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#### Abstract

. The Ehresmann-Bruhat order on the symmetric group satisfies a symmetry property that we generalize to a directed graph with permutations as vertices, labeling edges with tableaux of a given shape.


## §1. Eulerian structures

Ehresmann defined an order (called Bruhat order) on the symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_{n}$ which plays a fundamental role in geometry, algebra and representation theory: two permutations are consecutive with respect to the Ehresmann-Bruhat order if they have consecutive lengths and differ by multiplication by a transposition.
For example, the hexagon with its diagonals encodes the order on $\mathfrak{S}_{3}$, the thick arrows corresponding to its generation by multiplication on the right by simple transpositions.


The Ehresmann-Bruhat order possesses many symmetry properties. For example, in every interval, there are as many permutations of even length than of odd length. These kinds of properties are accounted by the notion of Eulerian structure. Given a graded poset $X$, its incidence matrix $E$ (i.e. $E[x, y]=1 \Leftrightarrow x \leq y$ ) is graded: $E=E_{0}+E_{1}+E_{2}+\ldots$

[^0]Departing slightly from the classics [14, p. 135], let us call the poset Eulerian if $E^{-1}=E_{0}-E_{1}+E_{2}-E_{3}+\ldots$

Instead of ranked posets, it is more fruitful to use labeled directed graphs with a rank. Edges are labeled by elements of an algebra with an involution $\boldsymbol{8}$. The incidence matrix, still graded, is the matrix whose entries are the labels. Writing an incidence matrix amounts considering the graph to be a subset of the complete directed graph on the same vertices, some edges being labeled 0 . The graph is called Eulerian if

$$
\left(E_{0}+E_{1}+E_{2}+\cdots\right)\left(E_{0}^{\boldsymbol{\omega}}-E_{1}^{\boldsymbol{\omega}}+E_{2}^{\boldsymbol{\omega}}-E_{3}^{\boldsymbol{\omega}}+\cdots\right)=1 .
$$

In the next sections, we shall take the algebra of Laurent polynomials in $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$, the involution \& being the inversion $x_{1} \rightarrow x_{1}^{-1}, \ldots, x_{n} \rightarrow$ $x_{n}^{-1}$.

Given a second Eulerian structure, with incidence matrix $F$, on the same underlying complete graph, then $E F E$ and $F E F$ are Eulerian, but not $E F$ nor $F E$ if $E$ and $F$ do not commute. This remark will allow us to combine the Ehresmann-Bruhat order with another Eulerian structure.

The main results have already been exposed in a text with M.P. Schützenberger [11]. We use here a different algebraic approach.

## §2. Keys

Many properties of symmetric functions are better understood by using words rather than monomials, and realizing, thanks to Schensted construction, the algebra of symmetric functions $\mathfrak{S y m}$ as a subalgebra of a non-commutative algebra, .

There are several ways to pass from the ring of polynomials $\mathfrak{P o l}(\mathbf{x})$ to the free algebra. In the present text, we shall use Demazure characters for type $A$ (also called key polynomials) [10].

Recall the definition of the isobaric divided differences $\pi_{i}$ and $\widehat{\pi}_{i}$ (denoted on the right):

$$
f \rightarrow f \pi_{i}=\frac{x_{i} f-x_{i+1} f^{s_{i}}}{x_{i}-x_{i+1}} \quad \& \quad f \rightarrow f \widehat{\pi}_{i}=\frac{f-f^{s_{i}}}{x_{i} x_{i+1}^{-1}-1},
$$

where $s_{i}$ is the simple transposition exchanging $x_{i}, x_{i+1}$.
The two related families of Demazure characters $\left\{K_{v}\right\},\left\{\widehat{K}_{v}\right\}, v \in$ $\mathbb{N}^{n}$, are two linear bases of the ring of $\mathfrak{P o l}(\mathbf{x})$ which can be defined recursively as follows. If $v$ is dominant, i.e. if $v$ is equal to a partition $\lambda$, then $K_{\lambda}=\widehat{K}_{\lambda}=x^{\lambda}$. Otherwise, when $v$ and $i$ are such that $v_{i}>v_{i+1}$,
one has the recursion

$$
K_{v s_{i}}=K_{v} \pi_{i} \quad \& \quad \widehat{K}_{v s_{i}}=\widehat{K}_{v} \widehat{\pi}_{i} .
$$

One extends the Ehresmann-Bruhat order to permutations of the same partition. The number of inversions $\ell(v)$ of $v$ is the number of pairs $i<j$ such that $v_{i}>v_{j}$. Two elements are consecutive if they differ by a transposition, and their number of inversions differ by 1.

The two families $\left\{K_{v}\right\},\left\{\widehat{K}_{v}\right\}$ are related by summations over intervals [10]:

$$
K_{v}=\sum_{u \leq v} \widehat{K}_{u} \quad \& \quad \widehat{K}_{v}=\sum_{u \leq v}(-1)^{\ell(v)-\ell(u)} K_{u}
$$

The elements of $\mathfrak{F r e e}$ are words in the letters $1,2,3, \ldots$ Tableaux are the words obtained by reading the planar objects called Young tableaux.

We shall make no difference between | 3 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | 3 | 3 |
| 1 | 1 | 2 | and the word 323112.

Simple transpositions $s_{i}$, as well as the operators $\pi_{i}, \widehat{\pi}_{i}$ can be lifted to operators on $\mathfrak{F r e e}$, that we shall denote by the same letters [9]. Given $i$, one decomposes the set of words into $i$-strings $[5,6,8]$. Then $s_{i}$ preserves each $i$-string and is the symmetry with respect to its middle. The image under $\pi_{i}$ of an element $t$ in the left part of an $i$-string is the sum of all the elements between itself and its image under $s_{i}$. Imposing that $t+t^{s_{i}}$ be invariant under $\pi_{i}$ completes the description of the action of $\pi_{i}$ [10]. Moreover, $\widehat{\pi}_{i}=\pi_{i}-1$.

