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#### Abstract

We correct the proof of Theorem 1.1 in our previous paper specially in the non-irreducible case.


In this paper we correct the proof of Theorem 1.1 in our previous paper [4], which was not complete. The proof works well if the Hermitian symmetric space is irreducible. On the other hand, the proof does not partly work well if the Hermitian symmetric space is not irreducible. We partly divide the proof into two cases where the Hermitian symmetric space is irreducible and non-irreducible and give a complete proof of antipodal property of the intersection of two real forms.

Theorem 1.1 ([4]). Let $M$ be a Hermitian symmetric space of compact type. If two real forms $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ in $M$ intersect transversally, then $L_{1} \cap L_{2}$ is an antipodal set of $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$.

We adopt the notational conventions in Section 2, [4]. We prepare the following lemma for the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Lemma. Let $M$ be a compact Riemannian symmetric space. If $M$ is cubic (Definition 3.2 in [4]), then $s_{o} \operatorname{Exp} H=\operatorname{Exp} H$ for any vertex $H$ of $\bar{S}$.

Proof. If $M$ is cubic, $2 H \in \Gamma(A)$ for any vertex $H$ of $\bar{S}$. Thus we have $\operatorname{Exp}(2 H)=$ $o$ and $s_{o} \operatorname{Exp} H=\operatorname{Exp}(-H)=\operatorname{Exp} H$.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We partly divide the proof into two cases where $M$ is irreducible and non-irreducible, however our argument starts with any Hermitian symmetric space $M$ of compact type.

The holomorphic sectional curvature of $M$ is positive, so $L_{1} \cap L_{2} \neq \emptyset$ by Theorem 1 in [1] or Lemma 3.1 in [6]. Because of the transitive action of the group of holomorphic isometries we may assume the origin of $M$ is contained in $L_{1} \cap L_{2}$ without loss of

[^0]generality. It is sufficient to prove that $o$ and $p$ are antipodal in $L_{1}$ and in $L_{2}$ for any point $p$ in $L_{1} \cap L_{2}-\{o\}$.

Let $A_{i}$ be a maximal torus of $L_{i}(i=1,2)$ which contains $o$ and $p$. Let $\mathfrak{a}_{i}$ be the maximal abelian subspace corresponding to $A_{i}(i=1,2)$. We take a maximal torus $A_{i}^{\prime}$ of $M$ containing $A_{i}$ and denote the corresponding maximal abelian subspace by $\mathfrak{a}_{i}^{\prime}$ ( $i=1,2$ ). Let

$$
\operatorname{Exp}_{o} t H_{2} \quad\left(H_{2} \in \mathfrak{a}_{2}, 0 \leq t \leq 1\right)
$$

be a shortest geodesic in $A_{2}$ joining $o$ to $p$. In particular, $p=\operatorname{Exp}_{o} H_{2}$. Since $A_{2}$ is geodesically convex in $A_{2}^{\prime}$ by Quast-Tanaka [2], $\operatorname{Exp}_{o} t H_{2}$ is also a shortest geodesic in $A_{2}^{\prime}$ joining $o$ to $p$. Hence we can take a fundamental system $\Pi_{2}$ of the restricted root system with respect to $\mathfrak{a}_{2}^{\prime}$ such that $H_{2} \in \bar{S}_{2}$, where

$$
S_{2}=\left\{H \in \mathfrak{a}_{2}^{\prime} \mid\langle\alpha, H\rangle>0\left(\alpha \in \Pi_{2}\right),\left\langle\delta_{i}, H\right\rangle<\pi\left(\delta_{i} \in R_{2}^{\#}\right)\right\} .
$$

Since $\bar{S}_{2}$ is decomposed

$$
\bar{S}_{2}=\bigcup_{\Delta \subset \Pi_{2}^{\#}} S_{2}^{\Delta}
$$

as a disjoint union, there exists $\Delta_{2} \subset \Pi_{2}^{\#}$ such that $H_{2} \in S_{2}^{\Delta_{2}}$. Lemma 3.6 in [4] implies $p \in \operatorname{Exp}_{o} S_{2}^{\Delta_{2}} \subset A_{1}^{\prime} \cap A_{2}^{\prime}$.

