

On the Spectra $L(n)$ and a Theorem of Kuhn

Goro Nishida

Dedicated to Professor Nobuo Shimada on his 60th birthday

§0. Introduction

Let Z_2^n be the elementary abelian 2-group. In [7] Mitchell and Priddy have shown that stably BZ_2^n contains some copies of spectra $M(n) = e_n BZ_2^n$ as a direct summand, where $e_n \in \hat{Z}_2 GL_n(F_2)$ is the Steinberg idempotent. It is also shown that there is an equivalence of spectra $M(n) \simeq L(n) \vee L(n-1)$, where $L(n) = \Sigma^{-n} Sp^{2^n} S^0 / Sp^{2^n-1} S^0$. In [5], Kuhn has shown that there is a split exact sequence

$$\longrightarrow L(n) \longrightarrow L(n-1) \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow L(0) = S^0$$

extending the Kahn-Priddy theorem [4] and solved the Whitehead conjecture.

In [9], the author determined the structure of the stable homotopy group $\{BZ_2^n, BZ_2^m\}$ and the composition formula. Let $M_{n,m}(F_2)$ be the set of (n, m) -matrices. Then there are inclusions of rings

$$\hat{Z}_2 GL_n(F_2) \longrightarrow \hat{Z}_2 M_{n,n}(F_2) \longrightarrow \{BZ_2^n, BZ_2^n\} \longrightarrow [QBZ_2^n, QBZ_2^n]$$

where $QBZ_2^n = \Omega^\infty \Sigma^\infty BZ_2^n$ is the infinite loop space.

In this paper, studying the structure of those rings we shall show the following. The Steinberg idempotent $e_n \in \hat{Z}_2 GL_n(F_2)$ is decomposed as $e_n = a_n + b_n$ in the bigger rings and a_n, b_n are primitive in $\{BZ_2^n, BZ_2^n\}$. We determine the structure of $\{M(n), M(m)\}$ and $\{L(n), L(m)\}$. Finally we give a simple proof of the theorem of Kuhn.

§1. Steinberg idempotents and matrix algebra

Let R be the ring of 2-adic integers \hat{Z}_2 or the prime field F_2 . Let $M_{n,m}(F_2)$ be the set of all (n, m) -matrices over F_2 . We denote by $R\tilde{M}_{n,m}(F_2)$ the free R -module generated by elements of $M_{n,m}(F_2)$ with the relation 0-matrix = 0. There is an obvious pairing

$$R\tilde{M}_{n,m}(F_2) \otimes R\tilde{M}_{m,i}(F_2) \longrightarrow R\tilde{M}_{n,i}(F_2).$$

In particular, $R\tilde{M}_{n,n}(F_2)$ is a ring and $R\tilde{M}_{n,m}(F_2)$ is a left $R\tilde{M}_{n,n}(F_2)$ and right $R\tilde{M}_{m,m}(F_2)$ -module.

Given a subset S of $M_{n,m}(F_2)$, we denote $\sum_{A \in S} A$ by \bar{S} . If S is a subset of $\Sigma_n \subset GL_n(F_2)$, then $\sum_{A \in S} (-1)^{\text{sgn}(A)} A$ is denoted by \tilde{S} . Let B_n and U_n be the Borel subgroup and the unipotent subgroup of $GL_n(F_2)$, respectively. Then the Steinberg idempotents are defined [7] by

$$e_n = \bar{B}_n \tilde{\Sigma}_n / [GL_n(F_2) : U_n] \in \hat{Z}_2 GL_n(F_2)$$

$$e'_n = \tilde{\Sigma}_n \bar{B}_n / [GL_n(F_2) : U_n] \in \hat{Z}_2 GL_n(F_2).$$

Now we fix some notations. Let $C_i = (i, \dots, n)$ and $C'_i = (1, \dots, i) \in \Sigma_n$ be the cyclic permutations, and let $T_n = \{C_1, \dots, C_n\}$ and $T'_n = \{C'_1, \dots, C'_n\}$. Given a vector $b = (b_1, \dots, b_{n-1}) \in F_2^{n-1}$, let

$$R_{n-1}(b) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & b_1 \\ & \ddots & & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & \vdots \\ & & & 1 & b_{n-1} \end{pmatrix} = (E_{n-1}, b^t) \in M_{n-1,n}(F_2), \quad \text{and}$$

$$L_n(b) = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & \cdots & b_{n-1} \\ 1 & & \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} b \\ E_{n-1} \end{pmatrix} \in M_{n,n-1}(F_2).$$

Let $R_{n-1} = \{R_{n-1}(b)\}_{b \in F_2^{n-1}}$ and $L_n = \{L_n(b)\}_{b \in F_2^{n-1}}$. $R_{n-1}(0)$ and $L_n(0)$ are denoted by J_{n-1} and I_n , respectively.

In the following, the congruence mod 2, $a \equiv b \pmod{2}$, is denoted simply by $a \equiv b$. The following observation is useful. Let $\phi: F_2^r \rightarrow M_{n,m}(F_2)$ be an affine map. Then $\overline{\text{Im}(\phi)} \equiv 0$ if and only if the associated linear map of ϕ is not a monomorphism.

Lemma 1.1. (i) $J_{n-1}e_n \equiv J_{n-1}\tilde{T}_n e_n \equiv e_{n-1}J_{n-1}\tilde{T}_n, n \geq 1.$

(ii) $(\bar{L}_n J_{n-1} \tilde{T}_n + J_n \tilde{T}_{n+1} \bar{L}_{n+1})e_n \equiv e_n, n \geq 1.$ Here $R\tilde{M}_{n,m}(F_2)$ stands for the zero ring if $n=0$ or $m=0$.

