## CHAPTER 5

## Log del Pezzo surfaces

In the present chapter we discuss some properties of $\log$ del Pezzo surfaces.

### 5.1. Definitions and examples

Definition 5.1.1. A projective $\log$ surface $(X, D)$ is called

- a log del Pezzo surface if $K_{X}+D$ is lc and $-\left(K_{X}+D\right)$ is nef and big;
- a $\log$ Enriques surface if $K_{X}+D$ is lc and $K_{X}+D \equiv 0$.

Higher dimensional analogs of these are called $\log$ Fano and $\log$ Calabi-Yau varieties, respectively. Usually we omit $D$ if $D=0$.

If $(X, D)$ is a $\log$ del Pezzo, then by Proposition 11.1.1 there exists some $\mathbb{Q}$ complement $K_{X}+D^{+}$of $K_{X}+D$. The pair $\left(X, D^{+}\right)$is a log Enriques surface.

Examples of log del Pezzo surfaces are the classical ones, weighted projective planes $\mathbb{P}\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}\right)$ with boundary $D=\sum d_{i} D_{i}$, where $D_{i}:=\left\{x_{i}=0\right\}$ and $\sum d_{i}<$ 3, Hirzebruch surfaces $\mathbb{F}_{n}$ with boundary $\alpha \Sigma_{0}$, where $\Sigma_{0}$ is the negative section and $(n-2) / n \leq \alpha \leq 1$.

Let $f:\left(X^{\prime}, D^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow(X, D)$ be a birational log crepant morphism; that is,

$$
K_{X^{\prime}}+D^{\prime}=f^{*}\left(K_{X}+D\right), \quad \text { with } \quad f_{*} D^{\prime}=D
$$

Then $(X, D)$ is a $\log$ del Pezzo if and only if so is $\left(X^{\prime}, D^{\prime}\right)$ (see 1.1.5). Conversely, if $f: X^{\prime} \rightarrow X$ is a birational morphism and ( $X^{\prime}, D^{\prime}$ ) is a log del Pezzo then so is $\left(X, f_{*} D^{\prime}\right)$. Many examples can also be obtained by taking finite quotients; see 1.2.

Example 5.1.2. Let $G \subset \mathrm{PGL}_{2}(\mathbb{C})$ be a finite subgroup, $X:=\mathbb{P}^{2} / G$ and $f: \mathbb{P}^{2} \rightarrow X$ the natural projection. As in 1.2 , we define a boundary $D$ on $X$ by the condition $K_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}=f^{*}\left(K_{X}+D\right)$, where $D=\sum\left(1-1 / r_{i}\right) D_{i}$, all the $D_{i}$ are images of lines on $\mathbb{P}^{2}$, and $r_{i}$ is the ramification index over $D_{i}$. For example, if $G$ is the symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_{3}$, acting on $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ by permutations of coordinates, $X$ is the weighted projective plane $\mathbb{P}(1,2,3)=\operatorname{Proj} \mathbb{C}\left[\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \sigma_{3}\right]$, where the $\sigma_{i}$ are the symmetric functions on coordinates on $\mathbb{P}^{2}$. The divisor $D$ has exactly one component $D_{1}$ with coefficient $1 / 2$, where $D_{1}$ is determined by the equation

$$
\sigma_{1}^{2} \sigma_{2}^{2}-4 \sigma_{2}^{3}-4 \sigma_{1}^{3} \sigma_{3}-27 \sigma_{3}^{2}+18 \sigma_{1} \sigma_{2} \sigma_{3}=0
$$

(the equation of the discriminant). The surface $X$ has exactly two singular points which are Du Val of types $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$. Therefore $X$ is a Gorenstein del Pezzo
surface of degree $K_{X}^{2}=6$. The curve $D_{1}$ is contained in the smooth locus and has a unique singularity at $(1,1 / 3,1 / 27)$, which is a cusp.

