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#### Abstract

In this work, global stability of a free boundary problem modeling solid avascular tumor growth is studied. The model is considered with time delays during the proliferation process. We prove that the unique positive constant steady state is globally asymptotically stable under some assumptions. The proof uses the comparison principle and the iteration method.


1. Introduction. Over the last 40 years, a variety of free boundary problems of partial differential equations have been proposed to model the growth of solid tumors, cf., $[\mathbf{1 , 3} 3]-[\mathbf{6}, \mathbf{1 1}, \mathbf{1 2}, 17]-[23]$. Numerical simulations and asymptotic analysis of these tumor growth free-boundary problems have shown satisfactory coincidence with experimental observations. Rigorous mathematical analysis of these free boundary problems has drawn great interest, and many interesting results have been obtained cf., $[2,7]-[10,13,14,15,24,25,26]$.

In the model studied in this paper we assume that the tumor is nonnecrotic and consider two unknown functions:

- $\sigma(r, t)$ - the nutrient concentration at radius $r$ and time $t$;
- $R(t)$ - the outer tumor radius at time $t$.

As was done in [13], it is also assumed here that the nutrient is simply consumed by tumor cells with the constant rate $a$. Then, the changes

[^0]of $\sigma$ are described by the following reaction-diffusion equation:
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial t}=\frac{1}{r^{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left(r^{2} \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial r}\right)-a, \quad 0<r<R(t), t>0 \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

where $d=T_{\text {diffusion }} / T_{\text {growth }}$ is a positive constant which represents the ratio of the nutrient diffusion time scale to the tumor growth (e.g., tumor doubling) time scale. From $[4,9,13,16]$, we know that

$$
T_{\text {diffusion }} \approx 1 \mathrm{~min} \quad \text { and } \quad T_{\text {growth }} \approx 1 \text { day }
$$

so that $d \ll 1$. The changes of $R$ are governed by the mass conservation law, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t}\left(\frac{4 \pi R^{3}}{3}\right)=S-Q \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Q$ and $S$ denote the net rates of natural apoptosis and proliferation, respectively. It is reasonable to assume that the proliferation rate is proportional to the local nutrient concentration. Denoting the coefficient of proportionality by $s$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=4 \pi \int_{0}^{R(t-\tau)} s \sigma(r, t-\tau) r^{2} d r \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we denote by $\tau$ the time delay in cell proliferation, i.e., $\tau$ is the length of the period that a tumor cell undergoes a full process of mitosis. It is assumed that the apoptotic cell loss occurs with a constant rate $s c$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q=4 \pi \int_{0}^{R(t)} s c r^{2} d r \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The boundary conditions are as follows.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial r}(0, t)=0, \quad \sigma(R(t), t)=\sigma_{e}, \quad 0<r<R(t), t>0 \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sigma_{e}$ denotes the external concentration of nutrients, which is assumed to be a constant.

We will consider (1.1)-(1.2), together with the following initial condition,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sigma(r, t)=\psi(r, t), \quad 0 \leq r \leq R(t), \quad-\tau \leq t \leq 0  \tag{1.6}\\
R(t)=\varphi(t), \quad-\tau \leq t \leq 0 \tag{1.7}
\end{gather*}
$$

In [13], the limiting case where $d=0$ has been studied. Rigorous analysis of the problem is given in the framework of delay differential equations. The final mathematical formulation for the problem is a delay differential equation. The authors [13] discussed the dynamical behavior of solutions to the model. In the limiting case where $d=0$, equations (1.1) and (1.5) can be precisely solved and the exact expression of the evolution equation for $R$ can be obtained. This is clearly not the case for the present model, and the method used in [13] cannot be used for the present model. Using the Banach fixed point theorem, a comparison method and some mathematical techniques, the existence and uniqueness of the global solution to the problem has been proved in [26]. In this paper, we mainly prove, under some assumptions, the global asymptotic stability of positive steady states in the case $c<\sigma_{e}<(5 / 3) c$. In what follows, we always assume that $s=1$ for simplicity of notation, and, for any positive constant $s$, the arguments of problems (1.1)-(1.7) are similar.
2. Global asymptotic stability. The constant stationary solution $\left(R_{s}, \sigma_{s}(r)\right)$ to (1.1)-(1.7) satisfies the following equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{r^{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left(r^{2} \frac{\partial \sigma_{s}}{\partial r}\right)=a, \quad 0<r<R_{s} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \sigma_{s}}{\partial r}(0)=0, \quad \sigma\left(R_{s}\right)=\sigma_{e} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{R_{s}} \sigma_{s}(r) r^{2} d r-\int_{0}^{R_{s}} c r^{2} d r=0 \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.1) and (2.2), we can get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{s}(r)=\sigma_{e}-\frac{a}{6}\left(R_{s}^{2}-r^{2}\right) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (2.4) for (2.3) yields that there exists a unique positive constant solution