For example,

| 3 | 4 |  |  |  |  | 3 |  | 4 |  |  |  | 3 |  | 4 |  |  |  | 4 |  | 4 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 2 | 3 |  |  | $\widehat{\pi}_{3}=$ | 2 |  | 2 | 3 |  | $+$ | 2 |  | 2 | 3 |  | $+$ | 2 |  | 2 | 3 |  |  |
| 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 3 |  | 1 |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 |  | 1 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 1 |  | 1 | 2 | 4 | 4 |

the 3 -string being

which is isomorphic, after suppression of the letters $\neq 3,4$ and the paired 43 , to the 3 -string

$$
\begin{array}{|l|l|l|}
\hline 3 & 3 & 3 \\
\hline
\end{array} \rightarrow \begin{array}{|l|l|l}
\hline 3 & 3 & 4 \\
\hline
\end{array} \rightarrow \begin{array}{|l|l|l|}
\hline 3 & 4 & 4 \\
\hline
\end{array} \rightarrow \begin{array}{|l|l|l|}
\hline 4 & 4 & 4 \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

For a partition $\lambda$, one defines $t_{\lambda}=K_{\lambda}^{\mathcal{F}}=\widehat{K}_{\lambda}^{\mathcal{F}}=\cdots 3^{\lambda_{3}} 2^{\lambda_{2}} 1^{\lambda_{1}}$ (this is the Yamanouchi tableau of shape $\lambda$ ). According to [10], the elements $\left\{K_{v}^{\mathcal{F}}\right\},\left\{\widehat{K}_{v}^{\mathcal{F}}\right\}$ of the free algebra $\mathfrak{F r e e}$ satisfy the same recursion as $\left\{K_{v}\right\}$, $\left\{\widehat{K}_{v}\right\}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{v s_{i}}^{\mathcal{F}}=K_{v}^{\mathcal{F}} \pi_{i} \quad \& \quad \widehat{K}_{v s_{i}}^{\mathcal{F}}=\widehat{K}_{v}^{\mathcal{F}} \widehat{\pi}_{i} \text { when } v_{i}>v_{i+1} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For example, for $\lambda=[2,1,0]$, one has


The elements $K_{v}^{\mathcal{F}}, \widehat{K}_{v}^{\mathcal{F}}$, are sums of tableaux without multiplicities. Given a tableau $t$ of shape $\lambda$, then it belongs to one and only one $\widehat{K}_{v}^{\mathcal{F}}$, with $v$ a permutation of $\lambda$. We call $v$ the right key of $t$ and denote it $\mathcal{C}_{+}(t)$. Keys can be determined by using the jeu de taquin on consecutive columns [10]:


$t=$| 5 |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| 3 | 6 |
|  |  |
| 1 | 2 | 4.4.



The recipe is the following: with the rightmost columns of the skew tableaux generated by the jeu de taquin from $t$, build a tableau and write its commutative evaluation in exponential form $x^{v}$. Then $v$ is the right key $\mathcal{C}_{+}(t)$ of $t$.

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{|c|}
\hline 4, \\
\hline 6 \\
\hline 4 \\
\hline
\end{array}, \begin{array}{|c|}
\hline 6 \\
\hline 4 \\
\hline 2 \\
\hline
\end{array}\right\} \Leftrightarrow \begin{array}{|l|l|l}
\hline 6 & & \\
\hline 4 & 6 & \\
\hline 2 & 4 & 4 \\
\hline
\end{array} \rightarrow x^{010302} \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{C}_{+}(t)=[0,1,0,3,0,2] .
$$

Using the leftmost columns, one defines similarly the left key $\mathcal{C}_{-}(t)$.

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{|c|c|}
\hline 1, & \left.\begin{array}{|c|}
\hline 5 \\
\hline 1 \\
\hline
\end{array}, \begin{array}{|c|c|}
\hline 3 \\
\hline 1 \\
\hline
\end{array}\right\} \Leftrightarrow \begin{array}{|l|l|}
\hline 5 & \\
\hline 3 & 5 \\
\hline 1 & 1
\end{array} \\
\hline
\end{array} \rightarrow x^{30102} \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{C}_{-}(t)=[3,0,1,0,2]\right.
$$

The computation of $\widehat{K}_{v}^{\mathcal{F}}$ is no more complicated than that of $\widehat{K}_{v}$. Indeed, when $v$ and $i$ are such that $v_{i}>v_{i+1}$, then $\widehat{K}_{v}^{\mathcal{F}}$ decomposes into a sum of single elements which are heads of their $i$-strings (and sent to the full string minus its head under $\widehat{\pi}_{i}$ ) and full $i$-strings (which are sent to 0 under $\widehat{\pi}_{i}$ ).

Instead of a Yamanouchi tableau $t_{\lambda}$, one can start from a power $t_{\lambda}^{r}$. The element $\widehat{K}_{v}^{\mathcal{F}}=t_{\lambda} \widehat{\pi}_{i} \cdots \widehat{\pi}_{j}$ will then be replaced by the sum [10]

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{\lambda}^{r} \widehat{\pi}_{i} \cdots \widehat{\pi}_{j}=\sum t_{1} t_{2} \cdots t_{r} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

sum over $r$-tuples of tableaux of shape $\lambda$ such that $\mathcal{C}_{+}\left(t_{1}\right) \leq \mathcal{C}_{-}\left(t_{2}\right)$, $\mathcal{C}_{+}\left(t_{2}\right) \leq \mathcal{C}_{-}\left(t_{3}\right), \ldots, \mathcal{C}_{+}\left(t_{r-1}\right) \leq \mathcal{C}_{-}\left(t_{r}\right), \mathcal{C}_{+}\left(t_{r}\right)=v$. We shall call chain such $r$-tuple of tableaux.

