## ON SOME TRACE INEQUALITIES
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## §1 INTRODUCTION

Let $A \geqq B \geqq 0$ be positive operators on a Hilbert space. It is well-known that this order assumption implies $\operatorname{Tr}(f(A)) \geqq \operatorname{Tr}(f(B))$, where $\operatorname{Tr}$ denotes the usual trace and $f$ is a continuous increasing function on $\mathbb{R}_{+}$with $f(0)=0$. In fact, singular numbers $\left\{\mu_{n}(\cdot)\right\}_{n=1,2, \ldots}$ (see [6], [7] for details) satisfy

$$
\mu_{n}(f(A))=f\left(\mu_{n}(A)\right) \geqq f\left(\mu_{n}(B)\right)=\mu_{n}(f(B))
$$

because of $\mu_{n}(A) \geqq \mu_{n}(B)$ (a consequence of the min-max expression for $\mu_{n}(\cdot)$ ). Hence, by summing up over $n$, one obtains the desired estimate.

The purpose of the present note is to point out two generalizations of the above mentioned trace inequality.

## §2 RESULTS

Let $A, B$ be positive operators on a Hilbert space $H$ satisfying $A \geqq B \geqq 0$. By setting $q=2$ in Furuta's inequality ([5]), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{(p+2 r) / 2} \geqq\left(A^{r} B^{p} A^{r}\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

as long as $p, r \geqq 0$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1+2 r) 2 \geqq p+2 r, \quad \text { i.e., } \quad 2+2 r \geqq p . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Extending the continuous linear map

$$
A^{(p+2 r) / 4} \zeta \in R\left(A^{(p+2 r) / 4}\right) \mapsto\left(A^{r} B^{p} A^{r}\right)^{1 / 4} \zeta \in H
$$

(well-defined due to (1)), we obtain the contraction $a$ satisfying

$$
\begin{align*}
& a A^{(p+2 r) / 4}=\left(A^{r} B^{p} A^{r}\right)^{1 / 4} \\
& a=0 \quad \text { on } \quad R\left(A^{(p+2 r) / 4}\right)^{\perp} \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

From the first equality we easily get

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{r} B^{p} A^{r}=A^{(p+2 r) / 4} a^{*} a A^{(p+2 r) / 2} a^{*} a A^{(p+2 r) / 4} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We claim

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{(2 r-p) / 4} B^{p} A^{(2 r-p) / 4}=h A^{(p+2 r) / 2} h \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $h=a^{*} a, 0 \leqq h \leqq 1$ (if $2 r-p \geqq 0 \cdots$ otherwise we assume the invertibility of $A$ so that the claim trivially follows from (4)). In fact, because the subspace $R\left(A^{(p+2 r) / 4}\right) \oplus$ $\operatorname{ker} A$ is in $H$, it suffices to check

$$
\left(A^{(2 r-p) / 4} B^{p} A^{(2 r-p) / 4} \xi \mid \xi\right)=\left(h A^{(p+2 r) / 2} h \xi \mid \xi\right)
$$

for a vector $\xi=A^{(p+2 r) / 4} \zeta+\zeta^{\prime}\left(\zeta \in(\operatorname{ker} A)^{\perp}, \zeta^{\prime} \in \operatorname{ker} A\right)$. However, this follows from straight-forward calculations based on (3) and (4).

THEOREM 1. Assume $A \geqq B \geqq 0$ and $p>1, \alpha \geqq \max \{-1,-p / 2\}$.
(i) There exists a partial isometry $u$ satisfying

$$
A^{\alpha / 2} B^{p} A^{\alpha / 2} \leqq u^{*} A^{p+\alpha} u
$$

(ii) For a continuous increasing function $f$ on $\mathbb{R}_{+}$with $f(0)=0$, we have

$$
\operatorname{Tr}\left(f\left(A^{\alpha / 2} B^{p} A^{\alpha / 2}\right)\right) \leqq \operatorname{Tr}\left(f\left(A^{p+\alpha}\right)\right)
$$

In the above statements the invertibility of $A$ is assumed when $\alpha<0$.
PROOF. (i) Let $A^{(p+2 r) / 4} h=v\left|A^{(p+2 r) / 4} h\right|$ be the polar decomposition. Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|B^{p / 2} A^{(2 r-p) / 4}\right| & =\left|A^{(p+2 r) / 4} h\right| \quad(\text { by }(5)) \\
& =u^{*} A^{(p+2 r) / 4} h\left(=h A^{(p+2 r) / 4} u\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
A^{(2 r-p) / 4} B^{p} A^{(2 r-p) / 4} & =u^{*} A^{(p+2 r) / 4} h^{2} A^{(p+2 r) / 4} u \\
& \leqq u^{*} A^{(p+2 r) / 2} u
\end{aligned}
$$

(recall $0 \leqq h \leqq 1$ ). By setting $\alpha=(2 r-p) / 2(\geqq-1$ by (2), but $r$ cannot be negative), we get (i).
(ii) This follows from

$$
\mu_{n}\left(A^{\alpha / 2} B^{p} A^{\alpha / 2}\right) \leqq \mu_{n}\left(u^{*} A^{p+\alpha} u\right) \leqq \mu_{n}\left(A^{p+\alpha}\right)
$$

$n=1,2, \ldots$.
It is obvious from the above proof that $u$ in (i) can be chosen to be a unitary when $A, B$ are (finite) matrices. When $0 \leqq p \leqq 1$, we have $A^{p} \geqq B^{p}$ (the operator monotonicity of the function $\lambda^{p}$ on $\mathbb{R}_{+}$). Therefore, in this case the above (ii) remains valid for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. Note that (i) says $B^{p} \leqq u^{*} A^{p} u, p>1$ (although $B^{p} \leqq A^{p}$ generally fails). The next fact might also be worth pointing out.