It is known that a Hermitian symmetric space of compact type is cubic. We express

$$
\mathfrak{a}_{2}^{\prime}=\left\{\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r}\right)\right\}
$$

with respect to a canonical coordinate of $A_{2}^{\prime}$ (see Definition 3.2 in [4]). Proposition 3.4 in [4] implies that there exists an involutive permutation $\lambda$ of $\{1, \ldots, r\}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{a}_{2}=\left\{\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r}\right) \mid x_{i}=x_{\lambda(i)}(1 \leq i \leq r)\right\} . \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

$S_{2}^{\Delta_{2}}$ is described as

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{2}^{\Delta_{2}}=\left\{H \in \mathfrak{a}_{2}^{\prime} \mid\langle\alpha, H\rangle>0\left(\alpha \in \Pi_{2} \cap \Delta_{2}\right),\langle\beta, H\rangle=0\left(\beta \in \Pi_{2}-\Delta_{2}\right)\right. \\
\left.\left\langle\delta_{i}, H\right\rangle<\pi\left(\delta_{i} \in R_{2}^{\#} \cap \Delta_{2}\right),\left\langle\delta_{j}, H\right\rangle=\pi\left(\delta_{j} \in R_{2}^{\#}-\Delta_{2}\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $H_{2} \in S_{2}^{\Delta_{2}}$, we have

$$
\Delta_{2}=\left\{\alpha \in \Pi_{2} \mid\left\langle\alpha, H_{2}\right\rangle>0\right\} \cup\left\{\delta_{i} \in R_{2}^{\#} \mid\left\langle\delta_{i}, H_{2}\right\rangle<\pi\right\} .
$$

From now on we divide the argument into two cases where $M$ is irreducible and non-irreducible.

If $M$ is irreducible, there exists $\Pi_{2}^{\prime} \subset \Pi_{2}$ such that

$$
\mathfrak{a}_{2}=\left\{H \in \mathfrak{a}_{2}^{\prime} \mid\langle\alpha, H\rangle=0\left(\alpha \in \Pi_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right\}
$$

by the expression $(*)$ of $\mathfrak{a}_{2}$. In fact, the restricted root system of irreducible Hermitian symmetric space of compact type is of type $B C$ or type $C$ and $\Pi_{2}^{\#}=\left\{x_{1}-x_{2}, x_{2}-\right.$ $\left.x_{3}, \ldots, x_{r-1}-x_{r}, x_{r}, 2 x_{1}\right\}$ for type $B C$ and $\Pi_{2}^{\#}=\left\{x_{1}-x_{2}, x_{2}-x_{3}, \ldots, x_{r-1}-x_{r}, 2 x_{r}, 2 x_{1}\right\}$ for type $C$. In both cases $x_{i}$ is a canonical coordinate and $S_{2}$ is described as

$$
S_{2}=\left\{H \in \mathfrak{a}_{2}^{\prime} \left\lvert\, \frac{\pi}{2}>x_{1}(H)>\cdots>x_{r}(H)>0\right.\right\}
$$

Hence by $(*)$ we have $\Pi_{2}^{\prime} \subset \Pi_{2}$ such that $H \in \mathfrak{a}_{2}^{\prime}$ belongs to $\mathfrak{a}_{2}$ if and only if $\langle\alpha, H\rangle=0$ for any $\alpha \in \Pi_{2}^{\prime}$.

