# The Moduli Space of Curves of Genus 4 and Deligne-Mostow's Complex Reflection Groups 

Shigeyuki Kondō

## §1. Introduction

In this note we shall show that the moduli space of curves of genus 4 is birational to an arithmetic quotient of the 9 -dimensional complex ball and that the arithmetic subgroup is commensurable with one of Deligne-Mostow's complex reflection groups related to hypergeometric functions. Let $C$ be a non-hyperelliptic curve of genus 4 . Then its canonical model is the intersection of a quadric $Q$ and a cubic $S$ in $\mathbf{P}^{3}$. Let $X$ be the minimal resolution of the triple cover of $Q$ branced along $C$ which is a $K 3$ surface with an automorphism $\sigma$ of order 3. The period domain of the pairs $(X, \sigma)$ is a 9 -dimensional complex ball $\mathcal{B}$. This gives an isomorphism between the moduli space of non-hyperelliptic curves of genus 4 and an arithmetic quotient $(\mathcal{B} \backslash \mathcal{H}) / \Gamma$ where $\mathcal{H}$ is the union of hyperplanes of $\mathcal{B}$ and $\Gamma$ is an arithmetic subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{B})$ (§2, Theorem 1). We remark that $\mathcal{H}$ consists of two components $\mathcal{H}_{n}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{h}$ so that a generic point of $\mathcal{H}_{n}$ (resp. $\mathcal{H}_{h}$ ) corresponds to a curve of genus 4 with a node (resp. a hyperelliptic curve of genus 4 plus a point on the quotient of the hyperelliptic curve by the hyperelliptic involution) ( $\S 3$, Theorem 2). The method works in some other cases, for example, the moduli space of universal curves of genus 2, 3 or del Pezzo surfaces of degree 1-4 (see Remarks 1-6).

The above $K 3$ surface $X$ has the structure of an elliptic fibration $\pi: X \longrightarrow \mathbf{P}^{1}$ which is induced from a ruling on $Q$. The automorphism $\sigma$ acts on each fiber of $\pi$ as an automorphism of order 3 , and hence the functional invariant of $\pi$ is constant. Moreover, for a generic $X$, this fibration has twelve singular fibers of type $I I$ in the sense of Kodaira [Ko],

[^0]and hence this fibration gives twelve points on $\mathbf{P}^{1}$. This suggests a relation between $\Gamma$ and Deligne-Mostow's complex reflection groups [DM], [M1], [M2]. In fact, in §4, Theorem 3, we shall show that our group $\Gamma$ is commensurable with the largest $\Gamma_{\mu}$ in Deligne-Mostow's list where
$$
\mu=\left(\mu_{i}\right)=\left(\frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{6}\right)
$$
(No. 1 in Deligne-Mostow's list [M2] and No. 10 in Thurston's list [T]).
In [K2], we showed that the moduli space of curves of genus three is also birational to an arithmetic quotient of the 6 -dimensional complex ball. In this case we take the 4 -cyclic cover of $\mathbf{P}^{2}$ branched along a smooth plane quartic curve. Then we have a $K 3$ surface with an automorphism of order 4. However this arithmetic subgroup does not appear in Deligne-Mostow's list (the corresponding $K 3$ surface has no elliptic fibration invariant under the automorphism of order 4). We remark that the moduli space of curves of hyperelliptic curves of genus 3 or plane quartic curves with a node is birational to an arithmetic quotient of the 5-dimensional complex ball ( $[\mathrm{K} 2], \S 4,5$ ). Both of these arithmetic subgroups are commensurable with the group $\Gamma_{\mu}$ where
$$
\mu=\left(\mu_{i}\right)=\left(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}\right)
$$
(No. 8 in Deligne-Mostow's list [M2] and No. 3 in Thurston's list [T]).
For recent works related to this paper, we refer the reader to Allcock, Carlson, Toledo [ACT1], [ACT2], van Geemen, Izadi [vG], [vGI], Heckman, Looijenga [HL], Vakil [V].

In this paper we shall use the following notation: A lattice $L$ is a free Z-module of finite rank endowed with an integral non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form $\langle$,$\rangle . A lattice L$ is even if $\langle x, x\rangle$ is even for each $x \in L$, and unimodular if its discriminant is $\pm 1$. For a lattice $L$, we denote by $L^{*}$ the dual of $L$, and by $A_{L}$ the quotient group $L^{*} / L$. Let $L$ be an even lattice. We extend the bilinear form on $L$ to the one on $L^{*}$ and define

$$
q_{L}: A_{L} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Q} / 2 \mathbf{Z}, \quad q_{L}(x+L)=\langle x, x\rangle+2 \mathbf{Z}, \quad\left(x \in L^{*}\right)
$$

which is called the discriminant quadratic form. We denote by $A_{m}, D_{n}$, $E_{k}(m \geq 1, n \geq 4, k=6,7,8)$ the negative definite lattice which is defined by the Cartan matrix of type $A_{m}, D_{n}, E_{k}$ respectively. We also denote by $U$ the lattice of signature $(1,1)$ defined by the matrix $\left(\begin{array}{ll}0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0\end{array}\right)$.

For a lattice $L$ and an integer $m, L(m)$ is the lattice whose bilinear form is the one on $L$ multiplied by $m$.
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## §2. A ball quotient structure

In this section, we shall show that the moduli space of curves of genus 4 is birational to an arithmetic quotient of a 9 -dimensional complex ball by using the periods of $K 3$ surfaces with an automorphism of order 3 .

Let $C$ be a smooth non-hyperelliptic curve of genus 4 . First we assume that $C$ has no vanishing theta constants. Then its canonical model is the complete intersection of a smooth quadric $Q$ and a cubic $S$ in $\mathbf{P}^{3}$. Let $X$ be the 3 -cyclic cover of $Q$ branched along $C$ which is a $K 3$ surface with an automorphism $\sigma$ of order 3 . We denote by $L$ the second cohomology group $H^{2}(X, \mathbf{Z})$. Together with the cup product, $L$ has the structure of a lattice which is even, unimodular and of signature $(3,19)$. Let $E$ (resp. $F$ ) be the inverse image of a general fiber of one of the rulings of $Q$ (resp. another ruling of $Q$ ). Then $E, F$ are smooth elliptic curves with $\langle E, F\rangle=3$. Since $\sigma$ has a fixed curve, $\sigma$ acts on $H^{0}\left(X, \Omega_{X}^{2}\right)$ as a multiplication by a cube root $\omega$ of unity (Nikulin [N2], §5). We remark that $U(3)=H^{2}(X, \mathbf{Z})^{\langle\sigma\rangle}$. We have a 9-dimensional family of $K 3$ surfaces with an automorphism of order 3 . The transcendental lattice of a generic member of this family has rank 20 (see the definition of the period domain $\mathcal{B}$ in this section). Hence if $C$ is generic, then the Picard lattice $S_{X}$ of $X$ is generated by $E$ and $F$, and isometric to $U(3)$.

