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## Introduction

Let $G$ be a connected reductive algebraic group defined over a finite field $\mathbf{F}_{q}, F: G \rightarrow G$ be the corresponding Frobenius map and for each positive integer $m, G^{F^{m}}$ be the group of $F^{m}$-fixed points in $G$. Let $G^{F^{m}} / \sim_{F}$ be the set of $F$-twisted conjugacy classes of $G^{F^{m}}$. In the case where $m=1$, we simply express it as $G^{F} / \sim$. A bijection $N_{F^{m} / F}: G^{F} / \sim \rightarrow G^{F^{m}} / \sim_{F}$ is defined by attaching $x=F^{m}(a) a^{-1}$ to $\hat{x}=a^{-1} F(a)$, where $x \in G^{F}, \hat{x} \in G^{F^{m}}$ and $a \in G$. We denote by $C\left(G^{F m} / \sim_{F}\right)$ the space of $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{l}$-valued functions on the set $G^{F m} / \sim_{F}$. Then we get the induced map $N_{F^{m} / F}^{*}: C\left(G^{F^{m}} / \sim_{F}\right) \rightarrow C\left(G^{F} / \sim\right)$.

Let $\widetilde{G}^{F^{m}}$ be the semidirect product of $G^{F^{m}}$ with the cyclic group of order $m$ with generator $\sigma$, where $\sigma$ acts on $G^{F^{m}}$ by $\sigma g \sigma^{-1}=F(g)$. For each representation $\tilde{\rho}$ of $\widetilde{G}^{F^{m}}$, we denote by $[\tilde{\rho}]$ the restriction on $G^{F^{m}} \sigma$ of the character of $\tilde{\rho}$, which we regard as an element of $C\left(G^{F m} / \sim_{F}\right)$ under the natural bijection $G^{F^{m}} / \sim_{F} \simeq G^{F^{m}} \sigma / \sim(\sim$ means the conjugation under $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{G}}^{{ }^{F m}}$ ).

Assume that the center of $G$ is connected. By Lusztig [11], the set $\mathscr{E}\left(G^{F^{m}}\right)$ of isomorphism classes of irreducible representations of $G^{F^{m}}$ over $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{l}$ is partitioned into the disjoint union of subsets $\mathscr{E}\left(G^{F^{m}},(s)\right)$ where $(s)$ runs over all $F^{m}$-stable semisimple conjugacy classes in the dual group $G^{*}$ of $G$. Moreover, by [11], taking $s \in G^{* F^{m}}$, we have a canonical bijection

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{E}\left(G^{F^{m}},(s)\right) \simeq \mathscr{E}\left(Z_{G^{*}}(s)^{* F^{m}},(1)\right) \tag{0.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$F$ acts naturally on $\mathscr{E}\left(G^{F^{m}}\right)$ and for each $F$-stable class $(s), F$ stabilizes $\mathscr{E}\left(G^{F^{m}},(s)\right)$. Let $\mathscr{E}\left(G^{F^{m}},(s)\right)^{F}$ be the set of $F$-stable representations in $\mathscr{E}\left(G^{F^{m}},(s)\right)$. We denote by $C^{(s)}\left(G^{F^{m}} / \sim_{F}\right)$ the subspace of $C\left(G^{F^{m}} / \sim_{F}\right)$ generated by [ $\tilde{\rho}$ ], where $\tilde{\rho}$ runs over all the irreducible representations of $\widetilde{G}^{F^{m}}$ whose restriction to $G^{F^{m}}$ lies in $\mathscr{E}\left(G^{F^{m}},(s)\right)^{F}$. Thus, if $m=1$, $C^{(s)}\left(G^{F} / \sim\right)$ is the subspace of $C\left(G^{F} / \sim\right)$ generated by various elements in $\mathscr{E}\left(G^{F},(s)\right)$.

The purpose of this paper is to investigate the map $N_{F^{m} / F}^{*}$ in the case of classical groups.

If $m=1$, the map $N_{F / F}^{*}$ becomes an automorphism on the space of class functions of $G^{F}$ and in the case of classical groups of split type, Asai [2], [3] has shown using the lifting theory of Kawanaka [8], that $N_{F / F}^{*}$ leaves $C^{(1)}\left(G^{F} / \sim\right)$ invariant and that $N_{F / F}^{*}$ restricted to $C^{(1)}\left(G^{F} / \sim\right)$ is closely related with the "Fourier transform" (or rather almost characters in the sense of $[11, \S 4]$ ) of unipotent characters. (He also obtained the similar result ([4]) in the case of exceptional groups using the twisted operator instead of $N_{F / F}^{*}$ ).

In this paper, we shall treat the case where $G$ is a classical group with connected center and $m$ is sufficiently divisible, i.e., $\mathbf{F}_{q^{m}}$ contains some fixed sufficiently large extension of $\mathbf{F}_{q}$. Then $\mathscr{E}\left(G^{F^{m}},(s)\right)^{F}$ is parametrized by $X\left(W_{s}, \gamma_{s}\right)$ (see 2.1 for the definition) independently of $m$, and for each $x \in X\left(W_{s}, \gamma_{s}\right)$ an almost character $R_{x} \in C^{(s)}\left(G^{F} / \sim\right)$ can be defined by [11]. By this correspondence, we can attach to each $\rho \in \mathscr{E}\left(G^{F^{m}},(s)\right)^{F}$ corresponding to $x_{\rho} \in X\left(W_{s}, \gamma_{s}\right)$, an almost character $R_{x_{\rho}}$ up to a root of unity multiple. Then our main result is Theorem 2.2, which asserts that under the above assumptions, $N_{F m / F}^{*}$ maps $C^{(s)}\left(G^{F^{m}} / \sim_{F}\right)$ onto $C^{(s)}\left(G^{F} / \sim\right)$ and that $N_{F^{m} / F}^{*}\left(\left[\mu_{\tilde{p}} \tilde{\rho}\right]\right)=R_{x_{\rho}}$, where $\tilde{\rho}$ is an extension of $\rho$ to $\widetilde{G}^{F^{m}}$ and $\mu_{\tilde{\rho}}$ is a root of unity depending on the choice of $\tilde{\rho}$ and $m$. In particular, $N_{F}^{*} m_{/ F}$ is compatible with the map (0.1).