## §3. Tensor product

The operator $\widehat{\pi}_{i}$ satisfies a Leibniz formula when acting on $\mathfrak{P o l}(\mathbf{x})$ :

$$
f g \widehat{\pi}_{i}=f\left(g \widehat{\pi}_{i}\right)+f \widehat{\pi}_{i}\left(g s_{i}\right) .
$$

This is no more true when acting on $\mathfrak{F r e e}$. However, the action of a product $\widehat{\pi}_{i} \cdots \widehat{\pi}_{j}$ on a product $t_{\lambda} t_{\lambda}$ does satisfy Leibniz rule, as well as the braid relations [10]. This is due to the fact that one does not act on general elements of $\mathfrak{F r e e}$, but on heads of strings, or on full strings, or on full strings minus their head, as we have seen in the preceding section.

Instead of $t_{\lambda} t_{\lambda}$, let us write $t_{\lambda} \otimes t_{\lambda}$, and write $\uplus_{i}$ for the action of $\widehat{\pi}_{i}$ on $\mathfrak{F r e c} \otimes \mathfrak{F r e e}$ : the image of $w \otimes w^{\prime}$ is obtained from $w w^{\prime} \widehat{\pi}_{i}$ by cutting words into two factors of the same lengths as $w$ and $w^{\prime}$.

When starting from $t_{\lambda} \otimes t_{\lambda}$, the operator $\mathbb{U}_{i}$ coincides with

$$
\begin{aligned}
\uplus_{i} & =\widehat{\pi}_{i} \otimes s_{i}+1 \otimes \widehat{\pi}_{i} \\
& =\pi_{i} \otimes s_{i}+1 \otimes \theta_{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

with $\theta_{i}=\widehat{\pi}_{i}-s_{i}=\pi_{i}-1-s_{i}$.
Adapting notations, let us define $\widehat{\mathbb{K}}_{\lambda}=t_{\lambda} \otimes t_{\lambda}$ for partitions $\lambda$, and define the other $\widehat{\mathbb{K}}_{v}$, for $v \in \mathbb{N}^{n}$, by the recursions

$$
\widehat{\mathbb{K}}_{v s_{i}}=\widehat{\mathbb{K}}_{v} \uplus_{i}
$$

for $v_{i}>v_{i+1}$.
The tensor notation renders more evident the following property of the action of the operators $\widehat{\pi}_{i}$ on $\mathfrak{F r e e}$.

Theorem 3.1. Given a partition $\lambda, v$ a permutation of it, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{\mathbb{K}}_{v}=\sum_{t_{2}} \sum_{t_{1}: \mathcal{C}_{+}\left(t_{1}\right) \leq u} t_{1} \otimes t_{2}=\sum_{t_{2}} K_{u}^{\mathcal{F}} \otimes t_{2} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

sum over all tableaux $t_{2}$ of shape $\lambda$, of right key $v$, and left key $u$.
Proof. The first sum was already given for chains of tableaux of length $r$. Summing over $t_{1}$, one obtains the second sum.

QED
Corollary 3.2. Let $\lambda$ be a strict partition, $\sigma \geq \nu$ be two permutations, $s_{i} \cdots s_{j}$ be a reduced decomposition of $\sigma$ of length $\ell$. Then the sum

$$
t_{\lambda} \sum_{\epsilon \in\{0,1\}}\left(s_{i}^{\epsilon_{1}} \theta_{i}^{1-\epsilon_{1}}\right) \cdots\left(s_{j}^{\epsilon_{\ell}} \theta_{j}^{1-\epsilon_{\ell}}\right)
$$

sum over $\epsilon_{1}, \ldots, \epsilon_{\ell}$ such that $\pi_{i}^{\epsilon_{1}} \cdots \pi_{j}^{\epsilon_{\ell}}=\pi_{\nu}$, is equal to the sum of all tableaux of left key $\lambda \nu$ and right key $\lambda \sigma$.

For example, for $\lambda=[4,2,0], \sigma=[3,2,1], \nu=[2,1,3]$, the choice $\sigma=s_{2} s_{1} s_{2}$ gives that the sum of tableaux of left key [2, 4, 0], right key
 reduced decomposition $s_{1} s_{2} s_{1}$ expresses the same sum of two tableaux as $t_{420} s_{1} \theta_{2} \theta_{1}+t_{420} \theta_{1} \theta_{2} s_{1}+t_{420} s_{1} \theta_{2} s_{1}$, with cancelations occurring.

## §4. Tableauhedron

Let $\lambda$ be a strict partition: $\lambda=\left[\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}\right], \lambda_{1}>\lambda_{2}>\cdots>\lambda_{n} \geq 0$, $\zeta$ be a permutation in $\mathfrak{S}_{n}, v=\lambda \zeta$. The $v$-tableauhedron $\Gamma_{v}$ is the directed graph with vertices the tableaux $t_{\lambda \sigma}=t_{\lambda} \sigma$, for $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{n}$ such
that $\sigma \leq \zeta$, an edge $\left[t_{\lambda \nu}, t_{\lambda \sigma}\right]$ being labelled by the sum of all tableaux in $\mathfrak{T a b}(\lambda \nu, \lambda \sigma)$, that is all tableaux of left key $t_{\lambda \nu}$, right key $t_{\lambda \sigma}$.