Next we consider the case that $C$ has a vanishing theta constant. Then its canonical model is the complete intersection of a quadric cone $Q^{\prime}$ and a cubic $S$. By taking the minimal resolution of the triple covering of $Q^{\prime}$ branched along $C$, we have a $K 3$ surface $X^{\prime}$ with an automorphism $\sigma$ of order 3. Let $R_{1}, R_{2}, R_{3}$ be three smooth rational curves obtained by resolution of three rational double points of type $A_{1}$ of the triple cover of $Q^{\prime}$. Let $F$ be the pull back of a fiber of the ruling of $Q^{\prime}$. Then $F$ and $R_{1}+R_{2}+R_{3}$ generate the invariant part $H^{2}\left(X^{\prime}, \mathbf{Z}\right)^{\langle\sigma\rangle}$ which is isomorphic to $U(3)$. For generic $C$ with a vanishing theta constant, the Picard lattice of $X^{\prime}$ is generated by $F, R_{1}, R_{2}, R_{3}$ and isomorphic to $U \oplus A_{2}(2)$. By a result of Brieskorn $[\mathrm{B}],\left(X^{\prime}, \sigma\right)$ is a deformation of $(X, \sigma)$. Thus the action of $\sigma$ on the cohomology group does not depend on the condition whether $C$ has a vanishing theta constant or not. It is
known that $\sigma^{*}$ fixes no non-zero vectors in $T \otimes \mathbf{Q}$ (Nikulin [N2], Theorem 3.1).

Let

$$
T=U(3) \oplus U \oplus E_{8} \oplus E_{8}
$$

which is isometric to the orthogonal complement of $H^{2}(X, \mathbf{Z})^{\langle\sigma\rangle}(\simeq$ $U(3)$ ) in $L$. Let $e, f$ (resp. $e^{\prime}, f^{\prime}$ ) be a basis of $U(3)$ (resp. $U$ ) with $e^{2}=f^{2}=0,\langle e, f\rangle=3\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\left(e^{\prime}\right)^{2}=\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{2}=0,\left\langle e^{\prime}, f^{\prime}\right\rangle=1\right)$. Let $\rho_{1}$ be an isometry of $U(3) \oplus U$ defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \rho_{1}(e)=-2 e+3 e^{\prime}, \quad \rho_{1}(f)=f+3 f^{\prime} \\
& \rho_{1}\left(e^{\prime}\right)=-e+e^{\prime}, \quad \rho_{1}\left(f^{\prime}\right)=-f-2 f^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $\rho_{1}$ is of order 3 , has no non-zero fixed vectors in $U(3) \oplus U$ and acts on the discriminat of $U(3) \oplus U$ trivially. On the other hand, it is known that the root lattice $E_{8}$ can be regarded as a complex lattice defined over the Eisenstein integers (Allcock [A], §5). In other words, there exists an isometry $\rho_{2}$ on $E_{8}$ of order 3 which has no non-zero fixed vectors in $E_{8}$. We denote by $\rho$ the isometry of $T=U(3) \oplus U \oplus E_{8} \oplus E_{8}$ defined by

$$
\rho=\left(\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}, \rho_{2}\right)
$$

Note that $\rho$ has order 3 and has no non-zero fixed vectors. Since $\rho$ acts on $T^{*} / T$ trivially, it can be extended to an isometry of $L=U \oplus U \oplus U \oplus$ $E_{8} \oplus E_{8}$ which acts on the orthogonal complement $U(3)$ of $T$ trivially. For simplicity, we also denote by the same $\rho$ this isometry of $L$.

Now we shall define the period domain for the above $K 3$ surfaces. Let $X$ be a $K 3$ surface with an automorphism $\sigma$ of order 3 of above type. A marking of the pair $(X, \sigma)$ is an isometry

$$
\alpha_{X}: H^{2}(X, \mathbf{Z}) \longrightarrow L
$$

with $\alpha_{X} \circ \sigma^{*} \circ \alpha_{X}^{-1}=\rho$ or $\rho^{-1}$. In the proof of Theorem 1, we shall show the existence of a marking for each pair. (Note that to prove the existence of a marking, it is enough to show this for some pair $(X, \sigma)$ because our 9-dimensional family of $K 3$ surfaces is irreducible). Let

$$
T \otimes \mathbf{C}=T_{\omega} \oplus T_{\bar{\omega}}
$$

be the decomposition into eigenspaces $T_{\omega}, T_{\bar{\omega}}$ of $\rho$ with eigenvalues $\omega, \dot{\bar{\omega}}$ respectively. Put

$$
\mathcal{B}=\left\{z \in \mathbf{P}\left(T_{\omega}\right):\langle z, \bar{z}\rangle>0\right\}, \quad \Gamma=\{\phi \in O(T): \phi \circ \rho=\rho \circ \phi\}
$$

Note that $\mathcal{B}$ is a bounded symmetric domian of type $I_{1,9}$, that is, a 9-dimensional complex ball. Also note that if $z \in \mathcal{B}$, then $\langle z, z\rangle=0$. Hence $\mathcal{B}$ is contained in a 18 -dimensional bounded symmetric domain $\mathcal{D}$ of type $I V$ :

$$
\mathcal{D}=\{z \in \mathbf{P}(T \otimes \mathbf{C}):\langle z, z\rangle=0,\langle z, \bar{z}\rangle>0\}
$$

We call a vector $r$ in $T$ with $r^{2}=-2$ a root. For a root $r$, we define

$$
H_{r}=\{\omega \in \mathcal{B}:\langle r, \omega\rangle=0\}, \quad \mathcal{H}=\bigcup_{r} H_{r}
$$

where $r$ varies over the set of all roots. It is known that for each $X$ with an automorphism of order $3,\left(H^{2}(X, \mathbf{Z})^{<\sigma^{*}>}\right)^{\perp} \cap S_{X}$ contains no (-2)vectors (Namikawa [Na], Theorem 3.10). Hence a marked $K 3$ surface $\left(X, \sigma, \alpha_{X}\right)$ of above type determines the point $\alpha_{X}\left(\omega_{X}\right)$ in $\mathcal{B} \backslash \mathcal{H}$ where $\omega_{X}$ is a nowhere vanishing holomorphic 2-form on $X$. Thus $\mathcal{B} \backslash \mathcal{H}$ is the period domian of marked $K 3$ surfaces of above type.

