# On the Generalized Springer Correspondence for Exceptional Groups 

N. Spaltenstein

Let $G$ be a connected reductive algebraic group defined over an algebraically closed field $k$. Let $\mathfrak{B}^{a}$ be the variety of Borel subgroups of $G$, and for $x \in G$ let $\mathfrak{O}_{x}^{G}=\left\{B \in \mathfrak{B}^{G} \mid B \ni x\right\}$. Springer [28] has shown that the Weyl group $W$ of $G$ acts naturally on the $\ell$-adic cohomology groups $H^{i}\left(\mathfrak{B}_{x}^{G} ; \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{\ell}\right)(\ell$ a prime, $\ell \neq \operatorname{char}(k)$ ). We shall consider here the action of $W$ defined by Lusztig [13] rather than that defined originally by Springer (they agree up to tensoring by the sign representation of $W$ [10]; moreover no restriction on the characteristic is needed in [13]).

If $H$ is a finite group, let $H^{\wedge}$ be the set of all isomorphism classes of irreducible $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{\ell}$-representations of $H$. For $x \in G$ the finite group $A_{G}(x)=$ $C_{G}(x) / C_{G}^{0}(x)$ acts on $H^{*}\left(\mathfrak{B}_{x}^{G}\right)$ (we shall omit the $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{\ell}$ ), and this action commutes with that of $W$. Let $d_{x}=\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{B}_{x}^{G}$. The action of $W \times A_{G}(x)$ in $H^{2 d x}\left(\mathfrak{B}_{x}^{G}\right)$ turns out to be particularly interesting. For any $\rho \in W^{\wedge}$ there exist a unipotent element $u \in G$ and $\phi \in A_{G}(u)^{\wedge}$ such that $\rho \otimes \phi$ occurs in $H^{2 d_{u}}\left(\mathfrak{B}_{u}^{G}\right)$. Moreover the pair $(u, \phi)$ is unique up to $G$-conjugation, and $\rho \otimes \phi$ occurs with multiplicity one in $H^{2 d u}\left(\mathfrak{B}_{u}^{G}\right)$. We write then $\rho=\rho_{u, \phi}^{G}$. This injective map from $W^{\wedge}$ to the set $\mathscr{N}_{G}$ of conjugacy classes of pairs $(u, \phi)\left(u \in G\right.$ unipotent, $\left.\phi \in A_{G}(u)^{\wedge}\right)$ is explicitly known in most cases. If the characteristic is good, it is described by Shoji [22] [23] ( $G$ classical, $F_{4}$ ), Springer [28] $\left(G_{2}\right)$, Alvis, Lusztig and the author [3] $\left(E_{n}, n=6,7,8\right)$. Classical groups in characteristic 2 are treated in [17]. We shall consider here exceptional groups in bad characteristic.

We shall also be concerned with Lusztig's generalization of Springer's correspondence [14]. Consider a pair ( $u, \phi$ ) ( $u \in G$ unipotent, $\left.\phi \in A_{G}(u)^{\wedge}\right)$. Lusztig attaches to $(u, \phi)$ a 4-tuple ( $L, v, \psi, \rho$ ) (or rather a $G$-conjugacy class of such objects), where $L$ is a Levi factor of some parabolic subgroup of $G, v \in L$ is unipotent, $\psi \in A_{L}(v)^{\wedge}, \rho \in\left(N_{G}(L) / L\right)^{\wedge}$. If $L=G$, then $(v, \psi)$ is conjugate to $(u, \phi)$ and $\rho$ is automatically trivial, and we say that $(u, \phi)$ is cuspidal for $G$. Lusztig's construction has in particular the following properties. It defines a bijection between $\mathscr{N}_{G}$ and the set $\mathscr{X}_{G}$ of $G$-conjugacy classes of 4-tuples $(L, v, \psi, \rho)$ as above for which $(v, \psi)$ is cuspidal

[^0]for $L$. When $L=T$ is a maximal torus, we have $v=1, \psi=1$ and $\rho \in$ $\left(N_{G}(T) / T\right)^{\wedge}$. The subset of $\mathscr{X}_{G}$ corresponding to $L=T$ can therefore be identified with $W^{\wedge}$, and the restriction of Lusztig's bijection to this subset is precisely Springer's correspondence. In general, we shall write $\rho=\rho_{u, \phi}^{G}$ if $(u, \phi)$ corresponds to $(L, v, \psi, \rho)$.

We shall compute the generalized Springer correspondence for groups of type $G_{2}, F_{4}, E_{6}, E_{7}, E_{8}$. The results will however not be complete. In the case where $N_{G}(L) / L$ is dihedral of order 12 there are two irreducible representations of degree 2 and the methods used in this paper do not allow to distinguish them.

Most of the theoretical results which we shall need concerning Springer's correspondence and its generalization are proved by Lusztig in [14] (without any assumption on the characteristic).

I wish to thank G. Lusztig for helpful conversations.

## § 1.

We shall proceed essentially as in [3]. As the characteristic is now arbitrary, we must however be more careful. We shall use the following properties.
1.1. The Weyl group $W$ can be considered in a natural way as a reflection group in a $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{\ell}$-vector space $V$, and it acts on the space of all polynomial functions on $V$. For $\rho \in W^{\wedge}$, let $a_{\rho}=\min \{d \in \mathbf{N} \mid \rho$ occurs in the space of homogeneous polynomial functions of degree $d$ on $V\}$. Suppose $\rho=\rho_{u, \phi}^{G} . \quad$ Then
(I) $a_{\rho} \geq d_{u}$; moreover $a_{\rho}=d_{u}$ if $\phi=1$.

This follows from the results of Borho and MacPherson [6]. Here we have to use the identification of the action of $W$ on $H^{*}\left(\mathfrak{B}_{1}^{G}\right)$ with the classical action of $W$ on $H^{*}\left(\mathfrak{B}^{G}\right)$. This identification is stated and used in [6], but the proof is left to the reader. For completeness a proof is given in paragraph 2.
1.2. Let $P$ be a parabolic subgroup of $G$ with unipotent radical $U$, and let $M$ be a Levi factor of $P$. Let $u \in G, u^{\prime} \in M$ be unipotent and let $Y_{u, u^{\prime}}=\left\{x \in G \mid x^{-1} u x \in u^{\prime} U\right\}$. It is known that $\operatorname{dim} Y_{u, u^{\prime}} \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(\operatorname{dim} C_{G}(u)\right.$ $\left.+\operatorname{dim} C_{M}\left(u^{\prime}\right)\right)+\operatorname{dim} U$ [29]. The group $C_{G}(u) \times C_{M}\left(u^{\prime}\right) U$ acts on $Y_{u, u^{\prime}}$ by $(g, m) \cdot x=g x m^{-1}\left(g \in C_{G}(u), m \in C_{m}\left(u^{\prime}\right) U, x \in Y_{u, u^{\prime}}\right)$. In particular $A_{G}(u)$ $\times A_{M}\left(u^{\prime}\right)$ acts on the set $X_{u, u^{\prime}}$ of all irreducible components of $Y_{u, u^{\prime}}$ of dimension $\frac{1}{2}\left(\operatorname{dim} C_{G}(u)+\operatorname{dim} C_{M}\left(u^{\prime}\right)\right)+\operatorname{dim} U$, affording a permutation representation $\varepsilon_{u, u^{\prime}}$ (over $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{\ell}$ ). Let $L \subset M$ be a Levi factor of some parabolic subgroup of $M$ (or equivalently of $G$ ). Let $v \in L$ be unipotent and $\psi \in$ $A_{L}(v)^{\wedge}$ be such that $(v, \psi)$ is cuspidal for $L$. Let $W_{0}=N_{G}(L) / L, W_{0}^{\prime}=$
$N_{M}(L) / L, \rho \in W_{0}^{\wedge}, \rho^{\prime} \in W_{0}^{\prime \wedge}, \phi \in A_{G}(u)^{\wedge}, \phi^{\prime} \in A_{M}\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{\wedge}$. Suppose that $(u, \phi)$, ( $u^{\prime}, \phi^{\prime}$ ) correspond respectively to ( $L, v, \psi, \rho$ ) (for $G$ ) and ( $L, v, \psi, \rho^{\prime}$ ) (for $M)$. Then
(II) $\left\langle\rho^{\prime}, \operatorname{Res}_{W_{0^{\prime}}}^{W_{0}}(\rho)\right\rangle_{W_{0^{\prime}}}=\left\langle\phi \otimes \phi^{\prime *}, \varepsilon_{u, u^{\prime}}\right\rangle_{A_{G}(u) \times A_{M^{\prime}}\left(u^{\prime}\right)}$, where $\phi^{*}$ is the dual of $\phi^{\prime}$. Moreover the irreducible representations of $A_{G}(u) \times A_{M}\left(u^{\prime}\right)$ which occur in $\varepsilon_{u, u^{\prime}}$ are all of the form described above.