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{s}=\sqrt{\frac{15\left(\sigma_{e}-c\right)}{a}} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

to equation (2.3) if $c<\sigma_{e}<(5 / 3) c$. Therefore, if $c<\sigma_{e}<(5 / 3) c$, the problem (1.1)-(1.7) has a unique positive constant stationary solution

$$
\left(R_{s}, \sigma_{s}(r)\right)=\left(\sqrt{\frac{15\left(\sigma_{e}-c\right)}{a}}, \sigma_{e}-\frac{a}{6}\left(R_{s}^{2}-r^{2}\right)\right), \quad 0 \leq r \leq R_{s}
$$

The next theorem comprises some of the main results of this paper.

Theorem 2.1. Let $(\sigma(r, t), R(t))$ be the solution of system (1.1)-(1.7). If $c<\sigma_{e}<(5 / 3) c$, assume that the initial value function $\varphi$ satisfies $\delta_{1} \leq \varphi(t) \leq \delta_{2}$ for all $-\tau \leq t \leq 0$, where $\delta_{1}$ and $\delta_{2}$ are positive constants and

$$
\delta_{2}<\sqrt{\frac{6 \sigma_{e}}{a}} \frac{1}{\sqrt[3]{1+\sigma_{e} \tau}} e^{-b \tau / 3}, \quad b=\sigma_{e}+c
$$

Then, there exist corresponding positive constants $d_{0}, \gamma, T_{0}$ and $C$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|R(t)-R_{s}\right| & \leq C e^{-\gamma t} \\
\left|R^{\prime}(t)\right| & \leq C e^{-\gamma t} \\
\left|\sigma(r, t)-\sigma_{s}(r)\right| & \leq C e^{-\gamma t}
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $t \geq T_{0}+\tau, 0 \leq r \leq R(t)$ and $0<d \leq d_{0}$.

Let $(v(r, t), R(t))$ be the solution to the limiting case, i.e., $d=0$, of (1.1)-(1.7). Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{r^{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left(r^{2} \frac{\partial v}{\partial r}\right)=a, \quad 0<r<R(t), t>0 \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial v}{\partial r}(0, t)=0, \quad v(R(t), t)=\sigma_{e}, t>0 \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t} \frac{4 \pi R^{3}(t)}{3}=4 \pi \int_{0}^{R(t-\tau)} v(r, t-\tau) r^{2} d r-4 \pi \int_{0}^{R(t)} c r^{2} d r, \quad t>0 \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The solution to (2.6) and (2.7) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
v(r, t)=\sigma_{e}-\frac{a}{6}\left(R^{2}(t)-r^{2}\right) . \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2.2. Let $(\sigma(r, t), R(t))$ is the solution to (1.1)-(1.7), and let $v(r, t)$ be the solution to the limiting case (i.e., $d=0)$ of (1.1) and (1.5), where

$$
v(r, t)=\sigma_{e}-\frac{a}{6}\left(R^{2}(t)-r^{2}\right) .
$$

Assume that, for some $0<T \leq \infty$ and $\varepsilon>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|R^{\prime}(t)\right| \leq L \leq L_{0}, \quad \varepsilon \leq R(t) \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon}, \quad 0 \leq t<T \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $L_{0}$ is a fixed constant. Then, there exist positive constants $d_{0}$ and $C$, independent of $d, T, L$ and $R_{0}$ but dependent on $\varepsilon, L_{0}$ and $M_{0}$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\sigma(r, t)-v(r, t)| \leq C L d\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}}-r^{2}\right) \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for arbitrary $0 \leq r \leq R(t), 0 \leq t<T$ and $0<d \leq d_{0}$.

Proof. By direct computation, we have

$$
\frac{\partial v}{\partial t}=-\frac{a}{3} R(t) R^{\prime}(t)
$$

Hypothesis (2.10) implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{\partial v}{\partial t}\right| \leq C L \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $0<r<R(t), t \geq 0$, where $C$ depends only upon $a$ and $\varepsilon$. Let

$$
\sigma_{ \pm}(r, t)=v \pm \frac{C L d}{6 \varepsilon^{2}} \mp \frac{C L d r^{2}}{6}
$$

Then, by using (2.12) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
d \frac{\partial \sigma_{+}}{\partial t}-\frac{1}{r^{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left(r^{2} \frac{\partial \sigma_{+}}{\partial r}\right)+a= & d \frac{\partial v}{\partial t}-\frac{1}{r^{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left(r^{2} \frac{\partial v}{\partial r}\right)+a \\
& +\frac{1}{r^{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left(r^{2} \frac{\partial\left(C L d r^{2} / 6\right)}{\partial r}\right) \\
& \geq-C L d+C L d=0
\end{aligned}
$$

