

Some constructions of hyperbolic hypersurfaces in $P^n(\mathbf{C})$

Hiroataka Fujimoto

Abstract.

We show some methods of constructing hyperbolic hypersurfaces in the complex projective space, which gives a hyperbolic hypersurface of degree 2^n in $P^n(\mathbf{C})$ for every $n \geq 2$. Moreover, we show that there are some hyperbolic hypersurfaces of degree d in $P^n(\mathbf{C})$ for every $d \geq 2 \times 6^n$ for each $n \geq 3$.

§1. Introduction

Since S. Kobayashi asked whether a generic hypersurface of large degree in $P^n(\mathbf{C})$ is hyperbolic or not in [8], many papers were devoted to constructing various examples of hypersurfaces in $P^n(\mathbf{C})$. In [2], R. Brody and M. Green gave an example of hyperbolic hypersurface in $P^3(\mathbf{C})$ of even degree ≥ 50 . Afterwards, new types of hyperbolic hypersurfaces of degree d in $P^3(\mathbf{C})$ were given by A. Nadel in the case of $d = 6p + 3$ for $p \geq 3$ in [10], by J. El Goul for $d \geq 14$ in [7], by J. P. Demailly and by Y. T. Siu–S. K. Yeung for $d \geq 11$ in 1997 respectively. Moreover, J. P. Demailly–J. El Goul proved that a very generic hypersurface of degree at least 21 in $P^3(\mathbf{C})$ is hyperbolic in [4] and M. Shirotsuki constructed a hyperbolic hypersurface of degree 10 in [11]. On the other hand, in [9], K. Masuda and J. Noguchi proved that there exists a hyperbolic hypersurface of every degree $d \geq d(n)$ for a positive integer $d(n)$ depending only on n and some concrete examples of hyperbolic hypersurfaces in $P^n(\mathbf{C})$ for $n \leq 5$.

Recently, the author constructed a family of hyperbolic hypersurfaces of degree 2^n in $P^n(\mathbf{C})$ for $n \geq 3$ in [6]. The purpose of this note is to explain the results in [6] and to give some lower estimate of $d(n)$ in the above-mentioned results given by Masuda–Noguchi. The author would like to thank J. Noguchi for useful suggestions to this work.

Received March 22, 2002.

Revised July 5, 2002.

§2. Construction of H-polynomials

For convenience' sake, we introduce the following terminology.

Definition 2.1. We call a homogeneous polynomial $Q(w)$ of degree d in $w = (w_0, w_1, \dots, w_n)$ an *H-polynomial* if it satisfies the conditions:

(H1) If a holomorphic map $f := (f_0 : f_1 : \dots : f_n)$ of \mathbf{C} into $P^n(\mathbf{C})$ satisfies the identity $Q(f_0, f_1, \dots, f_n) = cf_0^d$ for some $c \in \mathbf{C}$, then f is a constant.

(H2) If a holomorphic map $f := (f_1 : \dots : f_n)$ of \mathbf{C} into $P^{n-1}(\mathbf{C})$ satisfies the identity $Q(0, f_1, \dots, f_n) = cf_{n+1}^d$ for some $c \in \mathbf{C}$ and entire function f_{n+1} , then f is a constant.

Definition 2.2. We say a complex space M to be Brody hyperbolic if there is no nonconstant holomorphic map of \mathbf{C} into M .

As was shown by R. Brody in [1], a compact complex manifold is Brody hyperbolic if and only if it is hyperbolic in the sense of S. Kobayashi. In the following, a compact hyperbolic space means a compact Brody hyperbolic space.

Proposition 2.3. Let Q be an H-polynomial. Then,

- (i) $V := \{(w_0 : \dots : w_n); Q(w_0, \dots, w_n) = 0\}$ is hyperbolic and
- (ii) for $W := \{(w_1 : \dots : w_n); Q(0, w_1, \dots, w_n) = 0\} \subset P^{n-1}(\mathbf{C})$, $P^{n-1}(\mathbf{C}) \setminus W$ is Brody hyperbolic.

In fact, (i) is nothing but the case $c = 0$ of (H1), and (ii) is a result of (H2) because we can find an entire function f_{n+1} such that $Q(0, f_1, \dots, f_n) = f_{n+1}^d$ if $Q(0, f_1, \dots, f_n)$ has no zeros.