We show $\operatorname{Exp}_{o} S_{2}^{\Delta_{2}} \subset A_{2}$. Since $\Pi_{2}$ is a basis of $\mathfrak{a}_{2}^{\prime}$, we can take a basis $\left\{u_{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in \Pi_{2}\right\}$ of $\mathfrak{a}_{2}^{\prime}$ satisfying

$$
\left\langle\alpha, u_{\beta}\right\rangle= \begin{cases}1 & (\alpha=\beta) \\ 0 & (\alpha \neq \beta)\end{cases}
$$

Let

$$
H_{2}=\sum_{\alpha \in \Pi_{2}} h_{\alpha} u_{\alpha} \quad\left(h_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{R}\right) .
$$

Since $H_{2} \in \bar{S}_{2}$, we have

$$
0 \leq\left\langle\alpha, H_{2}\right\rangle=h_{\alpha}
$$

for any $\alpha \in \Pi_{2}$. Moreover, we have

$$
\Pi_{2} \cap \Delta_{2}=\left\{\alpha \in \Pi_{2} \mid h_{\alpha}>0\right\}
$$

and $\Pi_{2}^{\prime} \cap \Delta_{2}=\emptyset$ because $H_{2} \in \mathfrak{a}_{2}$. Let $H \in S_{2}^{\Delta_{2}}$, then $\langle\beta, H\rangle=0$ for any $\beta \in \Pi_{2}-\Delta_{2}$. Since $\Pi_{2}^{\prime} \subset \Pi_{2}-\Delta_{2}$, we have $H \in \mathfrak{a}_{2}$. Hence we have $S_{2}^{\Delta_{2}} \subset \mathfrak{a}_{2}$, which implies

$$
\operatorname{Exp}_{o} S_{2}^{\Delta_{2}} \subset A_{2}
$$

In order to see that we have a similar situation in $\mathfrak{a}_{1}^{\prime}$, we show that there exists $\Delta_{1} \subset \Pi_{1}$ such that

$$
\operatorname{Exp}_{o} S_{2}^{\Delta_{2}}=\operatorname{Exp}_{o} S_{1}^{\Delta_{1}}
$$

for a fundamental cell $S_{1}$ corresponding to a fundamental system of the restricted root system with respect to $\mathfrak{a}_{1}^{\prime}$. Since $p \in A_{1} \cap A_{2}$, there exists $H_{1} \in \mathfrak{a}_{1}$ such that

$$
\operatorname{Exp}_{o} t H_{1} \quad(0 \leq t \leq 1)
$$

is a shortest geodesic in $A_{1}$ joining $o$ to $p$. Since $A_{1}$ is geodesically convex in $A_{1}^{\prime}, \operatorname{Exp}_{o} t H_{1}$ is also a shortest geodesic in $A_{1}^{\prime}$ joining $o$ to $p$. By the conjugacy of maximal tori, there exists $k_{1} \in K$ such that $\operatorname{Ad}\left(k_{1}\right) \mathfrak{a}_{1}^{\prime}=\mathfrak{a}_{2}^{\prime}$, where $K$ denotes the isotropy subgroup at $o$. Then

$$
\operatorname{Exp}_{o} t \operatorname{Ad}\left(k_{1}\right) H_{1} \quad(0 \leq t \leq 1)
$$

is a shortest geodesic in $A_{2}^{\prime}$ joining $o$ to $k_{1} p$. Hence there exists $k_{2} \in N_{K}\left(\mathfrak{a}_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ such that

$$
\operatorname{Ad}\left(k_{2}\right) \operatorname{Ad}\left(k_{1}\right) H_{1}=\operatorname{Ad}\left(k_{2} k_{1}\right) H_{1} \in \bar{S}_{2} .
$$

So there exists $\Delta_{2}^{\prime} \subset \Pi_{2}^{\#}$ which satisfies $\operatorname{Ad}\left(k_{2} k_{1}\right) H_{1} \in S_{2}^{\Delta^{\prime}}$. Put $k=k_{2} k_{1} \in K$. Since we have

$$
k^{-1} \operatorname{Exp}_{o}\left(\operatorname{Ad}(k) H_{1}\right)=\operatorname{Exp}_{o} H_{1}=\operatorname{Exp}_{o} H_{2}
$$

there exists $w \in \bar{W}_{S_{2}}$ such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
w S_{2}^{\Delta_{2}^{\prime}}=S_{2}^{\Delta_{2}} \\
{ }^{\forall} H \in S_{2}^{\Delta_{2}^{\prime}}, k^{-1} \operatorname{Exp}_{o} H=\operatorname{Exp}_{o} w H, \\
w \operatorname{Ad}(k) H_{1}=H_{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

