

## On the Stark-Shintani Conjecture and Certain Relative Class Numbers

Tsuneo Arakawa

### § 1. Introduction

1.1. In [4], [5], H. M. Stark introduced certain ray class invariants of real quadratic fields with the use of special values at  $s=0$  of the derivatives of some zeta functions, and presented a remarkable conjecture on the arithmetic of the ray class invariants (his treatment covers the cases of totally real fields). T. Shintani established the conjecture independently and solved it in a special but non-trivial significant case (see [3]). The solved case of the conjecture owing to Shintani might be of some importance in connection with certain  $Z_p$ -extensions of ray class fields over real quadratic fields (see J. Nakagawa [1], [2]). In this note we obtain a certain relative class number formula of the ray class fields under the assumption that the Stark-Shintani conjecture is valid. Such a class number formula will have some application in the study of  $Z_p$ -extensions of the ray class fields (cf. [1], [2]).

1.2. We summarize our results. Let  $F$  be a real quadratic field embedded in the real number field  $\mathbf{R}$ . Let  $E(F)$  (resp.  $E^+(F)$ ) be the group of units (resp. totally positive units) of  $F$ . For each  $\alpha \in F$ ,  $\alpha'$  denotes the conjugate of  $\alpha$  in  $F$ . For an integral ideal  $\mathfrak{f}$  of  $F$ , let  $H_F(\mathfrak{f})$  denote the group of narrow ray classes modulo  $\mathfrak{f}$  of  $F$ . Take a totally positive integer  $\nu$  of  $F$  such that  $\nu+1 \in \mathfrak{f}$ , and denote by  $\nu(\mathfrak{f})$  the ray class of  $H_F(\mathfrak{f})$  represented by the principal ideal  $(\nu)$ . For each class  $c \in H_F(\mathfrak{f})$ , let  $\zeta_F(s, c)$  be the partial zeta function defined by  $\zeta_F(s, c) = \sum N(\alpha)^{-s}$ , where  $\alpha$  is taken over all integral ideals of  $F$  belonging to the class  $c$ . It is known that  $\zeta_F(s, c)$  is holomorphic in the whole complex plane except for a simple pole at  $s=1$ . Let  $\zeta'_F(s, c)$  denote the derivative of  $\zeta_F(s, c)$ . Set, for each  $c \in H_F(\mathfrak{f})$ ,

$$X_{\mathfrak{f}}(c) = \exp(\zeta'_F(0, c) - \zeta'_F(0, c\nu(\mathfrak{f}))).$$

The invariant  $X_{\mathfrak{f}}(c)$  is intensively studied by Stark [4] and Shintani [3].

From  $X_{\mathfrak{f}}(c)$ , another invariant  $Y_{\mathfrak{f}}(c)$  ( $c \in H_F(\mathfrak{f})$ ) is introduced in [3, § 2]: Let  $\mathfrak{P}(\mathfrak{f})$  be the set of prime divisors of  $\mathfrak{f}$ . For each subset  $S$  of  $\mathfrak{P}(\mathfrak{f})$ , denote by  $\mathfrak{f}(S)$  the intersection of all the divisors of  $\mathfrak{f}$  which are prime to any  $\mathfrak{p}$  of  $\mathfrak{P}(\mathfrak{f}) - S$ . If we write  $\mathfrak{f} = \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathfrak{P}(\mathfrak{f})} \mathfrak{p}^{e(\mathfrak{p})}$  ( $e(\mathfrak{p}) > 0$ ), then,  $\mathfrak{f}(S) = \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in S} \mathfrak{p}^{e(\mathfrak{p})}$ . Put  $n(S) = |H_F(\mathfrak{f}) / H_F(\mathfrak{f}(S))|$  (for any finite set  $A$ ,  $|A|$  denotes the cardinality of  $A$ ). Set, for each  $c \in H_F(\mathfrak{f})$ ,

$$(1.1) \quad Y_{\mathfrak{f}}(c) = \prod_S X_{\mathfrak{f}(S)}(\tilde{c} \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathfrak{P}(\mathfrak{f}) - S} \mathfrak{p}^{-1})^{1/n(S)},$$

where  $S$  runs over all subsets of  $\mathfrak{P}(\mathfrak{f})$ , and for each  $S$ ,  $\tilde{c}$  denotes the image of  $c$  under the natural homomorphism of  $H_F(\mathfrak{f})$  onto  $H_F(\mathfrak{f}(S))$ .