In the case where $s=1$, our result is already contained in [2], [3]. Hence, Theorem 2.2 can be regarded as a generalization of Asai's result to arbitrary $s$, although his result itself (which is concerned with $N_{F / F}^{*}$ ) is not extended to the general case by our argument.

As a corollary (Corollary 2.19), we can decompose $R_{M \subset P}^{G}(\pi)$ into irreducible constituents, where $M$ is an $F$-stable Levi subgroup of (not necessarily $F$-stable) parabolic subgroup $P$ of $G$ and $\pi$ is an irreducible representation of $M^{F}$.

As regards the proof, Asai's method can be applied to our case, essentially. However, it should be noticed that, as we are dealing the case where $m$ is sufficiently large, Kawanaka's theory cannot be applied to our case. Instead, using the argument of Lusztig ([11]), we can show that $N_{F^{*} / F}^{*}([\tilde{\rho}])$ gives the same element in $C\left(G^{F} / \sim\right)$, up to a root of unity multiple, for infinitely many $m$. This enables us to apply the specialization argument to our situation, and once this is done, Asai's method works as well to ours by making use of results of Lusztig [11].

The author understands that B. Srinivasan obtained independently the similar result as Corollary 2.19.

The author is indebted to G. Lusztig for suggestions and discussions on the occasion of Katata conference in 1983.

## § 1. The maps $\mathbf{R}_{M(\dot{w})}^{(m)}$ and $a_{F w}$

1.1. Let $G$ be a connected reductive algebraic group defined over a finite field $\mathbf{F}_{q}$, with Frobenius map $F$. We may assume further that $G$ has a split $\mathbf{F}_{p}$-structure with Frobenius map $F_{0}$ such that $F_{0} F=F F_{0}$ and that some power of $F_{0}$ is equal to some power of $F$, where $\mathbf{F}_{p}$ is a prime field contained in $\mathbf{F}_{q}$. We shall fix an $F_{0}$-stable Borel subgroup $B$, an $F_{0^{-}}$ stable maximal torus $T$ contained in $B$, and denote by $W$ the Weyl group of $G$ relative to $T$. We assume further $F(B)=B$ and $F(T)=T$. Let $\Sigma$ be the set of roots of $G$ with respect to $T$ and $\Pi \subset \Sigma$ be the set of simple roots. with respect to $(B, T)$. Then any parabolic subgroup containing $B$ is expressed as $P_{J}=M_{J} U_{J}$ for some $J \subset \Pi$, where $M_{J}$ is a Levi subgroup of $P_{J}$ containing $T$ and $U_{J}$ is the unipotent radical of $P_{J}$. Put $M=M_{J}$. Take $w \in W$ such that $F w(J)=J$, and let $\dot{w}$ be a representative of $w$ in $N_{G}(T)^{F_{o}}$. Then $F \dot{w}: g \rightarrow F\left(\dot{w} g \dot{w}^{-1}\right)$ may be considered as a Frobenius map of $M$ commuting with $F_{o}$ with respect to some $\mathbf{F}_{q}$-structure. Consider the variety $S=\left\{g \in G \mid g^{-1} F(g) \in F\left(\dot{w} U_{J}\right)\right\}$ and put $\bar{S}=S / U_{J} \cap F\left(\dot{w} U_{J} \dot{w}^{-1}\right)$. Then $G^{F} \times$ $M^{F \dot{w}}$ acts on $H_{c}^{i}\left(\bar{S}, \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{i}\right)$. According to [9], [2], we associate a virtual $G^{F}$ module $R_{M(\dot{w})}^{G}(\pi)$ to an irreducible $M^{F \dot{w}}$-module $\pi$ as follows.

$$
R{ }_{M(\dot{w})}^{G}(\pi)=\sum_{i \geq 0}(-1)^{i}\left(H_{c}^{i}\left(\bar{S}, \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{t}\right) \otimes \pi\right)^{M^{F} \dot{w}}
$$

Thus, extending linearly, we get a homomorphism $R_{M(\dot{w})}^{G}: \mathscr{R}\left(M^{F \dot{w}}\right) \rightarrow$ $\mathscr{R}\left(G^{F}\right)$, where $\mathscr{R}()$ denotes the Grothendieck group of representations of a finite group over $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{i}$. (Note our definition of $R_{M(\dot{w})}^{G}$ here is slightly different from that of [2], where he uses $\dot{w} F$ instead of $F \dot{w}$ ).
1.2. We recall here some related notations of [11]. For each $w \in W$, we define $X_{w}=\left\{g B \in G / B \mid g^{-1} F(g) \in B w B\right\}$ and for each representative $\dot{w} \in N_{G}(T)^{F_{o}}$, we define $\widetilde{X}_{\dot{w}}=\left\{g \in G \mid g^{-1} F(g) \in \dot{w} U\right\} / U \cap \dot{w} U \dot{w}^{-1}$, where $U$ is the unipotent radical of $B$. Put $T_{w}=\{t \in T \mid w(F(t))=t\}$. Then $G^{F}$ $\times T_{w}$ acts on $\tilde{X}_{\dot{w}}$ by $x \rightarrow g x t^{-1}$ and induces the isomorphism $\tilde{X}_{\dot{w}} / T_{w} \simeq X_{w}$, which is $G^{F}$-equivariant with respect to the action of $G^{F}$ by left multiplication on $X_{w}$. We denote by $\mathscr{F}_{\theta}$ the locally constant $G^{F}$-equivariant $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{l^{-}}$ sheaf of rank 1 over $X_{w}$ corresponding to $\theta \in T_{w}^{\wedge}$. Then $H_{c}^{i}\left(X_{w}, \mathscr{F}_{\theta}\right)$ becomes a $G^{F}$-module and in fact,

$$
R_{T(F-1(\dot{w}))}^{G}(\theta)=\sum_{i \geq 0}(-1)^{i} H_{c}^{i}\left(X_{w}, \mathscr{F}_{\theta}\right) .
$$