From (2.7) and (2.10), we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{\partial \sigma_{+}}{\partial r}(0, t)=\frac{\partial v}{\partial r}(0, t)=0 \quad \text { for } t>0 \\
\sigma_{+}(R(t), t)=v(R(t), t)+\frac{C L d}{6 \varepsilon^{2}}-\frac{C L d R^{2}}{6} \geq \sigma_{e} \quad \text { for } t>0
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\sigma_{+}(r, 0)=v(r, 0)+\frac{C L d}{6 \varepsilon^{2}}-\frac{C L d r^{2}}{6} \geq v(r, 0) \quad \text { for } 0 \leq r \leq R(0)
$$

Then, by the comparison principle, we obtain

$$
\sigma_{+}(r, t) \geq \sigma(r, t) \quad \text { for } 0 \leq r \leq R(t), 0 \leq t<T
$$

Similar arguments prove that

$$
\sigma_{-}(r, t) \leq \sigma(r, t) \quad \text { for } 0 \leq r \leq R(t), 0 \leq t<T
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\sigma-v| \leq\left|\sigma_{+}-v\right|+\left|\sigma_{-}-v\right| \leq C L d\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}}-r^{2}\right) \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, (2.11) holds. This completes the proof.

Lemma 2.3 ([26, Theorem 3.3]). Let $(\sigma(r, t), R(t))$ be the solution to (1.1)-(1.7). Then, the following estimates hold:
(i) $\sigma_{e}-a r^{2} / 6 \leq \sigma(r, t) \leq \sigma_{e}, 0 \leq r \leq R(t), t \geq 0$;
(ii) $\varphi(0) e^{-c t / 3} \leq R(t) \leq A e^{b t / 3}$, for $t \geq 0$, where $A=\sqrt[3]{1+\sigma_{e} \tau}|\varphi|$, $|\varphi|=\max _{-\tau \leq t \leq 0} \varphi(t), b=\sigma_{e}+c$.

Lemma 2.4. Let $(\sigma(r, t), R(t))$ be the solution to (1.1)-(1.7). Let

$$
\delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\left(<\sqrt{\frac{6 \sigma_{e}}{a}} \frac{1}{\sqrt[3]{1+\sigma_{e} \tau}} e^{-b \tau / 3}\right)
$$

be positive constants such that $\delta_{1} \leq \varphi(t) \leq \delta_{2}$, where $b=\sigma_{e}+c$. Assume that $c<\sigma_{e}<(5 / 3) c$ holds. Then, there exists a positive constant $d_{0}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} \min \left(R_{s}, \varphi(0) e^{-c \tau / 3}\right)<R(t)<\sqrt{\frac{6 \sigma_{e}}{a}} \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

for arbitrary $t>0$ and $0<d<d_{0}$, where $R_{s}=\sqrt{15\left(\sigma_{e}-c\right) / a}$.

Proof. By Lemma 2.3 (ii) and the assumption $\delta_{1} \leq \varphi(t) \leq \delta_{2}$ for $-\tau \leq t \leq 0$, we have

$$
\frac{1}{2} \min \left(R_{s}, \varphi(0) e^{-c \tau / 3}\right)<R(t)<\sqrt{\frac{6 \sigma_{e}}{a}}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq \tau
$$

Assume that (2.14) is not valid for some $t$. It follows that there exists a $T>\tau$ such that, for $0 \leq t<T$,

$$
\frac{1}{2} \min \left(R_{s}, \varphi(0) e^{-c \tau / 3}\right)<R(t)<\sqrt{\frac{6 \sigma_{e}}{a}}
$$

and either $R(T)=\sqrt{6 \sigma_{e} / a}$ or $R(T)=(1 / 2) \min \left(R_{s}, \varphi(0) e^{-c \tau / 3}\right)$.
If $R(T)=\sqrt{6 \sigma_{e} / a}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
R^{\prime}(T) \geq 0 \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

By equation (1.2) and the fact that, for $0 \leq t<T$,

$$
\frac{1}{2} \min \left(R_{s}, \varphi(0) e^{-c \tau / 3}\right)<R(t)<\sqrt{\frac{6 \sigma_{e}}{a}}
$$

we have $\left|R^{\prime}(t)\right| \leq L_{0} ; L_{0}$ is a positive constant independent of $d$ and $T$. By Lemma 2.2, noting the facts $\left|R^{\prime}(t)\right| \leq L_{0}$ and $R(t)<\sqrt{6 \sigma_{e} / a}$, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\sigma(r, t)-v(r, t)| \leq C d\left(\frac{6 \sigma_{e}}{a}-r^{2}\right) \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

