ON A LOGARITHMIC HARDY-BLOCH TYPE SPACE

XIAOMING WU AND SHANLI YE

ABSTRACT. In this paper, given 0 , we define a logarithmic Hardy-Bloch type space

$$BH_{p,L} = \left\{ f(z) \in H(D) : ||f||_{p,L} \\ = \sup_{z \in D} (1 - |z|) \log \frac{e}{1 - |z|} M_p(|z|, f') < \infty \right\}.$$

Then we mainly study the relation between $BH_{p,L}$ and three classical spaces: Hardy space, Dirichlet type space and VMOA. We also obtain some estimates on the growth of $f \in BH_{p,L}$.

1. Introduction. Let $D = \{z : |z| < 1\}$ be the open unit disk in the complex plane C, and let H(D) denote the set of all analytic functions on D. For $0 \le r < 1$, $f(z) \in H(D)$, we set

$$M_p(r, f) = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(re^{i\theta})|^p d\theta\right)^{1/p}, \quad 0
$$M_\infty(r, f) = \max_{0 \le \theta \le 2\pi} |f(re^{i\theta})|.$$$$

For $0 , the Hardy space <math>H^p$ consists of those functions $f \in H(D)$, for which

$$||f||_{H_p} = \sup_{0 \le r < 1} M_p(r, f) < \infty.$$

The Dirichlet type space \mathscr{D}_{p-1}^p consists of those functions $f \in H(D)$,

²⁰¹⁰ AMS *Mathematics subject classification*. Primary 30H10, 30H30, 30H35. *Keywords and phrases*. Hardy space, Bloch space, Dirichlet type space.

The research was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant No. 11271124) and Natural Science Foundation of Fujian Province, China (grant No. 2009J01004).

The second author is the corresponding author.

Received by the editors on January 4, 2010, and in revised form on June 18, 2012.

DOI:10.1216/RMJ-2014-44-5-1669 Copyright ©2014 Rocky Mountain Mathematics Consortium

for which

$$\int_{D} (1 - |z|)^{p-1} |f'(z)|^p dA(z) < \infty,$$

where $dA(z) = 1/\pi dx dy$ denotes the norm Lebesgue area measure on D. Hence, $f \in \mathscr{D}_{p-1}^{p}$ if and only if

(1)
$$\int_D (1-|z|)^{p-1} |f'(z)|^p dA(z) = 2\pi \int_0^1 r(1-r)^{p-1} M_p^p(r,f') dr < \infty.$$

The \mathscr{D}_{p-1}^{p} is closely related to H^{p} . A classical result of Littlewood and Paley [9] (see also [10]) asserts that

$$H^p \subset \mathscr{D}_{p-1}^p, \quad 2 \le p < \infty.$$

On the other hand, see, e.g., [11, 14], we have

$$\mathscr{D}_{p-1}^{p} \subset H^{p}, \quad 0$$

These inclusions are strict if $p \neq 2$.

Given $0 and <math>0 \leq \alpha < \infty$, we write $BH_{p,\alpha}$ and $BH_{p,L}$ for the spaces of those $f(z) \in H(D)$, such that

$$BH_{p,\alpha} = \left\{ f(z) \in H(D) : ||f||_{p,\alpha} \\ = \sup_{z \in D} (1 - |z|)^{\alpha} M_p(|z|, f') < \infty \right\},$$

$$BH_{p,L} = \left\{ f(z) \in H(D) : ||f||_{p,L} \\ = \sup_{z \in D} (1 - |z|) \log \frac{e}{1 - |z|} M_p(|z|, f') < \infty \right\}.$$

It is easy to prove that $BH_{p,\alpha}$ and $BH_{p,L}$ are complete under the norms

$$||f||_{p_{\alpha}} = ||f||_{p,\alpha} + |f(0)|,$$

$$||f||_{p_{L}} = ||f||_{p,L} + |f(0)|.$$

When $p \ge 1$, the two spaces above are Banach spaces.