Let $\bar{X}_{w}$ be the Zariski closure of $X_{w}$ in $G / B$. Then $\bar{X}_{w}$ is the disjoint union of $X_{w^{\prime}}\left(w^{\prime} \leq w\right)$. We shall consider, following [11, §2], the cohomology sheaves $\mathscr{H}^{i}\left(\bar{X}_{w}, \mathscr{F}_{\theta}\right)$ of the intersection cohomology complex IC $\left(\bar{X}_{w}, \mathscr{F}_{\theta}\right)$ and its hypercohomology group $\mathbf{H}^{i}\left(\bar{X}_{w}, \mathscr{F}_{\theta}\right)$, which becomes
a $G^{F}$-module.
1.3. Let $G^{*}$ be the dual group of $G$ defined over $\mathbf{F}_{q}$ and $T^{*}$ be an $F$-stable maximal torus of $G^{*}$ which is dual to $T$ over $\mathbf{F}_{q}$.

From now on, throughout this section, we assume that the center of $G$ is connected.

According to $[9, \S 7], \theta \in T_{w}^{\wedge}$ determines an $F$-stable semisimple class $(s)$ of $G^{*}$. Then, by [11], for each $F$-stable class $(s) \subset G^{*}$, the set $\mathscr{E}\left(G^{F},(s)\right)$ consists of $\rho \in \mathscr{E}\left(G^{F}\right)$ such that $\rho$ appears as a constituent in a $G^{F}$-module $\mathbf{H}^{i}\left(\bar{X}_{w}, \mathscr{F}_{\theta}\right)$ for some $i$ and $w$ under the condition that $\theta$ corresponds to ( $s$ ).

Fix an $F$-stable class $(s)$ in $G^{*}$. Let $s$ be an element of $(s)$ contained in $T^{*}$ and $d$ be the smallest integer such that $F_{o}^{d}(s)=s$. Then $F_{o}^{d}$ acts on $X_{w}$, and since $\theta$ is $F_{o}^{d}$-stable, $\mathscr{F}_{\theta}$ is endowed with an $F_{o}^{d}$-structure. So, $F_{o}^{d}$ acts naturally on $H_{c}^{i}\left(X_{w}, \mathscr{F}_{\theta}\right)$ and $\mathbf{H}^{i}\left(\bar{X}_{w}, \mathscr{F}_{\theta}\right)$. However, this $F_{o}^{d}$-structure depends on the choice of a representative $\dot{w}$ of $w$, we shall write $\mathscr{F}_{\theta}$ as $\mathscr{F}_{\dot{w}, \theta}$ (as $G^{F}$-equivariant sheaf, $\mathscr{F}_{\dot{w}, \theta}$ are mutually isomorphic). Hence, from now on, according to [11, 1.23], we shall fix a suitable representative $\dot{w} \in N_{G}(T)^{F_{o}}$ for each $w \in W$.

Let $b$ be the smallest integer such that $F_{o}^{d b}$ is an integral power of $F$. In the following, for $\left(G^{F}, F_{o}^{d b}\right)$-module $H$, we denote by $H_{\rho}$ the $\rho$-isotypic subspace of $H$ and by $H_{\rho, \mu}$ the generalized $\mu$-eigenspace with respect to $F_{o}^{d b}$ of $H_{\rho}$. The following lemma, which is a usual cohomology version of [11, Proposition 2.20], is due to G. Lusztig. The author is very grateful to him for communicating this.
1.4. Lemma. Assume we are in the setting of 1.3. Let $G^{F}\langle\vartheta\rangle$ be the semidirect product of $G^{F}$ with the cyclic group of order $b$ with generator $\vartheta$, where $\vartheta$ acts on $G^{F}$ by $\vartheta g \vartheta^{-1}=F_{o}^{d}(g)$. Then each representation $\rho$ in $\mathscr{E}\left(G^{F},(s)\right)$ is $F_{o}^{d}$-stable. Moreover, for each $\rho \in \mathscr{E}\left(G^{F},(s)\right)$, there exists an extension $\tilde{\rho}$ to $G^{F}\langle\vartheta\rangle$ and a root of unity $\lambda_{\rho}^{\prime} \in \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{l}^{*}$ such that the following holds.
(i) Put $\lambda_{\rho}=\left(\lambda_{\rho}^{\prime}\right)^{b}$. Then the eigenvalues of $F_{o}^{a b}$ on $H_{c}^{i}\left(X_{w}, \mathscr{F}_{\dot{w}, \theta}\right)_{\rho}$ are $\lambda_{\rho}$ times integral powers of $p^{d b / 2}$.
(ii) Put $\mu=\lambda_{\rho} p^{a b k / 2}$ be an eigenvalue of $F_{o}^{d b}$ as given in (i). Then $H_{c}^{i}\left(X_{w}, \mathscr{F}_{\dot{w}, \theta}\right)_{\rho, \mu}$ is $F_{o}^{d}$-stable and admits a $\left(G^{F}, F_{o}^{d}\right)$-stable filtration each of whose successive quotients is isomorphic as a $G^{F}\langle\vartheta\rangle$-module (with $\vartheta$ acting as $\left.\left(\lambda_{\rho}^{\prime}\right)^{-1} p^{-d k / 2} F_{o}^{d}\right)$ to $\tilde{\rho}$.

