# THE CONVERGENCE OF ENTROPIC ESTIMATES FOR MOMENT PROBLEMS

## A.S. Lewis

<u>Abstract</u>. We show that if  $x_n$  is optimal for the problem

$$\sup \left\{ \int_{0}^{1} \log x(s) ds \mid \int_{0}^{1} (x(s) - \hat{x(s)}) s^{i} ds = 0, i = 0, ..., n, 0 \le x \in L_{1}[0, 1] \right\}$$

then  $\frac{1}{x_n} \rightarrow \frac{1}{\hat{x}}$  weakly in L<sub>1</sub> (providing  $\hat{x}$  is continuous and strictly

positive). This result is a special case of a theorem for more general entropic objectives and underlying spaces.

<u>Key Words</u>: moment problem, entropy, semi-infinite program, duality, normal convex integrand.

AMS 1985 subject classification:

Primary:	41A46, 42A70
Secondary:	90C25, 49D39

### §1. Introduction

The following problem, known as a 'moment problem' or 'underdetermined inverse problem', occurs frequently in physical and other applications (see for example [Mead and Papanicolaou, 1984]). We are given a finite number of 'moments'  $\int \hat{x} \hat{a}_i \, ds$ , for i = 1,...,n, where

(S,ds) is some measure space and  $a_i \in L_{\infty}(S)$ , i = 1,...,n are given, and we wish to estimate the unknown non-negative density  $\hat{x} \in L_1(S)$ . One popular technique is to choose an estimate x to have the given moments and in order to minimize some objective function. Typically the objective function used is of the form  $\int_{S} \phi(x(s))ds$ , where  $\phi \colon \mathbb{R} \to (-\infty,\infty)$  is

convex, so the problem becomes

$$(\text{MP}_n) \quad \left( \begin{array}{ccc} \inf & \int \phi(x(s)) ds \\ & S \\ \text{subject to} & \int (x-\hat{x}) a_i ds = 0 \ , \ i = 1, ..., n \ , \\ & S \\ & 0 \leq x \in L_1(S) \ . \end{array} \right)$$

Various functions  $\phi$  have been tried, including the classical 'maximum entropy' approach where  $\phi(u) = u \log u$ , (see [Mead and Papanicolaou, 1984] and the references therein), other measures of entropy such as  $\phi(u) = -\log u$  (for example [Johnson and Shore, 1984]), and more recently norm objectives such as  $\phi(u) = \frac{1}{2}u^2$  [Goodrich and Steinhardt, 1986].

A survey of objective functions, along with solution techniques based on duality, may be found in [Ben-Tal, Borwein and Teboulle, 1988], and these techniques, together with the question of the existence of optimal solutions for  $(MP_n)$ , are studied further in [Borwein and Lewis, 1988(a)].

For this approach to the moment problem to be practically useful we would hope that as the number of known moments increases, our estimate converges in some sense to  $\hat{x}$ . Further conditions on the  $a_i$ 's will be necessary to ensure this. Suppose therefore that S is a compact Hausdorff space, ds a regular Borel measure, and that the  $a_i$ 's are densely spanning in C(S). As essentially observed in [Mead and Papanicolaou, 1984], if  $x_n$  is feasible for (MP<sub>n</sub>) then  $x_n ds \rightarrow \hat{x} ds$  weak\* in M(S). However, it need not be the case that  $x_n \rightarrow \hat{x}$  weakly in L<sub>1</sub>(S). Indeed, the following result appears in [Borwein and Lewis, 1988(b)].

<u>Theorem 1.1.</u> Suppose S is a compact metric space, ds a non-negative regular Borel measure,  $cl(span(a_i)_1^{\infty}) = C(S)$ , and for some K, $\delta > 0$ ,  $\delta \le \hat{x}(s) \le K$  a.e. For a given  $y \in L_{\infty}(S)$ , a necessary and sufficient condition that  $\int_{S} (x_n - \hat{x}) y \, ds \to 0$  for every sequence  $(x_n)$  with  $x_n$  feasible for  $(MP_n)$  is that y = z a.e. for some function z, continuous a.e.

