriction of  $\phi$  and g to M(p) respectively. Then M(p) is an almost Hermitian manifold for (J, G).

Moreover, by  $(*)_1$ , M(p) is a Kaehlerian manifold. By virtue of Proposition 2,  $\exp t\xi$  leaves  $\phi$  and  $\eta$  invariant for each t and  $\exp t\xi$  are homotheties on  $\mathfrak{d}$ , whose proportional factor is monotonously increasing as t. Thus the metric are written by

$$g_{(t,x)}=egin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \ 0 & f^2(t)G_x \end{pmatrix}$$
 .

From (2.9) the differential equation for f is f' - f = 0. We have  $f(t) = ce^t$  and M is locally a warped product space. q.e.d.

3. Some Properties. In the theory of Sasakian manifolds the following result is well-known:  $K(X, \xi) = 1$  and if a Sasakian manifold is locally symmetric, then it is of constant positive curvature +1. On the other hand an almost contact Riemannian manifold with (\*) is not compact because of  $\text{div } \xi = 2n$  and we get

Proposition 5. Under the same assumption as Proposition 1,

(3.1) 
$$R(X, Y)\xi = \eta(X)Y - \eta(Y)X,$$

$$(3.2) K(X, \xi) = -1,$$

(3.3) 
$$(\nabla_z R)(X, Y; \xi) = g(Z, X)Y - g(Z, Y)X - R(X, Y)Z$$
.

PROOF. (3.1) follows directly from  $(*)_2$ , (2.6) and the definition of R. (3.2) is a result of (3.1). By virtue of  $(*)_2$ , (2.6) and (3.1) we get (3.3):

$$\begin{split} (\nabla_{\boldsymbol{Z}}R)(\boldsymbol{X},\ \boldsymbol{Y};\ \boldsymbol{\xi}) &= \nabla_{\boldsymbol{Z}}(R(\boldsymbol{X},\ \boldsymbol{Y})\ \boldsymbol{\xi}) - R\left(\nabla_{\boldsymbol{Z}}\boldsymbol{X},\ \boldsymbol{Y}\right) - R\left(\boldsymbol{X},\ \nabla_{\boldsymbol{Z}}\boldsymbol{Y}\right) \\ &- R(\boldsymbol{X},\ \boldsymbol{Y})(\nabla_{\boldsymbol{Z}}\boldsymbol{\xi}) \\ &= g(\boldsymbol{Z},\ \boldsymbol{X})\boldsymbol{Y} - g(\boldsymbol{Z},\ \boldsymbol{Y})\boldsymbol{X} - R(\boldsymbol{X},\ \boldsymbol{Y})\boldsymbol{Z} \;. \end{split} \qquad \text{q.e.d.}$$

COROLLARY 6. If M is locally symmetric, then it is of constant negative curvature -1.

PROOF. Corollary 6 follows from (3.3).

We can generalize Corollary 6 slightly as follows:

PROPOSITION 7. Under the same assumption as Proposition 1, if M satisfies the Nomizu's condition, i.e., R(X, Y)R = 0, then it is of constant negative curvature -1.

Since the proof of this Proposition is done by the same method as M. Okumura proved the Theorem 3.2 in [7], we shall omit it.

4.  $\eta$ -Einstein manifold. In an almost contact Riemannian manifold, if the Ricci tensor  $R_1$  satisfies  $R_1 = ag + b \eta \otimes \eta$ , where a and b are scalar functions, then it is called an  $\eta$ -Einstein manifold. If a Sasakian manifold is  $\eta$ -Einsteinian and the dimension > 3, then a and b are constant.

PROPOSITION 8. Let M be an almost contact Riemannian manifold with (\*) of dimension (2n + 1). If M is  $\eta$ -Einsteinian, we have

$$(4.1) a + b = -2n,$$

(4.2) 
$$Z(b) + 2b \eta(Z) = 0$$
, if  $n > 1$ , for any vector field Z on M.

PROOF. (4.1) follows from  $R_1(X, \xi) = -2n \eta(X)$  which is derived from (3.1) and  $R_1(X, Y) =$  the trace of the map  $[W \to R(W, X)Y]$ . As M is an  $\eta$ -Einstein manifold, the scalar curvature S is 2n(a-1). We define a (1, 1)-tensor field  $R^1$  as follows:  $g(R^1(X), Y) = R_1(X, Y)$ . By the identity  $\nabla_Y S = 2$  (trace of the map  $[X \to (\nabla_X R^1)Y]$ ), we have

$$Z(a)$$
 +  $\xi(b)\eta(Z)$  +  $2nb$   $\eta(Z)$  =  $nZ(a)$  .