We remark that the natural map from $O(U(3))$ to $O\left(q_{U(3)}\right)$ is surjective. In fact $O\left(q_{U(3)}\right)$ is isomorphic to $(\mathbf{Z} / 2 \mathbf{Z})^{2}$. The following isometries of $U(3)$ induce generators of $O\left(q_{U(3)}\right)$ : let $e, f$ be a basis of $U(3)$ with $e^{2}=f^{2}=0,\langle e, f\rangle=3$. Then, with respect to this basis, the involutions

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-1 & 0 \\
0 & -1
\end{array}\right), \quad\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

generate $O\left(q_{U(3)}\right)$. This implies that the restriction map from $O(L)$ to $O(T)$ is surjective (Nikulin [N1] Proposition 1.6.1). Since $\rho \mid U(3)=1$, we can easily see that the natural map from

$$
\tilde{\Gamma}=\{\gamma \in O(L): \gamma \circ \rho=\rho \circ \gamma\}
$$

to $\Gamma$ is surjective.
Theorem 1. $(\mathcal{B} \backslash \mathcal{H}) / \Gamma$ is isomorphic to the moduli space of nonhyperelliptic curves of genus 4 .

Proof. Let $z \in \mathcal{B}(\subset \mathcal{D})$. It follows from the surjectivity of the period map (Kulikov [Ku], Persson, Pinkham [PP]) that there exist a $K 3$ surface $X$ and an isometry

$$
\alpha_{X}: H^{2}(X, \mathbf{Z}) \longrightarrow L
$$

with $\alpha_{X}\left(\omega_{X}\right)=z$ where $\omega_{X}$ is a nowhere vanishing holomorphic 2-form on $X$.

In the following, we shall show that if $z \in \mathcal{B} \backslash \mathcal{H}$, then $X$ has an automorphism $\sigma$ with $\alpha_{X} \circ \sigma^{*} \circ \alpha_{X}^{-1}=\rho$, and $X$ is obtained as a 3-cyclic cover of $Q$ or $Q^{\prime}$ branched along a smooth curve $C$ of genus 4 where $Q$ is a smooth quadric and $Q^{\prime}$ is a quadric cone. We may identify $H^{2}(X, \mathbf{Z})$ with $L$ by $\alpha_{X}$.

First we remark that by the assumption $z \notin \mathcal{H},\left(L^{\langle\rho\rangle}\right)^{\perp} \cap z^{\perp}$ contains no roots. Hence $\rho$ is induced from an automorphism $\sigma$ of $X$ of order 3 (Namikawa [Na], Theorem 3.10). Since $\sigma$ acts on $H^{0}\left(X, \Omega_{X}^{2}\right)$ as a multiplication by $\omega$, it acts on the tangent space of a fixed point as

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & \omega
\end{array}\right), \quad\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\omega^{2} & 0 \\
0 & \omega^{2}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Hence the fixed locus of $\sigma$ consists of the disjoint union of smooth curves and isolated fixed points. Note that the trace of $\rho$ on $L$ is -8 . It follows from the topological Lefschetz fixed point formula that $\sigma$ fixes a smooth curve $C$ of genus $g(C)>1$. Then by the Hodge index theorem, $C$ is a unique fixed curve with $g(C)>0$. On the other hand, $L^{\langle\rho\rangle}=U(3)$ contains no ( -2 )-vectors, $\sigma$ has only one fixed curve $C$. Let $k$ be the number of isolated fixed points of $\sigma$. Then by the topological Lefschetz fixed point formula, we have

$$
k+2-2 g(C)=-6
$$

This implies $g(C) \geq 4$. Let $\{e, f\}$ be a basis of $L^{\langle\rho\rangle}=U(3)$ with $e^{2}=f^{2}=0,\langle e, f\rangle=3$. By the Riemann-Roch theorem, we may assume that both $e$ and $f$ are effective.

Claim. Either e or $f$ is nef.
Proof of Claim. Assume that $e$ is not nef. Then there exists a smooth rational curve $R$ with $\langle R, e\rangle<0$. Since $L^{\langle\rho\rangle}$ contains no (-2)vectors, $R=r^{\prime}+r^{\prime \prime}$ where $r^{\prime} \in U(3)^{*}$ and $r^{\prime \prime} \in\left(U(3)^{\perp}\right)^{*}, r^{\prime \prime} \neq 0$. Since $\left(L^{\langle\rho\rangle}\right)^{\perp} \cap z^{\perp}$ is negative definite, $\left(r^{\prime \prime}\right)^{2}<0$. Put $r^{\prime}=(m e+n f) / 3$ $(m, n \in \mathbf{Z})$. Since $\langle R, e\rangle<0, n<0$. If $m \leq 0$, then $r^{\prime}$ is not effective because we assume that $e$ and $f$ are effective. This contradicts the fact that $3 r^{\prime}=R+\sigma(R)+\sigma^{2}(R)$ is effective. Hence $m>0$. By the equation $-2=2 m n / 3+\left(r^{\prime \prime}\right)^{2}$ and $\left(r^{\prime \prime}\right)^{2}<0$, we have $(m, n)=$ $(1,-1),(1,-2),(2,-1)$. In the last two cases, we have $\left(3 r^{\prime}\right)^{2}=(R+$ $\left.\sigma(R)+\sigma^{2}(R)\right)^{2}=-12$. On the other hand, $R^{2}=-2$ and $R \neq \sigma(R)$, and hence $\left(R+\sigma(R)+\sigma^{2}(R)\right)^{2} \geq-6$. This is a contradiction. Thus $(m, n)=(1,-1)$ and $e-f=3 r^{\prime}$ is effective. On the other hand, if $f$ is not nef, the same argument shows that $f-e$ is effective. This is a contradiction. Hence we have proved the assertion. Q.E.D. for Claim.

Thus we may assume that $e$ is nef, in other words, it gives an elliptic fibration

$$
\pi: X \longrightarrow \mathbf{P}^{1}
$$

Let $E$ be a general fiber of $\pi$. Let $C=a e+b f$. Then $6 a b=C^{2}=$ $2 g(C)-2 \geq 6$. If $b>1$, then $C \cdot E \geq 6$. Since $C$ is the fixed curve of $\sigma, \sigma$ acts on the base of $\pi$ trivially. Hence $\sigma$ acts on $E$ as an automorphism. Now by applying the Hurwitz formula to the pair $(E, \sigma)$, we have a contradiction. Hence $b=1$. Thus we have the following two cases:

Case 1. $f$ is nef.
Case 2. $f$ is not nef.
In Case $1, a=b=1, g(C)=4$ and $k=0$. By taking the quotient of $X$ by $\sigma$, we have a smooth quadric surface $X /\langle\sigma\rangle$.

In Case 2, there exists a smooth rational curve $R$ so that $\langle R, f\rangle<0$. Since $U(3)$ contains no ( -2 )-vectors, $R$ is not contained in $U(3)$. Let $R_{1}=\sigma(R), R_{2}=\sigma^{2}(R)$. Then $R+R_{1}+R_{2} \in U(3)$. By the same argument as in the proof of the claim, $R+R_{1}+R_{2}=-e+f$. Hence $\left\langle R, R_{1}\right\rangle=0$. Since $C$ is the fixed locus of $\sigma,\langle C, R\rangle=0$. Hence $a=b=1$, $g(C)=4$ and $k=0$. Now by taking the quotient by $\sigma$ and contracting the ( -2 -curve which is the image of $R, R_{1}, R_{2}$, we have a quadric cone.