by Takeuchi's result (Lemma 1.7 in [3] or see Lemma 2.1 in [4]). Since a Hermitian symmetric space of compact type is simply connected, we have $\bar{W}_{S_{2}}=\{1\}$ by Lemma $1.3(2)$ in $[\mathbf{3}]$ and so $w=1$. Or we know it by $\pi_{1}(M) \cong \bar{W}_{S_{2}}$ (Theorem 2.1 in [3]). Hence we rewrite the above as follows:

$$
\begin{gathered}
S_{2}^{\Delta_{2}^{\prime}}=S_{2}^{\Delta_{2}}, \\
{ }^{\forall} H \in S_{2}^{\Delta_{2}}, k^{-1} \operatorname{Exp}_{o} H=\operatorname{Exp}_{o} H, \\
\operatorname{Ad}(k) H_{1}=H_{2} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Moreover, we have $k^{-1} \operatorname{Exp}_{o} S_{2}^{\Delta_{2}}=\operatorname{Exp}_{o} S_{2}^{\Delta_{2}}$. Since $\operatorname{Ad}(k) \mathfrak{a}_{1}^{\prime}=\mathfrak{a}_{2}^{\prime}$, the restricted root system $R_{1}$ with respect to $\mathfrak{a}_{1}^{\prime}$ satisfies $R_{1}=R_{2} \circ \operatorname{Ad}(k)$ and there exist a fundamental root system $\Pi_{1}$ of $R_{1}$ and $\Delta_{1} \subset \Pi_{1}^{\#}$ satisfying $\operatorname{Ad}(k)^{-1} S_{2}^{\Delta_{2}}=S_{1}^{\Delta_{1}} \ni H_{1}$. Thus

$$
\operatorname{Exp}_{o} S_{2}^{\Delta_{2}}=k^{-1} \operatorname{Exp}_{o} S_{2}^{\Delta_{2}}=\operatorname{Exp}_{o} \operatorname{Ad}(k)^{-1} S_{2}^{\Delta_{2}}=\operatorname{Exp}_{o} S_{1}^{\Delta_{1}}
$$

Since $H_{1} \in S_{1}^{\Delta_{1}} \cap \mathfrak{a}_{1}$, we conclude $S_{1}^{\Delta_{1}} \subset \mathfrak{a}_{1}$ and $\operatorname{Exp}_{o} S_{1}^{\Delta_{1}} \subset A_{1}$. Hence we obtain

$$
\operatorname{Exp}_{o} S_{1}^{\Delta_{1}}=\operatorname{Exp}_{o} S_{2}^{\Delta_{2}} \subset A_{1} \cap A_{2}
$$

Since $p \in \operatorname{Exp}_{o} S_{2}^{\Delta_{2}} \subset A_{1} \cap A_{2}$, it contradicts the assumption that $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ intersect transversally if $\operatorname{dim} S_{2}^{\Delta_{2}} \geq 1$. Therefore $\operatorname{dim} S_{2}^{\Delta_{2}}=0$ and $S_{2}^{\Delta_{2}}$ is a vertex of $\bar{S}_{2}$. By Lemma $p$ is an antipodal point of $o$ in $M$. Therefore $o$ and $p$ are antipodal both in $L_{1}$ and in $L_{2}$, which completes the proof of Theorem 1.1 in the case where $M$ is irreducible.