Proof. (i) is an easy calculation, and we prove (ii). Note that $\bar{L}_{n+1}\bar{B}_n = \bar{B}_{n+1}I_{n+1}$. Let $C \in T_{n+1}$ be a non trivial element, then as observed above, $J_n C \bar{B}_{n+1} I_{n+1} \equiv 0$ and hence

$$J_n \tilde{T}_{n+1} \bar{L}_{n+1} B_n = J_n \tilde{T}_{n+1} \bar{B}_{n+1} I_{n+1} \equiv J_n \bar{B}_{n+1} I_{n+1}.$$

Let $B_{n+1} \ni B = (b_{i,j})$. In the summation $J_n \bar{B}_{n+1} I_{n+1} \tilde{\Sigma}_n$, we may assume that $b_{i,n+1} = 0$ for $1 < i < n+1$. Then dividing the summation according

to $b_{1,n+1}=0$ or 1, we have

$$J_n \tilde{T}_{n+1} \bar{L}_{n+1} e_n \equiv e_n + \sum J_n B I_{n+1} \tilde{\Sigma}_n,$$

where the summation is taken over all B such that $b_{1,n+1}=0$. Similarly we see that $J_{n-1} \tilde{T}_n \bar{B}_n \tilde{\Sigma}_n \equiv J_{n-1} \bar{B}_n \tilde{\Sigma}_n$ by (i), and easily we have $J_{n-1} \bar{B}_n \tilde{\Sigma}_n \equiv \bar{B}_{n-1} J_{n-1} \tilde{\Sigma}_n$. Then $\bar{L}_n \bar{B}_{n-1} J_{n-1} \tilde{\Sigma}_n = \bar{B}_n I_n J_{n-1} \tilde{\Sigma}_n$. But this is just the latter term of the above equation. This completes the proof.

Lemma 1.2. *Let $m \leq n-2$. Then $e_n F_2 \tilde{M}_{n,m}(F_2) = F_2 \tilde{M}_{m,n}(F_2) e_n = 0$.*

Proof. For a matrix $B \in B_n$ we write $B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b \\ 0 & B' \end{pmatrix}$, where $B' \in B_{n-1}$

and $b \in F_2^{n-1}$. Let $A = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_n \end{pmatrix} \in M_{n,m}(F_2)$, $a_i \in F_2^m$. Let $A' = \begin{pmatrix} a_2 \\ \vdots \\ a_n \end{pmatrix}$, then we

have $BA = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 + bA' \\ B'A' \end{pmatrix}$. If $m \leq n-2$, then the affine map $f(b) = a_1 + bA'$ has a non trivial kernel. Hence $e_n A \equiv \bar{B}_n \tilde{\Sigma}_n A \equiv 0$ and $e_n F_2 \tilde{M}_{n,m}(F_2) = 0$. The rest is similar.

Lemma 1.3. (i) $e_n F_2 \tilde{M}_{n,n-1}(F_2) = \bar{L}_n e_{n-1} F_2 GL_{n-1}(F_2)$.

(ii) $F_2 \tilde{M}_{n-1,n}(F_2) e_n = F_2 GL_{n-1}(F_2) e_{n-1} J_{n-1} \tilde{T}_n$.

Proof. (i) Let $B \in B_n$ and $A \in M_{n,n-1}(F_2)$. Then $BA = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 + bA' \\ B'A' \end{pmatrix}$ and if A' is singular, then as in the proof of the above lemma, we have $\bar{B}_n A \equiv 0$. Let $\Sigma_n = \bigcup \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ & \Sigma_{n-1} \end{pmatrix} C_i$ be the coset decomposition. Then

$$e_n A = \sum \bar{B}_n \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ & \tilde{\Sigma}_{n-1} \end{pmatrix} C_i A \equiv \sum \begin{pmatrix} x(b) \\ B'T(C_i A') \end{pmatrix}$$

where $B' \in B_{n-1}$, $T \in \Sigma_{n-1}$ and $x(b) = bT(C_i A') + \text{constant vector}$. Then we see that $e_n A \equiv \sum \bar{L}_n e_{n-1} (C_i A')$, where $(C_i A')$ is non singular. Hence $e_n A \in \bar{L}_n e_{n-1} F_2 GL_{n-1}(F_2)$. On the other hand, for any $H \in GL_{n-1}(F_2)$, it is easy to see that $I_n e_{n-1} H \in e_n F_2 \tilde{M}_{n,n-1}(F_2)$. This completes the proof of (i). The proof of (ii) is similar using Lemma 1.1, (i).

In particular we have

Lemma 1.4. $e_n I_n \equiv \bar{L}_n e_{n-1}$.

Corollary 1.5. $e_n I_n e_{n-1} \equiv e_n \bar{L}_n e_{n-1}$ and $e_{n-1} J_{n-1} e_n \equiv e_{n-1} J_{n-1} \tilde{T}_n e_n$.

For the Steinberg idempotent e'_n , we have similar results. Replace e_n , \bar{L}_n , I_n , J_n and T_n with e'_n , \bar{R}_{n-1} , J_{n-1} , I_{n+1} and T'_n respectively in the

above formulae, and convert the direction of the composition, then all lemmas in this section hold for e'_n . For example

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Lemma 1.6. (i)} \quad & e'_n J_n \equiv e'_n \tilde{T}'_n I_n \equiv \tilde{T}'_n J_n e'_{n-1}. \\ \text{(ii)} \quad & e'_n (\tilde{T}'_n I_n \bar{R}_{n-1} + \bar{R}_n \tilde{T}'_{n+1} I_{n+1}) \equiv e'_n. \end{aligned}$$