Proof. All the statements are certainly true for $\mathbf{H}^{i}\left(\bar{X}_{w}, \mathscr{F}_{\dot{w}, \theta}\right)$ in view of [11, Proposition 2.20, Theorem 3.8]. Hence the first statement follows. We shall show (i). Take $\rho \in \mathscr{E}\left(G^{F},(s)\right)$. They by [loc. cit.], the eigenvalues
of $F_{o}^{d b}$ on $\mathbf{H}^{i}\left(\bar{X}_{w}, \mathscr{F}_{\dot{w}, \theta}\right)_{\rho}$ are of the form $\lambda_{\rho} p^{i d b / 2}$, where $\lambda_{\rho}$ is a root of unity independent of $i$ and $w$. Suppose the lemma does not hold and let $w$ be a minimal element with respect to the Coxeter order where the lemma fails. Hence there exists $i$ and $\mu \in \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{l}^{*}$, not of the form $\lambda_{\rho}$ times integral power of $p^{a b / 2}$, such that $H_{c}^{i}\left(X_{w}, \mathscr{F}_{\dot{w}, \theta}\right)_{\rho, \mu} \neq 0$. The spectral sequence of $G^{F}$-modules

$$
H^{i}\left(\bar{X}_{w}, \mathscr{H}^{j}\left(\bar{X}_{w}, \mathscr{F}_{\dot{w}, \theta}\right)\right) \Longrightarrow \mathbf{H}^{i+j}\left(\bar{X}_{w}, \mathscr{F}_{\dot{w}, \theta}\right),
$$

which is $F_{o}^{d}$-equivariant, implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{i}\left(\bar{X}_{w}, \mathscr{H}^{j}\left(\bar{X}_{w}, \mathscr{F}_{\dot{w}, \theta}\right)\right)_{\rho, \mu} \Longrightarrow \mathbf{H}^{i+j}\left(\bar{X}_{w}, \mathscr{F}_{\dot{w}, \theta}\right)_{\rho, \mu} . \tag{1.4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

But, by [11, Theorem 2.4], for each $w^{\prime} \leq w$, the restriction of $\mathscr{H}^{j}\left(\bar{X}_{w}, \mathscr{F}_{\dot{w}, \theta}\right)$ to $X_{w^{\prime}}$, has a filtration of $G^{F}$-equivariant sheaves defined over $\mathbf{F}_{p^{d}}$ if it is non-zero, where each successive quotient is isomorphic to $\mathscr{F}_{w^{\prime}, \theta^{\prime}}(-j / 2)$ (Tate twist) for some $\theta^{\prime} \in T_{w^{\prime}}^{\wedge}$ corresponding to (s). Moreover when $w^{\prime}=$ $w$, this restriction is isomorphic to $\mathscr{F}_{\dot{w}, \theta}$ if $j=0$ and 0 otherwise. Hence, by assumption on $w$, the left hand side of (1.4.1) vanishes except when $j=0$. Thus we have

$$
H_{c}^{i}\left(X_{w}, \mathscr{F}_{\dot{w}, \theta}\right)_{\rho, \mu} \simeq \mathbf{H}^{i}\left(\bar{X}_{w}, \mathscr{F}_{\dot{w}, \theta}\right)_{\rho, \mu} .
$$

This is a contradiction since $\mathbf{H}^{i}\left(\bar{X}_{w}, \mathscr{F}_{\dot{w}, \theta}\right)_{\rho, \mu}=0$. Thus (i) is proved. (ii) follows from Proposition 2.20 of [11] using the similar argument as in (i) if we notice that (1.4.1) turns out to be the spectral sequence of $G^{F}\langle\vartheta\rangle$ modules. Thus the lemma is proved.
1.5. Let $w \in W$ be such that $F w(J)=J$. We shall choose a positive integer $m$ such that $F^{m}$ is a power of $F_{o}$ and that $(F \dot{w})^{m}=F^{m}$ on $M=M_{J}$. Then $F^{m}$ acts on $S$ and so acts on $H_{c}^{i}\left(\bar{S}, \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{i}\right) \otimes \pi$ commuting with the action of $M^{F \dot{w}}$ (with trivial action on $\pi$ ). Hence we get a natural action of $F^{m}$ on the virtual $G^{F}$-module $R_{M(\tilde{w})}^{G}(\pi)$.

The following proposition describes the eigenvalues of $F^{m}$ on $R_{M(\dot{v})}^{G}(\pi)$ in the case where $m$ is sufficiently large.
1.6. Proposition. Let $w \in W$ be as in 1.5. There exists an integer $m_{1}>0$ such that for any integer $m>0$ divisible by $m_{1}$, the eigenvalues of $F^{m}$ on $\left(H_{c}^{i}\left(\bar{S}, \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{i}\right) \otimes \pi\right)^{M F \dot{w}}$ are integral powers of $q^{m / 2}$.

Proof. Take $m$ as in 1.5. Then for each $\pi \in \mathscr{E}\left(M^{F \dot{w}}\right)$, there exists $X_{w^{\prime}, M}$ (the similar variety as $X_{w}$ defined replacing $(G, F)$ by $(M, F \dot{w})$ ), $\theta^{\prime} \in$ $T_{w^{\prime}}^{\wedge}$ and $F^{m}$-stable subspace $V_{\pi}$ of $H_{c}^{j}\left(X_{w^{\prime}, M}, \mathscr{F}_{w^{\prime}, \theta^{\prime}}\right)$ isomorphic to $\pi$ as $M^{F \dot{w}^{*}}$-module. Then by the similar argument as in [11, 3.5], [2, 1.1], there
exists $w^{\prime \prime} \in W$ and $\theta^{\prime \prime} \in T_{w^{\prime \prime}}^{\wedge}$, such that

$$
\left(H_{c}^{i}\left(\bar{S}, \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{i}\right) \otimes V_{\pi}\right)^{M F \dot{w}_{c}} \hookrightarrow H_{c}^{i+j}\left(X_{w^{\prime \prime}}, \mathscr{F}_{\dot{w}^{\prime \prime}, \theta^{\prime \prime}}\right) .
$$