We impose the following conditions (1.2), (1.3) on  $\mathfrak{f}$ :

$$(1.2) \quad \text{for any } u \in E^+(F), u+1 \notin \mathfrak{f},$$

$$(1.3) \quad \text{no unit } u \text{ of } F \text{ satisfies } u > 0, u' < 0 \text{ and } u-1 \in \mathfrak{f}.$$

Under the assumption (1.2), the ray class  $\nu(\mathfrak{f})$  is of order two in  $H_F(\mathfrak{f})$ . Denote by  $K_F(\mathfrak{f})$  the maximal narrow ray class field over  $F$  defined modulo  $\mathfrak{f}$  and let  $\sigma$  be the Artin canonical isomorphism from  $H_F(\mathfrak{f})$  onto the Galois group  $\text{Gal}(K_F(\mathfrak{f})/F)$  of  $K_F(\mathfrak{f})$  over  $F$ . For any subgroup  $G$  of  $H_F(\mathfrak{f})$ , let  $K_F(\mathfrak{f}, G)$  be the subfield of  $K_F(\mathfrak{f})$  corresponding to  $G$ :  $K_F(\mathfrak{f}, G) = \{\theta \in K_F(\mathfrak{f}) \mid \theta^{\sigma(g)} = \theta \text{ for all } g \in G\}$ . Set

$$X_{\mathfrak{f}}(c, G) = \prod_{g \in G} X_{\mathfrak{f}}(cg), \quad Y_{\mathfrak{f}}(c, G) = \prod_{g \in G} Y_{\mathfrak{f}}(cg) \quad (c \in H_F(\mathfrak{f})).$$

Take an integer  $\mu$  of  $F$  such that  $\mu < 0$ ,  $\mu' > 0$  and  $\mu - 1 \in \mathfrak{f}$ . Denote by  $\mu(\mathfrak{f})$  the ray class of  $H_F(\mathfrak{f})$  represented by the principal ideal  $(\mu)$ . Then  $\mu(\mathfrak{f})$  is of order at most two. Let the subgroup  $G$  of  $H_F(\mathfrak{f})$  satisfy the condition:

$$(1.4) \quad \mu(\mathfrak{f}) \in G \quad \text{and} \quad \nu(\mathfrak{f}) \notin G.$$

Set  $M = K_F(\mathfrak{f}, G)$  and  $M^+ = K_F(\mathfrak{f}, \langle G, \nu(\mathfrak{f}) \rangle)$ , where  $\langle G, \nu(\mathfrak{f}) \rangle$  denotes the subgroup of  $H_F(\mathfrak{f})$  generated by  $G$  and  $\nu(\mathfrak{f})$ . Then exactly one of the infinite primes of  $F$  which corresponds to the prescribed embedding of  $F$  into  $\mathbf{R}$  splits in  $M$  over  $F$ , and  $M^+$  is the maximal totally real subfield of  $M$ . Under the assumptions (1.2), (1.3) on  $\mathfrak{f}$  and (1.4) on  $G$ , the Stark-Shintani conjecture is formulated as follows (we follow [3]):

**Conjecture.** *There exists a rational positive integer  $m$  which satisfies the following conditions:*

- (i)  $X_{\mathfrak{f}}(c, G)^m$  is a unit of  $M$  for each  $c \in H_F(\mathfrak{f})$ ,
- (ii)  $\{X_{\mathfrak{f}}(c, G)^m\}^{\sigma(c')} = X_{\mathfrak{f}}(cc', G)^m$  for any  $c, c' \in G$ .

Shintani proved the conjecture when  $M^+$  is abelian over the rational number field  $\mathcal{Q}$  ([3, Theorem 2]).