For the two spaces above, it is evident that $BH_{p,L} \supseteq BH_{q,L}$ for 0 . With the terminology just introduced, we $have <math>BH_{\infty,\alpha} = \mathscr{B}_{\alpha}$ and $BH_{\infty,L} = \mathscr{B}_L$, where \mathscr{B}_{α} and \mathscr{B}_L denote the α -Bloch space and the logarithmic Bloch space, respectively, the properties on these two spaces are abundant. More information about \mathscr{B}_{α} and \mathscr{B}_{L} can be found in [12, 15, 16, 17, 18, 20, 21].

When $0 \leq \alpha < 1$, the following result is due to Hardy and Littlewood, see [8].

Theorem A. Let $0 \le \alpha < 1$ and $1 \le p \le \infty$. Then

$$BH_{p,\alpha} = \Lambda_{1-\alpha}^p = \left\{ f \in H^p : \omega_p(t,f) = O(t^{1-\alpha}), \quad \text{as } t \to 0 \right\},$$

where

$$\omega_p(t,f) = \sup_{0 < |h| \le t} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left| f\left(e^{i(\theta+h)}\right) - f\left(e^{i\theta}\right) \right|^p d\theta \right)^{1/p},$$
$$t > 0, \ if \ 1 \le p < \infty,$$
$$\omega_\infty(t,f) = \sup_{0 < |h| \le t} \left(\operatorname{ess \ sup} \left| f\left(e^{i(\theta+h)}\right) - f\left(e^{i\theta}\right) \right| \right), \quad t > 0.$$

Thus, $BH_{p,\alpha}$ is a mean Lipschitz space. On this basis, Blasco [2] and Girela and Máquez [5] extend the result.

When $\alpha = 1$, the following result is known (see [7] and [6]).

Theorem B. Let $f \in H(D)$, if $0 and <math>f \in BH_{p,1}$. Then

$$M_p(r, f) = O\left(\left(\log \frac{1}{1-r}\right)^{\beta}\right),$$

where

(i)
$$\beta = 1/p$$
, for $0 ,(ii) $\beta = 1/2$ for $2 \le p < \infty$.$

Our main goal in this paper is to show that, if $f \in BH_{p,L}$, whose rate of growth $M_p(r, f')$ (1 is between the ones for thefunctions in $BH_{p,\alpha}$ $(0 < \alpha < 1)$ and $BH_{p,1}$, then $f \in (H^p \bigcap \mathscr{D}_{p-1}^p)$, see Theorem 2.1. We also characterize that $BH_{p,L} \subset (H^p \cap \mathscr{D}_{p-1}^p)$ for $p \in (1, \infty)$ is strict. However, the containment is not true for 0 .We also note that $BH_{p,L} \not\subset VMOA$ for every 0 . As for thegrowth of $f \in BH_{p,L}$, we give a sharp estimate.

Throughout this paper, C, which may change from one occurrence to the next, denotes a positive and finite constant only dependent on pand α .

2. Proof of main results.

Theorem 2.1. Suppose $1 . Then <math>BH_{p,L} \subset (H^p \bigcap \mathscr{D}_{p-1}^p)$.

To complete the proof, we need the following three lemmas.

Lemma 2.1. [6] If $2 , then there is a constant <math>C_p$ depending only on p such that

$$||f||_{H_p} \le C_p \bigg(|f(0)| + \bigg(\int_0^1 (1-r) M_p^2(r, f') \, dr \bigg)^{1/2} \bigg),$$

for all $f \in H(D).$

Lemma 2.2. [7] If $0 , then there is a constant <math>C_p$ depending only on p such that

$$||f||_{H^{p}}^{p} \leq C_{p} \bigg(|f(0)|^{p} + \int_{D} (1 - |z|)^{p-1} |f'(z)|^{p} dA(z) \bigg),$$

for every $f \in \mathscr{D}_{p-1}^{p}.$

Lemma 2.3. If $0 < \alpha$, $\beta < \infty$, $x \in (0, e]$, then $f(x) = x^{\alpha} (\log e/x)^{\beta}$ increases on $(0, e^{1-\beta/\alpha}]$, decreases on $[e^{1-\beta/\alpha}, e]$.