The inclusion is $F^{m}$-equivariant as $m$ is taken as in 1.5 . Hence the proposition follows from Lemma 1.4.
1.7. We fix a parabolic subgroup $P=P_{J}$. Taking $m$ such that $F^{m}$ is a power of $F_{o}$, consider an irreducible representation $\pi: M^{F^{m}} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V)$. $\pi$ is naturally extended to a representation of $P^{F^{m}}$, which we also denote by $\pi$. Let $\mathscr{P}_{m}$ be the space of all functions $f: G^{F^{m}} \rightarrow V$. It is a $G^{F^{m}}$-module by $(g f)(x)=f(x g), g, x \in G^{F^{m}}, f \in \mathscr{P}_{m}$. Let us define a subspace of $\mathscr{P}_{m}$ by

$$
\mathscr{P}(M, \pi)=\left\{f \in \mathscr{P}_{m} \mid f(p g)=\pi(p) f(g) \text { for } p \in P^{F^{m}}, g \in G^{F^{m}}\right\} .
$$

Then $\mathscr{P}_{\pi}=\mathscr{P}(M, \pi)$ is a $G^{F^{m}}$-submodule of $\mathscr{P}_{m}$ isomorphic to $\operatorname{Ind}{ }_{P}^{G F^{m m}}(\pi)$. For each $w \in W$ such that $w J \subset \Pi$, choose a representative $\dot{w} \in N_{G}(T)^{F_{o}}$ and define a linear map $\tau_{\pi, \dot{w}}: \mathscr{P}_{m} \rightarrow \mathscr{P}_{m}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{\pi, \dot{w}}(f)(x)=\frac{1}{\left|U_{w J}^{F m}\right|} \sum_{y \in U_{w J}^{F m}} f\left(\dot{w}^{-1} y x\right) . \tag{1.7.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\tau_{\pi, \dot{w}}$ is $G^{F^{m}}$-equivariant and we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{\pi, \dot{w}}: \mathscr{P}(M, \pi) \longrightarrow \mathscr{P}\left(w M w^{-1}, \dot{w}^{\dot{w}} \pi\right) \tag{1.7.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ${ }^{\dot{w}} \pi$ is a representation of $\left(w M w^{-1}\right)^{F^{m}}$ given by ${ }^{\dot{w}} \pi(x)=\pi\left(\dot{w}^{-1} x \dot{w}\right)$. We also define $F: \mathscr{P}_{m} \rightarrow \mathscr{P}_{m}$ by $F(f)(x)=f\left(F^{-1}(x)\right)$.

Now, assume given $w \in W$ and $m$ as in 1.5. We assume further that $\pi$ is $F \dot{w}$-stable. Then since $F w(J)=J, \tau_{\pi, \dot{w}}$ can be defined. Let $\sigma \dot{w}$ be the restriction of $F \dot{w}$ to $M^{F^{m}}$. Since $F^{m}=(F \dot{w})^{m}$, we can define $\widetilde{M}^{F^{m}}$ as the semidirect product of $M^{F^{m}}$ with the cyclic group of order $m$ generated by $\sigma \dot{w}$. Let $\tilde{\pi}$ be an extension of $\pi$ to $\tilde{M}^{F m}$. Then $\tilde{\pi}(\sigma \dot{w}): V \rightarrow V$ gives a map $\mathscr{P}\left(M,{ }^{F \dot{w}} \pi\right) \rightarrow \mathscr{P}(M, \pi)$ by $f \rightarrow \tilde{\pi}(\sigma \dot{w}) \circ f$, which we denote also by $\tilde{\pi}(\sigma \dot{w})$. Hence, we get a map

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\pi}(\sigma \dot{w}) F \tau_{\pi, \dot{w}}: \mathscr{P}(M, \pi) \longrightarrow \mathscr{P}(M, \pi), \tag{1.7.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is independent of the choice of representatives $\dot{w}$ of $w$. Note that $\tilde{\pi}(\sigma \dot{w}) F \tau_{\pi, \dot{w}}$ is nothing but $a_{F(w) F}$ in Asai's notation up to a constant multiple ([2, 1.3]).
1.8. Let $C\left(G^{F} / \sim\right)$ and $C\left(G^{F m} / \sim_{F}\right)$ be as in Introduction. We define the similar objects with respect to $M$ with Frobenius map $F \dot{w}$. (Note $(F \dot{w})^{m}=F^{m}$ by assumption). Following [2, 1.4], we shall define a linear
map $a_{F w}: C\left(M^{F^{m}} / \sim_{F \dot{w}}\right) \rightarrow C\left(G^{F^{m}} / \sim_{F}\right)$ by putting

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(a_{F w}([\tilde{\pi}])\right)(\hat{x} \sigma)=q^{m d^{\prime}} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\hat{x} \tilde{\pi}(\sigma \dot{w}) F \tau_{\pi, \dot{w}}, \mathscr{P}_{\pi}\right) \tag{1.8.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each $\tilde{\pi}$ which is an extension to $\tilde{M}^{F^{m}}$ of $\pi \in \mathscr{E}\left(M^{F m}\right)^{F \dot{w}}$, and extending linearly to $C\left(M^{F^{m}} / \sim_{F \dot{w}}\right)$. Here $d^{\prime}=\operatorname{dim}\left(U_{J} \cap \dot{w}^{-1} U^{-} \dot{w}\right)$. ( $U^{-}$is the unipotent radical of the opposite Borel subgroup of $B$ with respect to $T$ ).