This is a reformulation of a result of Lusztig [14, Thm 8.3]. It generalizes a formula of Springer [29].

Property (II) is especially useful in two cases where we have a good grip on the set $X_{u, u^{\prime}}$.
1.3. Suppose first that in (1.2) we are in the situation where the class $C$ of $u$ in $G$ is obtained by the process of induction from the class of $u^{\prime}$ in $M$ [16], that is, $C$ contains a dense open subset of $u^{\prime} U$. Without loss of generality we may then assume that $u \in u^{\prime} U$. Then $C_{P}^{0}(u)=C_{G}^{0}(u) \subset C_{M}\left(u^{\prime}\right) U$ and $C_{P}(u)$ meets all irreducible components of $C_{M}\left(u^{\prime}\right) U$ [16]. Let $N=$ $C_{P}(u) / C_{G}^{0}(u) \subset A_{G}(u), H=C_{C_{M}^{0}\left(u^{\prime}\right) U}(u) / C_{G}^{0}(u) \subset N$. Then $H$ is normal in $N$ and $N / H$ is naturally isomorphic to $A_{M}\left(u^{\prime}\right)$. Now $A_{G}(u) \times(N / H)$ acts on $A_{G}(u) / H$ by $(a, n H) \cdot(x H)=a x n^{-1} H\left(a, x \in A_{G}(u), n \in N\right)$ and this defines also an action of $A_{G}(u) \times A_{M}\left(u^{\prime}\right)$ on $A_{G}(u) / H$. We have then:
(III) In this case $X_{u, u^{\prime}}$ and $A_{G}(u) / H$ are isomorphic as sets with $A_{G}(u) \times A_{M}\left(u^{\prime}\right)$-actions.

This follows from results in [16] (this was already used in [3]).
1.4. Suppose now that in (1.2) we have $u=u^{\prime}$. Let $S$ be the connected centre of $M$. Then $M=C_{G}(S)$ and therefore $C_{M}(u)=C_{C \sigma(u)}(S)$. As the centralizer of a torus in a connected group is connected, we find that $C_{M}^{0}(u)=C_{C_{G}^{0}(u)}(S)$. This implies that $A_{M}(u)$ can be considered in a natural way as a subgroup of $A_{G}(u)$, and $A_{G}(u) \times A_{M}(u)$ acts on $A_{G}(u)$ by $(a, b) \cdot x$ $=a x b^{-1}\left(a, x \in A_{G}(u), b \in A_{M}(u)\right)$. We have then:
(IV) In this case $A_{G}(u)$ and $X_{u, u^{\prime}}$ are isomorphic as sets with $A_{G}(u)$ $\times A_{M}(u)$-actions.

This follows immediately from:
Lemma. In the situation above, we have:
(i) $Y_{u, u}=C_{G}(u) U$.
(ii) $C_{G}(u) U$ is of pure dimension $\frac{1}{2}\left(\operatorname{dim} C_{G}(u)+\operatorname{dim} C_{M}(u)\right)+\operatorname{dim} U$.
(iii) $C_{U}(u)$ is connected.

The connectedness of $C_{U}(u)$ is proved in [25]. It is known that $\operatorname{dim} C_{G}(u)=\operatorname{dim} C_{M}(u)+2 \operatorname{dim} C_{U}(u)$ [14], [25]. Therefore $\operatorname{dim} C_{G}(u) U=$ $\operatorname{dim} C_{G}(u)+\operatorname{dim} U-\operatorname{dim} C_{U}(u)=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{dim} C_{G}(u)+\left(\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{dim} C_{G}(u)-\operatorname{dim} C_{u}(u)\right)+$ $\operatorname{dim} U=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{dim} C_{G}(u)+\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{dim} C_{M}(u)+\operatorname{dim} U$. Thus $C_{G}(u) U$ has the required
dimension, as well as each of its irreducible components since it is a homogeneous space for $C_{G}(u) \times U$. This proves (ii). It remains to prove (i). Clearly $C_{G}(u) U \subset Y_{u, u}$. As $C_{G}(u) U$ is a single $C_{G}(u) \times U$-orbit in $Y_{u, u}$ and $\operatorname{dim} C_{G}(u) U=\operatorname{dim} Y_{u, u}$, we see that $C_{G}(u) U$ is open in $Y_{u, u}$. Let $C$ be the conjugacy class of $u$ in $G$. The morphism $\pi: G \rightarrow C, g_{\mapsto} g^{-1} u g$ is open, and so is therefore its restriction to $Y_{u, u} \rightarrow u^{\prime} U \cap C$. In particular $\pi\left(C_{G}(u) U\right)$ is open in $u^{\prime} U \cap C$. Notice also that $C_{G}(u) U$ is a union of fibres of $\pi$. Let now $x \in Y_{u, u}$. We must show that $x \in C_{G}(u) U$. We have $\pi(x)=u y$ for some $y \in U$. Let $S$ be the connected centre of $M$. Then $x S \subset Y_{u, u}$. Moreover $\pi(x S)=\left\{u s^{-1} y s \mid s \in S\right\}$, and therefore $u \in \overline{\pi(x S)}$. Thus $\pi(x S)$ meets $\pi\left(C_{G}(u) U\right)$. Therefore $x s \in C_{G}(u) U$ for some $s \in S$. So $Y_{u, u}=$ $C_{G}(u) U S=C_{G}(u) S U=C_{G}(u) U$.
1.5. Let $Q$ be a parabolic subgroup of $G$ with unipotent radical $U_{Q}$, and let $L$ be a Levi factor of $Q$. Suppose that there exists a unipotent element $v \in L$ and $\psi \in A_{L}(v)$ such that $(v, \psi)$ is cuspidal for $L$. Then the conjugacy class of $Q$ is determined by $L$ [14]; it follows that $N_{G}(L) / L$ can be considered in a natural way as a Coxeter group [15] and we can therefore talk about its sign representation $\varepsilon$. Let now $\rho \in\left(N_{G}(L) / L\right)^{\wedge}$ and let ( $L, v, \psi, \rho$ ) correspond to $(u, \phi)$. Let $C$ be the conjugacy class of $u$ in $G$. We have then:
(V) If $\rho=\varepsilon$, then $C \ni v$. If $\rho=1$, then $C$ is induced from the class of $v$ in $L$, i.e. $C$ contains a dense open subset of $v U_{Q}$.

This is proved in [14].
Remark. Property (V) is very important in the case where $\left|N_{G}(L) / L\right|$ $=2$. It is the starting point to apply (II) in a non-trivial way.

## $\S$ 2. Action of $W$ on $H^{*}\left(\mathfrak{B}^{G}\right)$

This paragraph is devoted to a proof of the result of Borho and MacPherson referred to in (1.1). We have two actions of $W$ on $H^{*}\left(\mathfrak{B}^{c}\right)$. One of them is the action of $W$ on $H^{*}\left(\mathfrak{P}_{x}^{G}\right)$ in the case where $x=1$. The other one, which we shall call the classical action of $W$ on $H^{*}\left(\mathfrak{B}^{G}\right)$, has been studied quite extensively and has the properties required in (1.1) (see e.g. [28, 7.1]).