for arbitrary $0 \leq r \leq R(t), 0 \leq t<T$ and $0<c \leq c_{0}$. Then, we have, for $t>\tau$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R^{\prime}(t)=\frac{1}{R^{2}(t)}\left[\int_{0}^{R(t-\tau)} \sigma(r, t-\tau) r^{2} d r-\int_{0}^{R(t)} c r^{2} d r\right] \\
& \leq \frac{1}{R^{2}(t)}\left[\int_{0}^{R(t-\tau)} v(r, t-\tau) r^{2} d r+\frac{2 \sigma_{e}}{a} C d R^{3}(t-\tau)\right]-\frac{1}{3} c R(t) \\
& =\frac{1}{R^{2}(t)}\left[\int_{0}^{R(t-\tau)}\left(\sigma_{e}-\frac{a}{6}\left(R^{2}(t-\tau)-r^{2}\right)\right) r^{2} d r+\frac{2 \sigma_{e}}{a} C d R^{3}(t-\tau)\right] \\
& \quad-\frac{1}{3} c R(t) \\
& =\frac{1}{3 R^{2}(t)}\left[\left(\sigma_{e} R(t-\tau)-\frac{a}{15} R^{3}(t-\tau)\right) R^{2}(t-\tau)+\frac{6 \sigma_{e}}{a} C d R^{3}(t-\tau)\right] \\
& \quad-\frac{1}{3} c R(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, for $d$ satisfying

$$
0<d<d_{1}=\left(c-\frac{3}{5} \sigma_{e}\right) \frac{a}{6 \sigma_{e} C}
$$

noting that $R(T-\tau)<\sqrt{6 \sigma_{e} / a}$, we can obtain

$$
R^{\prime}(T) \leq \frac{1}{3}\left(-c+\sigma_{e}-\frac{6 \sigma_{e}}{15}+\frac{6 \sigma_{e}}{a} C d\right) \sqrt{\frac{6 \sigma_{e}}{a}}<0
$$

for which we have used $c<\sigma_{e}<(5 / 3) c$ and the fact that the function

$$
\left(\sigma_{e} x-\frac{a}{15} x^{3}\right) x^{2}+\frac{6 \sigma_{e}}{a} C d x^{3}
$$

is monotone increasing for

$$
0<d<d_{1}=\left(c-\frac{3}{5} \sigma_{e}\right) \frac{a}{6 \sigma_{e} C}
$$

and $c<\sigma_{e}<(5 / 3) c$. Therefore, this contracts to the fact $R^{\prime}(T) \geq 0$. Hence, the right hand side of inequality (2.14) is true.

Next, we prove the left hand side of inequality (2.14). If $R(T)=$ $(1 / 2) \min \left(R_{s}, \varphi(0) e^{-c \tau / 3}\right)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
R^{\prime}(T) \leq 0 \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

From equation (1.2) and the fact that, for $0 \leq t<T$,

$$
\frac{1}{2} \min \left(R_{s}, \varphi(0) e^{-c \tau / 3}\right)<R(t)<\sqrt{\frac{6 \sigma_{e}}{a}}
$$

we have $\left|R^{\prime}(t)\right| \leq L_{0} ; L_{0}$ is a positive constant independent of $d$ and $T$. By Lemma 2.2, noting the facts $\left|R^{\prime}(t)\right| \leq L_{0}$ and $R(t)<\sqrt{6 \sigma_{e} / a}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\sigma(r, t)-v(r, t)| \leq C d\left(\frac{6 \sigma_{e}}{a}-r^{2}\right) \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

for arbitrary $0 \leq r \leq R(t), 0 \leq t<T$ and $0<c \leq c_{0}$. Then, we have, for $t>\tau$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
R^{\prime}(t)= & \frac{1}{R^{2}(t)}\left[\int_{0}^{R(t-\tau)} \sigma(r, t-\tau) r^{2} d r-\int_{0}^{R(t)} c r^{2} d r\right] \\
\geq & \frac{1}{R^{2}(t)}\left[\int_{0}^{R(t-\tau)} v(r, t-\tau) r^{2} d r-\frac{2 \sigma_{e}}{a} C d R^{3}(t-\tau)\right]-\frac{1}{3} c R(t) \\
= & \frac{1}{R^{2}(t)}\left[\int_{0}^{R(t-\tau)}\left(\sigma_{e}-\frac{a}{6}\left(R^{2}(t-\tau)-r^{2}\right)\right) r^{2} d r-\frac{2 \sigma_{e}}{a} C d R^{3}(t-\tau)\right] \\
& -\frac{1}{3} c R(t) \\
= & \frac{1}{3 R^{2}(t)}\left[\left(\sigma_{e} R(t-\tau)-\frac{a}{15} R^{3}(t-\tau)\right) R^{2}(t-\tau)-\frac{6 \sigma_{e}}{a} C d R^{3}(t-\tau)\right] \\
& -\frac{1}{3} c R(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, for $d$ satisfying

$$
0<d<d_{2}=\frac{a\left(\sigma_{e}-c\right)}{12 \sigma_{e} C}
$$

$$
R^{\prime}(T) \geq \frac{1}{6}\left(-c+\sigma_{e}-\frac{a}{30} R_{s}^{2}-\frac{6 \sigma_{e}}{a} C d\right) R_{s}>0
$$