The proof of this lemma is easy; we omit the details here.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Take $f \in BH_{p,L}$ and assume, without loss of generality, that f(0) = 0. When 2 , for <math>0 < r < 1, set $f_r(z) = f(rz)$. Applying Lemma 2.1 to f_r , we obtain that

$$\begin{split} M_p^2(r,f) &\leq C \int_0^1 r^2 (1-s) M_p^2(rs,f') \, ds \\ &\leq C \int_0^1 \frac{1-s}{(1-rs)^2 (\log e/(1-rs))^2} \, ds \end{split}$$

Since rs < s, Lemma 2.3 implies

$$M_p^2(r, f) \le C \int_0^1 \frac{1}{(1-s)(\log e/(1-s))^2} \, ds < \infty.$$

When 1 , using Lemma 2.2 yields

$$\begin{split} M_p^p(r,f) &\leq C \int_D r^p (1-|w|)^{p-1} |f'(rw)|^p dA(w) \\ &\leq C \int_0^1 \frac{(1-s)^{p-1}}{(1-rs)^p (\log e/(1-rs))^p} \, ds \\ &\leq C \int_0^1 \frac{1}{(1-s) (\log e/(1-s))^p} \, ds. \end{split}$$

Hence $f \in H^p$. The assertion that $f \in \mathscr{D}_{p-1}^p$ can be easily obtained from (1) finishes the proof.

This theorem is not true for $0 and <math>p = \infty$. Indeed, when $p = \infty$, the space $BH_{\infty,L} = \mathscr{B}_L$. We take $f(z) = \log \log e/(1-z)$. Then

$$M_{\infty}(r, f') = O\left(\frac{1}{(1-r)\log e/(1-r)}\right),$$

that is, $f \in \mathscr{B}_L$, but $f \notin H^{\infty}$. In the case 0 , we only prove the following result.

Theorem 2.2. Suppose 0 . Let

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{(1-z)^{1/p} \log e/(1-z)}, \quad z \in D.$$

Then $f \in BH_{p,L}$, but $f \notin H^p$.

The following three lemmas are needed in the proof of Theorem 2.2.

Lemma 2.4. If a > 1 and 0 < r < 1, then there exist two constants

$$C_1 = \frac{2}{a-1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{(1+\pi)^{a-1}} \right)$$

and

$$C_2 = \frac{4\pi^a}{a-1},$$

such that

$$C_1 (1-r)^{1-a} \le \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \rho e^{i\theta} - r \right|^{-a} dt \le C_2 (1-r)^{1-a}, \quad \rho = \frac{1}{2} (1+r).$$

The proof is similar to [3, Lemma in Chapter 4.6], so we omit the details.

Lemma 2.5. For $0 < \alpha$, $\beta < \infty$ and $z \in D$, let

$$f(z) = \frac{(1-|z|)^{\alpha} \left(\log e/(1-|z|)\right)^{\beta}}{(|1-z|)^{\alpha} \left(\log e/|1-z|\right)^{\beta}}.$$

Then $f(z) \leq 1$ in the case $\beta \leq \alpha \log e/2$, and $f(z) \leq (e^{\alpha-\beta}(6\beta)^{\beta})/2^{\alpha}\alpha^{\beta}$ in the case $\beta > \alpha \log e/2$.

Proof. For $\beta \leq \alpha \log e/2$, by Lemma 2.3, we have

$$(1-|z|)^{\alpha} \left(\log \frac{e}{1-|z|}\right)^{\beta} \le (|1-z|)^{\alpha} \left(\log \frac{e}{|1-z|}\right)^{\beta},$$

for all $z \in D$,

which implies $f(z) \leq 1$.

When $\beta > \alpha \log e/2$, let $z \in D_1 = \{z \in D, |1 - z| < e^{1 - (\beta/\alpha)}\}$, we deduce that $|f(z)| \leq 1$. On the other hand, the condition $z \in D \setminus D_1$ implies that

$$f(z) \leq \frac{e^{\alpha-\beta}(\beta/\alpha)^{\beta}}{2^{\alpha} \left(\log e/2\right)^{\beta}} \leq \frac{6^{\beta}e^{\alpha-\beta}(\beta/\alpha)^{\beta}}{2^{\alpha}} \leq \frac{e^{\alpha-\beta}(6\beta)^{\beta}}{2^{\alpha}\alpha^{\beta}}.$$

This finishes the proof.