For the case where $n = 2$ we have the following:

Theorem 2.4. Let $Q(u_0, u_1, u_2)$ be a homogeneous polynomial of degree $d \geq 4$ and consider the associated inhomogeneous polynomial $\tilde{Q}(v, w) := Q(1, v, w)$. Assume that

(C1) the simultaneous equations $\tilde{Q}_v(v, w) = \tilde{Q}_w(v, w) = 0$ have only finitely many solutions, say $P_k := (v_k, w_k)$ ($1 \leq k \leq N$),

(C2) $\tilde{Q}(P_k) \neq \tilde{Q}(P_\ell)$ for $1 \leq k < \ell \leq N$,

(C3) $Q_{u_0}(1, v_k, w_k) \neq 0$ for $1 \leq k \leq N$,

(C4) $\{(u_1, u_2); Q_{u_i}(0, u_1, u_2) = 0, i = 0, 1, 2\} = \{(0, 0)\}$.

(C5) Hessian $\varphi := \tilde{Q}_{vv}\tilde{Q}_{ww} - \tilde{Q}_{vw}^2 \neq 0$ at (v_k, w_k) ($1 \leq k \leq N$).

Then, Q is an H-polynomial.

For the proof, refer to [6].

Remark. We can show that generic homogeneous polynomials of degree $d \geq 4$ satisfy the conditions in Theorem 2.4. Here, generic homogeneous polynomials mean all polynomials in some nonempty Zariski open set in the space of all homogeneous polynomials of degree d .

For the case $n \geq 3$, we can prove the following:

Theorem 2.5. Let $Q(u_0, u_1, \dots, u_n)$ be an H -polynomial of degree d_0 and $P(u_0, u_{n+1})$ a homogeneous polynomial of degree $d_1 (\geq 3)$ such that $P(u_0, u_{n+1})$ and $\tilde{P}(w) := P(1, w)$ satisfies the conditions;

(P1) $P(0, u_{n+1}) \neq 0$,

(P2) $\tilde{P}'(w)$ has only simple zeros $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{d_1-1}$,

(P3) $\tilde{P}(\alpha_k) \neq \tilde{P}(\alpha_\ell)$ for $1 \leq k < \ell \leq d_1 - 1$.

For $m \geq 2$, if $d_1 := md_0$ and $2/(d_1 - 2) + 1/m < 1$, then

$$R(u_0, u_1, \dots, u_n, u_{n+1}) := P(u_0, u_{n+1}) - Q(u_0, u_1, \dots, u_n)^m$$

is an H -polynomial.

This is a slight improvement of [6, Theorem II]. We state the outline of the proof. Consider holomorphic functions f_j , some of which are nonzero, such that $R(f_0, \dots, f_{n+1}) = cf_0^{d_1}$. If $f_0 \equiv 0$, then

$$Q(0, f_1, \dots, f_n) = ef_{n+1}^{d_0}$$

for some constant e and hence f is a constant by (H2). Otherwise, setting $\varphi := f_{n+1}/f_0$ and $\tilde{Q} := Q(1, f_1/f_0, \dots, f_n/f_0)$, we have $\tilde{P}(\varphi) - c = \tilde{Q}^m$. By the assumption, $\tilde{P}(w) - c$ has at least $d_1 - 2$ simple zeros β_j and φ takes the values β_j with multiplicities at least m , whence $\Theta_\varphi(\beta_j) \geq 1 - 1/m$, where $\Theta_\varphi(\beta_j)$ denote the truncated defects of β_j . By virtue of the defect relation for meromorphic functions, we can conclude from the assumption that f is a constant. We can prove that R satisfies (H2) by the same argument as in the proof of [6, Theorem II]. We omit the details.

By Theorem 2.4 and by using Theorem 2.5 repeatedly, we can easily conclude the following:

Theorem 2.6. For each $n \geq 2$ there is a hyperbolic hypersurfaces of degree 2^n in $P^n(\mathbf{C})$ and a hypersurface W of degree 2^n in $P^{n-1}(\mathbf{C})$ such that $P^{n-1}(\mathbf{C}) \setminus W$ is Brody hyperbolic.

We can also construct many hyperbolic hypersurfaces in the complex projective space. For example, by Theorem 2.4, we can construct a hyperbolic hypersurface of degree 5 in $P^2(\mathbf{C})$ and, by the use of the case $m = 3$ of Theorem 2.5 repeatedly, hyperbolic hypersurfaces of degree $5 \times 3^{n-2}$ in $P^n(\mathbf{C})$, which are used later.