Theorem. (Borho-MacPherson). These two actions of $W$ on $H^{*}\left(\mathfrak{B}^{G}\right)$ coincide.
2.1. It is convenient here to define the Weyl group $W$ of $G$ as in [7]. The essential point is that for every pair $B \supset T$ consisting of a Borel subgroup and a maximal torus, there is a canonical isomorphism between $W$ and $N_{G}(T) / T$.
2.2. The classical action of $W$ on $H^{*}\left(\mathfrak{B}^{G}\right)$ is obtained as follows. Let $B_{0} \supset T_{0}$ be a Borel subgroup and a maximal torus of $G$. Then $\mathfrak{B}^{G} \cong G / B_{0}$ and the natural morphism $G / T_{0} \rightarrow G / B_{0}$ induces an isomorphism $H^{*}\left(G / B_{0}\right) \cong H^{*}\left(G / T_{0}\right)$. Right multiplication gives a right action of $N_{G}\left(T_{0}\right) / T_{0}$ on $G / T_{0}$, hence a left action of $W$ on $H^{*}\left(\mathfrak{B}^{G}\right)$. It is easily checked that this action is independent of the choice of $B_{0}$ and $T_{0}$.
2.3. We describe now Lusztig's construction [13]. For simplicity we consider first the analogous situation in the Lie algebra case (as is done in [6]).

Let $g=\operatorname{Lie}(G)$. We assume that the open subset $g_{r s}=\{x \in \mathfrak{g} \mid x$ is regular and semisimple\} is dense in $g$ (this is certainly the case if $\operatorname{char}(k) \neq 2$ or if $G$ is adjoint). If $X$ is a subvariety of $\mathfrak{g}$, let $\tilde{X}=\left\{(x, B) \in X \times \mathfrak{F}^{G} \mid x \in\right.$ Lie $(B)\}$ and let $\pi_{X}: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X, p_{X}: \widetilde{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}^{G}$ be the projections. Let also $\pi=\pi_{g}$, $p=p_{\mathfrak{g}}, \pi_{r s}=\pi_{\mathrm{gr} s}$. For $x \in \mathfrak{g}, \pi^{-1}(x)$ can be identified with $\mathfrak{B}_{x}^{G}=\left\{B \in \mathfrak{B}^{G} \mid x \in\right.$ Lie (B) \}.

If $x \in \mathfrak{g}_{r s}, W$ acts simply transitively on $\mathfrak{B}_{x}^{G}$. Let $B \in \mathfrak{B}_{x}^{G}, w \in W$. As $x \in \mathfrak{g}_{r s}, T=C_{G}^{0}(x)$ is a maximal torus of $B$. Let $\dot{w} \in N_{G}(T) / T$ represent $w$. The image of $B$ under the action of $w$ is by definition ${ }^{w} B$. This defines a right action. If $g \in N_{G}(T) / T$, the image of ${ }^{g} B$ under $w$ is ${ }^{g \dot{w}} B$.

This action turns $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{r s}$ into a principal $W$-bundle over $\mathfrak{g}_{r s}$. It follows that $W$ acts on the local system $E=\pi_{r s} \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{\ell}$ over $\mathrm{g}_{r s}$, and hence also on the intersection cohomology complex $\mathscr{S}^{\bullet}$ on $g$ which extends $E$. But one can show in this case that $\mathscr{S}^{\cdot}$ is quasi-isomorphic to $R \pi_{*} \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{\ell}$. In particular for every $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ the stalk at $x$ of the cohomology $\mathscr{H}^{i} \mathscr{S}^{\cdot}$ is isomorphic to $H^{i}\left(\mathfrak{B}_{G}^{x}\right)$, and this defines an action of $W$ on $H^{*}\left(\mathfrak{B}_{x}^{G}\right)$.
2.4. Following a suggestion of Lusztig, we shall use the following obvious result.

Lemma. Let $X \subset Y$ be subvarieties of g . Then the natural map $\mathbf{H}^{*}\left(Y ; \mathscr{S}^{*}\right) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}^{*}\left(X ; \mathscr{S}^{*}\right)$ is $W$-equivariant.

Notice that for any subvariety $X$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ we have $\mathbf{H}^{*}\left(X ; \mathscr{S}^{*}\right) \cong H^{*}(\tilde{X})$. In particular this turns $H^{*}(\widetilde{X})$ into a $W$-module, and in the situation of the lemma the natural map $H^{*}(\tilde{Y}) \rightarrow H^{*}(\tilde{X})$ is $W$-equivariant.
2.5. If $X$ is a subvariety of $\mathfrak{g}$, let $i_{X}: \tilde{X} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathrm{~g}}$ be the inclusion map. Let $i_{0}=i_{\{0\}}$. From (2.4) we get immediately:

Lemma. Let $X$ be a subvariety of $\mathfrak{g}$. Then $p_{X}^{*}: H^{*}\left(\mathfrak{B}^{G}\right) \rightarrow H^{*}(\tilde{X})$ is $W$-equivariant.

Proof. Since $p_{X}=p \circ i_{X}$, we have $p_{X}^{*}=i_{X}^{*} \circ p^{*}$. But $p$ turns $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ into a
vector bundle over $\mathfrak{B}^{G}$. Thus $p^{*}$ is an isomorphism and $p^{*}=\left(i_{0}^{*}\right)^{-1}$. Therefore $p_{X}^{*}=i_{X}^{*} \circ\left(i_{0}^{*}\right)^{-1}$ is $W$-equivariant since by (2.4) both $i_{X}^{*}$ and $i_{0}^{*}$ are so.
2.6. If $x \in \mathfrak{g}, g \in G$, let $g \cdot x=\operatorname{Ad}(g)(x)$. Choose $x_{0} \in \mathfrak{g}_{r s}$ and $B_{0} \in$ $\mathfrak{B}_{x_{0}}^{G}$, and let $C=\left\{g \cdot x_{0} \mid g \in G\right\}$ be the conjugacy class of $x_{0}$. Let $T_{0}=C_{G}^{0}\left(x_{0}\right)$ $\subset B_{0}$. Identify $W$ with $N_{G}\left(T_{0}\right) / T_{0}$. If $\dot{w} \in N_{G}\left(T_{0}\right)$ represents $w \in W$, write ${ }^{w} B_{0}$ for ${ }^{\dot{w}} B_{0}$.

Let $\bar{W}=C_{W}\left(x_{0}\right) \backslash W$ and for $v \in W$ let $\bar{v}=C_{W}\left(x_{0}\right) v$. Using the fact that $\pi: \tilde{g} \rightarrow \mathrm{~g}$ is $G$-equivariant, one finds easily that for every $v \in W$ there is a unique irreducible component $\widetilde{C}_{\bar{v}}$ of $\widetilde{C}$ which contains $\left(x_{0},{ }^{v} B_{0}\right)$, and that $\widetilde{C}$ is the disjoint union of $\left(\widetilde{C}_{\bar{v}}\right)_{\bar{v} \in \bar{W}}$. Let $p_{\bar{v}}: \widetilde{C}_{\bar{v}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}^{a}$ be the projection.

Lemma. $\quad p_{\bar{v}}^{*}: H^{*}\left(\mathfrak{B}^{G}\right) \rightarrow H^{*}\left(\widetilde{C}_{\bar{v}}\right)$ is an isomorphism.
Proof. The fiber of $p_{\bar{v}}$ over ${ }^{v} B_{0}$ is $\left\{b \cdot x_{0} \mid b \in{ }^{v} B\right\}$. As $x_{0} \in g_{r s}$ this is isomorphic to an affine space. Combined with the $G$-equivariance of $p_{\bar{v}}$, this gives the result.
2.7. Let $\rho$ denote the representation of $W$ in $H^{*}\left(\mathfrak{B}^{G}\right)$ given by Lusztig's construction. For each $w \in W$ let $t(w): \widetilde{C} \rightarrow \tilde{C}$ be the restriction of the action of $w$ on $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{r s}$. Let $v \in W$. Then $\widetilde{C}_{\bar{v}}=\left\{\left(g \cdot x_{0},{ }^{g v} B_{0}\right) \mid g \in G\right\}$, and $t(w)\left(g \cdot x_{0},{ }^{g v} B_{0}\right)=\left(g \cdot x_{0},{ }^{g v w} B_{0}\right)$. Let $t_{\bar{v}}(w)$ be the restriction of $t(w)$ to $\widetilde{C}_{\bar{v}} \rightarrow \widetilde{C}_{\overline{v w}}$.

Lemma. $p_{\bar{v}}^{*} \circ \rho(w)=t_{\bar{v}}(w)^{*} \circ p_{\bar{v} w}^{*}$.
Proof. This is a restriction of the formula $p_{C}^{*} \circ \rho(w)=t(w)^{*} \circ p_{C}^{*}$ which expresses the fact that $p_{C}^{*}$ is $W$-equivariant.
2.8. We can now prove the analogue of the theorem in the Lie algebra case.