It follows from this that in order to guarantee the weak convergence of optimal solutions of  $(MP_n)$  to  $\hat{x}$  we will need further conditions on the objective function. One possibility is to require it to have weakly compact level sets. When (S,ds) is complete and totally  $\sigma$ -finite, and  $\phi$ is a closed, convex, proper function with conjugate  $\phi^*$  everywhere finite, a result of Rockafellar shows that the objective function in (MP<sub>n</sub>) has weakly compact level sets. Under the further conditions on S, ds and the a<sub>i</sub>'s above, this will ensure that if  $x_n$  is optimal for (MP<sub>n</sub>) then  $x_n \rightarrow \hat{x}$ weakly in L<sub>1</sub>(S) (see [Borwein and Lewis, 1988(a)]). This will apply in particular to the Boltzmann-Shannon entropy defined by

 $\phi(u) = \begin{cases} u \ \log u \ , \ u > 0 \ , \\ 0 \ , \ u = 0 \ , \\ +\infty \ , \ u < 0 \ . \end{cases}$ 

For this objective function in the special case where S = [0,1], ds is Lebesgue measure, and  $a_i(s) = s^{i-1}$ , the weak convergence of  $x_n$  to  $\hat{x}$  was shown in [Forte, Hughes and Pales, 1988].

However, in the case of the logarithmic entropy,

 $\varphi(u) = \begin{cases} -\log u \ , \ u > 0 \ , \\ +\infty \ , \ u \le 0 \ , \end{cases}$ 

 $\phi^*$  is not everywhere finite, so the objective function typically will not have weakly compact level sets [Borwein and Lewis, 1988(b)], and this technique cannot be applied. The results presented in this paper will adopt a different approach to show, under suitable conditions, that if  $x_n$  is optimal for (MP<sub>n</sub>) then  $\phi'(x_n(\cdot)) \rightarrow \phi'(\hat{x}(\cdot))$  weakly in L<sub>1</sub>(S).

#### §2. Minimizing Sequences

Throughout this paper the finite-dimensional convex analytic terminology used will be that of [Rockafellar, 1970]. Suppose (S,ds) is a finite measure space. For a closed, convex, proper function  $\theta : \mathbb{R} \to (-\infty, \infty]$ , define  $I_{\theta} : L_1(S) \to (-\infty, \infty]$  by  $I_{\theta}(v) := \int_{S} \theta(v(s)) ds$ . Using

the theory of normal convex integrands in [Rockafellar, 1974], I<sub>θ</sub> is a well-defined convex functional with conjugate  $(I_{\theta})^* : L_{\infty}(S) \to (-\infty,\infty]$ given by  $(I_{\theta})^*(z) = I_{\theta^*}(z) = \int_{S} \theta^*(z(s)) ds$ .

For a given  $y \in L_{\infty}(S)$  we shall be interested in the function  $f: L_1(S) \to (-\infty,\infty]$  defined by  $f(v) := I_{\theta}(v) - \langle v, y \rangle$ . It is easy to check that the conjugate function  $f^*: L_{\infty}(S) \to (-\infty,\infty]$  is given by  $f^*(z) = I_{\theta^*}(z+y)$ . We shall make the following assumptions about  $\theta$ and y:

(2.1)  $\begin{cases} \theta^* & \text{is twice continuously differentiable on } \inf(\operatorname{dom} \theta^*), \\ [\text{ess inf } y, \text{ess sup } y] \subset \inf(\operatorname{dom} \theta^*). \end{cases}$ 

<u>Proposition 2.2</u>. The infimum of f is attained uniquely by  $\overline{v} \in L_{\infty}(S)$ , where  $\overline{v}(s) := (\theta^*)'(y(s))$  a.e., and  $\inf f = -I_{\theta^*}(y)$ . Proof. By [Rockafellar, 1970, 23.5],

 $\theta(v(s))$  -  $v(s)y(s) \geq -\theta^*(y(s))$  a.e. ,

with equality a.e. if and only if  $v(s) = (\theta^*)'(y(s))$  a.e. The result follows

by integrating over S . The fact that  $\overline{v} \in L_{\infty}(S)$  follows from the

continuity of  $(\theta^*)'$  and the compactness of the essential range of y (2.1).

<u>Lemma 2.3</u>. For  $w \in L_{\infty}(S)$  and  $b \in \mathbb{R}$ 

$$\inf\{f(v) \mid \langle v, w \rangle \ge b \ , \ v \in L_1(S)\} \ge$$

$$\sup\{b\lambda - f^*(\lambda w) \mid 0 \le \lambda \in \mathbb{R}\}$$
.

<u>Proof</u>. For  $\langle v, w \rangle \ge b$  and  $\lambda \ge 0$ ,

 $b\lambda - f^*(\lambda w) \le \langle v, \lambda w \rangle - f^*(\lambda w) \le f(v)$ ,

and the result follows, taking inf over  $\nu$  and sup over  $\lambda$  .