§ 2. Splitting of the Steinberg idempotent

We denote $e_n J_n e_{n-1} \in \hat{Z}_2 \tilde{M}_{n,n-1}(F_2)$ and $e_n J_n e_{n+1} \in \hat{Z}_2 \tilde{M}_{n,n+1}(F_2)$ by ∂_n and σ_n , respectively. Similarly ∂'_n and σ'_n for $e'_n J_n e'_{n-1}$ and $e'_n J_n e'_{n+1}$.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $n \geq 2$. $\sigma_n \partial_{n+1}$ and $\partial_n \sigma_{n-1}$ are orthogonal idempotents in $F_2 \tilde{M}_{n,n}(F_2)$ and $e_n \equiv \sigma_n \partial_{n+1} + \partial_n \sigma_{n-1}$. Similarly $\sigma'_n \partial'_{n+1}$ and $\partial'_n \sigma'_{n-1}$ are orthogonal idempotents in $F_2 \tilde{M}_{n,n}(F_2)$ and $e'_n \equiv \sigma'_n \partial'_{n+1} + \partial'_n \sigma'_{n-1}$.*

Proof. Let $\bar{\partial}_n = e_n \bar{L}_n e_{n-1}$ and $\bar{\sigma}_n = e_n J_n \tilde{T}_{n+1} e_{n+1}$. Then by Corollary 1.5, $\partial_n \equiv \bar{\partial}_n$ and $\sigma_n \equiv \bar{\sigma}_n$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_n \partial_{n+1} + \partial_n \sigma_{n-1} &\equiv \bar{\sigma}_n \bar{\partial}_{n+1} + \bar{\partial}_n \bar{\sigma}_{n-1} \\ &= e_n J_n \tilde{T}_{n+1} e_{n+1} \bar{L}_{n+1} e_n + e_n \bar{L}_n e_{n-1} J_{n-1} \tilde{T}_n e_n \\ &\equiv e_n (J_n \tilde{T}_{n+1} \bar{L}_{n+1} + \bar{L}_n J_{n-1} \tilde{T}_n) e_n \equiv e_n \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 1.1. Note that $\partial_{n+1} \partial_n \equiv 0$ and $\sigma_{n-1} \sigma_n \equiv 0$ by Lemma 1.2. Hence $\sigma_n \partial_{n+1}$ and $\partial_n \sigma_{n-1}$ are orthogonal idempotents. Similarly for e'_n and this completes the proof.

Theorem 2.2. *There are isomorphisms as vector spaces*

$$e_n F_2 \tilde{M}_{n,m}(F_2) e_m \cong \begin{cases} 0, & |n-m| \geq 2 \\ F_2\{\sigma_n\}, & m = n+1 \\ F_2\{\sigma_n \partial_{n+1}\} \oplus F_2\{\partial_n \sigma_{n-1}\}, & m = n \geq 2 \\ F_2\{\partial_n\}, & m = n-1 \end{cases}$$

and $e_1 F_2 \tilde{M}_{1,1}(F_2) e_1 \cong F_2\{\sigma_1 \partial_2\}$.

Proof. The case of $|n-m| \geq 2$ is clear from Lemma 1.2. It is known [7] that the Steinberg module $F_2 GL_n(F_2) e_n$ is projective and absolutely irreducible as $GL_n(F_2)$ -module. Therefore $e_n F_2 GL_n(F_2) e_n \cong F_2\{e_n\}$. Then we have $\dim e_n F_2 \tilde{M}_{n,n-1}(F_2) e_{n-1} = \dim e_n F_2 \tilde{M}_{n,n+1}(F_2) e_{n+1} = 1$ by Lemma 1.3. By Lemma 1.4, $\partial_n \equiv \bar{L}_n e_{n-1} \neq 0$ and $\sigma_n \equiv e_n J_n \tilde{T}_{n+1} \neq 0$ by Lemma 1.1. This shows the cases $m = n \pm 1$. Finally let S_n be the submodule of $F_2 \tilde{M}_{n,n}(F_2)$ spanned by all singular matrices. Then $F_2 \tilde{M}_{n,n}(F_2) \cong F_2 GL_n(F_2) \oplus S_n$ as the both side $GL_n(F_2)$ -module. From the above argument we easily see that

$\dim e_n S_n e_n = 1$ and hence $\dim e_n F_2 \tilde{M}_{n,n}(F_2) e_n = 2$. Now $\partial_{n+1} \sigma_n \neq 0$ and $\sigma_n \partial_{n+1} \neq 0$, for $\partial_{n+1} \sigma_n \partial_{n+1} \equiv (e_{n+1} - \sigma_{n+1} \partial_{n+2}) \partial_{n+1} \equiv \partial_{n+1} \neq 0$. Then the case $n=m$ is clear from Theorem 2.1.

Corollary 2.3. *The idempotents $\sigma_n \partial_{n+1}$ and $\partial_n \sigma_{n-1} \in F_2 \tilde{M}_{n,n}(F_2)$ are primitive.*

Now consider the reduction $\rho: \hat{Z}_2 M_{n,n}(F_2) \rightarrow F_2 \tilde{M}_{n,n}(F_2)$. Then as is well known [1], there are lifting idempotents. Therefore from Theorem 2.1 and Corollary 2.3, we have

Corollary 2.4. *There are orthogonal primitive idempotents $a_n, b_n \in \hat{Z}_2 \tilde{M}_{n,n}(F_2)$ such that $e_n = a_n + b_n$, $a_n \equiv \sigma_n \partial_{n+1} \pmod{2}$, and $b_n \equiv \partial_n \sigma_{n-1} \pmod{2}$.*

Remark 1. Above results hold clearly for $e'_n F_2 \tilde{M}_{n,m}(F_2) e'_m$ replacing ∂_n, σ_n with ∂'_n, σ'_n .

Remark 2. Lemma 1.2 holds for \hat{Z}_2 coefficient. For $e_n \hat{Z}_2 \tilde{M}_{n,m}(F_2)$ is a direct summand of $\hat{Z}_2 \tilde{M}_{n,m}(F_2)$. Therefore $\partial_{n+1} \partial_n = 0$ and $\sigma_n \sigma_{n+1} = 0$ in \hat{Z}_2 coefficient. Moreover using the lifting of idempotents [1], we see that Theorem 2.2 holds for \hat{Z}_2 coefficient.

