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## 1. Introduction

Let $A_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots$ be a sequence of measurable sets in a probability space $(X, \mathrm{~A}, P)$, let $p_{1}=P\left(A_{1}\right)>0$, and, for $n>1$, let $p_{n}$ be the conditional probability of $A_{n}$ given $F_{n-1}$ (the $\sigma$-field generated by $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{n-1}$ ). Let $\chi(A)$ denote the indicator function of the set $A$, and, for $n \geqslant 1$, let

$$
S_{n}=\sum_{j=1}^{n} \chi\left(A_{j}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad s_{n}=\sum_{j=1}^{n} p_{j} .
$$

Let $\log _{k} r$ denote the $k$-th iterated logarithm of $r$ (for example, $\log _{3} r=\log \log \log r$ ). The main objective of this paper is to prove:

Theorem 1. For any positive integer $k$, both

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(S_{n}-s_{n}\right) /\left[s_{n} \log _{1}\left(s_{n}\right) \cdots \log _{k-1}\left(s_{n}\right) \log _{k}^{2}\left(s_{n}\right)\right]^{1 / 2}=0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and
(2)

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(S_{n}-S_{n}\right) /\left[S_{n} \log _{1}\left(S_{n}\right) \cdots \log _{k-1}\left(S_{n}\right) \log _{k}^{2}\left(S_{n}\right)\right]^{1 / 2}=0
$$

a.s. on the set where $\sum_{1}^{\infty} p_{j}=\infty$.

Theorem 1 brings the classical Borel-Cantelli lemma much closer to the central limit theorem and law of the iterated logarithm, without any additional assumptions concerning the divergence of the sums of the variances of the random variables in question, assumptions quite essential in both latter results. It sharpens Levy's conditional form of the Borel-Cantelli lemma [5, Corollary 68, p. 249], and an improved version due to Dubins and Freedman ([2, Theorem 1] or [6, Corollary VII-2-6, p. 152]) which is stated

[^0]here for ease of reference:
(3) $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} S_{n} / s_{n}<\infty$ a.s., and equals 1 a.s. where $\Sigma_{1}^{\infty} p_{j}=\infty$.

A rather different generalization of the Borel-Cantelli Lemma based on the unconditional hypothesis $\lim \sup \left(E S_{n}\right)^{2} / E\left(S_{n}^{2}\right)>0$ was given by Kochen and Stone [4].

## 2. Proof of Theorem 1

The following result for infinite series, a simultaneous generalization of [3, p. 293] and a theorem of Dini [3, p. 290], will be used in the proof of Theorem 1.

Lemma 1. Let $d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots$ be a sequence of positive numbers with $\Sigma_{1}^{\infty} d_{j}=\infty$, and let $D_{n}=\Sigma_{1}^{n} d_{j}$. For every positive integer $k$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=N}^{\infty} d_{n} /\left[\left(D_{n} \log _{1}\left(D_{n}\right) \cdots \log _{k-1}\left(D_{n}\right) \log _{k}^{2}\left(D_{n}\right)\right]<\infty \quad \text { for some } N \geqslant 1\right. \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition. For each positive integer $k$, let $\phi_{k}$ denote the function

$$
\phi_{k}(r)=r \log _{1}(r) \cdots \log _{k-1}(r) \log _{k}^{2}(r)
$$

Proof of Lemma 1. Fix $k \geqslant 1$, and $N$ so that $\log _{k} D_{N-1}>0$. Let $g:[1, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be the step function $g(x)=d_{n}$ for all $x \in[n, n+1)$, and let $f(x)=\int_{1}^{x} g(t) d t$. Then for all $n \geqslant N$, and all $x \in[n, n+1)$,

$$
d_{n} / \phi_{k}\left(D_{n}\right) \leqslant g(x) / \phi_{k}(f(x))=f^{\prime}(x) / \phi_{k}(f(x))
$$

(where $f^{\prime}$ denotes the ordinary derivative of $f$ ). Since $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} D_{n}=\infty$, it follows that

$$
\sum_{n=N}^{\infty}\left[d_{n} / \phi_{k}\left(D_{n}\right)\right] \leqslant \int_{N}^{\infty}\left[f^{\prime}(x) / \phi_{k}(f(x))\right] d x=1 / \log _{k}\left(D_{N-1}\right)<\infty .
$$