<u>Theorem 2.4</u>. Suppose  $(v_i)_1^{\infty} \subset L_1(S)$  and  $f(v_i) \to \inf f$ . Then  $v_i(\cdot) \to (\theta^*)'(y(\cdot))$  (the unique minimizer for f) weakly in  $L_1(S)$ .

<u>Proof</u>. Suppose not, so for some  $w \in L_{\infty}(S)$ ,

 $\int\limits_{S} [v_i(s) - (\theta^*)'(y(s))]w(s)ds \geq 1$  , each i.

Applying Lemma 2.3 with  $b := 1 + \int_{S} (\theta^*)'(y(s))w(s)ds$  it follows that

for all  $0 \leq \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  ,

(2.5)  $b\lambda - f^*(\lambda w) \leq \inf f$ .

Now pick  $\delta > 0$  such that

 $[(\text{ess inf y})-\delta, (\text{ess sup y}) + \delta] \subset \text{int}(\text{dom }\theta^*)$ .

By the continuity of  $(\theta^*)$ ", there exists M such that for all

 $u\in [(\text{ess inf }y)\text{-}\delta$  , (ess sup  $y)\text{+}\delta]$  ,  $0\leq (\theta^*)"(u)\leq M$  . Since  $w\in L_\infty(S)$  , for

all  $\lambda$  sufficiently small

 $y(s) + \lambda w(s) \in [(ess inf y)-\delta, (ess sup y)+\delta]$  a.e.,

so by the mean value theorem,

$$\theta^*(\mathbf{y}(\mathbf{s}) + \lambda \mathbf{w}(\mathbf{s})) \leq \theta^*(\mathbf{y}(\mathbf{s})) + \lambda \mathbf{w}(\mathbf{s})(\theta^*)'(\mathbf{y}(\mathbf{s})) + \frac{1}{2} \mathsf{M}(\lambda \mathbf{w}(\mathbf{s}))^2 , \text{ a.e.}$$

Integrating over S gives

$$f^{*}(\lambda w) \leq -\inf f + \lambda(b-1) + \lambda^{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} M \int w(s)^{2} ds\right)$$

for all  $\lambda$  sufficiently small. But then by (2.5), for all  $\lambda \ge 0$  sufficiently small

$$-\lambda + \left( \begin{array}{c} \frac{1}{2} \ M \int \ w(s)^2 ds \\ S \end{array} \right) \lambda^2 \geq \inf \ f + f^*(\lambda w) \ - b\lambda \geq 0 \ ,$$

which is a contradiction for small  $\lambda > 0$  .

A similar, less direct approach to this result uses the results on minimizing sequences in [Rockafellar, 1974].

## §3. Weak Convergence

We are now ready to return to the original problem.

$$(P_n) \qquad \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \inf & \int \phi(x(s)) ds \\ subject \ to & \int (x-\widehat{x}) a_i ds = 0 \ , \ i = 1, \dots, n \ , \\ & S \\ & x \in L_1(S) \ . \end{array} \right.$$

Notice we have removed the constraint  $x \ge 0$ , assuming it to be implicit in the function  $\phi$ . We make the following assumptions:

$$\begin{cases} S \text{ is a compact Hausdorff space,} \\ ds \text{ is a non-negative regular Borel measure on } S \text{,} \\ cl(span(a_i)_1^{\infty}) = C(S) \text{,} \\ \\ \varphi : \mathbb{R} \to (-\infty,\infty] \text{ is closed, convex, proper, essentially smooth} \\ and essentially strictly convex, and twice continuously \\ differentiable on int(dom \phi) \text{,} \\ \\ \hat{x} \in C(S) \text{ with } [\min \hat{x}, \max \hat{x}] \subset int(dom \phi) \text{.} \end{cases}$$

A closed, convex, proper function  $\phi : \mathbb{R} \to (-\infty,\infty]$  is essentially strictly convex if and only if it is strictly convex on dom  $\phi$  (see [Borwein and Lewis, 1988(a)]), and is essentially smooth if it is differentiable on int(dom  $\phi$ ) and  $|\phi'(u)| \to +\infty$  if u approaches a point in the boundary of dom  $\phi$ . Functions which are both essentially smooth and essentially strictly convex are said to be 'of Legendre type', and have the following property.