Finally we shall show that the isomorphism class of $C$ is uniquely determined by its image in $(\mathcal{B} \backslash \mathcal{H}) / \Gamma$. Let $C$ and $C^{\prime}$ be non-hyperelliptic curves of genus 4 . Let $(X, \sigma),\left(X^{\prime}, \sigma^{\prime}\right)$ be the corresponding $K 3$ surfaces with automorphisms $\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}$ of order 3. Assume that their periods are the same in $(\mathcal{B} \backslash \mathcal{H}) / \Gamma$. Since the natural map $\tilde{\Gamma} \longrightarrow \Gamma$ is surjective, there exists a Hodge isometry

$$
\varphi: H^{2}(X, \mathbf{Z}) \longrightarrow H^{2}\left(X^{\prime}, \mathbf{Z}\right)
$$

with $\varphi \circ \sigma^{*}=\left(\sigma^{\prime}\right)^{*} \circ \varphi$. Since $\left(H^{2}(X, \mathbf{Z})^{<\sigma^{*}>}\right)^{\perp} \cap S_{X}$ contains no (-2)vectors, $C$ is an ample class. Obviously $\varphi(C)=C^{\prime}$. It now follows from the Torelli theorem for $K 3$ surfaces (Piatetskii-Shapiro, Shafarevich [PS]) that there exists an isomorphism $f: X^{\prime} \longrightarrow X$ with $f^{*}=\varphi$ and $f \circ \sigma^{\prime}=\sigma \circ f$. By taking the quotient of $X, X^{\prime}$ by $\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}$ respectively, $f$ induces an isomorphism between the canonical models of $C$ and $C^{\prime}$. Q.E.D.

Remark 1. The following was suggested by I. Dolgachev. In the same way as above, we can see that the moduli spaces $\mathcal{M}_{2,1}, \mathcal{M}_{3,1}$ of pointed curves of genus 2 and 3 have a ball quotient structure. Let $(C, q)$ be a pair of a smooth curve of genus 2 (resp. genus 3 ) and $q \in C$. Then the linear system $\left|K_{C}+2 q\right|$ gives a plane quartic curve $\bar{C}$ with a cusp (resp. a curve $\bar{C}$ of bidegree (3,3) with a cusp in a smooth quadric $Q$ ).

By taking the 4-cyclic cover of $\mathbf{P}^{2}$ (resp. the triple cover of $Q$ ) branched along $\bar{C}$ and then by taking the minimal resolution of rational double point, we have a $K 3$ surface with an automorphism of order 4 (resp. order 3). This correspondence gives a birational map from $\mathcal{M}_{2,1}$ (resp. $\mathcal{M}_{3,1}$ ) to an arithmetic quotient of 4-dimensional complex ball (resp. 7dimensional complex ball). In case $\mathcal{M}_{2,1}$, the Picard lattice of a generic member $X$ is isomorphic to $U \oplus D_{4} \oplus D_{4} \oplus A_{1}^{2}$. The pencil of lines on $\mathbf{P}^{2}$ through $q$ induces an elliptic pencil of $X$ with one singular fibers of type $I_{0}^{*}$ and six singular fibers of type $I I I$. In case $\mathcal{M}_{3,1}$, the Picard lattice of a generic member $Y$ is isomorphic to $U(3) \oplus D_{4}$, and a ruling of $Q$ induces an elliptic pencil on $Y$ which has one singular fiber of type $I_{0}^{*}$ and 9 singular fibers of type $I I$.

Remark 2. Let $C$ be a plane quartic curve and let $q$ be a flex. Then by considering the map $\left|K_{C}+2 q\right|$ as above, we can see that the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{3, \text { flex }}$ of plane quartic curves with a flex is birational to an arithmetic quotient of the 6 -dimensional complex ball. Let $X$ be a generic $K 3$ surface appearing in this family. Then its transcendental lattice, together with an automorphism of order 3, has the structure of a complex lattice defined over the Eisenstein integers $\mathbf{Z}[\omega]$. On the other hand, in [K2], we showed that the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{3}$ of curves of genus 3 is birational to an arithmetic quotient of the 6 -dimensional complex ball by taking the 4 -cyclic cover of $\mathbf{P}^{2}$ branched along a plane quartic curve. In this case, the transcendental lattice, together with an automorphism of order 4 , has the structure of a complex lattice defined over the Gaussian integers $\mathbf{Z}[\sqrt{-1}]$. By forgetting a flex we have a map

$$
\mathcal{M}_{3, \text { flex }} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_{3}
$$

of degree 24 . The author does not know the relation between two complex ball quotient structures.

Remark 3. Let $C$ be a general smooth curve of genus 6. It is known that the canonical model of $C\left(\subset \mathbf{P}^{5}\right)$ lies on a unique del Pezzo surface $R$ of degree 5 (Kollár, Schreyer [KS], Shepherd-Barron [SB]). By taking the double covering of $R$ branched along $C$, we have a $K 3$ surface $X$ with the covering transformation $\sigma$. Let $p: R \longrightarrow \mathbf{P}^{2}$ be a blow up at 4 points. We can easily see that the Picard lattice $S$ of a generic $X$ is isomorphic to $\langle 2\rangle \oplus A_{1}^{4}$ where $\langle 2\rangle$ is generated by the pullback of the class of a line in $\mathbf{P}^{2}$ and $A_{1}^{4}$ correspond to 4 exceptional curves on $R$. It is known that $O\left(q_{S}\right) \simeq O^{-}\left(4, \mathbf{F}_{2}\right) \simeq S_{5}$ (Morrison-Saito [MS], Corollary 2.4, Lemma 2.5). Let $T$ be the transcendental lattice of $X$. Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a bounded symmetric domain of type $I V$ associated to $T$, and
let $\Gamma=O(T)$. Then we can see that the moduli space of curves of genus 6 is birational to the arithmetic quotient $\mathcal{D} / \Gamma$. The author does not know whether the moduli of curves of genus 5 has a similar description as an arithmetic quotient or not.

## §3. Discriminant locus

In this section we shall discuss the discriminant locus $\mathcal{H}$. Let $r \in T$ with $r^{2}=-2$. By using the equation

$$
\rho^{2}+\rho+1_{T}=0
$$

we have $\langle r, \rho(r)\rangle=1$. Let $\Lambda_{r}$ be the lattice generated by $r$ and $\rho(r)$. Obviously $\Lambda_{r} \simeq A_{2}$. Let $\Lambda_{r}^{\perp}$ be the orthogonal complement of $\Lambda_{r}$ in $T$ and let $M$ be the orthogonal complement of $\Lambda_{r}^{\perp}$ in $L$. We remark here that $\rho$ acts on $T^{*} / T$ because $\rho \mid H^{2}(X, \mathbf{Z})^{\langle\rho\rangle}=1$ (Nikulin [N1], Corollary 1.5.2). Also $\rho$ acts on $\Lambda_{r}^{*} / \Lambda_{r}$ trivially. This follows from the fact that $(r+2 \rho(r)) / 3$ is a generator of $\Lambda_{r}^{*} / \Lambda_{r}$ and $\rho(r+2 \rho(r)) \equiv r+2 \rho(r) \bmod$ $3 \Lambda_{r}$.