For example, for $v=[4,2,0] s_{1} s_{2}=[2,0,4]$, the edges and vertices of $\Gamma_{204}$ are given by the expansion of $t_{420}\left(s_{1}+\theta_{1}+1\right)\left(s_{2}+\theta_{2}+1\right)$ :


$$
\begin{aligned}
& t_{420} \theta_{1}= \\
& t_{420} \theta_{2}=\begin{array}{|l|l|l|}
\hline 2 & 3 & \\
\hline & 1 & 1
\end{array} \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
$$


The polynomial incidence matrix of $\Gamma_{v}$ is the matrix $E$ with polynomial entries $E_{\lambda \nu, \lambda \sigma}=\mho\left(\sum_{t \in \mathfrak{T a b}(\lambda \nu, \lambda \sigma)} t\right)$ (and thus $E_{\lambda \sigma, \lambda \sigma}=\mho\left(t_{\lambda \sigma}\right)$ ). This matrix is graded by the length of permutations: $E=E_{0}+E_{1}+$ $\cdots+E_{\binom{n}{2}}$. Recall that $\boldsymbol{\&}$ is the morphism $x_{1} \rightarrow x_{1}^{-1}, \ldots, x_{n} \rightarrow x_{n}^{-1}$, and, accordingly, that $E_{i}^{\boldsymbol{\kappa}}$ is the image of $E_{i}$ under \&.

This section is devoted to show the Euler relation

$$
\left(E_{0}+E_{1}+E_{2}+\cdots\right)\left(E_{0}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}-E_{1}^{\boldsymbol{\mu}}+E_{2}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}-E_{3}^{\boldsymbol{\omega}}+\cdots\right)=1 .
$$

Notice that the divided difference $\pi_{x_{i}^{-1}, x_{i+1}^{-1}}$ is equal to $-\theta_{i}$, and therefore, the image of $\mathbb{U}_{i}$, as an operator on $\mathfrak{P o l}\left(\mathbf{x}^{ \pm}\right) \otimes \mathfrak{P o l}\left(\mathbf{x}^{ \pm}\right)$, under the inversion of variables of the first component, is

$$
\widetilde{\mathbb{U}}_{i}=-\theta_{i} \otimes s_{i}+1 \otimes \theta_{i} .
$$

With $\widetilde{\Psi}_{i}$ instead of $\mathbb{U}_{i}$, Corollary 3.2 entails
Corollary 4.1. Let $\lambda$ be a strict partition, $\zeta \geq \nu$ be two permutations, $s_{i} \cdots s_{j}=\zeta$ be a reduced decomposition of $\zeta$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{\nu \leq \sigma \leq \zeta}(-1)^{\ell(\zeta)-\ell(\sigma)} \sum_{\substack{t_{1} \in \mathfrak{T a b}(\lambda \nu, \lambda \sigma) \\
t_{2} \in \mathfrak{T a b}(\lambda \sigma, \lambda \zeta)}} t_{1} \otimes t_{2}  \tag{4}\\
&=\sum_{\epsilon_{i} \in\{0,1\}} t_{\lambda} \otimes t_{\lambda}\left(s_{i} \otimes s_{i}\right)^{\epsilon_{i} \widetilde{U}_{i}^{1-\epsilon_{i}} \cdots\left(s_{j} \otimes s_{j}\right)^{\epsilon_{j}} \widetilde{U}_{j}^{1-\epsilon_{j}}},
\end{align*}
$$

sum over all $\epsilon$ such that $\pi_{i}^{\epsilon_{i}} \cdots \pi_{j}^{\epsilon_{j}}=\pi_{\nu}$.
For example, let $\zeta=[3,2,1], \nu=[2,1,3]$. For the choice of the reduced decomposition $\zeta=s_{2} s_{1} s_{2}$, the right hand side is $t_{\lambda} \otimes t_{\lambda} \widetilde{U}_{2}\left(s_{1} \otimes\right.$ $\left.s_{1}\right) \widetilde{U}_{2}=t_{\lambda} \otimes t_{\lambda}\left(s_{1} \otimes \theta_{2} s_{1} \theta_{2}-s_{1} \theta_{2} \otimes \theta_{2} s_{1} s_{2}-\theta_{2} s_{1} \otimes s_{2} s_{1} \theta_{2}+\theta_{2} s_{1} \theta_{2} \otimes\right.$ $s_{2} s_{1} s_{2}$ ).

The reduced decomposition $s_{1} s_{2} s_{1}$ involves more terms, the right hand side being now $t_{\lambda} \otimes t_{\lambda}\left(s_{1} \otimes s_{1}\right) \widetilde{U}_{2} \widetilde{\mathbb{U}}_{1}+t_{\lambda} \otimes t_{\lambda} \widetilde{\mathbb{U}}_{1} \widetilde{U}_{2}\left(s_{1} \otimes s_{1}\right)+t_{\lambda} \otimes$ $t_{\lambda}\left(s_{1} \otimes s_{1}\right) \widetilde{U}_{2}\left(s_{1} \otimes s_{1}\right)$.

Let $\widetilde{\mathcal{S}}$ be the algebra morphism $\mathfrak{F r e e} \otimes \mathfrak{F r e e} \rightarrow \mathfrak{P o l}\left(\mathbf{x}^{ \pm}\right)$defined by $\widetilde{\widetilde{v}}(i \otimes j)=x_{i}^{-1} x_{j}$.