Let $\sigma$ be the right action of $W$ on $\tilde{C}_{\overline{1}}$ defined by $\sigma(w)\left(g \cdot x_{0},{ }^{g} B_{0}\right)=$ ( $g w \cdot x_{0},{ }^{g w} B_{0}$ ). It is clear that $\widetilde{C}_{\overline{1}}$ is isomorphic to $G / T_{0}$ and that $\sigma$ corresponds to the action of $W$ on $G / T_{0}$ which gives rise to the classical action of $W$ on $H^{*}\left(\mathfrak{B}^{G}\right)$.

It is therefore enough to prove that the isomorphism $p_{\frac{*}{1}}: H^{*}\left(\mathfrak{B}^{G}\right) \rightarrow$ $H^{*}\left(\widetilde{C}_{\overline{1}}\right)$ is $W$-equivariant with respect to the action $\rho$ on $H^{*}\left(\mathfrak{B}^{a}\right)$ and the action induced by $\sigma$ on $H^{*}\left(\widetilde{C}_{\overline{1}}\right)$. That is, we must check that $p \frac{*}{1} \circ \rho(w)=$ $\sigma(w)^{*} \circ p_{\frac{*}{1}}$, and this follows from (2.7) and the fact that $p_{\bar{w}} \circ t_{\overline{1}}(w)=p_{\overline{1}} \circ \sigma(w)$.
2.9. We turn now to the proof of the theorem itself. The idea is essentially the same. In particular one can define in a similar way $G_{r s} \subset G$, and for a subvariety $X$ of $G$ one can define $\tilde{X}, \pi_{X}, p_{X}$, etc. We mention first two minor differences.
a) $G_{r s}$ is always open dense in $G$.
b) $G$ always contains strongly regular semisimple elements, that is, elements $s$ such that $C_{G}(s)$ is a torus. If the class $C$ is chosen carefully enough in the analogue of (2.6), it is not necessary to introduce $\bar{W}$. The existence of strongly regular semisimple elements in $\mathfrak{g}$ is discussed in [28].

There is however one more serious difference. I don't know if the analogue of (2.5) holds for arbitrary subvarieties of $G$. However (2.5) is used only in the case where $X=C$, and in order to prove the theorem the following result is sufficient.

Lemma. Let $X$ be a subvariety of $G$ which is contained in a single conjugacy class of $G$. Then $p_{x}^{*}: H^{*}\left(\mathfrak{B}^{G}\right) \rightarrow H^{*}(\tilde{X})$ is $W$-equivariant.

Proof. If $Y$ is a subvariety of $G$, let $p_{Y}^{\text {even }}$ be the restriction of $p_{Y}^{*}$ to $\oplus_{i \geq 0} H^{2 i}\left(\mathfrak{B}^{G}\right) \rightarrow \oplus_{i \geq 0} H^{2 i}(\tilde{Y})$. As $\mathfrak{B}^{G}$ has no odd cohomology, the method used in (2.5) shows that $p_{X}^{*}$ is $W$-equivariant if $X$ is contained in a subvariety $Y$ of $G$ for which $p_{Y}^{\text {even }}$ is bijective.

Let $B \supset T$ be a Borel subgroup and a maximal torus of $G$, let $U$ be the unipotent radical of $B$ and let $\phi: B \rightarrow T$ be the natural projection. Let $t \in T$ be conjugate to the semisimple part of some element of $X$. Let $Z_{1}$ be an irreducible curve in $T$ containing both $t$ and 1, let $Z=\cup_{w \in W}{ }^{w} Z_{1}$ and let $Y=\cup_{g \in G} g(Z U) g^{-1}$. Then $Y \supset X$. We need now only to show that $p_{Y}^{\text {even }}$ is an isomorphism.

Using the inclusion $i: \mathfrak{B}^{G} \rightarrow \tilde{Y}$ given by $\{1\} \subset Y$ and the fact that $p_{Y} \circ i: \mathfrak{B}^{G} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}^{G}$ is the identity, we see that we need only to check that $H^{2 i}\left(\mathfrak{B}^{G}\right)$ and $H^{2 i}(\tilde{Y})$ have the same dimension for all $i \geq 0$. The elements of $\tilde{Y}$ are all of the form $\left(x,{ }^{g} B\right)$ with $g^{-1} x g \in Z U$. Consider the map $\delta: \tilde{Y} \rightarrow$ $Z \times \mathfrak{B}^{G}$ given by $\delta\left(x,{ }^{g} B\right)=\left(\phi\left(g^{-1} x g\right),{ }^{g} B\right)$. It is locally trivial, with fibres isomorphic to $U$. It follows that $\delta^{*}: H^{*}\left(Z \times \mathfrak{B}^{G}\right) \rightarrow H^{*}(\tilde{Y})$ is an isomorphism. Now $H^{0}(Z) \cong \mathbf{Q}_{\ell}$ and $H^{i}(Z)=0$ for $i \geq 2$. Since $\mathfrak{B}^{G}$ has no odd cohomology, Künneth formula shows that $H^{2 i}\left(\mathfrak{B}^{G}\right)$ and $H^{2 i}(\tilde{Y})$ are isomorphic vector spaces for all $i \geq 0$. This proves the lemma, and also the theorem.

## § 3.

We need information about unipotent classes and characters of Weyl groups.
3.1. The irreducible characters of the Weyl groups of type $E_{n}$ ( $n=6,7,8$ ) were computed by Frame [8], [9]. We shall denote an irreducible representation $\rho$ of one of these groups as $d_{a}$, where $d$ is the degree of $\rho$ and $a=a_{\rho}$ (1.1).

For $F_{4}$ the characters of the Weyl group were obtained by Kondo [12]. In Kondo's tables there are 3 "isolated" characters of degrees 4, 12, 16. We shall denote them $\chi_{4}, \chi_{12}, \chi_{16}$ respectively. The remaining characters occur in families and we label $\chi_{i, j}$ the $j^{\text {th }}$ character in the family of characters of degree $i$ in Kondo's table.

The integers $a_{\rho}$ are given in [5].
3.2. Let $W$ be a Weyl group of exceptional type and let $W^{\prime}$ be a parabolic subgroup of $W$. Let $\rho \in W, \rho^{\prime} \in W^{\prime \wedge}$. In order to use property (II) we need to know $\left\langle\rho^{\prime}, \operatorname{Res}_{W^{\prime}}^{W}(\rho)\right\rangle_{W^{\prime}}$. This has been computed by Alvis [2].
3.3. The results we shall need about the unipotent classes of groups of exceptional types and about the groups $A_{G}(u)$ are all contained in the papers by Mizuno [18] $\left(E_{6}\right)$, [19] $\left(E_{7}, E_{8}\right)$, Shoji [24] ( $F_{4}$, char $\left.(k) \neq 2\right)$, Shinoda [21] $\left(F_{4}\right.$, char $\left.(k)=2\right)$. In characteristic 0 the groups $A_{G}(u)$ are also described by Alekseevski [1].

We shall use Mizuno's notation for the unipotent classes of groups of type $E_{n}(n=6,7,8)$. For $F_{4}$ we shall use the Bala-Carter notation [4], [20] when $p \neq 2$. We adapt it to the case of characteristic 2 as in [26, p. 29].
3.4. We need also an explicit knowledge of induction of unipotent classes in the case of exceptional group. It was computed by Elashvili in characteristic 0 . The results are listed in [26], together with the extra cases occuring in bad characteristic. Another method to work out induction explicitly for exceptional groups is described in [25].
§ 4.
The computation of the generalized Springer correspondence involves the same kind of computations as those done in [3]. The first step is the determination of the representations of the form $\rho_{u, 1}^{G} \in W^{\wedge}$. This can be used to get information on the sets $X_{u, u^{\prime}}$ of (1.2) which is useful for the remaining computations.
4.1. Suppose that the class of $u$ in $G$ is induced. We can assume that we are in the same situation as in (1.3), with $M \neq G$. Then $\rho=\rho_{u, 1}^{G}$ can be determined from $\rho_{u^{\prime}, 1}^{K}$ by the generalized Macdonald construction described in [16]. Indeed, by (II) and (III) we know that $\rho$ occurs in the representation $\sigma$ of $W$ obtained by inducing $\rho^{\prime}$. But by (I) used in $M$ and $G$ we have $a_{\rho}=a_{\rho^{\prime}}$, and it is known that $\rho$ is the only component of $\sigma$ with this property.