The following lemma may be found in [8].

Lemma 2.6. Suppose that $0 and <math>f \in H^p$. Then

$$\int_0^1 M_{\infty}^p(r, f) \, dr \le \pi ||f||_{H^p}^p.$$

Proof of Theorem 2.2. Take the function

$$g_c(z) = \frac{z^c}{(1-z)(\log 1/(1-z))^c}.$$

Exercise 3 [3, Chapter I] says that $g_c \in H^1$ (c > 1). However, set $z = re^{i\theta}$,

$$\begin{split} \int_0^1 M_\infty^1(r,g_c) \, dr \geq \int_0^1 \frac{r^c}{(1-r) \left(\log 1/(1-r) \right)^c} \, dr = \infty, \\ \text{for every } 0 \leq c \leq 1, \end{split}$$

which, by Lemma 2.6, implies $g_c(z) \notin H^1$ for $0 \le c \le 1$.

For $r = |z| \ge 1/2$, there exists a constant C > 0, such that $|\log 1/(1-z)| \ge C$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|f(z)|^p}{|g_1(z)|} &= \left| \frac{1/(1-z)\log(e/(1-z))^p}{z/(1-z)\log(1/(1-z))} \right| \\ &\geq 2\frac{|\log 1/(1-z)|}{|(\log e/(1-z))^p|} \\ &\geq 2\frac{|\log 1/(1-z)||\log e/(1-z)|^{1-p}}{1+|\log 1/(1-z)|} \\ &\geq \frac{2C}{1+C} \left(\log \frac{e}{2}\right)^{1-p}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $f \notin H^p$. On the other hand,

$$f'(z) = \frac{1/p}{(1-z)^{1+(1/p)}\log e/(1-z)} - \frac{1}{(1-z)^{1+(1/p)}\left(\log e/(1-z)\right)^2}.$$

We have

$$|f'(z)|^{p} \leq \frac{1}{|1-z|^{1+p}(\log|e/(1-z)|)^{p}} \left(\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{\log|e/(1-z)|}\right)^{p}$$
$$\leq \left(\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{\log e/2}\right)^{p} \frac{1}{|1-z|^{1+p}(\log|e/(1-z)|)^{p}}.$$

Using Lemmas 2.4 and 2.5, we obtain that

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1}{|1 - re^{i\theta}|^{1+p} (\log|e/(1 - re^{i\theta})|)^p} \, d\theta$$

$$\begin{split} &= \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1}{|1 - re^{i\theta}|^{p/2} (\log|e/(1 - re^{i\theta})|)^p |1 - re^{i\theta}|^{1 + (p/2)}} \, d\theta \\ &\leq \frac{C}{(1 - r)^{p/2} (\log e/(1 - r))^p} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1}{|1 - re^{i\theta}|^{1 + (p/2)}} \, d\theta \\ &= \frac{C}{(1 - r)^p (\log e/(1 - r))^p}. \end{split}$$

This shows that $f(z) \in BH_{p,L}$, and the proof is complete.

We also note that $BH_{p,L} \subset (H^p \cap \mathscr{D}_{p-1}^p)$ for every $p \in (1,\infty)$ is proper. Indeed, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 2.3. Given p with 1 , there exists a function <math>f which belongs to $(H^p \bigcap \mathscr{D}_{p-1}^p) \setminus BH_{p,L}$.

Proof. Let

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{(1-z)^{1/p} \left(\log(2e^{2\sqrt{p}})/(1-z) \right)^{1/\sqrt{p}}}, \quad z \in D.$$

Then

$$\log \frac{2e^{2\sqrt{p}}}{1-|z|} \sim \log \frac{1}{1-|z|}$$
 as $|z| \to 1^-$.