Nextly, we define a linear map $R_{M(\dot{w})}^{(m)}: C\left(M^{F \dot{w}} / \sim\right) \rightarrow C\left(G^{F} / \sim\right)$ by putting

$$
R_{M(\dot{w})}^{(m)}(\pi)(x)=\sum_{i \geq 0}(-1)^{i} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\left(x^{-1} F^{m}\right)^{*},\left(H_{c}^{i}\left(\bar{S}, \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{i}\right) \otimes \pi\right)^{M^{F i \dot{w}}}\right)
$$

for each $\pi \in \mathscr{E}\left(M^{F \dot{w}}\right)$ and extending linearly to $C\left(M^{F \dot{w}} / \sim\right)$. Note our definition of $R_{M(\dot{w})}^{(m)}$ is slightly different from that of [2, 1.4], Now, using the same argument as in [2, 1.4], [11, 2.10], we have
1.9. Proposition. Let $w$ and $m$ be as in 1.5. Then the following diagram is commutative.

1.10. As in $[2,2.4]$, $[11,3.6]$, we shall express the map $a_{F w}$ more explicitly using Hecke algebras. Let $\delta$ be an irreducible cuspidal representation of $M^{F^{m}}$. Put $W_{\delta}=\left\{w \in W \mid w J=J,{ }^{w} \delta \simeq \delta\right\}$, where $M=M_{J}$ as before. Then by the result of Howlett and Lehrer [6] and [11, § 8], $W_{\delta}$ is a reflection group on the orthogonal complement of $\langle J\rangle$ in $X(T) \otimes R$. $(X(T)$ is the group of characters of $T)$. Moreover there exists a "root system" $\Gamma \subset \Sigma$ and the set of "positive roots" $\Gamma^{+}=\Gamma \cap \Sigma^{+}$(actually the projection on $\langle J\rangle^{\perp}$ is a root system in the usual sense). Now, $\delta$ can be extended to a representation on $N_{G}(M)^{F^{m}}$ by means of (6.4) of [6] since $W_{\delta}$ is generated by reflections. We denote by $\tilde{\delta}$ an extension of $\delta$ to $N_{G}(M)^{F^{m}}$. Let $S_{\dot{\delta}} \subset W_{\delta}$ be the set of simple reflections with respect to $\Gamma^{+}$. Following [6, 4.11], we shall define for each $y \in W_{\delta}, T_{y}: \mathscr{P}_{\delta} \rightarrow \mathscr{P}_{\delta}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{y}=\varepsilon_{y}^{(m)}\left(q_{y}\right)^{m / 2} q^{l(y) m / 2} \tilde{\delta}(\dot{y}) \tau_{\delta, \dot{y}} \tag{1.10.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $y_{\mapsto} \varepsilon_{y}^{(m)}= \pm 1$ is a linear character of $W_{\delta}$ and $q_{y}=\prod_{s} q^{\lambda(s)}, s$ runs through the elements in a reduced expression of $y$ in $W_{\delta}$ and $\lambda: S_{\delta} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}^{+}$is a function which takes constant value under $W_{i}$-conjugate (cf. [11, Theorem 8.6]). Note that $T_{y}$ is independent of the choice of representatives $\dot{y}$ of $y$.

Then $T_{y}\left(y \in W_{\delta}\right)$ gives a basis of $\operatorname{End}_{G^{F m}} \operatorname{Ind}_{P F^{m}}^{G^{F m}}(\delta)$. Moreover, by [6], [11, Theorem 8.6], $T_{y}\left(y \in W_{\delta}\right)$ gives rise to a basis of the Hecke algebra $H\left(q^{m}\right)$ over $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{l}$ with relations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T_{w} T_{w^{\prime}}=T_{w w^{\prime}}, \quad \text { if } \tilde{l}\left(w w^{\prime}\right)=\tilde{l}(w)+\tilde{l}\left(w^{\prime}\right) \\
& \left(T_{s}+1\right)\left(T_{s}-q^{m \lambda(s)}\right)=0, \quad s \in S_{\delta}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\tilde{l}$ is the length function of $W_{\delta}$ and $\lambda: S_{\tilde{\delta}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}^{+}$is as above.
We define the set $Z_{\delta}=\left\{w \in W \mid F w(J)=J,{ }^{F} w \delta \simeq \delta\right\}$. Then $Z_{\delta}$ can be written as $w W_{\delta}$ for some $w \in Z_{\delta}$. Since $F\left(w W_{\delta} w^{-1}\right)=W_{\delta}$ and $F w$ stabilizes $\langle J\rangle^{\perp}$, there exists $w_{1} \in Z_{\delta}$ such that $F w_{1}\left(\Gamma^{+}\right) \subset \Sigma^{+}$by [6, Lemma 2.2]. Then $w_{1}\left(\Gamma^{+}\right) \subset \Sigma^{+}$and $w_{1}$ is uniquely determined by this property. In the following, let us fix suitable representatives of $Z_{\delta}$ in $N_{G}(T)^{F_{o}}$ (a coherent lifting of $Z_{\delta}$ in the sense of $\left.[11,1.23]\right)$. Now, $\tilde{\delta}$ can be extended to $N_{G}(M)^{F^{m}}\left\langle\sigma \dot{w}_{1}\right\rangle$ (semidirect product), which we denote also by $\tilde{\delta}$.

We now want to show analogous formulae of (3.5.1), (3.5.2) and (3.5.3) in [11]. In order to do this, we need the following lemma, which is a variant of [6, Lemma 4.2] and can be proved by the same way.
1.11. Lemma. Let $v, w \in W$. Assume one of the following conditions holds.
(i) $v \in W_{\delta}, w J \subset \Pi$ and $w \Gamma^{+} \subset \Sigma^{+}$.
(ii) $v J=J^{\prime} \subset \Pi, w J^{\prime} \subset \Pi$ and $v \Gamma^{+} \subset \Sigma^{+}$.