Lemma 1. There are two possibilities:
Case (i). $M \simeq U(3) \oplus A_{2}$ and $\Lambda_{r}^{\perp} \simeq U(3) \oplus U \oplus E_{8} \oplus E_{6}$
Case (ii). $M \simeq U \oplus A_{2}$ and $\Lambda_{r}^{\perp} \simeq U \oplus U \oplus E_{8} \oplus E_{6}$.
Proof. First note that $M$ contains $S \oplus \Lambda_{r}$ as a sublattice of finite index where $S=L^{\langle\rho\rangle} \simeq U(3) . M$ is determined by the isotropic subgroup $I=M /\left(S \oplus \Lambda_{r}\right)$ of $A_{S} \oplus A_{\Lambda_{r}}$ with respect to the discriminant quadratic form $q_{S} \oplus q_{\Lambda_{r}}$ (Nikulin [N1], Proposition 1.4.1). Since $A_{S} \oplus A_{\Lambda_{r}} \simeq(\mathbf{Z} / 3 \mathbf{Z})^{3}, I=\{0\}$ or $I=\mathbf{Z} / 3 \mathbf{Z}$. In the case $I=\mathbf{Z} / 3 \mathbf{Z}$, by using Nikulin [N1], Corollary 1.5 .2 , we can see that $q_{M}(a)=-2 / 3$ where $a$ is a generator of $I=\mathbf{Z} / 3 \mathbf{Z}$. Now the assertion follows from Nikulin [N1], Theorem 1.14.2. Q.E.D.

It follows that $\mathcal{H}$ decomposes into two pieces $\mathcal{H}^{n}$ and $\mathcal{H}^{h}$ so that the first case $\mathcal{H}^{n}$ corresponds to the case (i) in Lemma 1. In the following, we shall study $K 3$ surfaces whose periods are in $\mathcal{H}$.

Case (i): We shall show that the Case (i) in Lemma 1 corresponds to a curve in $Q$ of bidegree $(3,3)$ with a node.

Example 1. Let $C$ be a curve in a smooth quadric $Q$ of bidegree (3,3) with a node $p$. Let $L_{1}, L_{2}$ be the two lines through $p$. First blow up at $p$ and denote by $E$ the exceptional curve. Next blow up the two points in which $E$ and the proper transform of $C$ meet. Then take the 3 -cyclic
cover $X^{\prime}$ branched along the proper transforms of $C$ and $E$. Then $X^{\prime}$ contains an exceptional curve of the first kind which is the pullback of the proper transform of $E$. By contracting this exceptional curve to a point $q$, we have a $K 3$ surface $X$. On $X$, there are 4 smooth rational curves $F_{1}, F_{2}, F_{3}, F_{4}$ which are the inverse images of $L_{1}, L_{2}$ and the exceptional curves which appeared in the second blow up. They meet together at one point $q$. Each triple of $F_{j}$ defines an elliptic pencil with singular fiber of type $I V$ and a 3 -section. For generic $C$ as above, these 4 curves $F_{j}$ generate the Picard lattice of $X$ isometric to $U(3) \oplus A_{2}$, where $U(3)$ is generated by $F_{1}+F_{2}+F_{3}, F_{1}+F_{2}+F_{4}$ and $A_{2}$ is generated by $F_{1}, F_{2}$. It is known that $X$ has a finite group of automorphisms and the $F_{i}$ ( $i$ $=1,2,3,4$ ) are all the smooth rational curves on $X$ (e.g., Nikulin [N3], §4, p.661).

Next we shall show that a generic point of $\mathcal{H}^{n}$ corresponds to a $K 3$ surface mentioned in Example 1. Let $z$ be a generic point in $\mathcal{H}^{n}$ which is orthogonal to a root $r \in T$ with $\Lambda_{r}^{\perp} \simeq U(3) \oplus U \oplus E_{8} \oplus E_{6}$. Let $Y$ be the $K 3$ surface whose period is $z$. Then the Picard lattice of $Y$ is isomorphic to $M \simeq U(3) \oplus A_{2}$. Since the dual graph of all smooth rational curves on $Y$ depends only on the Picard lattice, $Y$ contains exactly 4 smooth rational curves $F_{j}^{\prime}(1 \leq j \leq 4)$ which form the same dual graph as that of $F_{j}$ on $X: F_{j}^{\prime} \cdot F_{k}^{\prime}=1,(j \neq k)$. Let $\rho^{\prime}$ be the isometry of $L$ given by

$$
\rho^{\prime}=\left(1_{M}, \rho \mid \Lambda_{r}^{\perp}\right) .
$$

Obviously $\left(L^{\left\langle\rho^{\prime}\right\rangle}\right)^{\perp} \cap z^{\perp}=0$, and hence it is induced from an automorphism $\sigma^{\prime}$ of order 3 (Namikawa [Na], Theorem 3.10). On the other hand, by the topological Lefschetz fixed point formula, $\sigma^{\prime}$ fixes a smooth curve $C^{\prime}$ of genus $g\left(C^{\prime}\right)>1$. Now take any triple, for example, $F_{1}^{\prime}, F_{2}^{\prime}, F_{3}^{\prime}$ and consider the linear system $\left|F_{1}^{\prime}+F_{2}^{\prime}+F_{3}^{\prime}\right|$ which defines an elliptic pencil $\pi: Y \longrightarrow \mathbf{P}^{1}$. By the Hodge index theorem, each fiber meets $C^{\prime}$, and hence $\sigma^{\prime}$ acts on the base of $\pi$ trivially. Thus $\sigma^{\prime}$ acts on a general fiber as an automorphism of order 3 , and hence the functional invariant of $\pi$ is equal to 0 . Hence the singular fiber $F_{1}^{\prime}+F_{2}^{\prime}+F_{3}^{\prime}$ is of type $I V$. This implies that the four $F_{i}^{\prime}(i=1,2,3,4)$ meet each other at one point $q$. Since $\sigma^{\prime}$ acts on $M$ trivially, $\sigma^{\prime}$ preserves each $F_{i}^{\prime}$. Since $\sigma^{\prime}$ acts on a fiber as an automorphism of order 3, it fixes 3 points on it. Hence $C^{\prime}$ meets a fiber at three points. Now we can easily conclude that $C^{\prime}$ meets each $F_{i}^{\prime}$ at one point, the fixed point set of $\sigma^{\prime}$ consists of $\{q\}$ and $C^{\prime}$, and $g\left(C^{\prime}\right)=3$. Thus $Y$ is obtained by the same way as $X$ in Example 1.

Case (ii): We shall show that the Case (ii) in Lemma 1 corresponds to a smooth hyperelliptic curve of genus 4 plus a point.