Remark. This relation between $\rho_{u, 1}^{G}$ and $\rho_{u^{\prime}, 1}^{M}$ was established in [16] by a different method.
4.2. For the remaining cases (I) limits already strongly the possibilities for $\rho_{u, 1}^{G}$. When (II) and (IV) are also taken into account, we are left with only a very small number of cases. For $E_{8}$ we have to chose between $1344_{38}$ and $350_{38}$ for the unipotent class $A_{3}+A_{1}$, and between $1344_{19}$ and $5600_{19}$ for the class $D_{5}\left(a_{1}\right)+A_{2}$.

We use the following consequence of (II). In the situation of (1.2), let $C$ be the conjugacy class of $u$ in $G$ and let $W^{\prime}$ be the Weyl group of $M$. If $Y_{u, u^{\prime}} \neq \emptyset$, it is clear that $u^{\prime} \in \bar{C}$. Thus $u^{\prime} \in \bar{C}$ if for some $\phi^{\prime} \in A_{M}\left(u^{\prime}\right)$ we have $\rho_{u^{\prime}, \phi^{\prime}}^{K} \in W^{\prime \wedge}$ and $\left\langle\rho_{u^{\prime}, \phi^{\prime}}^{M}, \operatorname{Res}_{W^{\prime}}^{W}\left(\rho_{u, 1}^{G}\right)\right\rangle_{W^{\prime}} \neq 0$. Notice that for $x \in \bar{C}$ we must have $d_{x} \geq d_{u}$.

We use this with $M$ of type $E_{7}$. The restriction of $350_{38}$ to $W^{\prime}$ involves $189_{17} \in W^{\prime \wedge}$. But $189_{17}$ corresponds to the class $D_{4}\left(a_{1}\right)+A_{1}$ of $M$. In $G$, for $x$ in the class $D_{4}\left(a_{1}\right)+A_{1}$, we have $d_{x}=32<38$. Thus $\rho_{u, 1}^{G} \neq 350_{38}$ if $u$ is in the class $A_{3}+A_{1}$ of $G$, and therefore $\rho_{u, 1}^{G}=1344_{38}$. In a similar way the restriction of $5600_{19}$ involves $280_{9} \in W^{\prime \wedge}$. But in $M, 280_{9}$ corresponds to the class $D_{6}\left(a_{2}\right)+A_{1}$. Let $x$ be an element of this class. In $G$ the class of $x$ is denoted $A_{5}+A_{2}$ by Mizuno, and we have $d_{x}=17<19$. Thus for $u$ in the class $D_{5}\left(a_{1}\right)+A_{2}$ of $G$ we have $\rho_{u, 1}^{G} \neq 5600_{19}$, hence $\rho_{u, 1}^{G}=1344_{19}$.

Remarks. a) The case of the class $A_{3}+A_{1}$ in a group of type $E_{8}$ is treated in a similar way in [3], but the argument is based on a formula, the proof of which is only sketched. Unfortunately Lusztig's proof of this result uses Kazhdan's theorem [11] and requires some restrictions on the characteristic. We shall therefore not use it here. The information we could gain from it here can actually also be deduced from (II) and (IV).
b) In [3] a stronger form of (I) is used, namely it is stated also that a representation of the form $\rho_{u, 1}^{G}$ occurs with multiplicity one in the space of polynomial functions of degree $d_{u}$ on $V$. In the case of $E_{8}$ this rules $5600_{19}$ out as a possible $\rho_{u, 1}^{G}$.

This stronger property holds if and only if the closure of the orbit of $u_{\mathrm{a}}$ is not branched at the origin. The corresponding statement for nilpotent orbits certainly holds since the closure of a nilpotent orbit is a cone, and therefore it holds for unipotent orbits too when the characteristic is good. It turns out eventually to be always true, but in bad characteristic there doens't seem to be such an a priori reason for it.
4.3. The determination of the representations $\rho_{u, \phi}^{\alpha}$ with $\phi \neq 1$ is also carried out as in [3], where explicit examples of computations are given. In our case there are some additional difficulties due to the fact that we
can have many more conjugacy classes of pairs ( $u, \phi$ ) than irreducible representations of the Weyl group. But we dispose now of property (IV) which was not used in [3], and it turns out eventually that we have enough information to get the desired result.

Notice also that in (1.2) we can now decide whether $X_{u, u^{\prime}}$, is empty. This can be tested with $\phi=1, \phi^{\prime}=1$ in property (II). When we look at $\rho_{u, \phi}^{G}$ with $\phi$ arbitrary, we can then use property (II) and the sets $X_{u, u^{\prime}}$ which are empty to rule out many possibilities.

Remark. In [3] an argument related to the characteristic 0 theory is used for the class $D_{8}\left(a_{3}\right)$ when $G$ is of type $E_{8}$. This can be avoided as follows. Let $C$ be this conjugacy class and let $u \in C$. The difficulty occurs only when $\operatorname{char}(k) \neq 3$. In this case $A_{G}(u) \cong S_{3}$. Let $\varepsilon$ (resp. $\theta$ ) be the sign representation (resp. the 2-dimensional irreducible representation) of $A_{G}(u)$. Then $\left\{\rho_{u, s}^{G}, \rho_{u, \theta}^{G}\right\}=\left\{840_{13}, 175_{12}\right\}$, and we must find the right bijection.

Take a parabolic subgroup of $G$ with a Levi factor $M$ of type $D_{5}+A_{2}$. Then $C$ is induced from the class $\left(A_{2} ; \emptyset\right)$ of $M$. We can therefore use (III). This gives subgroups $H \triangleleft N$ of $A_{G}(u)$ with $N / H \cong A_{M H}\left(u^{\prime}\right) \cong Z_{2}$. Up to conjugation there are only two possibilities. If $N=A_{G}(u)$, then $\rho_{u, \mathrm{~s}}^{G}=840_{13}$. The characteristic 0 theory was invoked to eliminate the case where $N \neq$ $A_{G}(u)$. But this second case gives multiplicities which do not work (in particular because the restriction of $175_{12}$ to the Weyl group of $M$ has no component of the form $\rho_{u^{\prime}, \phi^{\prime}}^{M}$ ) and this can also be used to reject it.

## § 5.

We review now the various groups under consideration and state the results. We start with some notation.
5.1. Let $Q$ be a parabolic subgroup of $G, L$ a Levi factor of $Q$ and $v$ a unipotent element of $L$. Suppose there exists $\psi \in A_{L}(v)^{\wedge}$ such that $(v, \psi)$ is cuspidal for $L$. Let $Q^{\prime} \supset Q$ be a parabolic subgroup of $G$ which is minimal among those containing $Q$ strictly. The group $N_{Q^{\prime}}(L) / L$ has order 2. Let $s_{Q^{\prime}}$ be its non-trivial element. Then $N_{G}(L) / L$, together with the set of its elements of the form $s_{Q^{\prime}}$, is a Coxeter group [15].

We shall use the following kind of notation. If $G, L$ are respectively of types $F_{4}, B_{2}$, we write $s\left(B_{3}\right), s\left(C_{3}\right)$ for the two generators of $N_{G}(L) / L$. This notation is somewhat ambiguous for example when $G$ is of type $E_{7}^{\text {p }}$ and $L$ of type $\left(3 A_{1}\right)^{\prime \prime}$, that is when $L$ corresponds to the following subdiagram:


In this case $N_{G}(L) / L$ is isomorphic to a Weyl group of type $F_{4}$. Of the four generators, two are of the form $s\left(4 A_{1}\right)$ and two of the form $s\left(\left(A_{3}+A_{1}\right)^{\prime \prime}\right)$. But it still makes sense to decide that $s\left(4 A_{1}\right)$ corresponds to a short root and $s\left(\left(A_{3}+A_{1}\right)^{\prime \prime}\right)$ to a long root. We can then consider Kondo's tables [12] as the character table of $N_{G}(L) / L$.