§3. Hyperbolic hypersurfaces of high degree

In this section, we construct some examples of hyperbolic hypersurfaces of high degrees. We first give the following:

Theorem 3.1. *Take a polynomial $F := \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_m} a_{i_1 \dots i_m} x_1^{i_1} \dots x_m^{i_m}$ and consider the associated weighted homogeneous polynomial*

$$F^*(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_m) := \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_m} a_{i_1 \dots i_m} x_0^{d - i_1 d_1 - \dots - i_m d_m} x_1^{i_1} \dots x_m^{i_m}$$

in (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_m) with weights $(1, d_1, \dots, d_m)$ for some positive integers d_i , where $d := \max\{i_1 d_1 + \dots + i_m d_m; a_{i_1 \dots i_m} \neq 0\}$. Assume that

- (i) $F^*(0, x_1, \dots, x_m)$ consists of only one monomial,
- (ii) if $F(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_m) = 0$ for meromorphic functions φ_i on \mathbf{C} , then at least one of φ_i 's is a constant.

Then, for arbitrary H -polynomials $Q_i(w_0, \dots, w_n)$ of degree d_i ($1 \leq i \leq m$), the hypersurface

$$V := \left\{ w = (w_0 : \dots : w_n); w_0^d F \left(Q_1(w)/w_0^{d_1}, \dots, Q_m(w)/w_0^{d_m} \right) = 0 \right\}$$

in $P^n(\mathbf{C})$ is hyperbolic.

Proof. Consider a holomorphic map $f := (f_0 : f_1 : \dots : f_n)$ of \mathbf{C} into $V(\subset P^n(\mathbf{C}))$, where f_i are entire functions without common zeros. If $f_0 \equiv 0$, then $Q_{i_0}(0, f_1, \dots, f_n) \equiv 0$ for some i_0 , whence f is a constant by (H1). Assume that $f_0 \not\equiv 0$. Then, $F(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_m) = 0$ for meromorphic functions $\varphi_i := Q_i(1, f_1, \dots, f_n)/f_0^{d_i}$, whence some φ_{i_0} is a constant and so f is a constant by (H1). This gives Theorem 3.1.

We give an example satisfying the assumptions of Theorem 3.1.

Proposition 3.2. *Set $F(x, y) := x^p + y^p + x^r y^s + 1$ for positive integers p, r, s . Assume that*

$$(1) \quad p < t, \quad 6/p + 2/t < 1,$$

where $t := \min(r, s)$. Then, $F(x, y)$ satisfies the assumptions (i) and (ii) of Theorem 3.1 for arbitrary positive integers d_1 and d_2 .

Proof. Obviously, (i) holds. To see (ii), take nonconstant meromorphic functions φ, ψ with $F(\varphi, \psi) = 0$. We write $\varphi = f_1/f_0, \psi = f_2/f_0$ with entire functions f_i such that f_1 and f_2 have no common zeros. Consider the holomorphic map $\Phi := (f_0^p : f_1^p : f_2^p) : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow P^2(\mathbf{C})$ and hyperplanes $H_j := \{w_{j-1} = 0\}$ for $j = 1, 2, 3$ and $H_4 := \{w_0 + w_1 + w_2 = 0\}$, which are in general position. Obviously, the pull-backs $\Phi^*(H_j)$ of H_j for

$j = 1, 2, 3$, considered as divisors, have no positive multiplicities smaller than p . Take a point z_0 in $f^{-1}(H_4)$. Since $f_0^p + f_1^p + f_2^p = -f_1^r f_2^s f_0^{p-(r+s)}$, if $f_0(z_0) \neq 0$, the multiplicity of $\Phi^*(H_4)$ at z_0 is at least t . Assume that $f_0(z_0) = 0$. Then, $f_1(z_0) \neq 0$ and $f_2(z_0) \neq 0$, because otherwise $\sum_{j=0}^2 f_j(z_0)^p \neq 0$. This is impossible by the assumption $p < r + s$. In conclusion, $\Phi^*(H_4)$ has no positive multiplicities smaller than t . Then, there are constants c_0, c_1, c_2 with $(c_0, c_1, c_2) \neq (0, 0, 0)$ such that $c_0\varphi^p + c_1\psi^p + c_2 = 0$. Because, otherwise, the second main theorem for holomorphic curves in $P^n(\mathbf{C})$ gives $3(1 - 2/p) + (1 - 2/t) \leq 3$, which contradicts the assumption (cf., [5, Theorem 3.3.15]). If $c_2 = 0$, then φ and ψ are obviously constants. Otherwise, we have $c_0f_0^p + c_1f_1^p + c_2f_2^p = 0$. Since $p \geq 4$ by the assumption, Φ is a constant. This gives Proposition 3.2.