Example 2. Let $C$ be a hyperelliptic curve of genus 4. Then $C$ is given by the equation

$$
y^{2}=\prod_{i=1}^{10}\left(x_{0}-\lambda_{i} x_{1}\right)
$$

which is unique up to automorphisms of $\mathbf{P}^{1}$. Let $\left(x_{0}: x_{1}, y_{0}: y_{1}\right)$ be a bi-homogeneous coordinate of $\mathbf{P}^{1} \times \mathbf{P}^{1}$. Then $C$ can be embeded in $\mathbf{P}^{1} \times \mathbf{P}^{1}$ as follows:

$$
y_{0}^{2} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{5}\left(x_{0}-\lambda_{i} x_{1}\right)+y_{1}^{2} \cdot \prod_{i=6}^{10}\left(x_{0}-\lambda_{i} x_{1}\right)=0
$$

Let $E$ be the divisor defined by $y_{0}=0$. Let $L$ be a general fiber of the ruling given by

$$
p:\left(x_{0}: x_{1}, y_{0}: y_{1}\right) \longrightarrow\left(x_{0}: x_{1}\right)
$$

Note that the fiber given by $x_{0}=\lambda_{i} x_{1}$ is tangent to $C$. By taking elementary transformations at the intersection of $C$ and $E$, we have the Hirzebruch surface $\mathbf{F}_{5}$. Let $C^{\prime}, E^{\prime}$ be the proper transform of $C, E$ respectively. Let $R$ be a rational surface obtained by blowing up $\mathbf{F}_{5}$ at three points which are the intersection of $C^{\prime}, E^{\prime}$ and $L$. Let $C^{\prime \prime}, E^{\prime \prime}, L^{\prime \prime}$ be the proper transform of $C^{\prime}, E^{\prime}, L$ respectively. Let $Y^{\prime}$ be the 3 -cyclic cover of $R$ branched along the divisor $C^{\prime \prime}+E^{\prime \prime}+L^{\prime \prime}$. The inverse image of $L^{\prime \prime}$ is a $(-1)$ - curve. By contracting this we have a $K 3$ surface $Y$. We can see that the ruling $p$ induces a structure of an elliptic pencil

$$
\pi: Y \longrightarrow \mathbf{P}^{1}
$$

which has one singular fiber of type $I V$ and 10 singular fibers of type $I I$, and a section. Here the singular fiber of type $I V$ corresponds to $L$, ten singular fibers of type $I I$ corresponds to fibers $x_{0}+\lambda_{i} x_{1}$ and $E$ corresponds to a section of $\pi$. For a generic $C$, the Picard lattice of $Y$ is generated by three components of the singular fiber of type $I V$ and a section, which is isomorphic to $U \oplus A_{2}$. In this case, $\operatorname{Aut}(Y)$ is finite and $Y$ contains exactly 4 smooth rational curves (e.g., Nikulin [N3], §4, p.661).

Next we shall show that a generic point of $\mathcal{H}^{h}$ corresponds to a $K 3$ surface mentioned in Example 2. Let $z$ be a generic point in $\mathcal{H}^{h}$ which
is orthogonal to a root $r \in T$ with $\Lambda_{r}^{\perp} \simeq U \oplus U \oplus E_{8} \oplus E_{6}$. Let $Y^{\prime}$ be the $K 3$ surface whose period is $z$. Then the Picard lattice of $Y^{\prime}$ is isomorphic to $M \simeq U \oplus A_{2}$. Since the dual graph of all non-singular rational curves on $Y^{\prime}$ depends only on the Picard lattice, $Y^{\prime}$ contains exactly 4 smooth rational curves $F_{j}(0 \leq j \leq 3)$ which form the same dual graph as that of Example 2. Here we assume that $F_{1}, F_{2}, F_{3}$ form the dual graph of a singular fiber of type $I_{3}$ or of type $I V$, and $F_{0}$ meets $F_{1}$. Then the linear system $\left|F_{1}+F_{2}+F_{3}\right|$ defines an elliptic pencil $\pi: Y \longrightarrow \mathbf{P}^{1}$ which has one singular fiber of type $I_{3}$ or of type $I V$, and a section $F_{0}$. Let $\rho^{\prime}$ be the isometry of $L$ given by

$$
\rho^{\prime}=\left(1_{M}, \rho \mid \Lambda_{r}^{\perp}\right)
$$

Obviously $\left(L^{\left\langle\rho^{\prime}\right\rangle}\right)^{\perp} \cap z^{\perp}=0$, and hence $\rho^{\prime}$ is induced from an automorphism $\sigma^{\prime}$ of order 3 (Namikawa [ Na ], Theorem 3.10). On the other hand, by the topological Lefschetz fixed point formular, $\sigma^{\prime}$ fixes a smooth curve $C^{\prime}$ of genus $g\left(C^{\prime}\right)>1$. By the Hodge index theorem, each fiber meets $C^{\prime}$, and hence $\sigma^{\prime}$ acts on the base of $\pi$ trivially. Hence $F_{0}$ is a fixed curve of $\sigma^{\prime}$. Also $\sigma^{\prime}$ acts on a general fiber as an automorphism of order 3 , and hence the functional invariant is a constant. Hence the singular fiber $F_{1}+F_{2}+F_{3}$ is of type $I V$ and all irreducible singular fibers are of type $I I$. Thus $\pi$ has one singular fiber of type $I V$ and 10 singular fibers of type $I I$. Since $\sigma^{\prime}$ acts on a general fiber as an automorphism of order 3 , it fixes 3 points on a general fiber, i.e., $C^{\prime}$ meets a fiber at two points. Let $q$ be the singular point of the fiber $F_{1}+F_{2}+F_{3}$. Then we can easily conclude that $C^{\prime}$ meets each $F_{2}, F_{3}$ at one point $(\neq q)$ and the fixed point set of $\sigma^{\prime}$ consists of $\{q\}, F_{0}$ and $C^{\prime}$. It follows from the topological Lefschetz fixed point formula that the genus of $C^{\prime}$ is 4 . Therefore $Y$ is obtained by the same way as $X$ in Example 2. Thus we have

Theorem 2. A generic point in $\mathcal{H}^{n}$ (resp. in $\left.\mathcal{H}^{h}\right)$ corresponds to a curve in $Q$ of bidegree (3,3) with a node ( resp. a pair of a hyperelliptic curve of genus 4 and a point on the quotient of the hyperelliptic curve by the hyperelliptic involution).

Remark 4. (i) The above theorem 2 tells us that $\mathcal{B} / \Gamma$ looks like a blow up of the moduli space of curves of genus 4 along the hyperelliptic locus.
(ii) There is a family of codimension 1 in the moduli space of curves of genus 4 which consists of smooth curves with a vanishing theta null. In this case, the corresponding generic $K 3$ surface contains smooth rational curves, but the covering transformation does not fix them (see the proof of Theorem 1, Case 2) and the periods of these (generic) $K 3$ are
contained in $\mathcal{D} \backslash \mathcal{H}$. The Picard lattice of a generic $K 3$ surface in this family is isometric to

$$
U \oplus A_{2}(2)
$$

This lattice contains $U(3) \oplus A_{2}(2)$ as a sublattice of finite index and the factor $U(3)$ corresponds to the Picard lattice of a generic member of 9 -dimensional family.