Setting  $Z = \xi$ , we get  $\xi(b) = -2b$ . Therefore we have  $Z(b) + 2b\eta(Z) = 0$  if n > 1.

COROLLARY 9. Under the same assumption as the Proposition 8, if b = constant (or a = constant), then M is an Einstein one.

PROOF. Corollary 9 is a direct consequence of (4.2).

## 5. Curvature tensor. At first we shall prove

PROPOSITION 10. Let R be the Riemannian curvature tensor of M with (\*). Then

(5.1) 
$$R(X, Y)\phi Z - \phi R(X, Y)Z = g(Y, Z)\phi X - g(X, Z)\phi Y + g(X, \phi Z)Y - g(Y, \phi Z)X,$$

(5.2) 
$$R(\phi X, \phi Y)Z = R(X, Y)Z + g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y + g(Y, \phi Z)\phi X - g(X, \phi Z)\phi Y.$$

PROOF. (5.1) follows from (\*) and the Ricci's identity:

$$abla_{\scriptscriptstyle X} 
abla_{\scriptscriptstyle Y} \phi - 
abla_{\scriptscriptstyle Y} 
abla_{\scriptscriptstyle X} \phi - 
abla_{\scriptscriptstyle \lceil X,Y \rceil} \phi = R(X,Y) \phi - \phi R(X,Y)$$
.

We verify (5.2): By (5.1), we have

$$g(R(X, Y)\phi Z, \phi W) - g(\phi R(X, Y)Z, \phi W)$$
  
=  $g(Y, Z) g(\phi X, \phi W) - g(X, Z) g(\phi Y, \phi W)$   
+  $g(X, \phi Z)g(Y, \phi W) - g(Y, \phi Z)g(X, \phi W)$ .

Using  $\eta(R(X, Y)Z) = \eta(Y)g(X, Z) - \eta(X)g(X, Z)$ , the above formula is

$$\begin{split} g(R(\phi Z,\,\phi W)X,\,\,Y) &= g(R(Z,\,W)X,\,\,Y) + g(Y,\,Z)g(X,\,W) \\ &- g(X,\,Z)g(Y,\,W) + g(X,\,\phi Z)g(Y,\,\phi W) \\ &- g(Y,\,\phi Z)g(X,\,\phi W) \;. \end{split} \qquad \text{q.e.d.}$$

As an application of Proposition 10, we show

PROPOSITION 11. Let M be an almost contact Riemannian manifold with (\*) of dimension > 3. If M is conformally flat, then M is a space of constant negative curvature -1.

PROOF. Since M is conformally flat, the Riemannian curvature tensor of M is written by

(5.3) 
$$R(X, Y)Z$$
  

$$= \frac{1}{(2n-1)} \{R_1(Y, Z)X - R_1(X, Z)Y + g(Y, Z)R^1(X) - g(X, Z)R^1(Y)\} + \frac{S}{(2n)(2n-1)} \{g(X, Z)Y - g(Y, Z)X\}.$$

Let us calculate  $R(\xi, Y)\xi$  by the above formula. Using (3.1) and

$$R_1(X,\,\xi)\,=\,-\,2n\,\,\eta(X)\,\,,$$

we get

(5.4) 
$$2nR_1 = (S + 2n) g - (S + 4n^2 + 2n) \eta \otimes \eta.$$

By virtue of (5.1), (5.3) and (5.4), we have

(5.5) 
$$(S+4n^2+2n)\{g(Y,\phi Z)X-g(X,\phi Z)Y+g(X,Z)\phi Y\\ -g(Y,Z)\phi X+\eta(X)g(Y,\phi Z)\,\xi-\eta(Y)g(X,\phi Z)\,\xi\\ -\eta(Y)\,\eta(Z)\phi X+\eta(X)\,\eta(Z)\phi Y\}=0 \ .$$

Let  $\{\xi, E_1, \phi E_1, \dots, E_n, \phi E_n\}$  be an orthonormal basis of  $T_x(M)$ ,  $x \in M$ . Setting  $X = E_1$ ,  $Y = E_2$  and  $Z = \phi E_2$  in (5.5), we see S = -2n(2n+1). Thus we have  $R_1 = -2ng$ . Proposition 11 follows from (5.3). q.e.d.