Let  $E(M)$  (resp.  $E(M^+)$ ) be the unit group of  $M$  (resp.  $M^+$ ). Denote by  $h(M)$  (resp.  $h(M^+)$ ) the class number of  $M$  (resp.  $M^+$ ). Then,  $h(M)$  is divided by  $h(M^+)$  by class field theory. In view of the definition (1.1) of  $Y_{\mathfrak{f}}(c)$  and the conjecture, we may assume that, for some positive integer  $m$ ,  $Y_{\mathfrak{f}}(c, G)$  satisfies

$$(1.5) \quad \begin{cases} Y_{\mathfrak{f}}(c, G)^m \in E(M) \text{ for any } c \in H_F(\mathfrak{f}), \\ \{Y_{\mathfrak{f}}(c, G)^m\}^{\sigma(c')} = Y_{\mathfrak{f}}(cc', G)^m \text{ for any } c, c' \in H_F(\mathfrak{f}). \end{cases}$$

Under the assumption (1.5), we shall get a certain formula which connects the relative class number of  $M/M^+$  with the invariants  $Y_{\mathfrak{f}}(c, G)$ .

**Theorem.** *Let  $\mathfrak{f}$  satisfy the conditions (1.2), (1.3) and let  $G$  be a subgroup of  $H_F(\mathfrak{f})$  with the condition (1.4). Assume that, for a suitable positive integer  $m$ , the invariants  $Y_{\mathfrak{f}}(c, G)$  satisfy the relation (1.5). Denote by  $n$  the degree of the extension of  $M^+$  over  $F$  ( $n = [M^+ : F]$ ). Then we have*

$$h(M)/h(M^+) = 2^{1-2n} m^{-n} [E(M) : E_{Y, m}(M)],$$

where  $E_{Y, m}(M)$  is the subgroup of  $E(M)$  generated by  $E(M^+)$  and  $Y_{\mathfrak{f}}(c, G)^m$  ( $c \in H_F(\mathfrak{f}) / \langle G, \nu(\mathfrak{f}) \rangle$ ), and  $[E(M) : E_{Y, m}(M)]$  denotes the group index of  $E(M)$  to  $E_{Y, m}(M)$ .

If  $M^+$  is abelian over  $\mathcal{Q}$ , then the relation (1.5) for a certain positive integer  $m$  has been verified by Shintani ([3, Proposition 5]). In this solved case the relative class number formula in Theorem actually holds. Recently, Nakagawa obtained a series of cyclotomic  $\mathcal{Z}_p$ -extensions  $\bigcup_{n=0} M_n$  such that, for each  $M_n$ , the Stark-Shintani conjecture is valid with the index  $m=1$ . Moreover, with respect to such  $\mathcal{Z}_p$ -extensions, he obtained a more precise version of our Theorem (see [1], and [2, Theorem 1]).

## § 2. Proof of Theorem

We keep the notation used in the introduction. Let  $\zeta_M(s)$ ,  $\zeta_{M^+}(s)$  be the Dedekind zeta functions of  $M$ ,  $M^+$ , respectively. For each character  $\chi$  of the group  $H_F(\mathfrak{f})$ , we denote by  $\mathfrak{f}_{\chi}$  the conductor of  $\chi$  and by  $\tilde{\chi}$  the primitive character of the group  $H_F(\mathfrak{f}_{\chi})$  corresponding to  $\chi$  in a natural manner. Let  $L_F(s, \tilde{\chi})$  be the Hecke  $L$ -function associated with  $\tilde{\chi}$ . It is well-known by class field theory that

$$\zeta_M(s) = \prod_{\chi} L_F(s, \tilde{\chi}),$$

where  $\chi$  runs over all characters of the group  $H_F(\mathfrak{f})$  with the condition  $\chi(G)=1$ . A similar identity holds for  $\zeta_{M^+}(s)$ . Therefore we get the expression for  $\zeta_M(s)/\zeta_{M^+}(s)$ :

$$(2.1) \quad \zeta_M(s)/\zeta_{M^+}(s) = \prod'_{\chi} L_F(s, \tilde{\chi}),$$

where the product  $\prod'$  means that  $\chi$  is taken over all characters of the group  $H_F(\mathfrak{f})$  with the conditions  $\chi(G)=1$ ,  $\chi(\nu(\mathfrak{f}))=-1$ . Denote by  $R(M)$ ,  $R(M^+)$  the regulators of  $M$ ,  $M^+$ , respectively. It is easily derived from the well-known residue formula of  $\zeta_M(s)$  at  $s=1$  that