Take g_c in the proof of Theorem 2.2 with $c = \sqrt{p}$. Then

 $M_p^p(r,f) \sim M_1(r,g_{\sqrt{p}}), \quad r = |z| \to 1^-,$

which implies $f \in H^p$ for every 1 .

As for proving $f \in \mathscr{D}_{p-1}^p$ (1 , it can be deduced by directly calculating

$$\int_0^1 r(1-r)^{p-1} M_p^p(r, f') \, dr < \infty.$$

Thus, we find $f \in H^p \bigcap \mathscr{D}_{p-1}^p$.

On the other hand, using Lemma 2.4 yields

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f'(re^{i\theta})|^p d\theta$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1}{|1 - re^{i\theta}|^{1+p} |\log(2e^{2\sqrt{p}})/(1 - re^{i\theta})|^{\sqrt{p}}}$$

$$\times \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{p}|\log(2e^{2\sqrt{p}})/(1 - re^{i\theta})|}\right)^{p} d\theta$$

$$\geq \frac{\delta}{2\pi(2p)^{p}} \frac{1}{(\log(2e^{2\sqrt{p}})/(1 - r))^{\sqrt{p}}} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \frac{1}{|1 - re^{i\theta}|^{1+p}} d\theta$$

$$\geq \frac{\delta}{2\pi(2p)^{p}} \frac{1}{(1 - r)^{p}(\log(2e^{2\sqrt{p}})/(1 - r))^{\sqrt{p}}},$$

where $\delta > 0$ is a constant. Therefore,

$$(1-r)\log\frac{e}{1-r}M_p(r,f') \ge \frac{\delta^{1/p}}{2p(2\pi)\sqrt{p}}\frac{\log e/1-r}{(\log(2e^{2\sqrt{p}})/(1-r))^{1/\sqrt{p}}} \longrightarrow \infty, \quad r \to 1^-,$$

which implies $f \notin BH_{p,L}$, and this concludes the proof.

Remark 2.1. If we take

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{(1-z)^{1/p} \log(2e^{2\sqrt{p}})/(1-z)}, \quad z \in D.$$

Carefully checking the proofs of Theorems 2.2 and 2.3, we have

$$M_p(r, f') \approx \frac{1}{(1-r)\log e/(1-r)}.$$

In [17], the second author showed that $\beta_L \subseteq VMOA$, the vanishing mean oscillation of analytic functions in D. So we want to know whether $BH_{p,L} \subseteq VMOA$ for $p \in (0, \infty)$. However, the answer is negative. For more information for VMOA space, see [1, 4, 19].

Theorem 2.4. Suppose 0 , then

$$f(z) = \int_0^z \frac{1}{(1-t)^{1+1/p} \log e/(1-t)} \, dt \in BH_{p,L},$$

but $f(z) \notin VMOA$.

Proof. The proof for $f \in BH_{p,L}$ is similar to Theorem 2.2, we omit the details here.

It is well known that the space

$$VMOA \subset BMOA \subset \mathscr{B} \bigcap \left(\bigcap_{0$$

We have

$$f'(r) = \frac{1}{(1-r)^{1+1/p} \log e/(1-r)},$$

and then

$$(1-r)f'(r) = \frac{1}{(1-r)^{1/p}\log e/(1-r)},$$

which tends to infinity when r tends to 1. Hence, $f \notin \mathscr{B}$, it follows that $f \notin VMOA$.

Our next objective is to estimate the growth of $f \in BH_{p,L}$ (0 . We begin with two lemmas.