Lemma 5.1.3. Let $(X, D)$ be a log del Pezzo surface. Assume additionally either $K_{X}+D$ is klt or $K_{X}+D$ is dlt and $-\left(K_{X}+D\right)$ is ample. Then
(i) $\operatorname{Pic}(X)$ is a finitely generated free abelian group;
(ii) the numerical equivalence in $\operatorname{Pic}(X)$ coincides with linear one;
(iii) group of classes of Weil divisors $\mathrm{Weil}_{\mathrm{lin}}(X)$ is finitely generated.

Recall that two-dimensional $\log$ terminal singularities are automatically $\mathbb{Q}$ factorial.

Sketch of proof. From the exponential sequence and Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing we have $\operatorname{Pic}(X) \simeq H^{2}(X, \mathbb{Z})$. Assume that $D \in \operatorname{Pic}(X)$ is $n$-torsion. Then again Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing and by Riemann-Roch, $|D| \neq \varnothing$. Therefore $D \sim 0$. (iii) follows by [K, Lemma 1.1].

### 5.2. Boundedness of log del Pezzos

It is well known that the degree $K_{X}^{2}$ of classical del Pezzo surfaces is bounded by 9. This however is not true for $\log$ del Pezzo surfaces. Indeed, we can take ( $\mathbb{F}_{n},(1-2 / n) \Sigma_{0}$ ), where $\mathbb{F}_{n}$ is the Hirzebruch surface and $\Sigma_{0}$ is the negative section. Then $-\left(K+(1-2 / n) \Sigma_{0}\right)$ is nef and big. It is easy to compute that

$$
\left(K+(1-2 / n) \Sigma_{0}\right)^{2}=n+4+4 / n
$$

so it is unbounded. However, results of Alexeev and Nikulin (see [A]) show that the degree $\left(K_{X}+D\right)^{2}$ of log del Pezzo surfaces is bounded by a constant Const $(\varepsilon)$ if $K_{X}+D$ is $\varepsilon$-lt. More precisely we have

Theorem 5.2.1 ([A], see also [KeM, Sect. 9]). Fix $\varepsilon>0$. Let $(X, D=$ $\sum d_{i} D_{i}$ ) be a projective log surface such that $-\left(K_{X}+D\right)$ is nef, $K_{X}+D$ is $\varepsilon$ lt and $d_{i}<1-\varepsilon$. Then the class $\{X\}$ is bounded in the algebraic moduli sense except for the case when $D=0$ and $K_{X} \sim 0$.

In the case when $D=0$ and $-K_{X}$ is ample we have more effective Nikulin's results.

ThEOREM 5.2.2 ([N2]). Let $X$ be a projective surface with only klt singularities such that $-K_{X}$ is ample and $X^{\text {min }} \rightarrow X$ a minimal resolution. Then

$$
\rho\left(X^{\min }\right)< \begin{cases}3141 & \text { if } e=2 \\ 5317 & \text { if } e=3 \\ 17735 & \text { if } e=4 \\ \frac{192 e(e-3)(6 e-27)}{\varepsilon(e)}+1536 e^{2}(e-3)+1820 e+69 & \text { if } e \geq 5\end{cases}
$$

where $e$ is the maximal multiplicity of the singularities of $X$ and $\varepsilon(e)$ is some function of $e$.

Nikulin [ $\mathbf{N 1}$ ] obtained also a better bound (linear in $e$ )

$$
\rho\left(X^{\min }\right) \leq 352 e+1284
$$

in the case when all the discrepancies of $X$ satisfy the inequalities

$$
a(E, 0) \leq-1 / 2 \quad \text { or } \quad a(E, 0) \geq 0
$$

Proofs of theorems 5.2.1, 5.2.2 use weighted graph technique and Nikulin's diagram method. In the case $\rho(X)=1$ Theorem 5.2 .2 was proved also in [KeM, Sect. 9] by using nonnegativity of $\hat{c}_{2}\left(\hat{\Omega}_{X}^{1}(\log D)\right)$ and Bogomolov type inequality $\hat{c}_{1}^{2}\left(\hat{\Omega}_{X}^{1}(\log D)\right) \leq 3 \hat{c}_{2}\left(\hat{\Omega}_{X}^{1}(\log D)\right.$ ) (see also [Ut, Ch. 10] and [K1]). As an easy consequence of this theory we have the following