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{\zeta_M(s)}{s^{3n-1}} = -\frac{h(M)R(M)}{2}.$$

Similarly we have

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{\zeta_{M^+}(s)}{s^{2n-1}} = -\frac{h(M^+)R(M^+)}{2}.$$

Therefore we easily get

$$\frac{h(M)}{h(M^+)} = \frac{R(M^+)}{R(M)} \prod'_{\chi} \left\{ \frac{d}{ds} L_F(s, \tilde{\chi}) \right\}_{s=0},$$

where we note that  $L_F(0, \tilde{\chi})=0$ . It is known by Shintani [3, Proposition 3] that, for each character  $\chi$  of the group  $H_F(\mathfrak{f})$  with  $\chi(\nu(\mathfrak{f}))=-1$ , the value  $\{(d/ds)L_F(s, \tilde{\chi})\}_{s=0}$  is expressed as follows:

$$\left\{ \frac{d}{ds} L_F(s, \tilde{\chi}) \right\}_{s=0} = \sum_{c \in H_F(\mathfrak{f})/\langle G, \nu(\mathfrak{f}) \rangle} \chi(c) \log Y_{\mathfrak{f}}(c, G)$$

(we note that the definition (1.1) of  $Y_{\mathfrak{f}}(c)$  coincides with that of Shintani [3, (18)], since  $X^{\mathfrak{f}(S)}(\mathfrak{c})$  ( $c \in H_F(\mathfrak{f})$ ) is trivially one unless  $\mathfrak{f}(S)$  satisfies the condition (1.2)). Thus we get

$$(2.2) \quad \frac{h(M)}{h(M^+)} = \frac{R(M^+)}{m^n R(M)} \prod'_{\chi} \left\{ \sum_{c \in H_F(\mathfrak{f})/\langle G, \nu(\mathfrak{f}) \rangle} \chi(c) \log Y_{\mathfrak{f}}(c, G)^m \right\}.$$

Let  $\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n\}$  be a complete set of representatives of  $H_F(\mathfrak{f})/\langle G, \nu(\mathfrak{f}) \rangle$ . Let  $\tau$  be any embedding of  $M$  into the complex number field  $\mathbf{C}$  which is an extension of the non-trivial automorphism  $\iota$  of  $F$ . Then all mutually distinct embeddings of  $M$  into  $\mathbf{C}$  are exhausted by

$$\begin{cases} \sigma(c_1), \dots, \sigma(c_n), \sigma(c_1\nu), \dots, \sigma(c_n\nu), \\ \sigma(c_1)\tau, \dots, \sigma(c_n)\tau, \sigma(c_1\nu)\tau, \dots, \sigma(c_n\nu)\tau, \end{cases}$$

where we write  $\nu$  instead of  $\nu(\bar{\cdot})$ . Note that  $\sigma(c_i\nu)\tau$  is the complex conjugate of  $\sigma(c_i)\tau$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ). Let  $\{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{2n-1}\}$  be a system of fundamental units of  $M^+$ . For simplicity we write  $Y(c_j) = Y_i(c_j, G)^m$  ( $1 \leq j \leq n$ ). Now we calculate the regulator  $R[u_1, \dots, u_{2n-1}, Y(c_1), \dots, Y(c_n)]$  of the units  $u_1, \dots, u_{2n-1}, Y(c_1), \dots, Y(c_n)$  of  $M$ . We define matrices  $Y, W, U$  and  $U'$  by putting

$$\begin{aligned} Y &= (\log |Y(c_j)^{\sigma(c_i)}|)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}, \\ W &= (\log |Y(c_j)^{\sigma(c_i)\tau}|)_{1 \leq i \leq n-1, 1 \leq j \leq n}, \\ U &= (\log |u_j^{\sigma(c_i)}|)_{1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq 2n-1}, \\ U' &= (\log |u_j^{\sigma(c_i)\tau}|)_{1 \leq i \leq n-1, 1 \leq j \leq 2n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Immediately we have

$$Y(c_j)^{\sigma(c_i)} = Y(c_i c_j), \quad Y(c_j)^{\sigma(c_i\nu)} = Y(c_i c_j)^{-1}, \quad u_j^{\sigma(c_i\nu)} = u_j^{\sigma(c_i)}.$$