Lemma 2.7. Given p with $1 \le p < \infty$ and r with 0 < r < 1, then (2) $\int_{0}^{r} \frac{1}{(1-s)^{1+1/p} \log e/(1-s)} \, ds \le 2p \left(1 + pe^{2/p}\right) \frac{1}{(1-r)^{1/p} \log e/(1-r)}.$

Proof. Let $r_* = 1 - e^{1-2p}$. Using Lemma 2.3, we easily obtain

$$\int_0^r \frac{1}{(1-s)^{1+1/p} \log e/(1-s)} \, ds \le \int_0^r \frac{1}{(1-s)^{1+1/2p}} \, ds \le 2p e^{(2p-1)/2p}$$

if $r \leq r_*$. When $r > r_*$, we have

$$\begin{split} &\int_0^r \frac{1}{(1-s)^{1+1/p}\log e/(1-s)} \, ds \\ &= \int_0^{r_*} \frac{1}{(1-s)^{1+1/p}\log e/(1-s)} \, ds + \int_{r_*}^r \frac{1}{(1-s)^{1+1/p}\log e/(1-s)} \, ds \\ &\leq 2p e^{(2p-1)/2p} + \frac{1}{(1-r)^{1+1/2p}\log e/(1-r)} \int_0^r \frac{1}{(1-s)^{1+1/2p}} \, ds \\ &\leq 2p e^{(2p-1)/2p} + 2p \frac{1}{(1-r)^{1/p}\log e/(1-r)}. \end{split}$$

Hence, (2) holds.

1678

Lemma 2.8. [8] Any function $f \in H^p$ (0 can be expressed $in the form <math>f(z) = f_1(z) + f_2(z)$, where f_1 and f_2 are nonvanishing H^p functions such that $||f_n||_{H^p} \le 2||f||_{H^p}$, n = 1, 2.

Theorem 2.5. If $f \in BH_{p,L}$, (0 , then

$$|f(z)| \le \frac{C||f||p_L}{(1-r)^{1/p}\log e/(1-r)}, \quad r = |z|,$$

where

$$C = \begin{cases} 4\pi p(p-1)^{(p-1)/p} \cdot \left(1 + p \cdot e^{1/2p}\right), & 1$$

Proof. For r with 0 < r < 1, we have

$$f(re^{i\theta}) = \int_0^r f'(se^{i\theta})e^{i\theta}ds + f(0).$$

We set $\rho = (1+s)/2$, by Cauchy formula

$$f'(se^{i\theta}) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|\zeta|=\rho} \frac{f'(\zeta)}{\zeta - se^{i\theta}} \, d\zeta = \frac{\rho}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{f'(\rho e^{i(t+\theta)})e^{i(t-\theta)}}{\rho e^{it} - s} \, dt.$$

Case I: 1 . Let q be the conjugate index of <math>p: (1/p)+(1/q) = 1, then, by Hölder's inequality, Lemmas 2.4 and 2.7, we give

$$\begin{split} |f(re^{i\theta})| &\leq |f(0)| + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^r \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{|f'(\rho e^{i(t+\theta)})e^{i(t-\theta)}|}{|\rho e^{it} - s|} \, dt \, ds \\ &\leq |f(0)| + \left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right)^{1/q} \cdot \left(\frac{4\pi^q}{q-1}\right)^{1/q} \\ &\int_0^r M_p(\rho, f') \cdot \frac{1}{(1-s)^{1/p}} \, ds \\ &\leq |f(0)| + 4\pi p(p-1)^{(p-1)/p} \left(1 + p \cdot e^{1/2p}\right) \\ &\cdot \frac{\|f\|_{p,L}}{(1-r)^{1/p} \log e/(1-r)} \\ &\leq 4\pi p(p-1)^{(p-1)/p} \left(1 + p \cdot e^{1/2p}\right) \frac{\|f\|_{p_L}}{(1-r)^{1/p} \log e/(1-r)} \end{split}$$

.

Case II: p = 1. Using Lemma 2.7 again yields

$$\begin{split} |f(re^{i\theta})| &\leq |f(0)| + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^r \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{|f'(\rho e^{i(t+\theta)})e^{i(t-\theta)}|}{|\rho e^{it} - s|} \, dt \, ds \\ &\leq |f(0)| + 4 \int_0^r \frac{1}{(1-s)^2 \log e/(1-s)} \, ds \cdot ||f||_{p,L} \\ &\leq 8(1+e^{1/2}) \frac{||f||_{p_L}}{(1-r) \log e/(1-r)}. \end{split}$$