Lemma 4.2. One has the commutation


Proof. The statement is an assertion about words in an alphabet in two letters, say $\{1,2\}$. Pairing letters as much as possible, and ignoring them afterwards, one is reduced to products of the type $1^{\alpha} \otimes 1^{\beta}, 1^{\alpha} \otimes 2^{\beta}$, $2^{\alpha} \otimes 1^{\beta}, 2^{\alpha} \otimes 2^{\beta}$. Let us check only the first case, with $\alpha, \beta \geq 1$, the other cases being similar. Then

$$
1^{\alpha} \otimes 1^{\beta} \widetilde{\Xi}_{1}=-\left(\sum_{i=0}^{\alpha-1} 1^{\alpha-1-i} 2^{i+1}\right) \otimes 2^{\beta}+1^{\alpha} \otimes\left(\sum_{j=0}^{\beta-1} 1^{\beta-1-j} 2^{j+1}\right)
$$

On the other hand, the image of $x_{1}^{\beta-\alpha}=\widetilde{\widetilde{\delta}}\left(1^{\alpha} \otimes 1^{\beta}\right)$ under $\widehat{\pi}_{1}$ is $\left(x_{1}^{\beta-\alpha}-x_{1}^{\alpha-\beta}\right)\left(x_{1}-x_{2}\right)^{-1} x_{2}=\widetilde{\widetilde{V}}\left(1^{\alpha} \otimes 1^{\beta} \widetilde{U}_{1}\right)$.

QED
Notice that for any tableau $t$, and any $i$, then $\widetilde{\mho}\left(t \otimes t \widetilde{\Psi}_{i}\right)=0$, since it is equal to $1 \widehat{\pi}_{i}$.

We are now in position to check that the tableauhedron is Eulerian.
Theorem 4.3. Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}^{n}$ be a strict partition, $\eta$ be a permutation in $\mathfrak{S}_{n}, v=\lambda \eta$. Then the tableauhedron $\Gamma_{v}$ is Eulerian, that is for any
pair of permutations $\nu<\zeta \leq \eta$ one has the nullity

$$
\widetilde{\widetilde{v}}\left(\sum_{\substack{t_{1} \in \mathfrak{T a b}(\lambda \nu, \lambda \sigma) \\ t_{2} \in \mathfrak{T a b}(\lambda \sigma, \lambda \zeta)}}(-1)^{\ell(\zeta)-\ell(\sigma)} t_{1} \otimes t_{2}\right)=0 .
$$

Proof. According to Corollary 4.1, the sum $\sum t_{1} \otimes t_{2}$ is equal, when $\nu \neq \zeta$, to a sum of terms of the type $t_{\lambda} \otimes t_{\lambda}\left(s_{i} \otimes s_{i}\right) \cdots\left(s_{j} \otimes s_{j}\right) \widetilde{\mathbb{U}}_{k} \cdots=$ $t \otimes t \widetilde{\mathbb{U}}_{k} \cdots$. Therefore, it vanishes under $\widetilde{\widetilde{ }}$.

QED
Continuing with the same example $\Gamma_{204}$, the sum in the theorem is $t_{420} \otimes t_{420} \widetilde{\mathbb{U}}_{1} \widetilde{\mathbb{U}}_{2}=\sum_{t_{5}} t_{420} \otimes t_{5}-\sum_{t_{3}} t_{1} \otimes t_{3}-t_{2} \otimes t_{4}+\sum_{t_{5}} t_{5} \otimes t_{204}$.


The Eulerian property of the tableauhedron translates into the fact that the following two matrices are inverse of each other (dots replacing 0 's).

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
x_{2}{ }^{2} x_{1}{ }^{4} & x_{2} x_{3} x_{1}{ }^{4} & x_{2}{ }^{3} x_{1}{ }^{3} & x_{2} x_{3}{ }^{2} x_{1}{ }^{3}+x_{2}{ }^{2} x_{1}{ }^{3} x_{3} \\
\cdot & x_{3}{ }^{2} x_{1}{ }^{4} & \cdot & x_{3}{ }^{3} x_{1}{ }^{3} \\
\cdot & \cdot & x_{2}{ }^{4} x_{1}{ }^{2} & x_{2} x_{3}{ }^{3} x_{1}{ }^{2}+x_{2}{ }^{2} x_{1}{ }^{2} x_{3}{ }^{2}+x_{2}{ }^{3} x_{1}{ }^{2} x_{3} \\
\cdot & \cdot & x_{3}{ }^{4} x_{1}{ }^{2}
\end{array}\right],} \\
& {\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
\frac{1}{x_{2}{ }^{2} x_{1}{ }^{4}} & -\frac{1}{x_{2} x_{3} x_{1}{ }^{4}} & -\frac{1}{x_{2}{ }^{3} x_{1}{ }^{3}} & \frac{1}{x_{2} x_{3}{ }^{2} x_{1}{ }^{3}}+\frac{1}{x_{2}{ }^{2} x_{1}{ }^{3} x_{3}} \\
\cdot & \frac{1}{x_{3}{ }^{2} x_{1}{ }^{4}} & \cdot & -\frac{1}{x_{3}{ }^{3} x_{1}{ }^{3}} \\
\cdot & \cdot & \frac{1}{x_{2}{ }^{4} x_{1}{ }^{2}} & -\frac{1}{x_{2} x_{3}{ }^{3} x_{1}{ }^{2}}-\frac{1}{x_{2}{ }^{2} x_{1}{ }^{2} x_{3}{ }^{2}}-\frac{1}{x_{2}{ }^{3} x_{1}{ }^{2} x_{3}}
\end{array}\right] .}
\end{aligned}
$$

## §5. Postulation

A flag variety $\mathcal{F}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)$ is equipped with tautological line bundles $L_{1}, \ldots, L_{n}$. For each partition $\lambda$, let $L^{\lambda}=L_{1}^{\otimes \lambda_{1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes L_{n}^{\otimes \lambda_{n}}$. Schubert subvarieties of the flag variety are indexed by permutations. Geometers need to determine the dimensions of the space of sections of the line bundles $L^{\lambda}$ over Schubert subvarieties.

Combinatorially, thanks to [2], these dimensions (postulation) are equal to the specialization $x_{1}=1=\cdots=x_{n}$ of the polynomials $x^{\lambda} \pi_{\sigma}$, i.e. of the polynomials $K_{v}$.