In a Sasakian manifold with constant  $\phi$ -holomorphic sectional curvature, say H, the curvature tensor has a special feature [6]: The necessary and sufficient condition for a Sasakian manifold to have constant  $\phi$ -holomorphic sectional curvature H is

$$4R(X, Y)Z = (H+3)(g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y) + (H-1)(\eta(X) \eta(Z)Y - \eta(Y) \eta(Z)X + \eta(Y)g(X, Z) \xi - \eta(X)g(Y, Z) \xi + g(X, \phi Z)\phi Y - g(Y, \phi Z)\phi X + 2g(X, \phi Y)\phi Z).$$

In our case we have

PROPOSITION 12. Let M be an almost contact Riemannian manifold with (\*). The necessary and sufficient condition for M to have constant  $\phi$ -holomorphic sectional curvature H is

(5.6) 
$$4R(X, Y)Z = (H-3)(g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y) + (H+1)(\eta(X)\eta(Z)Y) - \eta(Y)\eta(Z)X + \eta(Y)g(X, Z)\xi - \eta(X)g(Y, Z)\xi + g(X, \phi Z)\phi Y - g(Y, \phi Z)\phi X + 2g(X, \phi Y)\phi Z).$$

**PROOF.** For any vector fields X and  $Y \in \mathfrak{d}$ , we have

(5.7) 
$$g(R(X, \phi X)X, \phi X) = -Hg(X, X)^{2}.$$

By (5.1) we get

(5.8) 
$$g(R(X, \phi Y)X, \phi Y) = g(R(X, \phi Y)Y, \phi X) - g(X, \phi Y)^2 - g(X, Y)^2 + g(X, X)g(Y, Y),$$

(5.9) 
$$g(R(X, \phi X)Y, \phi X) = g(R(X, \phi X)X, \phi Y), \quad \text{for } X, Y \in \mathfrak{d}.$$

Substituting X + Y in (5.7), we see

$$\begin{split} &-H(2g(X,Y)^2+2g(X,X)g(X,Y)+2g(X,Y)g(Y,Y)+g(X,X)g(Y,Y))\\ &=\frac{1}{2}\,g(R(X+Y,\phi X+\phi Y)(X+Y),\phi X+\phi Y)+\frac{1}{2}\,H(g(X,X)^2+g(Y,Y)^2)\\ &=g(R(Y,\phi X)X,\phi X)+g(R(X,\phi X)X,\phi Y)+g(R(Y,\phi Y)X,\phi X)\\ &+g(R(Y,\phi Y)Y,\phi X)+g(R(X,\phi Y)Y,\phi X)+g(R(X,\phi Y)Y,\phi Y)\\ &+g(R(X,\phi Y)X,\phi Y) & (\text{because of } (5.1))\\ &=2g(R(X,\phi X)X,\phi Y)+2g(R(Y,\phi Y)Y,\phi X)-g(R(\phi Y,X)Y,\phi X)\\ &-g(R(X,Y)\phi Y,\phi X)+g(R(X,\phi Y)Y,\phi X)+g(R(X,\phi Y)X,\phi Y) \;, \end{split}$$

because of (5.9) and the Bianchi identity. It then turns to

$$=2g(R(X,\phi X)X,\phi Y)+2g(R(Y,\phi Y)Y,\phi X)+2g(R(X,\phi Y)Y,\phi X)\\+g(R(\phi X,\phi Y)X,Y)+g(R(X,\phi Y)X,\phi Y)$$

$$= 2g(R(X, \phi X)X, \phi Y) + 2g(R(Y, \phi Y)Y, \phi X) + 3g(R(X, \phi Y)Y, \phi X) + g(R(X, Y)X, Y),$$

because of (5.2) and (5.8). Thus we get

$$\begin{array}{ll} (5.10) & 2g(R(X,\phi X)X,\phi Y) + 2g(R(Y,\phi Y)Y,\phi X) \\ & + 3g(R(X,\phi Y)Y,\phi X) + g(R(X,Y)X,Y) \\ & = -H(2g(X,Y)^2 + 2g(X,X)g(X,Y) + 2g(X,Y)g(Y,Y) \\ & + g(X,X)g(Y,Y)) \; . \end{array}$$

Replacing Y by -Y in (5.10) and summing it to (5.10) we have

(5.11) 
$$3g(R(X, \phi Y)Y, \phi X) + g(R(X, Y)X, Y) \\ = -H(2g(X, Y)^2 + g(X, X)g(Y, Y)).$$