Theorem 5.2 .3 ([KeM, 9.2]). Let $(X, C)$ be a log surface with $\rho(X)=1$ such that $K_{X}+C$ is lc, $K_{X}$ is klt and $C=\sum C_{i}$ is reduced. Then

$$
\sum_{P \in(X \backslash C)} \frac{m_{P}-1}{m_{P}} \leq \chi_{t o p}(X)-\chi_{t o p}(C)
$$

where $m_{P}$ is the order of the local fundamental group $\pi_{1}\left(U_{P} \backslash\{P\}\right.$ ) ( $U_{P}$ is a sufficiently small neighborhood of $P$ ). If $X$ is rational and $p_{a}(C)=0$, then

$$
\sum_{P \in(X \backslash C)} \frac{m_{P}-1}{m_{P}}\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
\leq 3 & \text { if } C=0  \tag{5.1}\\
\leq 1 & \text { if } \#\left\{C_{i}\right\}=1 \\
=0 & \text { if } \#\left\{C_{i}\right\}=2 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Using this fact one can easily show the following:
Corollary 5.2.4. Let $X$ be a log del Pezzo surface with $\rho(X)=1$ such that $K_{X}$ is klt. Then the number of singular points of $X$ is at most 5 .

Proof. By Theorem 5.2.3 the number of singular points is $\leq 6$. Assume that $X$ has exactly six singular points $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{6}$. Then by inequality (5.1) we have $m_{P_{1}}=\cdots=m_{P_{6}}=2$. This means that $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{6}$ are ordinary double points. In particular, $K_{X}$ is Cartier. Applying Noether's formula to the minimal resolution $\tilde{X}$ of $X$, we obtain $K_{X}^{2}=K_{\tilde{X}}^{2}=10-\rho(\tilde{X})=10-1-6=3$. Let $\tilde{L} \subset \tilde{X}$ be a -1-curve and $L \subset X$ its image. Then $-K_{X} \cdot L=-K_{\tilde{X}} \cdot \tilde{L}=1$. Since $\rho(X)=1$, we have $L \equiv-\frac{1}{3} K_{X}$, so $L^{2}=\frac{1}{3}$. On the other hand, $2 L$ is Cartier, a contradiction.

### 5.3. On the existence of regular complements

Proposition 5.3.1 (Inductive Theorem, Weak Form [Sh3]). Let (X, D) be a log del Pezzo surface. If $K_{X}+D$ is not klt, then there exists a regular complement of $K_{X}+D$ (i.e. $n$-complement with $n \in \mathcal{R}_{2}$ ). Moreover, if $K_{X}+D$ is not 1 or 2-complementary, then there is at most one divisor of $\mathcal{K}(X)$ with discrepancy $a(\cdot, D)=-1$.

In [KeM] such a log divisor $K_{X}+D$ was called a tiger. This is a sort of antithesis to Reid's general elephant (see 4.1.1).

Proof. Replacing $(X, D)$ with a log terminal modification, we may assume that $K_{X}+D$ is dlt. Then $\lfloor D\rfloor \neq 0$. In this situation we can apply Proposition 4.4.3 and 4.1.10. The last statement follows by Connectedness Lemma.

Corollary 5.3.2. Let $(X, D)$ be a $\log$ del Pezzo surface with $D \in \Phi_{\mathrm{m}}$. Write, as usual, $D=C+B$, where $C:=\lfloor D\rfloor, B:=\{D\}$. Assume that $\mid-n K_{X}-n C-$ $\lfloor(n+1) B\rfloor \mid \neq \varnothing$ for some $n \in \mathcal{R}_{2}$. Then $K_{X}+D$ has a regular complement.