for which we have used $c<\sigma_{e}<(5 / 3) c$ and the fact that the function

$$
\left(\sigma_{e} x-\frac{a}{15} x^{3}\right) x^{2}+\frac{6 \sigma_{e}}{a} C d x^{3}
$$

is monotone increasing for

$$
0<d<d_{2}=\frac{a\left(\sigma_{e}-c\right)}{12 \sigma_{e} C}
$$

and $c<\sigma_{e}<(5 / 3) c$. Therefore, this contradicts to the fact $R^{\prime}(T) \leq 0$. Hence, the left hand side of inequality (2.14) is true. Let $d_{0}=\mathrm{min}$ $\left(d_{1}, d_{2}\right)$. This completes the proof.

Lemma 2.5. Let $(\sigma(r, t), R(t))$ be the solution to (1.1)-(1.7). Assume that the initial value function $\varphi$ satisfies $\delta_{1} \leq \varphi(t) \leq \delta_{2}$ for all $-\tau \leq t \leq 0$, where $\delta_{1}$ and $\delta_{2}$ are positive constants and

$$
\delta_{2}<\sqrt{\frac{6 \sigma_{e}}{a}} \frac{1}{\sqrt[3]{1+\sigma_{e} \tau}} e^{-b \tau / 3}, \quad b=\sigma_{e}+c
$$

If $c<\sigma_{e}<(5 / 3) c$, then there exist positive constants $d_{0}, \theta, T_{0}$ and $C$ independent of $d$ such that the following assertions hold: if $0<d \leq d_{0}$, for any $\alpha \in\left(0, \alpha_{0}\right]$, if the inequalities

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|R(t)-R_{s}\right| \leq \alpha,\left|\sigma(r, t)-\sigma_{s}(r)\right| \leq \alpha \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

hold for all $0 \leq r \leq R(t), t \geq-\tau$ and $\left|R^{\prime}(t)\right| \leq \alpha$ hold for all $0 \leq$ $r \leq R(t), t \geq 0$, where $\left(R_{s}, \sigma_{s}(r)\right)$ denotes the unique positive stationary solution to problem (1.1)-(1.7), then also the inequalities

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|R(t)-R_{s}\right| & \leq C \alpha\left(d+e^{-\theta t}\right),  \tag{2.20}\\
R^{\prime}(t) \mid & \leq C \alpha\left(d+e^{-\theta t}\right) \\
\left|\sigma(r, t)-\sigma_{s}(r)\right| & \leq C \alpha\left(d+e^{-\theta t}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

hold for all $0 \leq r \leq R(t), t \geq T_{0}+\tau$.

Proof. Since the initial value function $\varphi$ satisfies $\delta_{1} \leq \varphi(t) \leq \delta_{2}$ for all $-\tau \leq t \leq 0$, where $\delta_{1}$ and $\delta_{2}$ are positive constants and

$$
\delta_{2}<\sqrt{\frac{6 \sigma_{e}}{a}} \frac{1}{\sqrt[3]{1+\sigma_{e} \tau}} e^{-b \tau / 3}
$$

by Lemma 2.4, we can obtain that

$$
\frac{1}{2} \min \left(R_{s}, \varphi(0) e^{-c \tau / 3}\right)<R(t)<\sqrt{\frac{6 \sigma_{e}}{a}}
$$

for arbitrary $t>0,0<d<d_{0}$, where $R_{s}=\sqrt{15\left(\sigma_{e}-c\right) / a}$. Direct computation yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{R^{2}(t)}\left[\int_{0}^{R(t-\tau)} v(r, t-\tau) r^{2} d r-\int_{0}^{R(t)} c r^{2} d r\right]=R(t) G(R(t), R(t-\tau)) \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
G(R(t), R(t-\tau))=\frac{1}{3}\left[\left(\sigma_{e}-\frac{a}{15} R^{2}(t-\tau)\right) \frac{R^{3}(t-\tau)}{R^{3}(t)}-c\right]
$$