Next we consider the case where $M$ is not irreducible. In order to prove Theorem 1.1 in this case, we prepare the following special real forms. Let $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ be Hermitian symmetric spaces of compact type and $\tau: M_{1} \rightarrow M_{2}$ be an anti-holomorphic isometric map. We denote

$$
D_{\tau}\left(M_{1}\right)=\left\{(x, \tau(x)) \mid x \in M_{1}\right\} \subset M_{1} \times M_{2}
$$

$D_{\tau}\left(M_{1}\right)$ is a real form in $M_{1} \times M_{2}$ and we call it a diagonal real form. For more information on diagonal real forms see our sequent paper [5]. We use the following theorem in [5] in order to prove Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 2.7 ([5]). Let $M$ be a Hermitian symmetric space of compact type and

$$
M=M_{1} \times \cdots \times M_{m}
$$

be a decomposition of $M$ into irreducible factors. Then two real forms $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ in $M$ are decomposed as

$$
L_{1}=L_{1,1} \times \cdots \times L_{1, n}, \quad L_{2}=L_{2,1} \times \cdots \times L_{2, n}
$$

and for each $a(1 \leq a \leq n)$ the pair of $L_{1, a}$ and $L_{2, a}$ is one of the following.
(1) Two real forms in $M_{i}$ for some $i(1 \leq i \leq m)$.
(2) After renumbering irreducible factors of $M$ if necessary,

$$
N_{1} \times D_{\tau_{2}}\left(M_{2}\right) \times D_{\tau_{4}}\left(M_{4}\right) \times \cdots \times D_{\tau_{2 s}}\left(M_{2 s}\right)
$$

and

$$
D_{\tau_{1}}\left(M_{1}\right) \times D_{\tau_{3}}\left(M_{3}\right) \times \cdots \times D_{\tau_{2 s-1}}\left(M_{2 s-1}\right) \times N_{2 s+1}
$$

where $\tau_{i}: M_{i} \rightarrow M_{i+1}(1 \leq i \leq 2 s)$ is an anti-holomorphic isometric map which determines $D_{\tau_{i}}\left(M_{i}\right)$ and $N_{1} \subset M_{1}$ and $N_{2 s+1} \subset M_{2 s+1}$ are real forms. The intersection of these two real forms is

$$
\left\{\left(x, \tau_{1}(x), \tau_{2} \tau_{1}(x), \ldots, \tau_{2 s} \cdots \tau_{1}(x)\right) \mid x \in N_{1} \cap\left(\tau_{2 s} \cdots \tau_{1}\right)^{-1}\left(N_{2 s+1}\right)\right\}
$$

Here $\left(\tau_{2 s} \cdots \tau_{1}\right)^{-1}\left(N_{2 s+1}\right)$ is a real form in $M_{1}$ and the intersection of the two real forms mentioned above is homothetic to the intersection of two real forms $N_{1}$ and $\left(\tau_{2 s} \cdots \tau_{1}\right)^{-1}\left(N_{2 s+1}\right)$ in $M_{1}$.
(3) After renumbering irreducible factors of $M$ if necessary,

$$
N_{1} \times D_{\tau_{2}}\left(M_{2}\right) \times D_{\tau_{4}}\left(M_{4}\right) \times \cdots \times D_{\tau_{2 s-2}}\left(M_{2 s-2}\right) \times N_{2 s}
$$

and

$$
D_{\tau_{1}}\left(M_{1}\right) \times D_{\tau_{3}}\left(M_{3}\right) \times \cdots \times D_{\tau_{2 s-3}}\left(M_{2 s-3}\right) \times D_{\tau_{2 s-1}}\left(M_{2 s-1}\right),
$$

where $\tau_{i}: M_{i} \rightarrow M_{i+1}(1 \leq i \leq 2 s-1)$ is an anti-holomorphic isometric map which determines $D_{\tau_{i}}\left(M_{i}\right)$ and $N_{1} \subset M_{1}$ and $N_{2 s} \subset M_{2 s}$ are real forms. The intersection of these two real forms is

$$
\left\{\left(x, \tau_{1}(x), \tau_{2} \tau_{1}(x), \ldots, \tau_{2 s-1} \cdots \tau_{1}(x)\right) \mid x \in N_{1} \cap\left(\tau_{2 s-1} \cdots \tau_{1}\right)^{-1}\left(N_{2 s}\right)\right\}
$$