Remark 3. In the (non reduced) semigroup ring $RM_{n,n}(F_2)$, the 0-matrix 0 is a central idempotent. Hence in $RM_{n,n}(F_2)$, e_n splits as a sum of three primitive idempotents for $n \geq 2$. For $n=1$, we have $e_1 = E_1 = (E_1 - 0) + 0$ is an orthogonal decomposition. We define $RM_{1,0}(F_2) = R \text{Hom}(F_2, 0) = R$ with basis σ_0 , and $RM_{0,1}(F_2) = R \text{Hom}(0, F_2) = R$ with basis ∂_1 . Then $\partial_1 \sigma_0 = 0$ and we have a decomposition $e_1 \equiv \sigma_1 \partial_2 + \partial_1 \sigma_0$ in $\hat{Z}_2 M_{1,1}(F_2)$. Thus Theorem 2.1 holds for $n=1$ and

$$\hat{Z}_2 M_{1,1}(F) \cong \hat{Z}_2 \{\sigma_1 \partial_2\} \oplus \hat{Z}_2 \{\partial_1 \sigma_0\}.$$

§ 3. Splitting spectra and infinite loop spaces

Let Y be a 2-local spectrum of finite type, and let $\Omega^\infty Y$ be the associated infinite loop space. Let $\{Y, Y\}$ be the stable homotopy ring. The unstable homotopy set $[\Omega^\infty Y, \Omega^\infty Y]$ is an abelian group with the composition product which satisfies the condition of a ring structure except the left distribution law. There is a natural "ring" homomorphism $j: \{Y, Y\} \rightarrow [\Omega^\infty Y, \Omega^\infty Y]$. Let Y be a suspension spectrum of a 2-local space X . Then $\Omega^\infty Y = QX$ by definition and denoting $\{Y, Y\}$ by $\{X, X\}$, we see that

$$j: \{X, X\} \longrightarrow [QX, QX]$$

is a monomorphism.

We call an element $e \in \{Y, Y\}$ an idempotent mod 2 if $e^2 \equiv e \pmod 2$. For an element $f \in [\Omega^\infty Y, \Omega^\infty Y]$, let $f_* \in \text{End}(\pi_*^S(\Omega^\infty Y)) \cong \text{End}(\pi_*^S(Y))$. An element $f \in [\Omega^\infty Y, \Omega^\infty Y]$ is called a π_* -idempotent mod 2 if $f_*^2 \equiv f_*$ in $\text{End}(\pi_*^S(\Omega^\infty Y))$.

Given $e \in \{Y, Y\}$, the telescope of the sequence $Y \xrightarrow{e} Y \xrightarrow{e} \dots$ is denoted by eY . Similarly for $f \in [\Omega^\infty Y, \Omega^\infty Y]$, the telescope of the sequence $\Omega^\infty Y \xrightarrow{f} \Omega^\infty Y \xrightarrow{f} \dots$ is denoted by $f\Omega^\infty Y$. There are natural maps $\phi_e: Y \rightarrow eY$ and $\psi_f: \Omega^\infty Y \rightarrow f\Omega^\infty Y$. Let

$$\xi_e = \phi_e \vee \phi_{1-e}: Y \longrightarrow eY \vee (1-e)Y$$

and

$$\eta_f = \psi_f \times \psi_{1-f}: \Omega^\infty Y \longrightarrow f\Omega^\infty Y \times (1-f)\Omega^\infty Y.$$

Proposition 3.1. *Let $e \in \{Y, Y\}$ be an idempotent mod 2. Then*

- (i) $\xi_e: Y \rightarrow eY \vee (1-e)Y$ is a homotopy equivalence.
- (ii) Let $e' \in \{Y, Y\}$ such that $e' \equiv e \pmod 2$. Then there is a homotopy equivalence $\lambda: eY \rightarrow e'Y$.

Proposition 3.2. *Let $f \in [\Omega^\infty Y, \Omega^\infty Y]$ be a π_* -idempotent mod 2. Then*

- (i) $\eta_f: \Omega^\infty Y \rightarrow f\Omega^\infty Y \times (1-f)\Omega^\infty Y$ is a homotopy equivalence.
- (ii) Let $f' \in [\Omega^\infty Y, \Omega^\infty Y]$ such that $f_* \equiv f'_* \pmod 2$. Then there is a homotopy equivalence $\lambda: f\Omega^\infty Y \rightarrow f'\Omega^\infty Y$.

Proof of Propositions. Since $e^2 \equiv e \pmod 2$, e_* is an idempotent in $\text{End}(\pi_*^S(Y) \otimes Z_2)$ and also in $\text{End}(\pi_*^S(Y) * Z_2)$. Then

$$\pi_*^S(eY) \otimes Z_2 \cong e(\pi_*^S(Y) \otimes Z_2) \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_*^S(eY) * Z_2 \cong e(\pi_*^S(Y) * Z_2)$$

and similarly for $1-e$. Therefore $\xi_{e*} \otimes 1_{Z_2}$ and $\xi_{e*} * 1_{Z_2}$ are isomorphisms. Hence ξ_{e*} is an isomorphism and (i) is proved. Now if $e' \equiv e \pmod 2$, then we have a homotopy equivalence $\xi_{e'}: Y \rightarrow e'Y \vee (1-e')Y$ and using ξ_e and $\xi_{e'}$, we can define a natural map $\lambda: eY \rightarrow e'Y$ in an obvious way, and as above we easily see that $\lambda_*: \pi_*^S(eY) \rightarrow \pi_*^S(e'Y)$ is an isomorphism. This shows (ii). Proof of the latter Proposition is similar.

Now we recall the structure of the stable homotopy group $\{BZ_2^n, BZ_2^m\}$. Let V be a subgroup of Z_2^n and let $f: V \rightarrow Z_2^m$ be a homomorphism. Define an element $u_{V,f} \in \{BZ_2^n, BZ_2^m\}$ by the composition

$$BZ_2^n \xrightarrow{\tau} BV \xrightarrow{\sigma(Bf)} BZ_2^m$$

where τ is the transfer of the covering $BV \rightarrow BZ_2^n$ and σ denotes the sus-

pension functor. In the sequel, $\sigma(Bf)$ is denoted simply by f . Then in [9] followings are shown.