Then we have

$$
\tau_{\dot{v}_{\dot{\delta}}, \dot{w}^{\delta}, \dot{v}}=q^{m / 2(l(w v)-l(w)-l(v))} \tau_{\dot{\delta}, \dot{w} \dot{v}} .
$$

1.12. Put $\gamma=\gamma_{\delta}$ and $\tau_{\dot{w}_{1}}=\tau_{\dot{\partial}, \dot{w}_{1}}$. The linear map $\tilde{\delta}\left(\sigma \dot{w}_{1}\right) F \tau_{\dot{w}_{1}}: \mathscr{P}_{\dot{\delta}} \rightarrow \mathscr{P}_{\delta}$ has the following properties:

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left(\tilde{\delta}\left(\sigma \dot{w}_{1}\right) F \tau_{\dot{w}_{1}}\right) g= F(g)\left(\tilde{\delta}\left(\sigma \dot{w}_{1}\right) F \tau_{\dot{w}_{1}}\right) \quad \text { for } g \in G^{F^{m}},  \tag{1.12.1}\\
& T_{\gamma(y)}\left(\tilde{\delta}\left(\sigma \dot{w}_{1}\right) F \tau_{\dot{w}_{1}}\right)=\left(\tilde{\delta}\left(\sigma \dot{w}_{1}\right) F \tau_{\dot{w}_{1}}\right) T_{y} \quad \text { for } y \in W_{\delta},  \tag{1.12.2}\\
&\left(\tilde{\delta}\left(\sigma \dot{w}_{1}\right) F \tau_{\dot{w}_{1}}\right)^{i}= q^{1 / 2\left(l\left(F^{-i+1}\left(w_{1}\right) F^{-i+2}\left(w_{1}\right) \cdots F^{-1}\left(w_{1}\right) w_{1}\right)-i l\left(w_{1}\right)\right) m}  \tag{1.12.3}\\
& \times \tilde{\delta}\left(\sigma \dot{w}_{1}\right)^{i} F^{i} \tau_{\delta, F^{-i+1}\left(\dot{w}_{1}\right) F^{-i+2}\left(\dot{w}_{1}\right) \cdots F^{-1}\left(\dot{w}_{1}\right) \dot{w}_{1}} .
\end{align*}
$$

In fact, (1.12.1) is obvious. We shall prove (1.12.2). Since $\gamma$ is an automorphism of the Coxeter group ( $W_{\delta}, S_{\delta}$ ), we have $\varepsilon_{y}^{(m)}=\varepsilon_{\gamma(y)}^{(m)}$ and $q_{y}^{(m)}$ $=q_{r(y)}^{(m)}$. Then (1.12.2) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{F \dot{w}_{1_{\delta}, \gamma(\dot{y})}} F \tau_{\dot{\delta}, \dot{w}_{1}}=F \tau_{\dot{y}_{\dot{j}, \dot{w}_{1}}} \tau_{\partial, \dot{y}} q^{1 / 2(l(y)-l(\gamma(y))) m} \tag{1.12.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 1.11, (i) can be applied to the right hand side of (1.12.4) since
$y \in W_{\delta}, w_{1} J \subset \Pi$ and $w_{1} \Gamma^{+} \subset \Sigma^{+}$. Hence,

$$
\tau_{\dot{y}_{\delta, \dot{w}_{1}}} \tau_{\partial, \dot{y}}=q^{1 / 2\left(l\left(w_{1} y\right)-l\left(w_{1}\right)-l(y)\right) m} \tau_{\dot{\delta}, \dot{w}_{1} \dot{y}} .
$$

While, for the left hand side,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau_{F \dot{w}_{1}, \gamma(\dot{y})} & F \tau_{\dot{\delta}_{, \dot{w}_{1}}}
\end{aligned}=F \tau_{\dot{w}_{1 \delta, \dot{w}_{1} \dot{y_{w}^{1}}}^{-1}} \tau_{\dot{\delta, \dot{w}_{1}}} .
$$

The last equality follows from Lemma 1.11, (ii). Since $l\left(w_{1} y w_{1}^{-1}\right)=$ $l\left(F\left(w_{1} y w_{1}^{-1}\right)\right)=l(\gamma(y))$, (1.12.4) follows.

Next, we show (1.12.3). The left hand side of (1.12.3) is equal to

$$
\tilde{\delta}\left(F w_{1}\right)^{i} F^{i} \tau_{F-i+2\left(\dot{w}_{1}\right) \cdots F^{-1}\left(\dot{w}_{1}\right) \dot{w}_{1 \delta, F-i+i}\left(\dot{w}_{1}\right)} \cdots \tau_{\dot{w}_{1 \delta, F^{-1}\left(\dot{w}_{1}\right)}} \tau_{\dot{\delta}, \dot{w}_{1}} .
$$

We want to apply Lemma 1.11, (ii) successively from the left. For this, we have only to verify that for each $j \geqq 1$,

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { (i) } & F^{-i+j}\left(w_{1}\right) F^{-i+j+1}\left(w_{1}\right) \cdots F^{-1}\left(w_{1}\right) w_{1} J \subset \Pi \text {, }  \tag{i}\\
\text { (ii) } & F^{-i+j}\left(w_{1}\right) F^{-i+j+1}\left(w_{1}\right) \cdots F^{-1}\left(w_{1}\right) w_{1} \Gamma^{+} \subset \Sigma^{+} .
\end{array}
$$

But these are obvious since $F w_{1} J=J$ and $F w_{1} \Gamma^{+}=\Gamma^{+}$.
1.13. Let $\tilde{W}_{\delta}=W_{\delta}\left\langle\gamma_{\delta}\right\rangle$ be the semidirect product of $W_{\delta}$ with the cyclic group generated by $\gamma_{\delta}$. The Hecke algebra $H\left(q^{m}\right)$ can be extended to an algebra $\tilde{H}\left(q^{m}\right)$ with basis $T_{w}\left(w \in \tilde{W}_{\delta}\right)$ as in [11, 3.3]. Let us denote by $\left(W_{\delta}\right)_{\text {ex }}^{\wedge}$ the set of isomorphism classes of irreducible $W_{\delta}$-modules over $\mathbf{Q}$ which is extendable to a $\tilde{W}_{\dot{j}}$-module over $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}$. Let $E\left(q^{m}\right)$ be an irreducible $H\left(q^{m}\right)$-module corresponding to $E \in W_{\delta}^{\widehat{ }}$. If $E \in\left(W_{\delta}\right) \widehat{\text { ex }}$, there exists exactly two extensions to $\tilde{W}_{\delta}$ over $\mathbf{Q}$. Let $\tilde{E} \in \tilde{W}_{\delta}^{\hat{\jmath}}$ be one of them. Then, corresponding to $\widetilde{E}, E\left(q^{m}\right)$ can be extended to an $\tilde{H}\left(q^{m}\right)$-module, which we denote by $\widetilde{E}\left(q^{m}\right)$.