Case III: $0 . If <math>f'(z) \neq 0$ in $z \in D$, then the function $F(z) = (f'(z))^p$ is analytic, and $f \in BH_{p,L}$ gives

$$M_1(r,F) = \left\{ M_p(r,f') \right\}^p \le \frac{||f||_{p,L}^p}{(1-r)^p \left(\log e/(1-r)\right)^p}$$

By the Cauchy formula, we find

$$|F(re^{i\theta})| = |\frac{\rho}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{F(\rho e^{it})e^{it}}{\rho e^{it} - z} dt| \le \frac{2||f||_{p,L}^p}{(1-r)^{1+p} \left(\log e/(1-r)\right)^p},$$

which implies that

$$|f'(re^{i\theta})| \le \frac{\sqrt[p]{2}||f||_{p,L}}{(1-r)^{1+1/p}\log e/(1-r)}.$$

Then

$$M_{1}(r, f') = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} |f'(re^{i\theta})|^{1-p} |f'(re^{i\theta})|^{p} d\theta$$

$$\leq \{M_{\infty}(r, f')\}^{1-p} \{M_{p}(r, f')\}^{p}$$

$$\leq \frac{2^{(1-p)/p}}{(1-r)^{1/p} \log e/(1-r)} \cdot ||f||_{p,L}^{p}.$$

We deduce

$$\begin{split} |f(re^{i\theta})| &\leq |f(0)| + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^r \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{|f'(\rho e^{i(t+\theta)})e^{i(t-\theta)}|}{|\rho e^{it} - s|} \, dt \, ds \\ &\leq |f(0)| + 2^{2/p} \frac{p}{1-p} \cdot \frac{||f||_{p,L}}{(1-r)^{1/p} \log e/(1-r)} \\ &\leq \frac{2^{2/p}}{1-p} \cdot \frac{||f||_{p_L}}{(1-r)^{1/p} \log e/(1-r)}. \end{split}$$

If f'(z) has zeros, we fix R < 1 and use Lemma 2.8 to write

$$f'(Rz) = f'_1(z) + f'_2(z),$$

where f_1 and f_2 do not vanish and

$$||f'_n||_{H^p} \le 2M_p(R, f') \le \frac{2||f||_{p,L}}{(1-R)\log e/(1-R)}, \quad n = 1, 2.$$

Since $f'_n(z) \neq 0$ (n = 1, 2), it follows that

$$\begin{split} |f'(R^2 e^{i\theta})| &\leq |f'_1(R e^{i\theta})| + |f'_2(R e^{i\theta})| \\ &\leq \frac{2^{2+1/p} ||f||_{p,L}}{(1-R)^{1+1/p} \log e/(1-R)}. \end{split}$$

Then

$$|f'(re^{i\theta})| \le \frac{2^{3+2/p} ||f||_{p,L}}{(1-r)^{1+1/p} \log e/(1-r)},$$

which implies that

$$|f(re^{i\theta})| \le \frac{2^{2+3/p-3p}}{1-p} \cdot \frac{||f||_{p_L}}{(1-r)^{1/p}\log e/(1-r)}.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.5.

For 0 , we set

$$H_{p,L}^{\infty} = \left\{ f \in H(D), \ |f(z)| = \sup\left(\frac{1}{(1-r)^{1/p}\log e/(1-r)}\right) < \infty, \ |z| = r \right\}.$$

Then $BH_{p,L}$ and the classic Bloch space \mathscr{B}_1 are included in $H_{p,L}^{\infty}$. It turns out that neither $H_{p,L}^{\infty}$ nor $H_{p,L}^{\infty}$ is contained in H^p for every 1 . Indeed,

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^{2^n}, \quad z \in D$$

is in \mathscr{B}_1 , which implies that $f \in H_{p,L}^{\infty}$, but not in H^p . On the other hand, taking the function f(z) in Theorem 2.4, we find that $f \in H^p$ but not $f \notin H_{p,L}^{\infty}$.

We set \mathcal{U} here to be the class of all univalent functions in D. Then Prawitz, see [13, page 17], deduced the following theorem.