Theorem 3.3. *There is an isomorphism*

$$\{BZ_2^n, BZ_2^m\} \cong \bigoplus \hat{Z}_2\{u_{V,f}\}$$

where the sum is taken over all (V, f) , $f \neq 0$.

Theorem 3.4. *Let $V \subset Z_2^n$ and $W \subset Z_2^m$ be subgroups and let $f: V \rightarrow Z_2^m$ and $g: W \rightarrow Z_2^l$ be homomorphisms. Let*

$$U = f^{-1}(W) \subset V \quad \text{and} \quad [Z_2^m: f(V)W] = 2^a.$$

Then

$$u_{W,g}u_{V,f} = 2^a u_{U,gf}.$$

Now we have an inclusion of rings

$$i: \hat{Z}_2 \tilde{M}_{m,n}(F_2) \longrightarrow \{BZ_2^n, BZ_2^m\}$$

defined by $i(f) = u_{Z_2^n, f}$. It is clear that i is compatible with compositions. In [9] we have also shown the following

Lemma 3.5. *A primitive idempotent in $\hat{Z}_2 \tilde{M}_{n,n}(F_2)$ is primitive in $\{BZ_2^n, BZ_2^n\}$.*

Now we recall the Mitchell-Priddy splitting. For $n \geq 2$, the spectrum $e_n BZ_2^n$ is denoted by $M(n)$. We put $M(1) = BZ_2 \vee S^0 = e_1((BZ_2)_+)$. For $n \geq 2$, let $a_n, b_n \in \hat{Z}_2 \tilde{M}_{n,n}(F_2)$ be idempotents in Corollary 2.4. By the remark of Section 2, we may define $a_1, b_1 \in \hat{Z}_2 M_{1,1}(F_2) \subset \{(BZ_2)_+, (BZ_2)_+\}$. Define spectra $M_a(n) = a_n BZ_2^n$ and $M_b(n) = b_n BZ_2^n$ for $n \geq 2$, and $M_a(1) = a_1(BZ_{2+})$ and $M_b(1) = b_1(BZ_{2+})$. Then we have

Theorem 3.6. *The spectra $M_a(n)$ and $M_b(n)$ are indecomposable and there is a stable splitting*

$$M(n) \simeq M_a(n) \vee M_b(n), \quad n \geq 1.$$

Proof. Since $e_n = a_n + b_n$ (orthogonal decomposition), $M(n) \simeq M_a(n) \vee M_b(n)$ is clear. The indecomposability of $M_a(n)$ and $M_b(n)$ follows from Corollary 2.3 and Lemma 3.5.

In [7], it is shown that there are spectra $L(n)$, $n \geq 0$, $L(0) = S^0$, $L(1) = BZ_2$, and a splitting $M(n) \simeq L(n) \vee L(n-1)$, $n \geq 1$. In [9] we have shown that the splitting of BZ_2^n by indecomposable spectra is essentially unique. Thus we have

Corollary 3.7. $L(n), n \geq 0$, is indecomposable and $M_a(n) \simeq L(n)$ and $M_b(n) \simeq L(n-1)$.

§ 4. Equivariant stable cohomotopy

Let G be a finite group. For G -space X and Y , $\{X_+, Y_+\}_G$ denotes the stable G -homotopy group, where X_+ is the based G -space with the disjoint base point. Let H be a subgroup of G , and let $N(H)$ be the normalizer of H . $N(H)/H$ is denoted by $W(H)$. Then the Segal-tom Dieck and Hauschild theorems are stated as follows.

Theorem 4.1 ([2], [3]). *Let X be a finite CW-complex with the trivial G -action. Then there are isomorphisms*

$$\xi: \bigoplus_{(H)} \{X_+, EW(H)_+\}_{W(H)} \longrightarrow \{X_+, S^0\}_G$$

and

$$\lambda_H: \{X_+, EW(H)_+\}_{W(H)} \longrightarrow \{X_+, BW(H)_+\}$$

where the sum is taken over the conjugacy classes of subgroups of G and $EW(H)$ is a free contractible $W(H)$ -space.

Using the above theorem, we show an equivariant version of the Barratt-Quillen theorem. Let E be a G -space. By a (G, E) -covering over X , we mean a pair of G -maps $(p, f) = (X \xleftarrow{p} \tilde{X} \xrightarrow{f} E)$, where $p: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ is a finite covering. Let $(X \xleftarrow{p'} \tilde{X}' \xrightarrow{f'} E)$ be another pair. We call (p, f) and (p', f') equivalent if there is an equivalence of coverings $\phi: \tilde{X} \rightarrow \tilde{X}'$ such that $f'\phi \sim_g f$. The set of equivalence classes of (G, E) -coverings over X is denoted by $C_g(X, E)$. By the disjoint sum, $C_g(X, E)$ is an abelian monoid. If $E = *$ or $G = \{e\}$, $C_g(X, E)$ is denoted by $C_g(X)$ or $C(X, E)$ respectively. Given a pair (p, f) we define a stable G -map $\omega(p, f)$ by the composition

$$X_+ \xrightarrow{\tau} \tilde{X}_+ \xrightarrow{\sigma(f_+)} E_+$$

where τ is the equivariant transfer [8]. Then we have a homomorphism

$$\omega: C_g(X, E) \longrightarrow \{X_+, E_+\}_G.$$

Then the following is shown in [9].