Remark 5. Recall that the anti-bicanonical map of a del Pezzo surface of degree 1 is the double cover of a quadric cone in $\mathbf{P}^{3}$ branched along the canonical curve $C$ of genus 4 (Demazure [D]). Then $C$ has a vanishing theta null. This gives a birational map from the moduli space of del Pezzo surfaces of degree 1 to the moduli space of curves of genus 4 with vanishing theta constant. Thus the moduli space of del Pezzo surfaces of degree 1 can be written as a ball quotient, too. Heckman, Looijenga [HL] and Vakil [V] studied this case from a different point of view. In case of del Pezzo surfaces of degree 2 or 3, van Geemen (unpublished), Kondo [K2], Allcock, Carlson, Toledo [ACT1],[ACT2] gave a ball quotient structure for this moduli space. In the case of del Pezzo surfaces of degree 4 , the moduli space is also a ball quotient (e.g. Heckman, Looijenga [HL]): A del Pezzo surface of degree 4 is the complete intersection of two quadrics $Q_{1}, Q_{2}$ in $\mathbf{P}^{4}$. The discriminant locus of the pencil of quadrics defined by $Q_{1}$ and $Q_{2}$ gives five points on $\mathbf{P}^{1}$. This gives a correspondence between the moduli space of del Pezzo surfaces of degree 4 and a compact arithmetic quotient of 2-dimensional complex ball which appeared in Shimura [S], Terada [Te], Deligne-Mostow [DM].

Remark 6. Del Pezzo surfaces of degree 4 are also related to $K 3$ surfaces with an automorphism of order 5. Let $C$ be the plane quintic curve defined by

$$
y^{5}=\prod_{i=1}^{5}\left(x-\xi_{i} z\right)
$$

which corresponds to five points $\left\{\left(\xi_{i}: 1\right)\right\}$ on $\mathbf{P}^{1}$ (see Remark 5). Let $\sigma$ be an automorphism of $\mathbf{P}^{2}$ given by

$$
\sigma(x, y, z)=(x, \zeta y, z)
$$

where $\zeta$ is a primitive 5 -th root of unity. Let $L$ be the line defined by $y=0$ which is fixed under the action of $\sigma$. Let $X$ be the minimal resolution of the double cover branched along the sextic curve $C+L$. Then $X$ is a $K 3$ surface and $\sigma$ can be lifted to an automorphism of $X$ of order 5 . We can see that the period domain of these $K 3$ surfaces is a 2-dimensional complex ball. The pencil of lines through ( $0: 1: 0$ ) lifts
to a pencil of curves of genus 2 on the $K 3$ surface which has two base points. A general member of this pencil is a smooth curve of genus 2 with an automorphism of order 5 and this pencil contains five singular members corresponding to five lines

$$
x-\xi_{i} z=0,(1 \leq i \leq 5)
$$

## §4. Deligne-Mostow's complex reflection groups

In this section we shall show that $\Gamma$ is commensurable with an arithmetic subgroup of $\mathbf{P} U(1,9)$ which appeared in Deligne-Mostow's list. The idea of the proof of Theorem 3 is due to T. Terasoma.

Let $\left\{\infty, 0,1, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{d+1}\right\}$ be a set of $d+3$-distinct points in $\mathbf{P}^{1}$. For each integer $i$, with $0 \leq i \leq d+1$, and $i=\infty$, let $\mu_{i}$ be a real number such that the following equality holds:

$$
\sum_{i} \mu_{i}=2 .
$$

In [DM], for each $\mu=\left\{\mu_{i}\right\}$, Deligne and Mostow defined a subgroup $\Gamma_{\mu}$ of the automorphism group of a $d$-dimensional complex ball, which is the monodromy group of a hypergeometric equation.

Let $S_{1} \subset S=\{\infty, 0,1, \ldots, d+1\}$ and assume that $\mu_{s}=\mu_{t}$ for all $s, t \in S_{1}$. We assume that $\mu_{s}>0$ for all $s \in S$ and $\left\{\mu_{s}\right\}$ satisfies the condition
$(\Sigma I N T):$ For all $s \neq t$ such that $\mu_{s}+\mu_{t}<1,\left(1-\mu_{s}-\mu_{t}\right)^{-1}$ is an integer if $s$ or $t$ is not in $S_{1}$, and a half-integer if $s, t \in S_{1}$.
Deligne and Mostow [DM],[M1] showed that this condition is a sufficient condition for which $\Gamma_{\mu}$ is a lattice in $\mathbf{P} U(1, d)$, i.e., $\Gamma_{\mu}$ is discrete and has cofinite volume. Conversely if $\Gamma_{\mu}$ is discrete and $d>3$, then $\mu$ satisfies the condition ( $\Sigma I N T$ ) (Mostow [M2]). In [DM], [M1], Deligne and Mostow determined all such $\mu$ and listed them in case $d \geq 5$. Note that Thurston gave a correction of their list ([T]).

Now we shall show that our group $\Gamma$ is commensurable with $\Gamma_{\mu}$, where $\mu=\left\{\mu_{i}\right\}, \mu_{i}=1 / 6$ for all $i=\infty, 0,1, \ldots, 10$ (No. 1 in DeligneMostow's list [M2] and No. 10 in Thurston's list [T]).

Let $C$ be a curve defined by

$$
y^{6}=\prod_{i=1}^{12}\left(x-\xi_{i}\right)
$$

Let $\sigma$ be the covering transformation of $C$ over $\mathbf{P}^{1}$. Consider the action of $\sigma$ on $H^{1}(C, \mathbf{C})$. Let $H^{1}(C, \mathbf{C})_{-\omega}$ be the eigenspace of $\sigma$ with eigenvector $-\omega$ where $\omega$ is a primitive cube root of unity. Let (, ) be the symplectic form on $H^{1}(C, \mathbf{Z})$. Then $\psi(x, y)=\sqrt{-3}(x, \bar{y}), x, y \in H^{1}(C, \mathbf{C})_{-\omega}$, is a hermitian form on $H^{1}(C, \mathbf{C})_{-\omega}$. This space is defined over the Eisenstein integers $\mathbf{Z}[\omega]$. Deligne and Mostow showed that the signature of $\psi$ is $(1,9)$ and that $\Gamma_{\mu}$ is an arithmetic subgroup of $\left(\mathbf{P} U\left(H^{1}(C, \mathbf{C})_{-\omega}\right), \psi\right)$.