PROPOSITION 2. For self-adjoint operators $A, B$ with $A \geqq B$, we can find a unitary $v$ satisfying

$$
e^{B} \leqq v^{*} e^{A} v
$$

PROOF. Ando, [1], showed that $A=A^{*} \geqq B=B^{*}$ guarantees

$$
(0 \leqq) k=e^{-A / 2}\left(e^{A / 2} e^{B} e^{A / 2}\right)^{1 / 2} e^{-A / 2} \leqq 1
$$

Let $e^{A / 2} k=v\left|e^{A / 2} k\right|$ be the polar decomposition. (Note that $v$ is a unitary, all the involved operators being invertible.) Since $k e^{A} k=e^{B}$, the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 1, (i) shows the desired result.

The next result will be proved based on a majorization argument.

THEOREM 3. Let $A, B$ be positive operators, and $f, g$ be continuous increasing functions on $\mathbb{R}_{+}$vanishing at 0 . If $A \geqq B$ (or more generally if $\mu_{n}(A) \geqq \mu_{n}(B)$ for $n=1,2, \ldots$, i.e., $A$ spectrally dominates $B$ in the sense of for example [2], [3]), then we get

$$
\operatorname{Tr}(f(A) g(A)) \geqq \operatorname{Tr}\left(f(A)^{1 / 2} g(B) f(A)^{1 / 2}\right)
$$

PROOF. First we further assume $\operatorname{dim} R(B)=m<+\infty$. Let $\beta_{1} \geqq \beta_{1} \geqq \cdots \geqq \beta_{m}(>0)$ be the non-zero eigenvalues of $B$, and $\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, \ldots, \xi_{m}$ be corresponding (mutually orthogonal) eigenvectors of length 1. Adding some vectors, we obtain an orthonormal basis $\left\{\xi_{i}\right\}_{i=1,2,3, \ldots}$ for $H$. For each $j$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{j}\left(f(A) \xi_{i} \mid \xi_{i}\right) \leqq \sum_{i=1}^{j} \mu_{i}(f(A)) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact, the right hand side always majorizes $\operatorname{Tr}(p f(A) p)$, where $p$ is a projection satisfying $\operatorname{dim}(p H) \leqq j$ (see [6], [7]). We now compute

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad \operatorname{Tr}\left(f(A)^{1 / 2} g(B) f(A)^{1 / 2}\right)=\operatorname{Tr}\left(g(B)^{1 / 2} f(A) g(B)^{1 / 2}\right) \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\left(g(B)^{1 / 2} f(A) g(B)^{1 / 2} \xi_{i} \mid \xi_{i}\right) \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{m} g\left(\beta_{i}\right)\left(f(A) \xi_{i} \mid \xi_{i}\right) \\
& =g\left(\beta_{m}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(f(A) \xi_{i} \mid \xi_{i}\right)+\sum_{j=1}^{m-1}\left(g\left(\beta_{j}\right)-g\left(\beta_{j+1}\right)\right) \times\left(\sum_{i=1}^{j}\left(f(A) \xi_{i} \mid \xi_{i}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leqq g\left(\beta_{m}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{m} \mu_{i}(A)+\sum_{j=1}^{m-1}\left(g\left(\beta_{j}\right)-g\left(\beta_{j+1}\right)\right) \times\left(\sum_{i=1}^{j} \mu_{i}(f(A))\right) \\
& \quad \quad\left(\text { by }(6) \text { and the decreasingness of }\left\{g\left(\beta_{j}\right)\right\}\right) \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{m} g\left(\beta_{i}\right) \mu_{i}(f(A)) \\
& \left.\leqq \sum_{i=1}^{m} g\left(\mu_{i}(A)\right) \mu_{i}(f(a)) \text { (because of } \beta_{i}=\mu_{i}(B) \leqq \mu_{i}(A)\right) \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{m} \mu_{i}(g(A)) \mu_{i}(f(A)) \\
& \leqq \operatorname{Tr}(f(A) g(A)) .
\end{aligned}
$$

When $B$ is not necessarily of finite rank, we choose an increasing sequence $\left\{p_{i}\right\}$ of finite rank projections tending to the identity operator in the strong operator topology. Notice that each finite rank operator $B_{i}=p_{i} B p_{i}$ is spectrally dominated by $A$ (because of $\left.\mu_{n}\left(B_{i}\right) \leqq \mu_{n}(B) \leqq \mu_{n}(A)\right)$. Thus the first half of the proof says

$$
\operatorname{Tr}\left(f(A)^{1 / 2} g\left(B_{i}\right) f(A)^{1 / 2}\right) \leqq \operatorname{Tr}(f(A) g(A))
$$

Notice that the sequence $\left\{f(A)^{1 / 2} g\left(B_{i}\right) f(A)^{1 / 2}\right\}_{i}$ converges to $f(A)^{1 / 2} g(B) f(A)^{1 / 2}$ in the strong operator topology. Therefore, the lower semi-continuity of $\operatorname{Tr}(\cdot)$ with respect to this topology shows

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Tr}\left(f(A)^{1 / 2} g(B) f(A)^{1 / 2}\right) & \leqq \liminf _{i \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{Tr}\left(f(A)^{1 / 2} g\left(B_{i}\right) f(A)^{1 / 2}\right) \\
& \leqq \operatorname{Tr}(f(A) g(A)) \tag{Q.E.D.}
\end{align*}
$$

All the results in this note remain valid for a semi-finite trace on a von Neumann algebra of type II. (Instead of $\mu_{n}(\cdot)$, generalized $s$-numbers in [4] have to be used.)
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