Note that the inverse implication follows by (4.1).
Definition 5.3.3 ([ $\mathbf{U t}, 18.2]$ ). A $\log$ divisor $K_{X}+D+\sum b_{i} B_{i}$ is said to be maximally $\log$ canonical if $K_{X}+D+\sum b_{i}^{\prime} B_{i}$ is not lc, where $b_{i}^{\prime} \geq b_{i}$ with inequality holding for at least one index $i$. Note that this definition depends on the decomposition $D+\sum b_{i} B_{i}$, not only on the sum $D+\sum b_{i} B_{i}$.

Proof. If $K_{X}+D$ is not klt, the assertion follows by Proposition 5.3.1. Thus we may assume that $K_{X}+D$ is klt (in particular, $C=0$ ). Let

$$
\bar{D} \in\left|-n K_{X}-\lfloor(n+1) B\rfloor\right|
$$

As in (4.1) and (4.2) put

$$
D^{\prime}:=\frac{1}{n}(\lfloor(n+1) B\rfloor+\bar{D}) .
$$

Note that $D^{\prime} \geq D$ (because $D \in \Phi_{\mathbf{m}}$, see 4.2.8). If $K_{X}+D^{\prime}$ is lc, then this is a regular complement. Assume that $K_{X}+D^{\prime}$ is not lc. Take $\alpha$ so that $K_{X}+D+$ $\alpha\left(D^{\prime}-D\right)$ is maximally lc. It is clear that $0<\alpha<1$ and $-\left(K_{X}+D+\alpha\left(D^{\prime}-D\right)\right)$ is nef and big. Now we can apply Proposition 5.3 .1 to $K_{X}+D+\alpha\left(D^{\prime}-D\right)$ to get the desired regular complement.

Corollary 5.3.4 ([Sh3], cf. Corollary 8.4.3). Let $(X, D)$ be a log del Pezzo surface. If $\left(K_{X}+D\right)^{2}>4$, then it is nonexceptional. In this case, there exists a regular complement of $K_{X}+D$. Moreover, there exists such a complement which is not klt.

Proof. Riemann-Roch gives that $\operatorname{dim}\left|-n\left(K_{X}+D\right)\right|$ is sufficiently large, where $n$ is divisible enough and $n \gg 0$. Then standard arguments show that $K_{X}+D+\alpha H$ is not klt for some $H \in\left|-n\left(K_{X}+D\right)\right|$ and $\alpha<1 / n$ (see e.g. [Ko2, Lemma 6.1] or the proof of Corollary 8.4.3).

Corollary 5.3.5. Let $X$ be a log del Pezzo surface. Assume that $K_{X}^{2}>4$. Then $\left|-n K_{X}\right| \neq \varnothing$ for some $n \in \mathcal{R}_{2}$.

Exercise 5.3.6. Let $G \subset \mathrm{PGL}_{2}(\mathbb{C})$ be a finite subgroup. Then $G$ acts naturally on $\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$ so that the action is free in codimension one. Prove that the quotient $X:=\left(\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}\right) / G$ is a log del Pezzo and $K_{X}$ is $1,2,3,4$, or 6 -complementary. Hint. Apply Proposition 5.3 .1 to $K_{X}+\Delta$, where $\Delta$ is the image of the diagonal.
5.3.7. Log del Pezzo surfaces can be classified in terms of complements. A $\log$ del Pezzo (or $\log$ Enriques) surface $(X, D)$ is said to be regular if $K_{X}+D$ is $r$-complementary for some $r \in \mathcal{R}_{2}$. Shokurov [Sh3] proposed the following rough classification of them.

Let $(X, D)$ be a $\log$ surface having a regular $r$-complement $K_{X}+G$ (i.e., with $\left.r \in \mathcal{R}_{2}\right)$. Let $\mathcal{A}(X, G)$ be the set of divisors with discrepancy $a(\cdot, G)=-1$.