By Theorem 3.1 and Proposition 3.2, we have the following:

Proposition 3.3. *Let $Q_i(w)$ be H -polynomials of degree d_i ($i = 1, 2$) in $n + 1$ variables $w = (w_0, w_1, \dots, w_n)$ and p, r, s positive integers satisfying the condition (1). Then, the zero locus of the polynomial*

$$R(w) := Q_1(w)^p w_0^{d-pd_1} + Q_2(w)^q w_0^{d-pd_2} + w_0^d - Q_1(w)^r Q_2(w)^s$$

is a hyperbolic hypersurface in $P^n(\mathbf{C})$ of degree $d := rd_1 + sd_2$.

This improves Masuda-Noguchi's Theorem as follows:

Theorem 3.4. *For each $n \geq 3$ we can take a positive integer $d(n)$ such that there are hyperbolic hypersurfaces of degree d for every $d \geq d(n)$ in $P^n(\mathbf{C})$. Here, for example, we can take*

$$(2) \quad d(n) := 9(2^n + 5 \times 3^{n-2}) + 2^n(5 \times 3^{n-2} - 1) + 5 \times 3^{n-2}(2^n - 1).$$

For the proof of Theorem 3.4, we give the following Lemma:

Lemma 3.5. *Let d_1 and d_2 be mutually prime positive integers. For arbitrarily given positive integer m_0 , every integer d with*

$$d \geq m_0(d_1 + d_2) + d_1(d_2 - 1) + d_2(d_1 - 1)$$

can be written as $d = rd_1 + sd_2$ with $r, s \geq m_0$.

This is easily shown by the fact that, for each number ℓ with $0 \leq \ell < d_1$, we can find integers r, s with $|r| < d_2, |s| < d_1$ such that $\ell = rd_1 + sd_2$.

The proof of Theorem 3.4. To this end, for each $n(\geq 3)$ we set $d_1(n) := 2^n$ and $d_2(n) := 5 \times 3^{n-2}$. As is mentioned in the previous section, we can find H -polynomials Q_1 and Q_2 of degree $d_1(n)$ and $d_2(n)$

respectively. Define $d(n)$ by (2). By Lemma 3.5, we can write every $d \geq d(n)$ as $d = rd_1(n) + sd_2(n)$ with $r, s \geq m_0 := 9$, because $d_1(n)$ and $d_2(n)$ are mutually prime. For $p := 8$ and these r, s , which satisfy the condition (1), we apply Proposition 3.3 to find a homogeneous polynomial R of degree d such that $V := \{R = 0\}$ is a hyperbolic hypersurface in $P^n(\mathbf{C})$.

References

- [1] R. Brody, Compact manifolds and hyperbolicity, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **235**(1978), 213 – 219.
- [2] R. Brody and M. Green, A family of smooth hyperbolic hypersurfaces in \mathbf{P}_3 , *Duke Math. J.*, **44**(1977), 873 – 874.
- [3] J.-P. Demailly, Algebraic criteria for Kobayashi hyperbolic projective varieties and jet differentials, *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, Vol. 62, Part 2, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1997, 285 – 360.
- [4] J.-P. Demailly and J. El Goul, Hyperbolicity of generic surfaces of high degree in projective 3-space, *Amer. J. Math.*, **122**(2000), 515 – 546.
- [5] H. Fujimoto, Value distribution theory of the Gauss map of minimal surfaces in R^m , *Aspect of Math.* **E21**, Vieweg, 1993.
- [6] H. Fujimoto, A family of hyperbolic hypersurfaces in the complex projective space, *Complex Variables*, **43**(2001), 273 – 283.
- [7] J. El Goul, Algebraic families of smooth hyperbolic surfaces of low degree in $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{C}}^3$, *manuscripta math.*, **90**(1996), 521 – 532.
- [8] S. Kobayashi, *Hyperbolic manifolds and holomorphic mappings*, Marcel Dekker, 1970.
- [9] K. Masuda and J. Noguchi, A construction of hyperbolic hypersurface of $P^n(\mathbf{C})$, *Math. Ann.*, **304**(1996), 339 – 362.
- [10] A. Nadel, Hyperbolic surfaces in \mathbf{P}^3 , *Duke. Math. J.*, **58**(1989), 749 – 771.
- [11] M. Shirotsuki, A hyperbolic hypersurface of degree 10, *Kodai Math. J.*, **23**(2000), 376 – 379.
- [12] Y. T. Siu and S. K. Yeung, Defects for ample divisors of abelian varieties, Schwarz lemma, and hyperbolic hypersurfaces of low degrees, *Amer. J. Math.*, **119**(1997), 1139 – 1172.

*Department of Mathematics
Faculty of Science
Kanazawa University
Kakuma-machi, Kanazawa, 920-1192
Japan*