<u>Theorem 3.2</u>. [Rockafellar, 1970, 26.5] The function  $\phi$  is of Legendre type if and only if  $\phi^*$  is. In this case the gradient map  $\phi' : \operatorname{int}(\operatorname{dom} \phi) \rightarrow$ int(dom  $\phi^*$ ) is 1-1, onto, continuous, and with continuous inverse ( $\phi^*$ )'.

The dual problem for (MPn), from [Borwein and Lewis, 1988(a)], is

$$(DP_{n}) \qquad \begin{cases} maximize \quad \langle \hat{x}, \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i}a_{i} \rangle - I_{\phi^{*}} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i}a_{i} \right) \\ subject to \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \end{cases}$$

<u>Theorem 3.3</u>. The values of  $(P_n)$  and  $(DP_n)$  are equal, with attainment in  $(DP_n)$ .

<u>Proof.</u> This follows from the duality theorem [Borwein and Lewis, 1988(a), 2.4], since  $\hat{x} \in qri(dom I_{\varphi})$  (or in other words cl cone(dom  $I_{\varphi} - \hat{x}$ ) is a subspace) so the required constraint qualification is satisfied. To see this, observe that from 3.1,  $\hat{x} \in ||\cdot||_{\infty} - int(dom(I_{\varphi}|_{L_{\infty}}(S)))$  (restricting  $I_{\varphi}$ to  $L_{\infty}(S) \subset L_{1}(S)$ ), so certainly cone(dom  $I_{\varphi} - \hat{x}) \supset L_{\infty}(S)$ . Since  $L_{\infty}(S)$ is dense in  $L_{1}(S)$  [Rudin, 1966, 3.13], the result follows. The question of attainment in the primal problem  $(P_n)$  is harder. We have the following result from [Borwein and Lewis, 1988(a)].

<u>Theorem 3.4</u>. Suppose assumptions (3.1) hold for the problem (P<sub>n</sub>). Suppose further that  $S = [\alpha, \beta] \subset \mathbb{R}$  with ds Lebesgue measure, that the  $a_i$ 's are locally Lipschitz (or in particular continuously differentiable),

and that  $\phi(u) = +\infty$  for u < 0. Define two numbers,  $d := \lim_{U \to +\infty} \frac{\phi(u)}{u}$ , and if  $d < +\infty$ ,

$$c := \lim_{U \to +\infty} (d - \phi'(u))u$$
.

Suppose either  $d = +\infty$ , or c > 0, and there exists  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}^n$  with

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{i=1}^n \ \mu_i a_i(s) < d \ \text{ for all } s \in [\alpha,\beta] \ \text{ (which holds in particular for all sufficiently large n, or if } a_1 \equiv 1 \text{)}. \end{split}$$

It is easy to check for example that the conditions on  $\phi$  are satisfied in particular for the two entropies in the introduction.

When we know the existence of an optimal solution, it is easy to identify it.

<u>Theorem 3.5</u>. Suppose  $x_n$  is optimal for  $(P_n)$  and  $\lambda^n$  is optimal for  $(DP_n)$ . Then

$$\begin{split} \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^n a_i(s) &= \phi'(x_n(s)) \quad , \text{ a.e., and} \\ x_n(s) &= (\phi^*)' \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^n a_i(s) \right) \, , \text{ a.e.} \end{split}$$

<u>Proof</u>. If  $x_n$  and  $\lambda^n$  are both optimal then

$$\begin{split} I_{\phi}(x_{n}) &= \left\langle \begin{array}{c} \hat{x} \end{array}, \begin{array}{c} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i}^{n} a_{i} \end{array} \right\rangle - I_{\phi^{*}} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i}^{n} a_{i} \right) \\ &= \left\langle \begin{array}{c} x_{n} \end{array}, \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i}^{n} a_{i} \right\rangle - I_{\phi^{*}} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i}^{n} a_{i} \right) \end{split}, \end{split}$$

1

so it follows that

$$\int_{S} \left[ \phi(x_{n}(s)) + \phi^{*} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i}^{n} a_{i}(s) \right) - x_{n}(s) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i}^{n} a_{i}(s) \right] ds = 0 .$$

Thus by [Rockafellar, 1970, 23.5],

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \ \lambda_i^n \ a_i(s) \ \in \ \partial \phi(x_n(s)) \ , \ a.e.,$$

and the result follows by Theorem 3.2.

This result shows in particular that primal optimal solutions, if they exist, are unique. This is clear alternatively from the strict convexity of I\_{\$\Phi\$} .