Lemma 4.2. *There are isomorphisms of monoids*

$$\tilde{\xi}: \prod_{(H)} C_{W(H)}(X, EW(H)) \longrightarrow C_g(X)$$

$$\tilde{\lambda}_H: C_{W(H)}(X, EW(H)) \longrightarrow C(X, BW(H))$$

and the following diagram is commutative;

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C_G(X) & \xleftarrow{\tilde{\xi}} \prod C_{W(H)}(X, EW(H)) & \xrightarrow{\prod \tilde{\lambda}_H} \prod C(X, BW(H)) \\ \omega \downarrow & & \downarrow \prod \omega \\ \{X_+, S^0\}_G & \xleftarrow{\xi} \prod \{X_+, EW(H)_+\}_{W(H)} & \xrightarrow{\prod \lambda_H} \prod \{X_+, BW(H)_+\}. \end{array}$$

Let h and h' be monoid valued contravariant homotopy functor on the category of CW -complexes. We suppose that h' is represented by a grouplike H -space. A natural homomorphism $\psi: h \rightarrow h'$ is called a group completion (in the sense of Segal) if the following universal property holds. For any grouplike H -space B and a natural homomorphism $\gamma: h \rightarrow [, B]_*$, there is a unique natural homomorphism $\gamma': h' \rightarrow [, B]_*$ such that $\gamma' \psi = \gamma$. Then by a result of [3], we immediately obtain the following

Theorem 4.3. *In the diagram of Lemma 4.2, every vertical maps are group completions as functors on X .*

Now by the Segal-tom Dieck isomorphism, we identify $\{X_+, S^0\}_G$ with $\oplus \{X_+, BW(H)_+\}$, when X is finite. We call the summand $\{X_+, BG_+\}$ corresponding to $H = \{e\}$ the free part of $\{X_+, S^0\}_G$. Let G' be another finite group and let

$$\gamma: \{X_+, S^0\}_G \longrightarrow \{X_+, S^0\}_{G'}$$

be a natural transformation of functors on X . We call γ admissible if γ preserves the free part, i.e., $\gamma(\{X_+, BG_+\}) \subset \{X_+, BG'_+\}$. Then we may consider $\gamma \in [Q(BG_+), Q(BG'_+)]$. Moreover if there is a relation among admissible natural transformations, then it gives the same relation in $[Q(BG_+), Q(BG'_+)]$.

We give some examples. First let $f: G' \rightarrow G$ be a homomorphism. Any stable G -map is regarded as a stable G' -map *via* f . This gives a stable (hence additive) natural transformation

$$f^*: \{X_+, S^0\}_G \longrightarrow \{X_+, S^0\}_{G'}$$

Proposition 4.4. (i) *f^* is admissible if and only if f is a monomorphism.*

(ii) *If f is an inclusion $G' \subset G$, then the stable map $f^* \in \{BG_+, BG'_+\}$ is the transfer.*

(iii) *If f is an isomorphism, then $f^* = \sigma Bf^{-1}$.*

Proof is easy from Theorem 4.3.

Next we consider the power operation. Let $f: X_+ \rightarrow S^0$ be a stable G -map. The smash product $f \wedge f: (X \times X)_+ \rightarrow S^0$ can be regarded as a stable $\Sigma_2 \int G$ -map, where $\Sigma_2 \int G$ is the wreath product. Let $\Delta(G) \subset G \times G$ be the diagonal. Then $Z_2 \times G \cong Z_2 \times \Delta(G) \subset \Sigma_2 \int G$. Let $d: X \rightarrow X \times X$ be the diagonal map. Then we have a stable $Z_2 \times G$ -map $(f \wedge f)d: X_+ \rightarrow S^0$, and this defines a natural transformation

$$P: \{X_+, S^0\}_G \longrightarrow \{X_+, S^0\}_{Z_2 \times G}.$$

For a finite G -covering $p: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$, $p \times p: \tilde{X} \times \tilde{X} \rightarrow X \times X$ is regarded as a $\Sigma_2 \int G$ -covering. Restricting to $d(X) \subset X \times X$, we have a $Z_2 \times G$ -covering over X and thus we have a natural transformation

$$P': C_G(X) \longrightarrow C_{Z_2 \times G}(X),$$

then the following lemma is easily verified from the property of transfers.

Lemma 4.5. *The following diagram is commutative:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C_G(X) & \xrightarrow{P'} & C_{Z_2 \times G}(X) \\ \omega_G \downarrow & & \downarrow \omega_{Z_2 \times G} \\ \{X_+, S^0\}_G & \xrightarrow{P} & \{X_+, S^0\}_{Z_2 \times G}. \end{array}$$

Now let $G = Z_2^{n-1}$. Let $b \in F_2^{n-1}$ and let $R_{n-1}(b) \in M_{n-1, n}(F_2)$. Then we have a natural transformation

$$R_{n-1}(b)^*: \{X_+, S^0\}_{Z_2^{n-1}} \longrightarrow \{X_+, S^0\}_{Z_2^n}.$$

Both P and $R_{n-1}(b)^*$ are not admissible, but we have

Lemma 4.6. $\sum_b R_{n-1}(b)^* - P$ is admissible.

Proof. Define a natural transformation

$$\theta: \{X_+, S^0\}_{Z_2^{n-1}} \times \{X_+, S^0\}_{Z_2^{n-1}} \longrightarrow \{X_+, S^0\}_{Z_2^n}$$

by $\theta(x, y) = P(x+y) - P(x) - P(y)$. For a finite coverings, this is given by $\theta(\tilde{X}, \tilde{X}') = \tilde{X} \cdot \tilde{X}' \amalg \tilde{X}' \cdot \tilde{X}$, where $\tilde{X} \cdot \tilde{X}' = \tilde{X} \times \tilde{X}' / d(X)$. Then we easily see that θ is admissible. Consider the composition

$$q_H: \{X_+, BZ_{2+}^{n-1}\} \subset \{X_+, S^0\}_{Z_2^{n-1}} \xrightarrow{\sum R_{n-1}(b)^* - P} \{X_+, S^0\}_{Z_2^n} \xrightarrow{P_H} \{X_+, BW(H)_+\}$$

where p_H is the projection. To prove the lemma, it suffices to show that $q_H=0$ for all $H \neq \{e\}$. But by the above observation we see that q_H is additive, for

$$\begin{aligned} q_H(x+y) &= p_H(\sum R_{n-1}(b)^*(x+y) - P(x+y)) \\ &= p_H(\sum R_{n-1}(b)^*(x) + \sum R_{n-1}(b)^*(y) - P(x) - P(y) + \theta(x, y)) \\ &= q_H(x) + q_H(y). \end{aligned}$$