Here $\left(\tau_{2 s-1} \cdots \tau_{1}\right)^{-1}\left(N_{2 s}\right)$ is a real form in $M_{1}$ and the intersection of the two real forms mentioned above is homothetic to the intersection of two real forms $N_{1}$ and $\left(\tau_{2 s-1} \cdots \tau_{1}\right)^{-1}\left(N_{2 s}\right)$ in $M_{1}$.
(4) After renumbering irreducible factors of $M$ if necessary,

$$
D_{\tau_{1}}\left(M_{1}\right) \times D_{\tau_{3}}\left(M_{3}\right) \times \cdots \times D_{\tau_{2 s-1}}\left(M_{2 s-1}\right)
$$

and

$$
D_{\tau_{2}}\left(M_{2}\right) \times D_{\tau_{4}}\left(M_{4}\right) \times \cdots \times D_{\tau_{2 s}}\left(M_{2 s}\right),
$$

where $\tau_{i}: M_{i} \rightarrow M_{i+1}(1 \leq i \leq 2 s-1)$ and $\tau_{2 s}: M_{2 s} \rightarrow M_{1}$ are anti-holomorphic isometric maps which determine $D_{\tau_{i}}\left(M_{i}\right)(1 \leq i \leq 2 s)$. The intersection of these two real forms is
$\left\{\left(x, \tau_{1}(x), \tau_{2} \tau_{1}(x), \ldots, \tau_{2 s-1} \cdots \tau_{1}(x)\right) \mid\left(x, \tau_{2 s}^{-1}(x)\right) \in D_{\tau_{2 s-1} \cdots \tau_{1}}\left(M_{1}\right) \cap D_{\tau_{2 s}^{-1}}\left(M_{1}\right)\right\}$.
Here $D_{\tau_{2 s-1} \cdots \tau_{1}}\left(M_{1}\right)$ and $D_{\tau_{2 s}^{-1}}\left(M_{1}\right)$ are diagonal real forms in $M_{1} \times M_{2 s}$ and the intersection of the two real forms mentioned above is homothetic to the intersection of these two diagonal real forms.

In a case where a compact Riemannian symmetric space $X$ is the product of compact Riemannian symmetric spaces $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$, two points $p=\left(p_{1}, p_{2}\right)$ and $q=\left(q_{1}, q_{2}\right)$ in $X$ are antipodal if and only if $p_{i}$ and $q_{i}$ are antipodal in $X_{i}$ for $i=1,2$. Hence, to prove the intersection of two real forms is antipodal it suffices to consider the cases (1) to (4) in Theorem 2.7.
(1) is essentially the irreducible case and we have already proved the statement. In cases of (2) and (3) the intersection of two real forms is described by that of two real forms in an irreducible Hermitian symmetric space of compact type and its antipodal property follows from that in the case of (1).

For (4) we use a similar method to the irreducible case. We consider the intersection of two diagonal real forms $D_{\sigma}\left(M_{1}\right)$ and $D_{\tau}\left(M_{1}\right)$ in $M_{1} \times M_{2}$ determined by anti-holomorphic isometric maps $\sigma, \tau: M_{1} \rightarrow M_{2}$. We regard $L_{1}=D_{\sigma}\left(M_{1}\right)$ and
$L_{2}=D_{\tau}\left(M_{1}\right)$ and use the notation defined above in this proof. Since $D_{\tau}\left(M_{1}\right)$ is a real form in $M_{1} \times M_{2}$, we can decompose $S_{2}, \Pi_{2}^{\#}$ and $\Delta_{2}$ as

$$
S_{2}=S_{2,1} \times S_{2,2}, \quad \Pi_{2}^{\#}=\Pi_{2,1}^{\#} \cup \Pi_{2,2}^{\#}, \quad \Delta_{2}=\Delta_{2,1} \cup \Delta_{2,2}
$$

where $\Delta_{2, j}=\Delta_{2} \cap \Pi_{2, j}^{\#}(j=1,2)$. Since $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ are isomorphic, their fundamental systems are isomorphic and we obtain

$$
S_{2}^{\Delta_{2}} \cap \mathfrak{a}_{2}=\left\{\left(X, d \tau_{o}(X)\right) \mid X \in S_{2,1}^{\Delta_{2,1}}\right\}
$$

and $\operatorname{Exp}_{o}\left(S_{2}^{\Delta_{2}} \cap \mathfrak{a}_{2}\right) \subset A_{2}$. In a way similar to that in the irreducible case we can take a canonical coordinate $y_{j}$ of $A_{2}^{\prime}$ and we have

$$
S_{2}=\left\{H \in \mathfrak{a}_{2}^{\prime} \left\lvert\, \frac{\pi}{2}>y_{1}(H)>\cdots>y_{n}(H)>0\right., \frac{\pi}{2}>y_{n+1}(H)>\cdots>y_{2 n}(H)>0\right\}
$$