Let us denote the value of a problem by  $V(\cdot)$ .

<u>Theorem 3.6</u>.  $V(DP_n) \uparrow I_{\phi}(\hat{x})$  as  $n \to \infty$ .

<u>Proof</u>. Clearly  $V(DP_n)$  is increasing in n . Since

[min  $\hat{x}$ , max  $\hat{x}$ ]  $\subset$  int(dom  $\phi$ ), and  $\phi$  is continuously differentiable on

int(dom  $\phi$ ),  $\phi' \circ \hat{x} \in C(S)$ , and by Theorem 3.2,

 $[\min \varphi' \circ \hat{x}, \max \varphi' \circ \hat{x}] \subset int(dom \varphi^*). \text{ Pick } \epsilon > 0 \text{ such that}$ 

 $[\min(\phi' \circ \hat{x}) - \epsilon, \max(\phi' \circ \hat{x}) + \epsilon] \subset int(dom \phi^*) ,$ 

so  $\phi^*$  is uniformly continuous on  $[\min(\phi' \circ \hat{x}) - \varepsilon, \max(\phi' \circ \hat{x}) + \varepsilon]$ . Since span  $(a_i)_1^{\infty}$  is dense in C(S) it follows that, given  $\delta > 0$ , there exists N and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^N$  such that

$$||(\phi'\circ\hat{x}) - \sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i a_i||_\infty < \delta,$$

and (for  $~\delta<~\epsilon)~$  by the uniform continuity of  $~\phi^*~$  we can also ensure that \$N\$

$$||\phi^*\circ\phi'\circ\hat{x}-\phi^*\circ\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\lambda_{i}a_{i}||_{\infty}<\delta\;.$$

We then have

$$\begin{aligned} & <\hat{x}, \sum_{i=1}^{N} \lambda_{i}a_{i} > -I_{\phi^{*}}(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \lambda_{i}a_{i}) \\ & = \int_{S} \left[ \hat{x}(s) \sum_{i=1}^{N} \lambda_{i}a_{i}(s) - \phi^{*}(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \lambda_{i}a_{i}(s)) \right] ds \\ & \geq \int_{S} \left[ \hat{x}(s)\phi'(\hat{x}(s)) - \delta|\hat{x}(s)| - \phi^{*}(\phi'(\hat{x}(s))) - \delta \right] ds \end{aligned}$$

$$= \int_{S} \phi(\hat{x}(s)) - \delta(1 + |\hat{x}(s)|) ds$$
$$= I_{\phi}(\hat{x}) - \delta \int_{S} [1 + |\hat{x}(s)|] ds ,$$

by [Rockafellar, 1970, 23.5], so  $V(DP_N) \ge I_{\phi}(\hat{x}) - \delta \int_{S} [1 + |\hat{x}(s)|] ds$ . However  $V(DP_N) = V(P_N) \le I_{\phi}(\hat{x})$ , since  $\hat{x}$  is feasible for  $(P_N)$ . Since  $\delta$  was arbitrary, the result now follows.

Notice that the strong duality theorem (3.3) is not in fact necessary to prove this result: it is sufficient to observe by weak duality that  $V(DP_N) \leq V(P_N)$ .

We are finally ready to deduce our main result. We include in the statement a summary of the above results.

<u>Theorem 3.7.</u> Suppose assumptions (3.1) hold for the problem  $(P_n)$ . Then  $V(P_n) = V(DP_n) \uparrow I_{\phi}(\hat{x})$  as  $n \to \infty$ , with attainment in  $(DP_n)$ . If  $\lambda^n$  is is optimal for  $(DP_n)$  then  $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^n a_i \to \phi'(\hat{x}(\cdot))$  weakly in  $L_1(S)$ . If there exists an optimal solution of  $(P_n)$  then it is given uniquely by  $x_n(s) := (\phi^*)' \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^n a_i(s)\right)$ , a.e., and  $\phi'(x_n(\cdot)) \to \phi'(\hat{x}(\cdot))$  weakly in  $L_1(S)$ .

<u>Proof.</u> Consider the function  $g: L_1(S) \to (-\infty,\infty]$  defined by  $g(v) := I_{\phi^*}(v) - \langle v, \hat{x} \rangle$ . By Proposition 2.2, inf  $g = -I_{\phi}(\hat{x})$ , and is attained uniquely by  $\overline{v} \in L_{\infty}(S)$ , where  $\overline{v(s)} := \phi'(\hat{x}(s))$  a.e. By Theorem 3.6,  $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^n a_i$  is a minimizing sequence for g, so by Theorem 2.4  $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^n a_i \to \overline{v}$ weakly in  $L_1(S)$ . The remaining assertions follow from Theorem 3.5.