Theorem D. Suppose that $0 . If <math>f \in \mathcal{U}$ and $\int_0^1 M_\infty^p(r, f) dr < \infty$, then $f \in H^p$. Using this result, we can give the following theorem.

Theorem 2.6. Suppose that $1 and <math>f \in \mathcal{U} \cap H_{p,L}^{\infty}$. Then $f \in H^p$.

Acknowledgments. We thank the referee for numerous stylistic corrections.

REFERENCES

1. A. Baernstein II, Analytic function of bounded mean oscillation, in Aspects of contemporary complex analysis, D. Brannan and J. Clume, eds., Academic Press, London, 1980.

2. O. Blasco and G.S. De Souza, Space of analytic functions on the disc where the growth of $M_p(r, f)$ depends on a weight, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **147** (1990), 580–598.

3. P.L. Duren, Theory of H^p space, Academic Press, New York, 1970.

4. D. Girela, Analytic functions of bounded mean oscillation, Complex function spaces, Mekrijärvi, 1999, 61-170. Univ. Joensuu Dept. Math. Rep. Ser. No. 4 (2001).

5. D. Girela and M.A. Máquez, Analytic functions with H^p derivative, Rocky Mountain J. Math. 35 (2005), 517–530.

6. D. Girela, M. Pavlović and J.A. Peláez, Spaces of analytic function of Hardy-Bloch type, J. Anal. Math. 100 (2006), 53–81.

 D. Girela and J.Á. Peláez, Integral means of analytic functions, Anna. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math. 29 (2004), 459–469.

8. G.H. Hardy and J.E. Littlewood, Some properties of fractional integrals, II, Math. Z. **34** (1932), 403–439.

9. J.E. Littlewood and R.E.A.C. Paley, *Theorems on Fourier series*, II, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. **42** (1936), 52–89.

 D.H. Luecking, A new proof of an inequality of Littlewood and Paley, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 103 (1988), 887–893.

11. M. Mateljević and M. Pavlović, *Multipliers of* H^P and *BMOA*, Pac. J. Math. **146** (1990), 71–84.

12. S. Ohno, K. Stroethoff and R. Zhao, Weighted composition operators between Bloch-type spaces, Rocky Mountain J. Math. 33 (2003), 191–215.

13. C. Pommerenke, *Univalent functions*, Vandenhoeck Ruprecht, Göttingen, 1975.

14. S.A. Vinogradov, Multiplication and division in the space of the analytic functions with area integrable derivative and in some related spaces, Nap. Nauch. Sem. S.-Petersburg. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov (POMI) **222** (1995), 45–77 (in Russian). J. Math Sci. **87** (1997), 3806–3827 (in English).

15. S. Ye, Weighted composition operators between the α -Bloch spaces and the little logarithmic Bloch, J. Comp. Anal. Appl. **11** (2009), 443–450.

16. _____, Weighted composition operators from F(p,q,s) into logarithmic Bloch space, J. Korean Math. Soc. **45** (2008), 977–991.

17. _____, Multipliers and cyclic vectors on the weighted Bloch space, Math. J. Okayama Univ. 48 (2006), 135–143.

18. _____, Weighted composition operator between different weighted Blochtype spaces, Acta Math. Sinica 53 (2007), 927–942 (in Chinese).

19. S. Ye and Z. Lou, *Cyclic vectors in the weighted VMOA space*, Rocky Mountain J. Math. **41** (2011), 2087–2096.

20. R. Yoneda, *The composition operators on weight Bloch space*, Arch. Math. **78** (2002), 310–317.

K. Zhu, Bloch type spaces of analytic functions, Rocky Mountain J. Math.
 (1993), 1143–1177.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FUJIAN NORMAL UNIVERSITY, FUZHOU 350007, P.R. CHINA AND PUTIAN NO. 1 MIDDLE SCHOOL OF FUJIAN PROVINCE, PUTIAN 351100, P.R. CHINA

Email address: wxm27861051@126.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FUJIAN NORMAL UNIVERSITY, FUZHOU 350007, P.R. CHINA

Email address: shanliye@fjnu.edu.cn