Instead of considering a single $L^{\lambda}$, one prefers to take all its powers at the same time, and thus compute the specialization $\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{1}$ of the generating series

$$
1+z K_{v}+z^{2} K_{2 v}+z^{3} K_{3 v}+\ldots
$$

where $v=\lambda \sigma$, and $k v$ denotes $\left[k v_{1}, \ldots, k v_{n}\right]$. In terms of the preceding sections, one has to count the number of chains of tableaux $t_{1} \cdots t_{r}$ with $\mathcal{C}_{+}\left(t_{r}\right) \leq v$.

In the case of Graßmannians, this problem was solved by Hodge [4] (with the help of Littlewood [12] for what concerns the determinantal formula giving the postulation number). One has in that case to enumerate chains of partitions (with respect to inclusion of diagrams), or, equivalently, to enumerate plane partitions.

Instead of computing only dimensions, I determined with Fulton [3] the class of $L^{\lambda} \mathcal{O}_{\sigma}$, where $\mathcal{O}_{\sigma}$ is the structure sheaf of a Schubert variety, in the Grothendieck ring $K^{0}(\mathcal{F})$ of classes of vector bundles over the flag variety. Dimensions now occur as the number of terms in the expansion of $\left[L^{\lambda} \mathcal{O}_{\sigma}\right]$ as a sum of classes $\left[\mathcal{O}_{\nu}\right]$. This involves a combinatorics of Grothendieck polynomials still relying on the notion of keys. Pittie and Ram [13] generalized this work to the flag varieties associated to any semisimple group.

Chains may be obtained using powers of matrices. Indeed, if $M^{\lambda, \sigma}$ is the matrix with entries $M_{\nu, \zeta}^{\lambda, \sigma}=\sum_{t \in \mathfrak{T a b}(\lambda \nu, \lambda \zeta)} t, \nu \leq \zeta \leq \sigma$, and if $B^{\sigma}$ is the restriction of the incidence matrix of the Ehresmann-Bruhat order to the permutations $\leq \sigma$, then the N-E entry of $\left(B^{\sigma} M^{\lambda, \sigma}\right)^{r}$ is precisely $\widehat{K}_{r v}^{\mathcal{F}}$.

Let us go back to generating series. Given a strict partition $\lambda$ and a permutation $\sigma$, the function $F_{\lambda, \sigma}=\left.\left(1-z x^{\lambda}\right)^{-1} \widehat{\pi}_{\sigma}\right|_{\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{1}}$ is rational with denominator $(1-z)^{\ell(\sigma)+1}$. Its numerator, that we shall denote $\widehat{\mathcal{E}}_{\lambda, \sigma}(z)$, is of degree $\ell(\sigma)-1$. The function $F_{\lambda, \sigma}$ is the specialization $\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{1}$ of the
generating function $1+z \widehat{K}_{v}^{\mathcal{F}}+z^{2} \widehat{K}_{2 v}^{\mathcal{F}}+z^{3} \widehat{K}_{3 v}^{\mathcal{F}}+\ldots$ of chains of tableaux $t_{1} \cdots t_{r}$ with $\mathcal{C}_{+}\left(t_{r}\right)=v=\lambda \sigma$.

The denominator of $\left(1-z x^{\lambda}\right)^{-1} \widehat{\pi}_{\sigma}$ is of degree the number of permutations $\leq \sigma$. To obtain instead a rational function with denominator of the right degree $\ell(\sigma)+1$, one can use Leibniz formula. For example, for $\sigma=s_{1} s_{2}, \lambda=[6,3,0]$, one has

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\frac{1}{1-z x^{630}} \widehat{\pi}_{1}=\left(1-z x^{360}\right) \widehat{\pi}_{1} \frac{1}{\left(1-z x^{630}\right)\left(1-z x^{360}\right)}=\frac{1}{1-z x^{630}} \frac{\widehat{K}_{360}}{1-z x^{360}} \\
\frac{1}{1-z x^{630}} \widehat{\pi}_{1} \widehat{\pi}_{2}=\frac{1}{\left(1-z x^{630}\right)\left(1-z x^{360}\right)} \frac{\left(\widehat{K}_{360}\left(1-z x^{306}\right) \widehat{\pi}_{2}\right)}{1-z x^{306}} \\
\quad+\frac{1}{1-z x^{630}} \frac{\widehat{K}_{603}}{1-z x^{603}} \frac{\left(\widehat{K}_{360} s_{2}\right)}{1-z x^{306}} .
\end{array}
$$

For $\sigma=s_{1} s_{2} s_{1}$, and $\lambda$ a strict arbitrary partition, writing $y_{i j}$ for the multiplicities of the edges,

one obtains

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widehat{\mathcal{E}}_{\lambda, s_{1} s_{2} s_{1}}(z) & =z\left(1+\sum_{i<6} y_{i 6}\right) \\
+ & z^{2}\left(2+\sum w_{1}+\frac{1}{2} \sum w_{2}+\sum \dot{w}_{3}+\sum w_{3}-2 y_{16}\right) \\
+ & z^{3}\left(1+y_{12}+y_{13}+\frac{1}{2}\left(y_{12} y_{24}+y_{12} y_{25}+y_{13} y_{34}+y_{13} y_{35}\right)+y_{16}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $w_{k}, k=1,2,3$, is a path consisting of $k$ consecutive length 1 -edges, and $\dot{w}_{3}$ is the image of $w_{3}$ under suppression of the middle edge.

There are relations among the multiplicities $y_{i j}$, apart from the Euler relations, and we leave it as an open problem to obtain a satisfactory expression of the numerators $\widehat{\mathcal{E}}_{\lambda, \sigma}(z)$ and of the rational functions $\left(1-z x^{\lambda}\right) \pi_{\sigma}$ and $\left(1-z x^{\lambda}\right) \widehat{\pi}_{\sigma}$.