## Examples

Consider the special case

$$(E_n) \begin{cases} \inf & \int_{0}^{1} \phi(x(s)) ds \\ & 0 \\ subject to & \int_{0}^{1} s^{i}(x(s) - \hat{x}(s)) ds = 0 , i = 0, ..., n , \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \le x \in L_1[0,1] , \end{cases}$$

where ds is Lebesgue measure, for three different measures of entropy:

(i) 
$$\phi(u) = \begin{cases} u \log u - u, & u > 0, \\ 0, & u = 0, \\ +\infty, & u < 0, \end{cases}$$

(ii) 
$$\phi(u) = \begin{cases} -\log u , & u > 0 , \\ +\infty , & u \le 0 , \end{cases}$$

(iii) 
$$\phi(u) = \begin{cases} u \log u - (1+u) \log(1+u) , & u > 0 , \\ 0 & , & u = 0 , \\ + \infty & , & u < 0 . \end{cases}$$

In all three cases (assuming  $\hat{x}$  is continuous and strictly positive) Theorems 3.4 and 3.7 apply. Suppose in each case  $\lambda^n$  is dual optimal, and let  $x_n$  denote the unique optimal solution of (E<sub>n</sub>).

(i) 
$$x_n(s) = \exp(\sum_{i=0}^{n} \lambda_i^n s^i)$$
, a.e.,

and log  $x_n(\cdot) \rightarrow \log \hat{x}(\cdot)$  weakly in L<sub>1</sub>.

(ii) 
$$x_n(s) = -(\sum_{i=0}^n \lambda_i^n s^i)^{-1}$$
, a.e.,

$$\begin{array}{ll} \mbox{and} & \frac{1}{x_n(\cdot)} \rightarrow \frac{1}{\hat{x}(\cdot)} & \mbox{weakly in } L_1 \end{array} . \\ (iii) & x_n(s) = \left[ \exp(-\sum_{i=0}^n \lambda_i^n s^i) - 1 \right]^{-1} & , \mbox{ a.e.} \end{array}$$

and 
$$\log(1 + \frac{1}{x_n(\cdot)}) \rightarrow \log(1 + \frac{1}{\hat{x}(\cdot)})$$
 weakly in L<sub>1</sub>.

Further examples may be found in [Borwein and Lewis, 1988(a)]. Clearly we could replace  $a_i(s) = s^{i-1}$  in the above with trigonometric

polynomials, cos i e and sin i e (alternating).

In case (i) the theory in [Borwein and Lewis, 1988(b)] shows that in fact  $x_n \rightarrow \hat{x}$  weakly in  $L_1$ . Whether or not this is necessarily the case in the other examples remains unclear.

### §4. <u>References</u>

- Ben-Tal, A., J.M. Borwein and M. Teboulle, 1988: Spectral estimation via convex programming, to appear in the Proceedings of the conference in honour of A. Charnes' 70th Birthday.
- Borwein, J.M. and A.S. Lewis, 1988(a): Duality relationships for entropylike minimization problems, submitted to SIAM J. Appl. Math.
- Borwein, J.M. and A.S. Lewis, 1988(b): On the convergence of moment problems, to appear.
- Forte, B., W. Hughes and Z. Pales, 1988: Maximum entropy estimators and the problem of moments, to appear.
- Goodrich, B.K. and A. Steinhardt, 1986: L<sub>2</sub> spectral estimation, SIAM J. Appl. Math. 46, 417-428.
- Johnson, R.W. and J.E. Shore, 1984: Which is the better entropy for speech processing: slogs or logs? IEEE Trans. on acoustics, speech and signal processing, ASSP 32, 129-136.
- Mead, L.R. and N. Papanicolaou, 1984: Maximum entropy in the problem of moments, J. Math. Phys. 25, 2404-2417.
- Rockafellar, R.T., 1970: Convex analysis, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J.
- Rockafellar, R.T., 1974: Conjugate duality and optimization, SIAM Publications, Philadelphia.

Rudin, W., 1966: Real and complex analysis, McGraw-Hill, New York.

Department of Mathematics, Statistics and Computing Science Dalhousie University, Halifax, N.S., Canada B3H 3J5