We say that $K_{X}+G$ is of type
$\mathbb{A}_{m}^{n}$ : if $r=1$ and $\mathcal{A}(X, G)$ is infinite;
$\mathbb{E} 1_{m}^{n}$ : if $r=1$ and $\mathcal{A}(X, G)$ is finite;
$\mathbb{D}_{m}^{n}$ : if $r=2$ and $\mathcal{A}(X, G)$ is infinite;
$\mathbb{E} 2_{m}^{n}$ : if $r=2$ and $\mathcal{A}(X, G)$ is finite;
$\mathbb{E} 3_{m}^{n}, \mathbb{E} 4_{m}^{n}, \mathbb{E} 6_{m}^{n}$ : if $r=3,4,6$, respectively,
where $n$ is the number of components of $\lfloor G\rfloor$ and $m$ is the number of exceptional divisors with $a(\cdot, G)=-1$ on a minimal $\log$ terminal modification. Thus $n+m=0$ if and only if $K_{X}+G$ is klt. In cases $\mathbb{E} 1-6_{m}^{n}$ we always have $n+m \leq 2$. Moreover, $n+m=2$ only in the dipole case. For example, a weighted projective plane $\mathbb{P}(a, b, c)$ has a natural structure of toric 1 -complement of type $\mathbb{A}_{m}^{3}$. More general, any toric surface has a complement of type $\mathbb{A}_{m}^{n}$. Note that this division into cases gives is very rough classification, more delicate invariant of a nonexceptional log variety is the simplicial topological space introduced in [Sh3, Sect. 7], see also [ $\mathbf{I}$ ].

Exercise 5.3.8 ([Sh3], cf. 2.2.18). Let $(X, D)$ be a log del Pezzo surface such that $K_{X}+D$ is dlt and $-\left(K_{X}+D\right)$ is ample. Prove that $\lfloor D\rfloor$ has at most two components. Moreover, if $\lfloor D\rfloor$ has exactly two components, then $K_{X}+D$ is 1 or 2-complementary. If $K_{X}+D$ is 1-complementary, then $\left(X,\left\lfloor D^{+}\right\rfloor\right)$is a toric pair (see 2.2.18). Hint. Use Adjunction and 4.4.3.

### 5.4. Nonrational log del Pezzo surfaces

Of course we cannot expect to get a reasonable classification of all $\log$ del $\mathrm{Pez}-$ zos. Below we describe nonrational ones. Results of Ch. 9.3 shows that exceptional $\log$ del Pezzos (see 4.5.1) at least in principle can be classified. By KawamataViehweg vanishing we have

Lemma 5.4.1. Let $(X, D)$ be a log del Pezzo surface. Assume that $K_{X}+D$ is dlt. Then $X$ is rational if and only if $H^{1}\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}\right)=0$. Moreover, $X$ is rational if either $K_{X}+D$ is klt or $-\left(K_{X}+D\right)$ is ample.

Proposition 5.4.2. Let $(X, D)$ be a log del Pezzo surface such that $K_{X}+D$ is dlt. Put $C:=\lfloor D\rfloor$ and $B:=\{D\}$. Assume that $X$ is nonrational. Then
(i) $\rho(X) \geq 2$;
(ii) if $\rho(X)=2$, then $X$ is smooth, $X \simeq \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$, where $\mathcal{E}$ is a rank two vector bundle on an elliptic curve, all components of $D$ are horizontal and $C=\Sigma_{0}$ is the negative section, which does not intersect other components of $D$;
(iii) if $\rho(X) \geq 3$, then there exists a contraction with rational fibers $g: X \rightarrow X^{\prime}$ onto a log del Pezzo $\left(X^{\prime}, D^{\prime}=C^{\prime}+B^{\prime}\right)$ with $\rho\left(X^{\prime}\right)=2$. Moreover, $C^{\prime}$ is a
smooth elliptic curve contained in the smooth locus of $X$ (and then it is a section of the composition map $f: X \rightarrow X^{\prime} \rightarrow Z$ and it does not intersects other components of $D$ );
(iv) $H^{1}\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}\right) \simeq \mathbb{C}$.