For a free Z_2^{n-1} -set S , we easily see that

$$S \times S = \text{free} + \coprod_b R_{n-1}(b)^*(S)$$

as $Z_2^n = Z_2^{n-1} \times Z_2$ set. Hence this holds for finite coverings. Then $q_H=0$ by Theorem 4.3.

§ 5. Structure of $\{L(n), L(m)\}$ and the theorem of Kuhn

First we consider $\{M(n), M(m)\} = e_m \{BZ_2^n, BZ_2^m\} e_n$. Let $\text{Mon}(n, m) \subset M_{n,m}(F_2)$ be the set of all monomorphisms. For an $A \in \text{Mon}(n, m)$ we have defined a stable map $A^* \in \{BZ_2^n, BZ_2^m\}$. Therefore for any $a \in \hat{Z}_2 \text{Mon}(n, m)$ we can define a^* , for example e_n^* , e'_n , ∂_n^* and ∂'_n . By Proposition 4.4, $e_n^* = e'_n$ and $e'_n{}^* = e_n$.

Lemma 5.1. *Suppose that $m \leq n-2$. Then*

$$(\hat{Z}_2 \text{Mon}(n, m))^* e_n \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$$

and if $m = n-1$, then

$$(\hat{Z}_2 \text{Mon}(n, n-1))^* e_n \equiv \hat{Z}_2 GL_{n-1}(F_2) e_{n-1} (\tilde{T}'_n I_n)^*.$$

Proof is clear from the fact $(AB)^* = B^* A^*$ and Lemmas 1.2 and 1.6.

Lemma 5.2. *Let*

$$\theta: e_m \hat{Z}_2 \tilde{M}_{m,n-1}(F_2) e_{n-1} \longrightarrow \{M(n), M(m)\}$$

be a homomorphism defined by $\theta(a) = a \partial'_n{}^*$. Then θ is a monomorphism.

Proof. Note that $e_m x e_{n-1} \partial'_n{}^* = e_m x e_{n-1} I_n^* e_n = e_m x e_{n-1} (\tilde{T}'_n I_n)^*$. Let $C, C' \in T_n$. If $C \neq C'$ then $\text{Im}(CI_n)$ and $\text{Im}(C'I_n)$ are different. Then the lemma follows from Theorem 3.3.

Theorem 5.3. $\{M(n), M(m)\}$ is a free \hat{Z}_2 -module with the following basis. (i) 0 if $m \leq n-3$ or $m \geq n+2$; (ii) $\hat{Z}_2 \{\sigma_{n-2} \partial_n^*\}$ if $m = n-2$; (iii)

$\hat{Z}_2\{\sigma_{n-1}, \partial_{n-1}\sigma_{n-2}\partial'_n, \sigma_{n-1}\partial_n\partial'_n\}$ if $m=n-1$; (iv) $\hat{Z}_2\{\partial_n\sigma_{n-1}, \sigma_n\partial_{n+1}, \partial_n\partial'_n\}$ if $m=n$; (v) $\hat{Z}_2\{\partial_{n+1}\}$ if $m=n+1$.

Proof. By Theorem 3.3 and Lemma 5.1, we have $\{M(n), M(m)\} e_n \hat{Z}_2 \tilde{M}_{m,n}(F_2) e_n \oplus \text{Im}(\theta)$. Then the result follows from Lemma 5.2.

Recall that $M(n) \simeq L(n) \vee L(n-1)$. Then by the dimensional reason we immediately obtain

Corollary 5.4. *There are isomorphisms*

$$\begin{aligned} \{L(n), L(m)\} &\cong \hat{Z}_2, \quad \text{if } m=n \text{ or } m=n-1 \\ &\cong 0, \quad \text{otherwise.} \end{aligned}$$

A generator of $\{L(n), L(n-1)\} \cong \hat{Z}_2$ is denoted by h_n . Note that $h_n \vee h_{n-1}: L(n) \vee L(n-1) \rightarrow L(n-1) \vee L(n-2)$ is equivalent mod 2 to $\partial'_n: M(n) \rightarrow M(n-1)$.

Finally we give a proof of the Kuhn's theorem [5]. A sequence $\rightarrow X_{n+1} \xrightarrow{d} X_n \xrightarrow{d} \dots$ of stable maps of 2-local spectra is called (half stable) split exact if $d \circ d = 0$ and there are maps $s: \Omega^\infty X_n \rightarrow \Omega^\infty X_{n+1}$ for all n such that $d_* s_* + s_* d_* \equiv 1 \pmod 2$ in $\text{End}(\pi_*(\Omega^\infty X_n)) = \text{End}(\pi_*^S(X_n))$ for all n . Then the sequence

$$\rightarrow \pi_*^S(X_{n+1}) \xrightarrow{d_*} \pi_*^S(X_n) \xrightarrow{d_*} \dots$$

is clearly split exact. Let $u_n = \Omega^\infty(d) \circ s: \Omega^\infty X_n \rightarrow \Omega^\infty X_n$ and $v_n = s \circ \Omega^\infty(d): \Omega^\infty X_n \rightarrow \Omega^\infty X_n$, then clearly u_n and v_n are π_* -idempotent mod 2 and $u_n + v_n \equiv 1 \pmod 2$. Then by Proposition 3.2 we have $\Omega^\infty X_n \simeq u_n \Omega^\infty X_n \times v_n \Omega^\infty X_n$, and easily we see that $v_n \Omega^\infty X_n \simeq u_{n-1} \Omega^\infty X_{n-1}$. In Section 3, we have shown that the sequence

$$\rightarrow M(n+1) \xrightarrow{\sigma_n} M(n) \xrightarrow{\sigma_{n-1}} M(n-1) \rightarrow \dots$$

is (stable) split exact. Now the Kuhn's theorem asserts the following.