The enumeration of paths in the Ehresmann-Bruhat order resort to the theory of shellability [1]. In our case, we have replaced enumeration of paths or chains by the computation of rational functions.

## §6. Eulerian polynomials

The choice $\lambda=\rho=[n-1, \ldots, 0]$ corresponds to the Plücker embedding of the flag variety. Let $\mathcal{E}_{\sigma}(z)$ be the numerator of the function $\left.\left(1-z x^{\rho}\right)^{-1} \pi_{\sigma}\right|_{\mathbf{x}=1}$.

We shall show in this section that the family $\left\{\mathcal{E}_{\sigma}(z)\right\}$ is a natural generalization of the family of Eulerian polynomials $\mathbb{E}_{2}(z)=1+z$, $\mathbb{E}_{3}(z)=1+4 z+z^{2}, \mathbb{E}_{4}(z)=1+11 z+11 z^{2}+z^{3}, \ldots$. In fact the polynomial $\mathcal{E}_{\sigma}(z)$, when $\sigma$ is a maximal element of Young subgroup, is equal to some Eulerian polynomial.

The relation

$$
\omega x^{-\rho} \pi_{i} x^{\rho} \omega=-\widehat{\pi}_{n-i}
$$

allows to relate the functions $\left(1-z x^{\rho}\right)^{-1} \widehat{\pi}_{\sigma}$ and $\left(1-z^{-1} x^{\rho}\right) \pi_{\sigma}$. According to [7, Prop. 5.1], one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
(-1)^{\ell(\sigma)+1}\left(1-z x^{\rho}\right)^{-1} \widehat{\pi}_{\sigma} x^{\rho}=z^{-1}\left(1-z^{-1} x^{\rho}\right) \pi_{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\phi} . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence the polynomials $\widehat{\mathcal{E}}_{\rho, \sigma}$ and $\mathcal{E}_{\sigma}(z)$ are reciprocal of each other, that is $z^{\ell(\sigma)} \mathcal{E}_{\sigma}\left(z^{-1}\right)=\widehat{\mathcal{E}}_{\sigma}(z)$, and one can choose to use either $\pi_{\sigma}$ or $\widehat{\pi}_{\sigma}$ to compute them.

Let $D$ be the isobaric derivative on functions of a variable $z$,

$$
D: f(z) \rightarrow D(f):=\frac{d}{d z}(z f(z))
$$

The Eulerian polynomial $\mathbb{E}_{n}(z)$ is defined as

$$
\mathbb{E}_{n}(z)=(1-z)^{n-1} D^{n}\left((1-z)^{-1}\right) .
$$

The operator $D$ is the limit, for $x_{1}=x_{2}=z$ of the isobaric divided difference $\pi_{1}$ acting on functions of $x_{1}$. Indeed, for any positive integer $k, x_{1}^{k} \pi_{1}=x_{1}^{k}+x_{1}^{k-1} x_{2}+\cdots+x_{2}^{k}$, and this last function specializes to $z^{k}(k+1)$.

Equivalently, one can view $D$ as the following composite operator :

$$
\left.f(z) \rightarrow f\left(z x_{1}\right) \rightarrow f\left(z x_{1}\right) \pi_{1}\right|_{x_{1}=x_{2}=1}
$$

This has the following generalisation.
Definition 6.1. Let $w$ be an arbitrary permutation of $\mathfrak{S}_{n}$, and let $\rho=[n-1, \ldots, 1,0]$. Then $D_{w}$ is the following operator on functions of $z$ :

$$
\left.f(z) \rightarrow f\left(z x^{\rho}\right) \pi_{w}\right|_{x_{1}=\cdots=x_{n}=1}
$$

For example, testing the operators on $1 /(1-z)$, one finds that

$$
D_{123}=1, D_{213}=D_{132}=D, D_{231}=D_{312}=\frac{3}{2} D^{3}-\frac{1}{2} D, D_{321}=D^{3}
$$

Indeed, $(1-z)^{-1} D_{213}=(1-z)^{-1} D_{132}=(1-z)^{-2},(1-z)^{-1} D_{231}=$ $(1-z)^{-1} D_{312}=(1+2 z)(1-z)^{-3},(1-z)^{-1} D_{321}=\left(1+4 z+z^{2}\right)(1-z)^{-4}$.

Let us notice that if $w$ belongs to a Young subgroup $\mathfrak{S}_{k} \times \mathfrak{S}_{n-k}$, i.e. if $w$ factorizes into $w^{\prime} w^{\prime \prime}$, with $w^{\prime}$ fixing $k+1, \ldots n$, and $w^{\prime \prime}$ fixing $1, \ldots, k$, then the operator $D_{w}$ factorizes into $D_{w^{\prime}} D_{w^{\prime \prime}}$.

The reader may be willing to show that, when $w$ is the maximal cycle $[n, 1, \ldots, n-1]$, then

$$
D_{w}=\frac{1}{n!}(n D-0)(n D-1) \cdots(n D-n+2)
$$

For example,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D_{21}=\frac{1}{2!}(2 D-0), D_{312}=\frac{1}{3!}(3 D-0)(3 D-1), \\
& D_{4123}=\frac{1}{4!}(4 D-0)(4 D-1)(4 D-2)
\end{aligned}
$$

We give below the polynomials $\mathcal{E}_{n, 1, \ldots, n-1}(z)$ for $n=2, \ldots, 9(\mathrm{read}$ by rows, increasing powers of $z$ ) :
$\left[\begin{array}{cccccccc}1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 10 & 5 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 37 & 73 & 14 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 126 & 651 & 476 & 42 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 422 & 4770 & 8530 & 2952 & 132 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1422 & 31851 & 114612 & 95943 & 17886 & 429 & 0 \\ 1 & 4853 & 202953 & 1317133 & 2162033 & 987261 & 107305 & 1430\end{array}\right]$

The following property implies that Eulerian polynomials are associated to maximal permutations of Young subgroups.