By virtue of (5.11) we see

(5.12) 
$$4g(R(X, Y)X, Y)$$

$$= (H-3)(g(X, Y)^2 - g(X, X)g(Y, Y)) - 3(H+1)g(X, \phi Y)^2.$$

We verify (5.12):

$$-H(2g(X, \phi Y)^2 + g(X, X)g(\phi Y, \phi Y))$$

$$= -3g(R(X, Y)\phi Y, \phi X) + g(R(X, \phi Y)X, \phi Y)$$

$$=3g(R(\phi X, \phi Y)X, Y) + g(R(X, \phi Y)X, \phi Y)$$

$$=3g(R(X, Y)X, Y) + g(R(X, \phi Y)Y, \phi X) + 2g(X, Y)^{2} - 2g(X, X)g(Y, Y) + 2g(X, \phi Y)^{2}$$
 (because of (5.2) and (5.8))

$$=3g(R(X, Y)X, Y) - \frac{1}{3}g(R(X, Y)X, Y) - \frac{H}{3}(2g(X, Y)^2 + g(X, X)g(Y, Y)) + 2g(X, Y)^2 - 2g(X, X)g(Y, Y) + 2g(X, \phi Y)^2$$
 (because of (5.11)).

After simplication (5.12) follows. Therefore by a standard calculation we have

(5.13) 
$$4R(X, Y)Z = (H-3)(g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y) + (H+1)(g(X, \phi Z)\phi Y - g(Y, \phi Z)\phi X + 2g(X, \phi Y)\phi Z)$$
,

where X, Y and  $Z \in \mathfrak{d}$ .

We verify (5.13) for completeness: We calculate g(R(X+Z, Y+W) (X+Z), Y+W). Using (5.12) we see

$$\begin{array}{ll} (5.14) & 4g(R(X, Y)Z, W) + 4g(R(X, W)Z, Y) \\ & = (H-3)(g(X, Y)g(Z, W) + g(X, W)g(Y, Z) - 2g(X, Z)g(Y, W)) \\ & - 3(H+1)(g(X, \phi Y)g(Z, \phi W) + g(X, \phi W)g(Z, \phi Y)) \end{array}$$

and we have

$$\begin{array}{ll} (5.14)' & -4g(R(X,\,Z)\,Y,\,W) - 4g(R(X,\,W)\,Y,\,Z) \\ & = -(H\!-\!3)(g(X,\,Z)g(Y,\,W) + g(X,\,W)g(Y,\,Z) - 2g(X,\,Y)g(Z,\,W)) \\ & + 3(H\!+\!1)(g(X,\,\phi Z)g(Y,\,\phi W) + g(X,\,\phi W)g(Y,\,\phi Z)) \ . \end{array}$$

Making (5.14) + (5.14)' we get by virtue of the Bianchi identity

$$\begin{split} 4g(R(X,\ W)Z,\ Y) &= (H-3)(g(X,\ Y)g(Z,\ W) - g(X,\ Z)g(Y,\ W)) \\ &- (H+1)(g(X,\ \phi Y)g(Z,\ \phi W) - g(X,\ \phi Z)g(Y,\ \phi W) \\ &+ 2g(X,\ \phi W)g(Z,\ \phi Y)) \ , \end{split}$$

where X, Y, Z and  $W \in \mathfrak{d}$ . For any vector fields X, Y, Z, using (3.1), we get (5.6).

Theorem 13. Let M be an almost contact Riemannian manifold with (\*). If M is a space of constant  $\phi$ -holomorphic sectional curvature H, then M is a space of constant curvature and H=-1.

PROOF. By virtue of Proposition 12, M is an  $\eta$ -Einstein space:

(5.15) 
$$R_{\scriptscriptstyle 1} = \frac{1}{2} \left( n(H-3) + H+1 \right) g - \frac{1}{2} \left( n+1 \right) (H+1) \ \eta \otimes \eta \ .$$

Since the coefficients of  $R_1$  is constant on M, we see H = -1 by Corollary 9. q.e.d.

OBSERVATION 14. Let F[k] be a Kaehlerian manifold with constant holomorphic sectional curvature. Then the curvature tensor of the warped product space  $L \times_f F[k]$ , where  $f(t) = ce^t$ , is expressed by

(5.16) 
$$R(X, Y)Z = H_1(t)(g(Y, W)X - g(X, Z)Y) + (H_1(t) + 1)(\eta(X)\eta(Z)Y - \eta(Y)\eta(Z)X + \eta(Y)g(X, Z)\xi - \eta(X)g(Y, Z)\xi + g(X, \phi Z)\phi Y - g(Y, \phi Z)\phi X + 2g(X, \phi Y)\phi Z).$$

PROOF. (5.16) follows directly from Lemma 7.4 in [1].