Let $p=\operatorname{char}(k)$. The cases where $L$ is neither $G$ nor a maximal torus. are listed in the table below. The third column gives the conditions under which there exists a unipotent element $v \in L$ and $\psi \in A_{L}(v)^{\wedge}$ with $(v, \psi)$ cuspidal for $L$.

| conditions |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $G$ | $L$ | on $G$ and $p$ | $N_{G}(L) / L$ | long roots | short roots. |
| $F_{4}$ | $B_{2}$ | $p=2$ | $B_{2}$ | $s\left(B_{3}\right)$ | $s\left(C_{3}\right)$ |
| $E_{6}$ | $2 A_{2}$ | simply connected | $G_{2}$ | $s\left(A_{5}\right)$ | $s\left(2 A_{2}+A_{1}\right)$ |
| $E_{6}$ | $D_{4}$ | $p \neq 3$ | $p=2$ | $A_{2}$ | - |
| $E_{7}$ | $\left(3 A_{1}\right)^{\prime \prime}$ | simply connected | $F_{4}$ | $s\left(\left(A_{3}+A_{1}\right)^{\prime \prime}\right)$ | $s\left(4 A_{1}\right)$ |
| $E_{7}$ | $D_{4}$ | $p \neq 2$ | $B_{3}$ | $s\left(D_{5}\right)$ | $s\left(D_{4}+A_{1}\right)$ |
| $E_{7}$ | $E_{6}$ | $p=2$ | $A_{1}$ | - | - |
| $E_{8}$ | $D_{4}$ | $p=3$ | $F_{4}$ | $s\left(D_{5}\right)$ | $s\left(D_{4}+A_{1}\right)$ |
| $E_{8}$ | $E_{7}$ | $p=2$ | $A_{1}$ | - | - |
| $E_{8}$ | $E_{6}$ | $p=2$ | $G_{2}$ | $s\left(E_{7}\right)$ | $s\left(E_{6}+A_{1}\right)$ |

5.2. In addition to that introduced in (3.1), we use the following notation for $\left(N_{G}(L) / L\right)^{\wedge}$.

If $N_{G}(L) / L$ is of type $B_{3}$, we use pairs of partitions to parametrize $\left(N_{G}(L) / L\right)^{\wedge}$, with $(3,0)$ for the trivial representation and $\left(0,1^{3}\right)$ for the sign representation.

Suppose now that $N_{G}(L) / L$ is of type $A_{1}, A_{2}, B_{2}$ or $G_{2}$. The sign representation is denoted $\varepsilon$. If there are long roots and short roots, let $s_{\ell}, s_{c}$ $\in N_{G}(L) / L$ be the generators corresponding respectively to a long root and to a short root. Let then $\varepsilon_{\ell}, \varepsilon_{c}$ be the representations of degree 1 defined by $\varepsilon_{\ell}\left(s_{\ell}\right)=\varepsilon_{c}\left(s_{c}\right)=-1, \varepsilon_{\ell}\left(s_{c}\right)=\varepsilon_{c}\left(s_{\ell}\right)=1$. If $N_{G}(L) / L$ is of type $A_{2}$ or $B_{2}$, let $\theta$ be the irreducible representation of degree 2 . If $N_{G}(L) / L$ is of type $G_{2}$, there are two irreducible representations of degree 2 . We shall denote them $\theta^{\prime}, \theta^{\prime \prime}$ without trying to distinguish them (and the $\theta^{\prime}$ arising from $2 A_{2} \subset E_{6}$ doesn't need to be the same as the $\theta^{\prime}$ arising from $E_{8} \subset E_{8}$ ).
5.3. Unless otherwise stated, we shall assume now that $G$ is simple and simply connected. This assumption holds in particular for the tables.

The corresponding results for adjoint groups of type $E_{6}$ or $E_{7}$ can be easily recovered.
5.4. We fix now the notation for $A_{G}(u)^{\wedge}$. The possibilities for $A_{G}(u)$ are the following:

$$
1, Z_{2}, Z_{3}, Z_{4}, Z_{5}, Z_{6} \cong Z_{2} \times Z_{3}, \quad Z_{2} \times Z_{2}, \quad S_{3}, S_{4}, S_{5}, Z_{2} \times S_{3}, D_{8}
$$

where $Z_{n}$ is cyclic of order $n, S_{n}$ is the permutation group of $n$ letters and $D_{8}$ is dihedral of order 8.

If $A_{G}(u)$ is cyclic of even order, let -1 be the unique irreducible representation which has $\{1,-1\}$ as image (exceptionally this representation will also be denoted $\varepsilon$, when no confusion may arise). For $S_{3}$ and $D_{8}$ let $\varepsilon$ be the sign representation and $\theta$ the unique irreducible representation of degree 2. For $D_{8}$ there are two additional representations of degree 1. We shall denote them $\varepsilon^{\prime}, \varepsilon^{\prime \prime}$ without trying to distinguish them further. For $S_{4}\left(\right.$ resp. $S_{5}$ ) we use partitions of 4 (resp. 5) to parametrize $A_{G}(u)^{\wedge}$, with $1^{4}$ (resp. $1^{5}$ ) for the sign representation.

When $A_{G}(u)$ is isomorphic to $Z_{2} \times Z_{2}$, the action of $A_{G}(u)$ on the set of irreducible components of $\mathfrak{B}_{u}^{G}$ factors through $Z_{2}$. This defines an irreducible representation $\varepsilon$. The remaining irreducible representations will be denoted $\varepsilon^{\prime}, \varepsilon^{\prime \prime}$.

If $A_{G}(u)$ is cyclic of order 4 , let $\eta$ be a faithful irreducible representation of $A_{G}(u)$. Then $A_{G}(u)^{\wedge}=\{1,-1, \eta,-\eta\}$.

If $A_{G}(u)$ is isomorphic to $Z_{3}$ or $Z_{5}$, let $\zeta$ be a non-trivial irreducible representation. Then $A_{G}(u)^{\wedge}=\left\{1, \zeta, \zeta^{2}\right\}$ or $\left\{1, \zeta, \zeta^{2}, \zeta^{3}, \zeta^{4}\right\}$. If $A_{G}(u) \cong Z_{6}$ $\cong Z_{3} \times Z_{2}$, let $\zeta$ be a non-trivial irreducible representation which factors through $Z_{3}$. Then $A_{G}(u)^{\wedge}=\left\{1, \zeta, \zeta^{2},-1,-\zeta,-\zeta^{2}\right\}$. Suppose now that $G$ is of type $E_{6}$ with char $(k) \neq 3$. Then the centre $Z$ of $G$ is cyclic of order 3. Let $\bar{G}=G / Z, \bar{u}=u Z$. If $A_{G}(u) \neq A_{\bar{G}}(\bar{u})$, then $A_{G}(u)$ is canonically isomorphic to $A_{\bar{G}}(\bar{u}) \times Z$ (this is an empirical observation). This shows that the choice of $\zeta \in A_{G}(u)^{\wedge}$ can be done uniformly.

It remains the case where $A_{G}(u) \cong Z_{2} \times S_{3}$. Combining the notation above, we have $A_{G}(u)^{\wedge}=\{1, \theta, \varepsilon,-1,-\theta,-\varepsilon\}$. This description of $A_{G}(u)^{\wedge}$ depends on the isomorphism with $Z_{2} \times S_{3}$. The $Z_{2}$ factor is well defined, but there are two possibilities for $S_{3}$, and to interchange them has the effect to permute -1 and $-\varepsilon$. We have $A_{G}(u) \cong Z_{2} \times S_{3}$ in two cases ( $G$ of type $E_{7}, u$ in the class $D_{6}\left(a_{2}\right)+A_{1}, p \neq 2 ; G$ of type $E_{8}, u$ in the class $E_{7}\left(a_{2}\right)+A_{1}$, $p=2$ ). In both cases there is a unique $\phi \in A_{G}(u)^{\wedge}$ for which $(u, \phi)$ is cuspidal, and the isomorphism $A_{G}(u) \cong Z_{2} \times S_{3}$ can be arranged to give $\phi=-\varepsilon$.
5.5. When $N_{G}(L) / L$ is of type $A_{1}$, the result is given directly by property (V).