Proposition 6.2. Given $n$, then for the maximal permutation $\omega=$ $[n, \ldots, 1]$, one has $D_{\omega}=D^{\binom{n}{2}}$.
Proof. For any $k$, writing $k \rho$ for the partition $[k(n-1), k(n-2), \ldots, k, 0]$, then the image of $\left(x^{\rho}\right)^{k}$ under $\pi_{n \ldots 1}$ is the Schur function $S_{k \rho}\left(x_{1}+\cdots+\right.$ $x_{n}$ ), which specializes into

$$
S_{k \rho}(n)=\prod_{\square \in \operatorname{diagram}(k \rho)} \frac{n+c_{\square}}{h_{\square}}=(k+1)^{\binom{n}{2}} .
$$

But this last constant is precisely the eigenvalue of $D^{\binom{n}{2}}$ when acting on $z^{k}$.

QED
Corollary 6.3. Given a Young subgroup $\mathfrak{S}_{a} \times \mathfrak{S}_{b} \times \cdots \times \mathfrak{S}_{d}$, let $\omega_{a \ldots d}$ be its element of maximal length $\ell=\binom{a}{2}+\cdots+\binom{d}{2}$. Then $D_{\omega_{a \ldots d}}$ coincides with $D^{\ell}$, and sends $(1-z)^{-1}$ onto $\mathbb{E}_{\ell}(z)(1-z)^{-\ell-1}$.

For example, $D_{321654}=D^{6}=D_{4321}$ sends $(1-z)^{-1}$ onto

$$
\left(z^{5}+57 z^{4}+302 z^{3}+302 z^{2}+57 z+1\right)(1-z)^{-7}
$$

One may use the tableauhedron to compute the polynomials $\mathcal{E}_{\sigma}(z)$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{E}}_{\sigma}(z)$. For example, for the cycle $\sigma=[4,1,2,3]$, one has

$$
\left(1-z t_{3210}\right)^{-1} \widehat{\pi}_{3} \widehat{\pi}_{2} \widehat{\pi}_{1}=z \widehat{K}_{0321}^{\mathcal{F}}+z^{2} \widehat{K}_{0642}^{\mathcal{F}}+z^{3} \widehat{K}_{0963}^{\mathcal{F}}+\cdots
$$

The tableauhedron $\Gamma_{0321}$ has eight vertices, and edges are labelled by 6 tableaux:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& t_{6}=\begin{array}{|l|l|l}
\hline 4 & & \\
\hline 3 & 3 & \\
\hline 1 & 2 & 2 \\
\hline
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$



Keeping $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{6}$ as indeterminates, and specializing $t_{3210}, \ldots, t_{0321}$ to 1 , one finds

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(z \widehat{K}_{0321}^{\mathcal{F}}+z^{2} \widehat{K}_{0642}^{\mathcal{F}}+\cdots\right)(1-z)^{-4} \\
= & z\left(1+t_{3}+t_{4}+t_{5}+t_{6}\right)+z^{2}\left(4+t_{1}+t_{2}-t_{3}+t_{4}+t_{5}+t_{6}+t_{2} t_{5}+t_{2} t_{6}\right)+z^{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

One could keep $t_{1}, t_{2}, t_{4}, t_{5}, t_{6}$ as arbitrary parameter, $t_{3}$ being determined by the Euler relation $2 t_{3}=t_{2}\left(t_{5}+t_{6}\right)$.

Specializing all $t_{i}$ to 1 , and reversing the polynomial (we have used $\widehat{\pi}_{3} \widehat{\pi}_{2} \widehat{\pi}_{1}$ instead of $\pi_{3} \pi_{2} \pi_{1}$ ), one finds that $\mathcal{E}_{4123}=1+10 z+5 z^{2}$.

A systematic study of the polynomials $\mathcal{E}_{\sigma}(z)$ would be welcome. We list below the polynomials for $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{4}$, correcting an error in [7].

$$
\mathcal{E}_{1234}(z)=1=\mathcal{E}_{1243}(z)=\mathcal{E}_{1324}(z)=\mathcal{E}_{2134}(z), \mathcal{E}_{1342}(z)=\mathcal{E}_{3124}(z)=
$$ $\mathcal{E}_{2314}(z)=\mathcal{E}_{1423}(z)=1+2 z, \mathcal{E}_{2143}(z)=1+z, \mathcal{E}_{3142}(z)=1+9 z+$ $4 z^{2}, \mathcal{E}_{2413}(z)=1+8 z+3 z^{2}, \mathcal{E}_{2341}(z)=\mathcal{E}_{4123}(z)=1+10 z+5 z^{2}$, $\mathcal{E}_{3214}(z)=\mathcal{E}_{1432}(z)=1+4 z+z^{2}, \mathcal{E}_{2413}(z)=\mathcal{E}_{4213}(z)=1+18 z+24 z^{2}+$ $3 z^{3}, \mathcal{E}_{4132}(z)=\mathcal{E}_{3241}(z)=1+19 z+25 z^{2}+3 z^{3}, \mathcal{E}_{3412}(z)=1+25 z+$ $44 z^{2}+8 z^{3}, \mathcal{E}_{4312}(z)=\mathcal{E}_{3421}(z)=1+38 z+120 z^{2}+58 z^{3}+3 z^{4}, \mathcal{E}_{4231}(z)=$ $1+43 z+150 z^{2}+81 z^{3}+5 z^{4}, \mathcal{E}_{4321}(z)=1+57 z+302 z^{2}+302 z^{3}+57 z^{4}+z^{5}$.
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