REMARK. From the Tanno's Theorem [9], the maximum dimension of the automorphism group of  $L \times_f F[k]$ , where F[k] is connected, is attained if and only if  $F[k] = CE^n$  (and hence  $H_1(t) = -1$ ).

6. Invariant submanifold. Invariant submanifolds in a Sasakian manifold are also Sasakian and minimal. In this section we study invariant submanifolds in an almost contact Riemannian manifold M with (\*). Let N be an almost contact manifold and  $(\phi_0, \eta_0, \xi_0)$  denote its structure tensor. An invariant immersion, say i, of N into M is an immersion which satisfies

$$i_*\phi_{\scriptscriptstyle 0} = \phi i_*$$
 ,  $i_*\xi_{\scriptscriptstyle 0} = \xi$  .

Then we can easily see that i is a minimal immersion for the induced metric  $g_0$  and  $(\phi_0, \xi_0, \eta_0, g_0)$  is an almost contact metric structure with (\*) on N. Moreover by the local structure theorem 4, it is easy to show that

PROPOSITION 15. Let F[c] be a complex projective space  $\mathbb{C}P^{n+1}$  with a Fubini-Study metric or a complex Euclidean space  $\mathbb{C}E^{n+1}$  or an open ball  $\mathbb{C}D^{n+1}$  with a homogeneous Kaehlerian structure of negative constant holomorphic sectional curvature, and let N be an invariant submanifold of codimension 2 in  $M = L \times_f F[c]$ . If N is an  $\eta$ -Einstein manifold for the induced metric, then N is totally geodesic or N is locally isometric to  $L \times_f \mathbb{Q}^n$ , where  $\mathbb{Q}^n$  is a hypersphere in  $\mathbb{C}P^{n+1}(n \geq 2)$ .

PROOF. Since N is an invariant submanifold of M, the distribution defined by  $\eta_0 = 0$  is completely integrable. Let N(p) be the maximal integral submanifold through  $p \in N$ . By Theorem 4, N(p) is a Kaehlerian hypersurface in M and an Einstein manifold for the restricted metric since N is an  $\eta$ -Einstein one. Therefore N(p) is totally geodesic or locally holomorphically isometric to  $Q^n$ (see [5]). Thus N is totally geodesic or locally isometric to  $L \times_f Q^n$ .

Let  $\bar{N}$  be an almost complex manifold with an almost complex structure J. When an immersion j of  $\bar{N}$  into M satisfies  $j_*J=\phi j_*$  and  $j^*\eta=0$ , we call  $j(\bar{N})$  an invariant hypersurface. Such an immersion is studied by S. Eum [3], etc. If  $j(\bar{N})$  is an invariant hypersurface of M,  $j(\bar{N})$  is umbilical by  $(*)_2$ .

## REFERENCES

- R. L. BISHOP-B. O' NEILL, Manifolds of negative curvature, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 145 (1969). 1-50.
- [2] D. E. BLAIR, The theory of quasi-Sasakian structure, J. D. Geo., 1 (1967), 331-345.
- [3] S. S. Eum, On Kaehlerian hypersurfaces in almost contact metric spaces, Tensor, N. S., 20 (1969), 37-44.
- [4] S. KOBAYASHI AND K. NOMIZU, Foundations of differential geometry, volume 1, 1963, Interscience Publ..
- [5] K. Nomizu and B. Smyth, The differential geometry of complex hypersurfaces II, J. Math. Soc. Japan, 20 (1968), 498-521.
- [6] K. OGIUE, On almost contact manifolds admitting axiom of planes or axiom of free mobility, Kōdai Math. Sem. Rep., 16 (1964), 223-232.
- [7] M. OKUMURA, Some remarks on space with a certain contact structure, Tôhoku Math. J., 14 (1962), 135-145.
- [8] S. TANNO, Almost complex structures in bundle spaces over almost contact manifolds, J. Math. Soc. Japan, 17 (1965), 167-186.
- [9] S. TANNO, The automorphism groups of almost contact Riemannian manifolds, Tôhoku Math. J., 21 (1969), 21-38.

MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE TÔHOKU UNIVERSITY SENDAI, JAPAN