In the following we shall use the same notation as in §2. Recall that the $K 3$ surface $X$ has an elliptic fibration $\pi$ induced from a ruling of the smooth quadric $Q$. For a generic $X, \pi$ has twelve singular fibers of type $I I$ in the sense of Kodaira [Ko]. Note that $\pi$ has no sections. Let $S=U(3)$ be the Picard lattice for a generic $X$ and let $T=U(3) \oplus$ $U \oplus E_{8} \oplus E_{8}$ be the transcendental lattice. Since $\rho \mid S=1, \rho$ acts trivially on the discriminant group $S^{*} / S \simeq T^{*} / T$. Let $\alpha \in T^{*} / T$ be the non-zero isotropic vector corresponding to the class of a fiber of $\pi$ under the canonical isomorphism $S^{*} / S \simeq T^{*} / T$. By adding vectors in $T^{*}$ representing $\alpha$ to $T$, we have an even lattice $T^{\prime}$ which contains $T$ as a sublattice of index 3. Hence $T^{\prime}$ is unimodular and isometric to $U \oplus U \oplus E_{8} \oplus E_{8}$. Since $\rho$ fixes $\alpha, \rho$ induces an isometry $\rho^{\prime \prime}$ of $T^{\prime}$ of order 3 . We denote by $\rho^{\prime}$ the isometry $-\rho^{\prime \prime}$ of order 6 . By the surjectivity of the period map for $K 3$ surfaces, there exists a $K 3$ surface $Y$ whose transcendental lattice is isometric to $T^{\prime}$ and whose period is the same as that of $X$. Since $T^{\prime}$ is unimodular, $\rho^{\prime}$ can be extended to an isometry of $H^{2}(Y, \mathbf{Z})$ acting on $\left(T^{\prime}\right)^{\perp}(\simeq U)$ trivially. Hence it follows from the Torelli theorem that $\rho^{\prime}$ is represented by an automorphism of order 6. Since the Picard lattice of $Y$ is isomorphic to $U, Y$ has an elliptic fibration $\pi^{\prime}$ with a unique section (Kondo [K1], Lemma 2.1). If $\rho^{\prime}$ acts on the base of $\pi^{\prime}$ non trivially, then the set of fixed points of $\rho^{\prime}$ is contained in two fibers. On the other hand the Lefschetz number of $\rho^{\prime}$ is -6 (see the proof of Theorem 1). With this observation it follows from the topological Lefschetz fixed point formula that $\rho^{\prime}$ acts on the base trivially. Thus every smooth fiber has an automorphism of order 6 which fixes the intersection point with the section. Hence the functional invariant of this elliptic fibration is a constant. Since $\operatorname{Pic}(Y) \simeq U$, every singular fiber is irreducible, and hence it is of type $I I$. We remark that $\pi^{\prime}: Y \longrightarrow \mathbf{P}^{1}$ is nothing but the Jacobian fibration of $\pi$.

By the theory of elliptic surfaces with a section (Kodaira [Ko]), we can easily see that there exists a Galois cover of $Y$ with the Galois group $G \simeq \mathbf{Z} / 6 \mathbf{Z}$, which is birational to $C \times E$ where $C$ is a $\mathbf{Z} / 6 \mathbf{Z}$-cover of $\mathbf{P}^{1}$ ramified at 12 points and $E$ is an elliptic curve with a complex multiplication $\omega$ (a cube root of unity). We denote by $\sigma$ (resp. $\tau$ ) an automorphism of $C$ (resp. $E$ ) of order 6. We may assume that $(\sigma, \tau)$ is
a generator of $G$. Denote by $f$ the rational map from $C \times E$ to $Y$. Then

$$
f^{*}\left(T^{\prime} \otimes \mathbf{Q}\right) \simeq\left(H^{1}(C, \mathbf{Q}) \otimes H^{1}(E, \mathbf{Q})\right)^{G}
$$

and
$\left(H^{1}(C, \mathbf{C}) \otimes H^{1}(E, \mathbf{C})\right)^{G} \simeq H^{1}(C)_{-\omega} \otimes H^{1}(E)_{-\bar{\omega}} \oplus H^{1}(C)_{-\bar{\omega}} \otimes H^{1}(E)_{-\omega}$.
Moreover the action of $\rho^{\prime}$ on $T^{\prime} \otimes \mathbf{Q}$ is compatible with that of $\tau$ on $f^{*}\left(T^{\prime} \otimes \mathbf{Q}\right)$. The above isomorphisms give an isomorphism between two hermitian spaces $T_{-\omega}^{\prime}=\left\{x \in T^{\prime} \otimes \mathbf{C}: \rho^{\prime}(x)=-\omega x\right\}$ and $H^{1}(C, \mathbf{C})_{-\omega}$, which is defined over $\mathbf{Q}(\omega)$. Since both $\Gamma_{\mu}$ and

$$
\Gamma^{\prime}=\left\{g \in O\left(T^{\prime}\right): g \circ \rho^{\prime}=\rho^{\prime} \circ g\right\}
$$

are arithmetic, $\Gamma_{\mu}$ is commensurable with $\Gamma^{\prime}$. On the other hand, by definition of $T^{\prime}, \Gamma$ is commensurable with $\Gamma^{\prime}$, and hence with $\Gamma_{\mu}$. Thus we have

Theorem 3. $\Gamma$ is commensurable with $\Gamma_{\mu}$ where

$$
\mu=\left(\mu_{i}\right)=\left(\frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{6}\right)
$$

Remark 7. In [A], Allcock proved that $\Gamma_{\mu}$ in Theorem 3 is isomorphic to the hyperbolic reflection group of the complex lattice over the Eisenstein integers $\mathbf{Z}[\omega]$ whose real form is $U \oplus U \oplus E_{8} \oplus E_{8}$. We also remark that van Geemen gave a similar correspondence between the curve $C$ as above and some $K 3$ surface (see [vG], Example 3.11).

Remark 8. In [K2], we showed that the moduli space of curves of genus 3 is birational to a ball quotient by taking the 4 -cyclic cover of $\mathbf{P}^{2}$ branched along a plane quartic curve. The corresponding discrete group does not appear in Deligne-Mostow's list (the corresponding K3 surface has no elliptic fibration invariant under the action of the automorphism of order 4). However, for example, in case of hyperelliptic curves of genus 3 or plane quartic curves with a node, the corresponding generic $K 3$ surface has an elliptic fibration with 8 singular fibers of type $I I I$ (see [K2], §5). The same argument as above shows that the corresponding arithmetic subgroup is commensurable with $\Gamma_{\mu}$ where

$$
\mu=\left(\mu_{i}\right)=\left(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}\right)
$$

(No. 8 in Deligne-Mostow's list [M2] and No. 3 in Thurston's list [T]). Allcock informed the author that he showed the commensurability in this
case by a different way. Shiga [Sh] suggested a relation between DeligneMostow's complex reflection groups and elliptic $K 3$ surfaces with a section in some special cases. In the case of del Pezzo surfaces of degree 4 (see Remark 6), the pencil of curves of genus 2 with an order 5 automorphism on the $K 3$ surface works like the elliptic pencil in the above cases.
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