From Lemma 2.2, (1.2) and the fact that $\left|R^{\prime}(t)\right| \leq \alpha$ holds for all $0 \leq$ $r \leq R(t), t \geq 0$, we can get for $t>\tau$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mid R^{\prime}(t)- & R(t) G(R(t), R(t-\tau)) \mid \\
& =\left|\frac{1}{R^{2}(t)} \int_{0}^{R(t-\tau)}[\sigma(r, t-\tau)-v(r, t-\tau)] r^{2} d r\right| \\
& \leq R(t)\left[\frac{2 \sigma_{e}}{a} C \alpha d\left(\frac{R(t-\tau)}{R(t)}\right)^{3}\right] \\
& \leq C_{1} \alpha d R(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
C_{1}=\frac{2 \sigma_{e}}{a} C\left(\frac{1}{2} \min \left(R_{s}, \varphi(0) e^{-c \tau / 3}\right)\right)^{-3}{\sqrt{\frac{6 \sigma_{e}}{a}}}^{3}
$$

and where $C_{1}$ is a positive constant independent of $\alpha$ and $d$. It follows
that, for $t>\tau$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
R(t)\left[G(R(t), R(t-\tau))-C_{1} \alpha d\right] & \leq R^{\prime}(t)  \tag{2.22}\\
& \leq R(t)\left[G(R(t), R(t-\tau))+C_{1} \alpha d\right]
\end{align*}
$$

where $C_{1}$ is a positive constant independent of $\alpha$ and $d$. Hereafter, for ease of notation, we use the same variable $C$ to denote various different positive constants independent of $d$ and $\alpha$. Consider the initial value problems

$$
\begin{gather*}
R^{\prime \pm}(t)=R^{ \pm}(t)\left[G\left(R^{ \pm}(t), R^{ \pm}(t-\tau)\right) \pm C \alpha d\right], \quad t>\tau  \tag{2.23}\\
R^{ \pm}(t)=R(t), \quad 0 \leq t \leq \tau \tag{2.24}
\end{gather*}
$$

Since $G(x, x)=0$ has a unique positive constant solution $x=$ $\sqrt{15\left(\sigma_{e}-c\right) / a}$ if $c<\sigma_{e}<(5 / 3) c$, we can obtain that there exist positive constants $\alpha_{0}$ and $d_{0}$ such that, for $\alpha \in\left(0, \alpha_{0}\right]$ and $d \in\left(0, d_{0}\right]$ the equation $G(x, x) \pm C \alpha c=0$ has respectively unique solutions $R_{s}^{ \pm}$. By similar arguments as [26, Lemma 2.4 (2)], the corresponding solutions of the equations to the above initial problem, which we respectively denote as $R^{ \pm}(t)$, converge respectively to $R_{s}^{ \pm}$as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

From the fact $G(x, x)$ is monotone decreasing for all $x>0$, we can get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|R_{s}^{ \pm}-R_{s}\right| \leq C \alpha d \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Actually, since $R_{s}^{ \pm}$respectively satisfies the equations $G(x, x)=\mp C \alpha c$ and $R_{s}$ satisfies the equation $G(x, x)=0$, by (2.14) and the fact that $G(x, x)$ is monotone decreasing for all $x>0$, we readily obtain $\left|R_{s}^{ \pm}-R_{s}\right| \leq C \alpha d$.

Noting that

$$
G(x, y)=\frac{1}{3}\left[\left(\sigma_{e}-\frac{a}{15} y^{2}\right) \frac{y^{3}}{x^{3}}-c\right], \quad x, y \leq \sqrt{\frac{6 \sigma_{e}}{a}}
$$

we can get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial G}{\partial y}=\frac{y^{2}}{x^{3}}\left(\sigma_{e}-\frac{a}{9} y^{2}\right)>0 \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

The comparison principle (see [10, Lemma 3.1]) implies, for all $t \geq 0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
R^{-}(t) \leq R(t) \leq R^{+}(t) \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

By linearizing (2.23) at the stationary point $R_{s}^{+}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
R^{\prime+}(t)=-a R^{+}(t)+b R^{+}(t-\tau) \tag{2.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
a=\left[\sigma_{e}-\frac{a}{15}\left(R_{s}^{+}\right)^{2}\right], \quad b=\left[\sigma_{e}-\frac{a}{9}\left(R_{s}^{+}\right)^{2}\right] .
$$

The characteristic equation of (2.28) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
z=-a+b e^{-\tau z} \tag{2.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, by linearizing equation (2.23) at the stationary point $R_{s}^{-}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
R^{\prime-}(t)=-A R^{-}(t)+B R^{-}(t-\tau) \tag{2.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
A=\left[\sigma_{e}-\frac{a}{15}\left(R_{s}^{-}\right)^{2}\right], \quad B=\left[\sigma_{e}-\frac{a}{9}\left(R_{s}^{-}\right)^{2}\right]
$$