Proof of Theorem 1. Fix $k \geqslant 1$. To establish (1), consider the set $B$ where $\Sigma_{1}^{\infty} p_{j}$ is infinite, and let $R_{n}=\left(\chi\left(A_{n}\right)-p_{n}\right) /\left(\phi_{k}\left(s_{n}\right)\right)^{1 / 2}$. By Kronecker's lemma, in order to show that $\left(S_{n}-s_{n}\right) /\left(\phi_{k}\left(s_{n}\right)\right)^{1 / 2}$ converges to zero almost surely on $B$, it is sufficient to show that the series $\Sigma_{1}^{\infty} R_{n}$ converges a.s. on $B$. By the well-known conditional form of Kolmogorov's Three-Series Theorem, the series $\Sigma_{1}^{\infty} R_{n}$ converges almost surely on the set where the following
three series all converge:
(5) $\quad \sum_{1}^{\infty}\left[P\left(\left|R_{n}\right| \geqslant 1 \mid F_{n-1}\right)\right.$;
(6) $\sum_{1}^{\infty} E\left[R_{n} \cdot \chi\left(\left|R_{n}\right| \leqslant 1\right) \mid F_{n-1}\right]$;
(7) $\Sigma_{1}^{\infty}\left\{E\left[R_{n}^{2} \cdot \chi\left(\left|R_{n}\right| \leqslant 1\right) \mid F_{n-1}\right]-E^{2}\left[R_{n} \cdot \chi\left(\left|R_{n}\right| \leqslant 1\right) \mid F_{n-1}\right]\right\}$.

The series in (5) and (6) converge a.s. on B since on the set where $\log _{k}$ $s_{n}>1$, both

$$
P\left(\left|R_{n}\right| \geqslant 1 \mid F_{n-1}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad E\left[R_{n} \cdot \chi\left(\left|R_{n}\right| \leqslant 1\right) \mid F_{n-1}\right]
$$

are almost surely zero. To establish (1), it therefore suffices to show that the series $\Sigma_{1}^{\infty} E\left[R_{n}^{2} \cdot \chi\left(\left|R_{n}\right| \leqslant 1\right) \mid F_{n-1}\right]$ converges a.s. on $B$.
On the set where $\log _{k} s_{n}>1$ it follows that

$$
E\left[R_{n}^{2} \cdot \chi\left(\left|R_{n}\right| \leqslant 1\right) \mid F_{n-1}\right]=E\left[R_{n}^{2} \mid F_{n-1}\right]=\left(p_{n}-p_{n}^{2}\right) / \phi_{k}\left(s_{n}\right)
$$

almost surely. But Lemma 1 implies that the series $\Sigma_{1}^{\infty} p_{n} / \phi_{k}\left(s_{n}\right)$, and thus the series $\Sigma_{1}^{\infty}\left(p_{n}-p_{n}^{2}\right) / \phi_{k}\left(\mathcal{S}_{n}\right)$, converges (almost surely) on $B$. This completes the proof of (1).

To establish (2), observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(S_{n}-s_{n}\right) /\left(\phi_{k}\left(S_{n}\right)\right)^{1 / 2} & \\
& =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[\left(S_{n}-s_{n}\right) /\left(\phi_{k}\left(s_{n}\right)\right)^{1 / 2}\right] \cdot\left[\left(\phi_{k}\left(s_{n}\right)\right)^{1 / 2} /\left(\phi_{k}\left(S_{n}\right)\right)^{1 / 2}\right],
\end{aligned}
$$

and recall that by (1) the first term converges to zero a.s. on $B$, and by (3) the second converges to one.
For an alternative proof of the first part of Theorem 1, one could use Lemma 1 and (in place of the basic Kronecker Lemma conditional threeseries theorem argument given above) a result of Chow on martingale difference sequences [1].
The exponent of $\log _{k}$ in both Theorem 1 and Lemma 1 may easily be reduced from 2 to $1+\varepsilon$ for any $\varepsilon>0$, but the resulting conclusions are seen to be no stronger. The denominator in (1) is close to being sharp, for if the $\left\{A_{j}\right\}$ happen to be independent and equiprobable with $0<p \equiv P\left(A_{j}\right)<1$, the law of the iterated logarithm implies that $\lim \sup \left(S_{n}-s_{n}\right) /\left(s_{n} \log _{2} s_{n}\right)^{1 / 2}=(2-2 p)^{1 / 2}$ almost surely.

It is an easy exercise to extend Theorem 1 to include uniformly bounded random variables and increasing $\sigma$-fields $F_{n}$ (to which the $p_{n}$ are adapted).

Acknowledgment. I would like to thank Professor Lester Dubins for suggesting the second part of Theorem 1.

## References

1. Y. Chow, Local convergence of martingales and the law of large numbers, Ann. Math. Statist., vol. 36 (1965), pp. 552-558.
2. L. Dubins and D. Freedman, A sharper form of the Borel-Cantelli lemma and the strong law, Ann. Math. Statist., vol. 36 (1965), pp. 800-807.
3. K. Knopp, Theory and applications of infinite sums, Blackie and Sons, Glasgow, 1946.
4. S. Kochen and C. Stone, A Note on the Borel-Cantelli lemma, Illinois J. Math., vol. 8 (1964), pp. 248-251.
5. P. Lévy, Theorie de l'addition des variables abeatories, Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1937.
6. J. Neveu, Discrete Parameter Martingales, North-Holland, Oxford, 1975.

Georgia Institute of Technology
Atlanta, Georgia


[^0]:    Received April 24, 1981.
    ${ }^{1}$ Partially supported by an AFOSR grant.