Suppose now that $G$ is of type $E_{8}, L$ of type $E_{6}$ and $\operatorname{char}(k)=3$. If $v$ is a regular unipotent element of $L$, then $A_{L}(v)$ is cyclic of order 3 and $(v, \zeta)$, $\left(v, \zeta^{2}\right)$ are cuspidal for $L$. The group $N_{G}(L)!L$ is of type $G_{2}$. Assuming that in (5.4) the choice of $\zeta \in A_{G}(u)^{\wedge}$ is made carefully enough when $A_{G}(u)$ is cyclic of order 3 or 6 , the part of the generalized Springer correspondence pertaining to $(v, \zeta)$ is given by the following table.

| class of $u$ | $A_{G}(u)$ | $\phi$ | $\rho_{u, \phi}^{G}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $E_{8}$ | $Z_{3}$ | $\zeta$ | 1 |
| $E_{8}\left(a_{1}\right)$ | $Z_{3}$ | $\zeta$ | $\varepsilon_{c}$ |
| $E_{7}+A_{1}$ | $Z_{6}$ | $\zeta$ | $\theta^{\prime}$ |
| $E_{7}$ | $Z_{3}$ | $\zeta$ | $\theta^{\prime \prime}$ |
| $E_{6}+A_{1}$ | $Z_{3}$ | $\zeta$ | $\varepsilon_{\ell}$ |
| $E_{6}$ | $Z_{3}$ | $\zeta$ | $\varepsilon$ |

The remaining parts of the generalized Springer correspondence are given by the tables below.

Remarks. a) In comparing with Shoji's results [23] for $F_{4}$, the reader should remember to tensor the irreducible representations of $W$ by the sign representation.
b) For $F_{4}$, char $(k)=2$, the properties listed in paragraph 1 are actually not sufficient to compute Springer's correspondence. Let $u$ be an element of the class $F_{4}\left(a_{3}\right)$. Then $A_{G}(u) \cong S_{3}, \rho_{u, 1}^{F}=\chi_{12}, \chi_{6,2}$ is of the form $\rho_{u, \phi}^{G}$ for some $\phi \in A_{G}(u)^{\wedge}$ and the remaining element of $A_{G}(u)^{\wedge}$ gives a cuspidal pair. We have to decide whether $\phi=\theta$ or $\phi=\varepsilon$. A similar problem was encountered in [27]. The same method works here. One can start with the representative $x_{17}$ given by Shinoda [21].
c) For $E_{6}, E_{7}$ and $E_{8}$ the computations depend to a large extent on Mizuno's results [18], [19]. For $E_{8}$ in characteristic 2, Mizuno's tables give 149 conjugacy classes of pairs $(u, \phi)$, and following Lusztig there should be only 146. For the class $E_{8}\left(a_{2}\right)\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.D_{4}+A_{2}\right)$ Mizuno gives $Z_{4}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.Z_{2}\right)$ for $A_{G}(u)$, but one can show that actually $A_{G}(u)=Z_{2}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.A_{G}(u)=1\right)$. The tables give the corrected values.
$G_{2}$

|  |  | $\emptyset$ |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| class of $u$ | $d_{u}$ | $A_{G}(u)$ | $\varphi$ | $\rho$ |
| $G_{2}$ | 0 | $Z_{(6, p)}$ | 1 | 1 |
| $G_{2}\left(a_{1}\right)$ | 1 | $S_{3}(p \neq 3)$ | 1 | $\theta^{\prime}$ |
|  |  | $Z_{2}(p=3)$ | $\theta$ | $\varepsilon_{\ell}(p \neq 3)$ |
| $\tilde{A_{1}}$ | 2 | 1 | 1 | $\theta^{\prime \prime}$ |


| $A_{1}$ | 3 | 1 | 1 | $\varepsilon_{c}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\left(\widetilde{A_{1}}\right)_{3}$ | 3 | 1 | 1 | $\varepsilon_{\ell}(p=3)$ |
| $\emptyset$ | 6 | 1 | 1 | $\varepsilon$ |

Here $\theta^{\prime}$ is the standard representation of $W$.

| $F_{4}$ <br> class of $u$ | $d_{u}$ | $A_{G}(u)$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ${ }_{u}$ | $A_{G}(u)$ | $\varphi$ | $\rho$ | - | $\rho$ |
| $F_{4}$ | 0 | $Z_{\left(12, p^{2}\right)}$ | 1 | $\chi_{1,1}$ | -1 | 1 |
| $F_{4}\left(a_{1}\right)$ | 1 | $Z_{2}$ | 1 | $\chi_{4,1}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  | -1 | $\chi_{2,3}(p \neq 2)$ |  |  |
| $F_{4}\left(a_{2}\right)$ | 2 | $Z_{2}(p \neq 2)$ | 1 | $\chi_{9,1}$ | $\theta$ | $\theta$ |
|  |  | $D_{8}(p=2)$ | -1 | $\chi_{2,1}(p \neq 2)$ |  |  |
|  |  |  | $\varepsilon^{\prime}$ | $\chi_{2,1}(p=2)$ |  |  |
|  |  |  | $\varepsilon^{\prime \prime}$ | $\chi_{2,3}(p=2)$ |  |  |
| $B_{3}$ | 3 | $Z_{(2, p)}$ | 1 | $\chi_{8,1}$ | -1 | $\varepsilon_{c}$ |
| $C_{3}$ | 3 | $\boldsymbol{Z}_{(2, p)}$ | 1 | $\chi_{8,3}$ | -1 | $\varepsilon_{\ell}$ |
| $F_{4}\left(a_{3}\right)$ | 4 | $S_{4}(p \neq 2)$ | (4) | $\chi_{12}(p \neq 2)$ |  |  |
|  |  | $S_{3}(p=2)$ | (31) | $\chi_{9,3}(p \neq 2)$ |  |  |
|  |  |  | (22) | $\chi_{6,2}(p \neq 2)$ |  |  |
|  |  |  | (211) | $\chi_{1,3}(p \neq 2)$ |  |  |
|  |  |  | 1 | $\chi_{12}(p=2)$ |  |  |
|  |  |  | $\theta$ | $\chi_{6,2}(p=2)$ |  |  |
| $C_{3}\left(a_{1}\right)$ | 5 | $Z_{(2, p-1)}$ | 1 | $\chi_{16}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  | -1 | $\chi_{4,3}(p \neq 2)$ |  |  |
| $B_{2}$ | 6 | $Z_{(2, p-1)}$ | 1 | $\chi_{9,2}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  | -1 | $\chi_{4} \quad(p \neq 2)$ |  |  |
| $\tilde{A}_{2}+A_{1}$ | 6 | 1 | 1 | $\chi_{6,1}$ |  |  |
| $C_{3}\left(a_{1}\right)_{2}$ | 6 | 1 | 1 | $\chi_{9,3}(p=2)$ |  |  |
| $A_{2}+\widetilde{A_{1}}$ | 7 | 1 | 1 | $\chi_{4,2}$ |  |  |
| $\left(\widetilde{A_{2}}+A_{1}\right)_{2}$ | 7 | 1 | , | $\chi_{4,3}(p=2)$ |  |  |
| $\left(B_{2}\right)_{2}$ | 8 | $Z_{2}$ | 1 | $\chi_{4} \quad(p=2)$ | -1 | $\varepsilon$ |
| $\tilde{A}_{2}$ | 9 | $Z_{(2, p)}$ | 1 | $\chi_{8,2}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  | -1 | $\chi_{1,3}(p=2)$ |  |  |
| $A_{2}$ | 9 | $Z_{2}$ | 1 | $\chi_{8,4}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  | -1 | $\chi_{1,2}$ |  |  |
| $A_{1}+\tilde{A_{1}}$ | 10 | 1 | 1 | $\chi_{9,4}$ |  |  |
| $\tilde{A}_{1}$ | 13 | $Z_{(2, p-1)}$ | 1 | $\chi_{4,4}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  | -1 | $\chi_{2,2}(p \neq 2)$ |  |  |
| $\left(\widetilde{A}_{1}\right)_{2}$ | 16 | 1 | 1 | $\chi_{2,2}(p=2)$ |  |  |
| $A_{1}$ | 16 | 1 | 1 | $\chi_{2,4}$ |  |  |
| $\emptyset$ | 24 | 1 | 1 | $\chi_{1,4}$ |  |  |