The characteristic equation of (2.30) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
z=-A+B e^{-\tau z} \tag{2.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $a-b=(2 / 45)\left(R_{s}^{+}\right)^{2}$ and $A-B=(2 / 45)\left(R_{s}^{-}\right)^{2}$, we have $a>b>0$ and $A>B>0$, provided $d \in\left(0, d_{0}\right]$ and $\alpha \in\left(0, \alpha_{0}\right]$. This implies that all complex roots of equations (2.29) and (2.31) are located in the left-half plane. Then, we have that there exist positive constants $K, \theta$ and $T_{0}(\geq \tau)$ such that, for any $t \geq T_{0}$,

$$
\left|R^{ \pm}(t)-R_{s}^{ \pm}\right| \leq K e^{-\theta t}| | \varphi\left|-R_{s}^{ \pm}\right|
$$

where $|\varphi|=\max _{-\tau \leq t \leq 0} \varphi(t)$. Then, noting (2.25), for any $t \geq T_{0}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|R(t)-R_{s}\right| & \leq \max \left|R^{ \pm}(t)-R_{s}\right| \\
& \leq \max \left[\left|R^{ \pm}(t)-R_{s}^{ \pm}\right|+\left|R_{s}^{ \pm}-R_{s}\right|\right] \\
& \leq \max \left[K e^{-\theta t}| | \varphi\left|-R_{s}^{ \pm}\right|\right]+C \alpha d \\
& \leq \max \left[K e^{-\theta t}\left(| | \varphi\left|-R_{s}\right|+\left|R_{s}-R_{s}^{ \pm}\right|\right)\right]+C \alpha d \\
& \leq C \alpha\left(d+e^{-\theta t}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

By the mean value theorem, the fact that $v_{s}(r)=\sigma_{s}(r)$, and noting (2.14), we have

$$
\left|v(r, t)-\sigma_{s}(r)\right|=\left|v(r, t)-v_{s}(r)\right| \leq C\left|R(t)-R_{s}\right| \leq C \alpha
$$

for $0 \leq r \leq R(t), t \geq 0$. It follows that

$$
|\sigma(r, t)-v(r, t)| \leq\left|\sigma(r, t)-\sigma_{s}(r)\right|+\left|v(r, t)-\sigma_{s}(r)\right| \leq C \alpha
$$

for $0 \leq r \leq R(t), t \geq 0$. In particular, $\left|\sigma_{0}(r)-v(r, 0)\right| \leq C \alpha$ for $0 \leq$ $r \leq R(0)$. Since $\left|R^{\prime}(t)\right| \leq \alpha$ for all $t \geq 0$, by Lemma 2.2, we have that there exists a positive constant $d_{0}$ independent of $d$ and $\alpha$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\sigma(r, t)-v(r, t)| \leq C \alpha d\left(\frac{6 \sigma_{e}}{a}-r^{2}\right) \leq C \alpha d \frac{6 \sigma_{e}}{a} \tag{2.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

for arbitrary $0 \leq r \leq R(t), t \geq 0$ and $0<d \leq d_{0}$.
Set

$$
f(t)=\frac{1}{R^{3}(t)}\left[\int_{0}^{R(t-\tau)} \sigma(r, t-\tau) r^{2} d r-\int_{0}^{R(t)} c r^{2} d r\right]
$$

Then, we have, for $t \geq \tau$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& |R(t) f(t)-R(t) G(R(t), R(t-\tau))| \\
& \quad=\left|\frac{1}{R^{2}(t)} \int_{0}^{R(t-\tau)}[\sigma(r, t-\tau)-v(r, t-\tau)] r^{2} d r\right| \\
& \quad \leq R(t)\left[\frac{2 \sigma_{e}}{a} C d\left(\frac{R(t-\tau)}{R(t)}\right)^{3}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Noting (2.14), we have, for $t \geq 2 \tau$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
|R(t) f(t)-R(t) G(R(t), R(t-\tau))| \leq C \alpha d \tag{2.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the mean value theorem and (2.14), we have, for $t \geq T_{0}+\tau$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|G(R(t), R(t-\tau))-G\left(R_{s}, R_{s}\right)\right| & \leq C\left(\left|R(t)-R_{s}\right|+\left|R(t-\tau)-R_{s}\right|\right) \\
& \leq C \alpha\left(d+e^{-\theta t}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, using equations $R^{\prime}(t)=R(t) f(t)$, inequality (2.14) and (2.33), it follows that $\left|R^{\prime}(t)\right| \leq C \alpha\left(d+e^{-\theta t}\right)$. From (2.32), we have

$$
\left|\sigma(r, t)-\sigma_{s}(r)\right| \leq C \alpha\left(d+e^{-\theta t}\right)
$$

The proof of Lemma 2.5 is complete.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. From Lemma 2.4, we see that there exists a positive constant $d_{0}$ such that, if $0<d \leq d_{0}$, then, for all $t \geq 0$,