Therefore

$$
S_{2}^{\Delta_{2}} \cap \mathfrak{a}_{2}=\left\{H \in \mathfrak{a}_{2}^{\prime} \mid H \in S_{2}^{\Delta_{2}}, y_{i}(H)=y_{n+i}(H)(1 \leq i \leq n)\right\} .
$$

Similarly if we take a suitable canonical coordinate $x_{i}$ of $A_{1}^{\prime}$, we obtain

$$
S_{1}^{\Delta_{1}} \cap \mathfrak{a}_{1}=\left\{H \in \mathfrak{a}_{1}^{\prime} \mid H \in S_{1}^{\Delta_{1}}, x_{i}(H)=x_{n+i}(H)(1 \leq i \leq n)\right\}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Ad} & (k)\left(S_{1}^{\Delta_{1}} \cap \mathfrak{a}_{1}\right) \\
\quad= & \operatorname{Ad}(k)\left\{H \in \mathfrak{a}_{1}^{\prime} \mid H \in S_{1}^{\Delta_{1}}, x_{i}(H)=x_{n+i}(H)(1 \leq i \leq n)\right\} \\
& =\left\{H \in \mathfrak{a}_{2}^{\prime} \mid H \in S_{2}^{\Delta_{2}}, x_{i}\left(\operatorname{Ad}(k)^{-1} H\right)=x_{n+i}\left(\operatorname{Ad}(k)^{-1} H\right)(1 \leq i \leq n)\right\} \\
& =S_{2}^{\Delta_{2}} \cap \mathfrak{a}_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Exp}_{o}\left(S_{2}^{\Delta_{2}} \cap \mathfrak{a}_{2}\right) & =k^{-1} \operatorname{Exp}_{o}\left(S_{2}^{\Delta_{2}} \cap \mathfrak{a}_{2}\right)=k^{-1} \operatorname{Exp}_{o}\left(\operatorname{Ad}(k)\left(S_{1}^{\Delta_{1}} \cap \mathfrak{a}_{1}\right)\right) \\
& =\operatorname{Exp}_{o}\left(S_{1}^{\Delta_{1}} \cap \mathfrak{a}_{1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
A_{2} \supset \operatorname{Exp}_{o}\left(S_{2}^{\Delta_{2}} \cap \mathfrak{a}_{2}\right)=\operatorname{Exp}_{o}\left(S_{1}^{\Delta_{1}} \cap \mathfrak{a}_{1}\right) \subset A_{1}
$$

Since $p \in \operatorname{Exp}_{o}\left(S_{2}^{\Delta_{2}} \cap \mathfrak{a}_{2}\right) \subset A_{1} \cap A_{2}$, it contradicts the assumption that $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ intersect transversally if $\operatorname{dim} S_{2,1}^{\Delta_{2,1}}=\operatorname{dim}\left(S_{2}^{\Delta_{2}} \cap \mathfrak{a}_{2}\right) \geq 1$. Therefore $\operatorname{dim} S_{2,1}^{\Delta_{2,1}}=0$ and
$S_{2,1}^{\Delta_{2,1}}$ is a vertex of $\bar{S}_{2,1}$. By Lemma $p$ is an antipodal point of $o$ in $M$. Therefore $o$ and $p$ are antipodal both in $L_{1}$ and in $L_{2}$, which completes the proof of Theorem 1.1 in the case where $M$ is not irreducible.

The authors would like to thank the referee, whose comments improved the manuscript.
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