| $\left(A_{3}+A_{1}\right)^{\prime}$ | 17 | 1 | 1 | $208_{17}$ | - | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| $2 A_{2}+A_{1}$ | 18 | 1 | 1 | $70_{18}$ | - | - |
| $\left(A_{3}+A_{1}\right)^{\prime \prime}$ | 20 | $Z_{(2, p-1)}$ | 1 | $189_{20}$ | -1 | $\chi_{4,4}$ |
| $A_{3}$ | 21 | 1 | 1 | $210_{21}$ | - | - |
| $2 A_{2}$ | 21 | 1 | 1 | $168_{21}$ | - | - |
| $A_{2}+3 A_{1}$ | 21 | $Z_{(2, p-1)}$ | 1 | $105_{21}$ | -1 | $\chi_{1,3}$ |
| $A_{2}+2 A_{1}$ | 22 | 1 | 1 | $189_{22}$ | - | - |
| $A_{2}+A_{1}$ | 25 | $Z_{2}$ | 1 | $120_{25}$ | - | - |
|  |  |  | -1 | $105_{26}$ | - | - |
| $4 A_{1}$ | 28 | $Z_{(2, p-1)}$ | 1 | $15_{28}$ | -1 | $\chi_{2,2}$ |
| $A_{2}$ | 30 | $Z_{2}$ | 1 | $56_{30}$ | - | - |
|  |  |  | -1 | $21_{33}$ | - | - |
| $3 A_{1}^{\prime}$ | 31 | 1 | 1 | $35_{31}$ | - | - |
| $3 A_{1}^{\prime \prime}$ | 36 | $Z_{(2, p-1)}$ | 1 | $21_{36}$ | -1 | $\chi_{1,4}$ |
| $2 A_{1}$ | 37 | 1 | 1 | $27_{37}$ | - | - |
| $A_{1}$ | 46 | 1 | 1 | $7_{46}$ | - | - |
| 0 | 63 | 1 | 1 | $1_{63}$ | - | - |

$E_{8}$

| class of $u$ | $d_{u}$ | $A_{G}(u)$ | $\emptyset$ |  | $D_{4}(p=2)$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $d_{u}$ | $A_{G}(u)$ |  |  | $\varphi$ | $\rho$ |
| $E_{8}$ | 0 | $Z_{\left(60, p^{2}\right)}$ | 1 | $1{ }_{0}$ | -1 | $\chi_{1,1}$ |
| $E_{8}\left(a_{1}\right)$ | 1 | $Z_{\left(12, p^{2}\right)}$ | 1 | 81 | -1 | $\chi_{2,1}$ |
| $E_{8}\left(a_{2}\right)$ | 2 | $Z_{(2, p)}$ | 1 | $35_{2}$ | -1 | $\chi_{4,1}$ |
| $E_{7}+A_{1}$ | 3 | $Z_{2} \times Z_{(6, p)}$ | 1 | 112 | $\varepsilon^{\prime}$ | $\chi_{8,1}$ |
|  |  |  | $\varepsilon$ | 288 | $\varepsilon^{\prime \prime}$ | $\chi_{1,2}$ |
| $E_{7}$ | 4 | $Z_{\left(12, p^{2}\right)}$ | 1 | $84_{4}$ | -1 | $\chi_{9,1}$ |
| $D_{8}$ | 4 | $Z_{2}$ | 1 | $210_{4}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  | -1 | $160_{7}$ |  |  |
| $E_{7}\left(a_{1}\right)+A_{1}$ | 5 | $Z_{2}$ | 1 | $560{ }_{5}$ | -1 | $\chi_{4,2}$ |
|  |  |  | -1 | $50_{8}(p \neq 2)$ |  |  |
| $E_{7}\left(a_{1}\right)$ | 6 | $Z_{(2, p)}$ | 1 | 5676 | -1 | $\chi_{9,2}$ |
| $D_{8}\left(a_{1}\right)$ | 6 | $Z_{2}(p \neq 2)$ | 1 | $700{ }_{6}$ | $\theta$ | $\chi_{8,3}$ |
|  |  | $D_{8}(p=2)$ | -1 | 30088 ( $p \neq 2$ ) |  |  |
|  |  |  | $\varepsilon^{\prime}$ | 3008 ( $p=2$ ) |  |  |
|  |  |  | $\varepsilon^{\prime \prime}$ | $50_{8}(p=2)$ |  |  |
| $D_{7}$ | 7 | $Z_{(2, p)}$ | 1 | $400{ }_{7}$ | -1 | $\chi_{2,3}$ |
| $E_{7}\left(a_{2}\right)+A_{1}$ | 7 | $S_{3} \times Z_{(2, p)}$ | 1 | $1400_{7}$ | -1 | $\chi_{12}$ |

N. Spaltenstein




Unipotent cuspidal pairs $(u, \varphi)$

| $G$ | class of $u$ | $A_{G}(u)$ | $\varphi$ | condition on $p$ | condition on $G$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $G_{2}$ | $G_{2}\left(a_{1}\right)$ | $S_{3}$ | $\varepsilon$ | $p \neq 3$ | - |
| $G_{2}$ | $G_{2}$ | $Z_{2}$ | -1 | $p=2$ | - |
| $G_{2}$ | $G_{2}$ | $Z_{3}$ | $\zeta, \zeta^{2}$ | $p=3$ | - |
| $G_{2}$ | $G_{2}\left(a_{1}\right)$ | $Z_{2}$ | -1 | $p=3$ | - |
| $F_{4}$ | $F_{4}\left(a_{3}\right)$ | $S_{4}$ | $\left(1^{4}\right)$ | $p \neq 2$ | - |
| $F_{4}$ | $F_{4}$ | $Z_{3}$ | $\zeta, \zeta^{2}$ | $p=3$ | - |
| $F_{4}$ | $F_{4}$ | $Z_{4}$ | $\eta,-\eta$ | $p=2$ | - |
| $F_{4}$ | $F_{4}\left(a_{1}\right)$ | $Z_{2}$ | -1 | $p=2$ | - |


| $F_{4}$ | $F_{4}\left(a_{2}\right)$ | $D_{8}$ | $\varepsilon$ | $p=2$ | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| $F_{4}$ | $F_{4}\left(a_{3}\right)$ | $S_{3}$ | $\varepsilon$ | $p=2$ | - |
| $E_{8}$ | $A_{5}+A_{1}$ | $Z_{6}$ | $-\zeta,-\zeta^{2}$ | $p \neq 3$ | simply connected |
| $E_{6}$ | $E_{6}$ | $Z_{6}$ | $-\zeta,-\zeta^{2}$ | $p=2$ | simply connected |
| $E_{6}$ | $E_{6}$ | $Z_{3}$ | $\zeta, \zeta^{2}$ | $p=3$ | - |
| $E_{7}$ | $D_{6}\left(a_{2}\right)+A_{1}$ | $S_{3} \times Z_{2}$ | $-\varepsilon$ | $p \neq 2$ | simply connected |
| $E_{7}$ | $E_{7}$ | $Z_{6}$ | $-\zeta,-\zeta^{2}$ | $p=3$ | simply connected |
| $E_{7}$ | $E_{7}$ | $Z_{4}$ | $\eta,-\eta$ | $p=2$ | - |
| $E_{8}$ | $2 A_{4}$ | $S_{5}$ | $\left(1^{5}\right)$ | - |  |
| $E_{8}$ | $E_{8}$ | $Z_{5}$ | $\zeta, \zeta^{2}, \zeta^{3}, \zeta^{4}$ | $p=5$ | - |
| $E_{8}$ | $E_{7}+A_{1}$ | $Z_{6}$ | $-\zeta,-\zeta^{2}$ | $p=3$ | - |
| $E_{8}$ | $E_{8}\left(a_{1}\right)$ | $Z_{4}$ | $\eta,-\eta$ | $p=2$ | - |
| $E_{8}$ | $D_{8}\left(a_{1}\right)$ | $D_{8}$ | $\varepsilon$ | $p=2$ | - |
| $E_{8}$ | $E_{7}\left(a_{2}\right)+A_{1}$ | $S_{3} \times Z_{2}$ | $-\varepsilon$ | $p=2$ | - |
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