Proof. First note that $C \neq 0$, because $K_{X}+D$ is not klt and $C$ is connected by 2.3 .1 .

The assertion (i) follows by Lemma 5.4.1.
To prove (ii) we note that there exists an extremal ( $K_{X}+D$ )-negative contraction $f: X \rightarrow Z$. By (i), $f$ is not birational. Hence $Z$ is a curve of genus $g(Z) \geq 1$ and fibers of $f$ are irreducible. If $C$ is contained in fibers of $f$, then $-\left(K_{X}+D-\varepsilon C\right)$ is nef and big. By Lemma 5.4.1, $X$ is rational in this case. So we assume that there is a component $C^{\prime} \subset C$ such that $f\left(C^{\prime}\right)=Z$. Thus $p_{a}\left(C^{\prime}\right) \geq 1$. Let $F$ be a general fiber. Then $C^{\prime} \cdot F \leq D \cdot F<2$. It follows that $C^{\prime} \cdot F=1$. Further,

$$
0 \leq 2 p_{a}\left(C^{\prime}\right)-2+\operatorname{deg} \operatorname{Diff}_{C^{\prime}}(0)=\left(K_{X}+C^{\prime}\right) \cdot C^{\prime} \quad \leq\left(K_{X}+D\right) \cdot C^{\prime} \leq 0
$$

From this we have that $C^{\prime}$ is smooth elliptic curve, $g(Z)=1, C^{\prime}$ is contained in the smooth part of $X$ and does not intersect other components of $D$. In particular, $C^{\prime}=C$. Since $C$ is the section, $f: X \rightarrow Z$ has no multiple fibers. Therefore $X$ is smooth and $X \simeq \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$, where $\mathcal{E}$ is a rank two vector bundle on $Z$. From $K_{X}^{2}=0$ and $\left(K_{X}+C\right)^{2}>0$ we have $C^{2}<0$.

As for (iii), run ( $K_{X}+D$ )-MMP $g: X \rightarrow X^{\prime}$. At the end we obtain ( $X^{\prime}, D^{\prime}$ ) with an extremal contraction $X^{\prime} \rightarrow Z$ as in (ii). By Lemma 5.4.1 $C^{\prime}:=g(C) \neq 0$ cannot be contracted to a point on $X^{\prime}$. As in (ii), by Adjunction we have

$$
0 \geq\left(K_{X}+D\right) \cdot C \geq\left(K_{X}+C\right) \cdot C=2 p_{a}(C)-2+\operatorname{deg} \operatorname{Diff}_{C}(0) \geq 0
$$

This yields $p_{a}(C)=p_{a}(Z)=1$ and $\operatorname{Diff}_{C}(0)=0$. Hence $C$ is a smooth elliptic curve, $X$ is smooth along $C$ and $C$ does not intersect other components of $D$.

Finally, (iv) follows by (iii) because $R^{1} f_{*} \mathcal{O}_{X}=0$.
Corollary 5.4.3. Let $(X, D)$ be a log del Pezzo surface. Then $X$ is rational or is birationally isomorphic to a ruled surface over an elliptic curve.

Corollary 5.4.4. Let $(X, D=C+B)$ be a log del Pezzo surface. Assume that $X$ is nonrational. If $\rho(X)=1$, then $X$ is a generalized cone over an elliptic curve (the contraction of the negative section on $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$; see Proposition 5.4.2).

Exercise 5.4.5. Let $(X, D)$ be a $\log$ del Pezzo surface. Assume that $X$ is nonrational. Prove that $K_{X}+D$ is 1-complementary. Hint. Apply Proposition 4.4.3.