$$
C_{*} \leq R(t) \leq C^{*},
$$

where $C_{*}=(1 / 2) \min \left(R_{s}, \varphi(0) e^{-c \tau / 3}\right), C^{*}=\sqrt{6 \sigma_{e} / a}$. It follows that $\left|R(t)-R_{s}\right| \leq C^{*}+R_{s}=: \alpha_{1}$ for all $t \geq 0$. By Lemma 2.3 (i), we know that $\sigma \leq \sigma_{e}$, and, from (1.2), we have, for all $t \geq 0,\left|R^{\prime}(t)\right|$ $\leq s\left(\sigma_{e}+c\right)\left(C^{*}\right)^{3} / C_{*}^{2}:=\alpha_{2}$. Obviously, $\left|\sigma(r, t)-\sigma_{s}(r)\right| \leq 2 \sigma_{e}$ holds for all $0 \leq r \leq R(t), t \geq-\tau$. We see that the conditions of Lemma 2.5 hold for $\alpha=\alpha_{0}=: \max \left\{\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, 2 \sigma_{e}\right\}$. Then, by Lemma 2.5, and letting $C$ and $\theta$ be as in (2.20) with $T_{0}$ larger (if necessary), we can obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|R(t)-R_{s}\right| \leq C \alpha\left(d+e^{-\theta t}\right) \leq 2 C d \alpha, \\
& \left|R^{\prime}(t)\right| \leq C \alpha\left(d+e^{-\theta t}\right) \leq 2 C d \alpha, \\
& \left|\sigma(r, t)-\sigma_{s}(r)\right| \leq C \alpha\left(d+e^{-\theta t}\right) \leq 2 C d \alpha
\end{aligned}
$$

hold for all $0 \leq r \leq R(t), t \geq T_{0}+\tau$. Taking $d_{0}$ smaller (if necessary), for any given $d\left(<d_{0}\right)$ such that $2 C d<1$, we define $T_{0}$ by

$$
e^{-\theta\left(T_{0}+\tau\right)}=d
$$

Iterating this result yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|R(t)-R_{s}\right| \leq C(2 C d)^{n-1} \alpha\left(d+e^{-\theta\left(t-(n-1) T_{0}\right)}\right) \leq(2 C d)^{n} \alpha, \\
& \left|R^{\prime}(t)\right| \leq C(2 C d)^{n-1} \alpha\left(d+e^{-\theta\left(t-(n-1) T_{0}\right)}\right) \leq(2 C d)^{n} \alpha, \\
& \left|\sigma(r, t)-\sigma_{s}(r)\right| \leq C(2 C d)^{n-1} \alpha\left(d+e^{-\theta\left(t-(n-1) T_{0}\right)}\right) \leq(2 C d)^{n} \alpha
\end{aligned}
$$

hold for all $0 \leq r \leq R(t), t \geq n T_{0}+\tau$.
Finally, defining $\gamma>0$ by

$$
2 C d=e^{-\gamma T_{0}}<1
$$

and, for a given $t>0$, letting $n$ be the largest integer that satisfies $n T_{0}+\tau \leq t \leq(n+1) T_{0}+\tau$, then it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|R(t)-R_{s}\right| & \leq \alpha(2 C d)^{n} \alpha=\alpha e^{-\gamma n T_{0}}=\alpha e^{-\gamma t} e^{-\gamma\left(n T_{0}-t\right)} \\
& \leq \alpha e^{\gamma\left(T_{0}+\tau\right)} e^{-\gamma t}=C e^{-\gamma t}
\end{aligned}
$$

Similar arguments yield $\left|R^{\prime}(t)\right| \leq C e^{-\gamma t},\left|\sigma(r, t)-\sigma_{s}(r)\right| \leq C e^{-\gamma t}$ for all $t \geq T_{0}+\tau, 0 \leq r \leq R(t)$. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.1.
3. Conclusions. In this paper, we studied the global stability of a free boundary problem modeling solid avascular tumor growth. The model studied is a PDE $(d>0)$ model describing tumor growth, which is a generalization of the existing $\operatorname{ODE}(d=0)$ model in [13]. The ODE model is the quasi steady-state approximation of the PDE model. Using the Banach fixed point theorem, a comparison method and other mathematical techniques, the existence and uniqueness of the global solution to the problem was proven in [26]. In this paper, we mainly studied the asymptotic behavior of the solution and proved that, in the case where $d$ (the ratio of the diffusion time scale to the tumor doubling time scale) is sufficiently small, it will evolve to a dormant state as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

From a biology standpoint, our main results (see Theorem 2.1) mean that, if the supply of nutrient $\sigma_{e}$ that the tumor receives from its surface satisfying $c<\sigma_{e}<(5 / 3) c$, with initial radius $\varphi(t)$ satisfying $\varphi \in\left(\delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\right)$, where

$$
0<\delta_{1}, \delta_{2}<\sqrt{\frac{6 \sigma_{e}}{a}} \frac{1}{\sqrt[3]{1+\sigma_{e} \tau}} e^{-b \tau / 3}
$$

$b=\sigma_{e}+c$, the tumor will not disappear, and its radius will tend to the unique positive constant steady state if $d$ is sufficiently small. The results show that, under the conditions of Theorem 2.1, dynamical behavior of solutions to the model are similar to that of solutions for that of the corresponding problem $d=0$ (refer to [26, Lemma 2.4 (2)] and Theorem 2.1).
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