It is easy to see from the definition of the regulator that

$$\begin{aligned} R[u_1, \dots, u_{2n-1}, Y(c_1), \dots, Y(c_n)] &= \det \begin{bmatrix} Y & U \\ -Y & U \\ 2W & 2U' \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \det \begin{bmatrix} 2Y & 0 \\ -Y & U \\ 2W & 2U' \end{bmatrix} \\ &= 2^{2n-1} \det(Y) \det \begin{bmatrix} U \\ U' \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we get

$$(2.3) \quad R[u_1, \dots, u_{2n-1}, Y(c_1), \dots, Y(c_n)] = \pm 2^{2n-1} R(M^+) \det(Y).$$

We need a lemma which is only a modification of the Dedekind determinant relation.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $H$  be a finite abelian group and  $K$  a subgroup of  $H$ . Let  $h_1, h_2, \dots, h_r$  ( $r = |H/K|$ ) be a complete set of representatives of the quotient  $H/K$ . Take a character  $\psi$  of  $K$ . Then, for any function  $f$  on  $H$  such that*

$$f(kh) = \psi(k)f(h) \quad \text{for all } k \in K, h \in H.$$

we have

$$\det(f(h_i h_j^{-1})) = \prod_x \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^r \chi(h_i) f(h_i^{-1}) \right\}$$

where  $\chi$  runs over all characters of  $H$  such that the restriction of  $\chi$  onto  $K$  coincides with  $\psi$ .

We omit the proof, which is quite similar for instance to that of Lemma 5.26 of [6].

Now let  $H = H_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathfrak{f})$  and  $K = \langle G, \nu(\mathfrak{f}) \rangle$ . Define a character  $\psi$  of  $K$  by putting  $\psi(G) = 1$  and  $\psi(\nu(\mathfrak{f})) = -1$ . Applying Lemma 2.1 to our situation, we get

$$(2.4) \quad \det(\log Y(c_i c_j^{-1})) = \prod'_x \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \chi(c_i^{-1}) \log Y(c_i) \right\},$$

where  $\prod'_x$  means the same as in (2.1). We note that

$$\det(\log Y(c_i c_j^{-1})) = \pm \det(\log Y(c_i c_j)) = \pm \det(Y).$$

Taking the relations (2.2), (2.3), (2.4) into account of, we get

$$\frac{h(M)}{h(M^+)} = \pm \frac{R[u_1, \dots, u_{2n-1}, Y(c_1), \dots, Y(c_n)]}{2^{2n-1} m^n R(M)},$$

which implies the assertion of Theorem.

Q.E.D.

**Remark.** It seems better to obtain a relative class number formula in Theorem by using the original invariants  $X_i(c, G)$  instead of  $Y_i(c, G)$ .

### References

- [1] J. Nakagawa, On the Stark-Shintani conjecture and cyclotomic  $\mathbf{Z}_p$ -extensions of class fields over real quadratic fields, *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, **36** (1984), 577–588.
- [2] —, On the Stark-Shintani conjecture and cyclotomic  $\mathbf{Z}_p$ -extensions of class fields over real quadratic fields II, *Tôhoku Math. J.*, **36** (1984), 439–452.
- [3] T. Shintani, On certain ray class invariants of real quadratic fields, *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, **30** (1978), 139–167.
- [4] H. M. Stark,  $L$ -functions at  $s=1$ . III. Totally real fields and Hilbert's twelfth problem, *Adv. in Math.*, **22** (1976), 64–84.
- [5] —, Class fields for real quadratic fields and  $L$ -series at  $s=1$ , *Proc. Durham Conf.*, pp. 355–374, Academic press, New York, 1977.
- [6] L. C. Washington, *Introduction to cyclotomic fields*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1982.

*Department of Mathematics  
Rikkyo University  
Nishi-Ikebukuro, Tokyo  
171 Japan*