Theorem 5.5. *The sequence*

$$\rightarrow M(n+1) \xrightarrow{\partial'_{n+1}} M(n) \xrightarrow{\partial'_n} M(n-1) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow M(1)$$

is split exact.

Proof. For any $a \in \hat{Z}_2 \tilde{M}_{n,m}(F_2)$, we can define a natural transformation

$$a^*: \{X_+, S^0\}_{\mathbb{Z}_2^n} \rightarrow \{X_+, S^0\}_{\mathbb{Z}_2^m}.$$

The relations in Section 1 and Section 2 hold for a^* as such natural transformations. Define

$$s_{n-1} = e_n'^*(\bar{R}_{n-1}^* - P)e_{n-1}' = e_n(\bar{R}_{n-1}^* - P)e_{n-1}: \{X_+, S^0\}_{Z_2^{n-1}} \longrightarrow \{X_+, S^0\}_{Z_2^n},$$

where P is the power operation. Put $\alpha_n = \partial_{n+1}' s_n$ and $\beta_n = s_{n-1} \partial_n'^*$. By Lemma 4.6, s_n is admissible and hence so are α_n and β_n , and $s_n \in [Q(BZ_{2+}^n), Q(BZ_{2+}^{n+1})]$. To prove the theorem it suffices to show that $\alpha_n + \beta_n \equiv e_n^* \pmod{2}$ regarding α_n and β_n as maps in $[Q(BZ_{2+}^n), Q(BZ_{2+}^n)]$. Now we show that for any reduced element $x \in \{S^q, S^0\}_{Z_2^n} \subset \{S_+^q, S^0\}_{Z_2^n}$,

$$\alpha_n(x) + \beta_n(x) \equiv e_n(x) \pmod{2}.$$

Note that $\partial_n' \equiv e_n' T_n' I_n e_{n-1}'$. Then by Lemma 1.6, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_n + \beta_n &\equiv e_n((\tilde{T}'_{n+1} I_{n+1})^* \bar{R}_n^* + \bar{R}_{n-1}^*(\tilde{T}'_n I_n)^*) e_n \\ &\quad + e_n((\tilde{T}'_{n+1} I_{n+1})^* P + P(\tilde{T}'_n I_n)^*) e_n \\ &\equiv e_n + e_n((\tilde{T}'_{n+1} I_{n+1})^* P + P(\tilde{T}'_n I_n)^*) e_n. \end{aligned}$$

Now let $C'_i = (1, \dots, i) \in T'_n$, then $C'_i I_n$ is regarded as a standard inclusion $Z_2^{i-1} \times 0 \times Z_2^{n-i} \rightarrow Z_2^n$. Then $(C'_i I_n)^*: \{X_+, S^0\}_{Z_2^n} \rightarrow \{X_+, S^0\}_{Z_2^{n-1}}$ is given by forgetting i -th Z_2 -action in Z_2^n . Then by definition $I_{n+1}^* P(x) = x^2$, the cup product. Also we easily see that $(C'_{i+1} I_{n+1})^* P = P(C'_i I_n)^*$ for $i > 0$. Thus we easily see

$$((\tilde{T}'_{n+1} I_{n+1})^* P + P(\tilde{T}'_n I_n)^*)(x) = x^2$$

and if $x \in \{S^q, S^0\}_{Z_2^n}$, $q > 0$, then $x^2 = 0$ and hence $(\alpha_n + \beta_n)(x) \equiv e_n(x)$. This completes the proof.

Corollary 5.6. *The sequence*

$$\longrightarrow L(n) \xrightarrow{h_n} L(n-1) \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow L(1) \xrightarrow{h_1} L(0) = (S^0)_{(2)}$$

is split exact.

Remark. As is well known (Kahn-Priddy [4]), there is a split exact sequence $L(1) \xrightarrow{h_1} L(0) \xrightarrow{h_0} HQ_{(2)}$.

References

- [1] C. W. Curtis and I. Reiner, Representation theory of finite groups and associative algebras, Interscience.
- [2] T. tom Dieck, Orbittypen und äquivariante Homologie II, Arch. Math., **26** (1975), 650-662.

- [3] H. Hauschild, Zerspaltung äquivarianter Homotopiemengen, *Math. Ann.*, **230** (1977), 279–292.
- [4] D. S. Kahn and S. B. Priddy, The transfer and stable homotopy theory, *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.*, **83** (1978), 103–111.
- [5] N. J. Kuhn, A Kahn-Priddy sequence and a conjecture of G.W. Whitehead, *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.*, **83** (1982), 467–483.
- [6] N. J. Kuhn, S. A. Mitchell and S. B. Priddy, The Whitehead conjecture and splitting $B(\mathbb{Z}/2)^k$, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **7** (1982), 225–258.
- [7] S. A. Mitchell and S. B. Priddy, Stable splitting derived from the Steinberg module, *Topology*, **22** (1983), 285–298.
- [8] G. Nishida, The transfer homomorphism in equivariant generalized cohomology theories, *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.*, **18** (1978), 435–451.
- [9] —, Stable homotopy type of classifying spaces of finite groups, to appear.
- [10] G. B. Segal, Equivariant stable homotopy theory, *Actes Congrès intern. Math.*, Tome **2** (1970), 59–63.
- [11] —, Operation in stable homotopy theory, *New developments in topology*, London Math. Soc. L.N.S., **11** (1972).

Department of Mathematics
Kyoto University
Kyoto 606, Japan