Now let us take $m$ sufficiently large so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F^{m} \text { is a power of } F_{o} \text { and } F^{-m+1}\left(\dot{w}_{1}\right) F^{-m+2}\left(\dot{w}_{1}\right) \cdots F^{-1}\left(\dot{w}_{1}\right) \dot{w}_{1}=1 . \tag{1.13.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then from (1.12.3), $\left(\tilde{\delta}\left(\sigma \dot{w}_{1}\right) F \tau_{\dot{w}_{1}}\right)^{m}=q^{-1 / 2 l\left(w_{1}\right) m^{2}}$ id. on $\mathscr{P}_{\delta}$. Thus, by the same argument as in [11, 3.6], we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{Tr}\left(\hat{x}\left(\tilde{\delta}\left(\sigma \dot{w}_{1}\right) F \tau_{\dot{w}_{1}}\right) T_{y}, \mathscr{P}_{\delta}\right) \\
& \quad=\sum_{E \in\left(\dot{W}_{\dot{\delta})}\right) \hat{\mathrm{ex}}} q^{-1 / 2 l\left(w_{1}\right) m} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\hat{x} \sigma, \tilde{\rho}_{E}\right) \operatorname{Tr}\left(T_{r y}, \tilde{E}\left(q^{m}\right)\right), \tag{1.13.2}
\end{align*}
$$

for each $\hat{x} \in G^{F^{m}}$. Here $\tilde{\delta}_{E}$ is an extension of the irreducible $G^{F^{m}}$-module $\rho_{E}$ corresponding to $E \in\left(W_{\partial}\right)_{\text {ex }}^{\wedge}$ and this extension is uniquely determined
by the choice of an extension $\tilde{\delta}$ of $\delta$ and by the choice of $\tilde{E}$ of $E$.
1.14. Following $[2,2.3,2.4],[3,1.3]$, we shall extend the formula (1.13.2) to $\mathscr{P}_{\pi}$ where $\pi$ is not necessarily cuspidal. Let $\pi$ be an irreducible representation of $M_{K}^{F^{m}}(K \subset \Pi)$, where $F w(K)=K$ and ${ }^{F \dot{w}} \pi \simeq \pi$. Let $W_{K}$ be the Weyl subgroup of $W$ with respect to $K$. There exists an irreducible cuspidal representation $\delta$ of $M_{J}^{F^{m}}(J \subset K)$ such that $\pi$ can be written as $\pi_{E^{\prime}}$ for $E^{\prime} \in\left(W_{K}\right)_{\hat{\delta}}^{\wedge}$. We assume here that $\left({ }^{*}\right) F w(J)=J$. Then as $\pi_{E^{\prime}}$ is $F \dot{w}$ stable, there exists $w^{\prime} \in W_{K}$ such that ${ }^{F \dot{w} \dot{w}^{\prime}} \delta \simeq \delta$. Hence $w w^{\prime} \in Z_{\delta}$ and we can write $w w^{\prime}=w_{1} y^{\prime}, y^{\prime} \in W_{\delta}$. Moreover, $w^{\prime} \in Z_{\dot{\delta}}^{\prime}$ (the subset of $W_{K}$ with respect to $W_{\delta}^{\prime}=\left(W_{K}\right)_{\delta}$ and $\left.F \dot{w}\right)$ and we have $w^{\prime}=w_{1}^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime}$, where $y^{\prime \prime} \in W_{\delta}^{\prime}$ and $w_{1}^{\prime}$ is the similar element of $Z_{\dot{\delta}}^{\prime}$ as $w_{1}$ in $Z_{\delta}$. Hence there exists $y \in W_{\delta}$ such that $w=w_{1} y w_{1}^{\prime-1}$.

Let $\gamma_{\delta}^{\prime}$ be the automorphism of $W_{\delta}^{\prime}$ defined by $(F w) w_{1}^{\prime}$ similar to $\gamma_{\delta}$ for $W_{\delta}$, and $\widetilde{W}_{\dot{\delta}}^{\prime}$ be the semidirect product of $W_{\dot{\delta}}^{\prime}$ with $\left\langle\gamma_{\delta}^{\prime}\right\rangle$. We denote by $H^{\prime}\left(q^{m}\right)$ the subalgebra of $H\left(q^{m}\right)$ generated by $T_{z}\left(z \in W_{\dot{\delta}}^{\prime}\right)$ which corresponds to $\operatorname{Ind}_{P_{J}^{F^{m}}}^{P^{F^{m}}}(\delta)$. Let $\tilde{H}^{\prime}\left(q^{m}\right)$ be the extended algebra corresponding to $\tilde{W}_{\delta}^{\prime}$, and we denote by $T_{\gamma_{\delta}^{\prime}}$ the element of $\tilde{H}^{\prime}\left(q^{m}\right)$ corresponding to $\gamma_{\delta}^{\prime}$. In the following, for each $E \in\left(W_{\delta}\right)_{\text {ex }}$ and $E^{\prime} \in\left(W_{\delta}^{\prime}\right)^{\wedge}$, we denote by $\widetilde{E}\left(q^{m}\right)_{E^{\prime}}$ the $E^{\prime}\left(q^{m}\right)$-isotypic subspace of $H^{\prime}\left(q^{m}\right)$-module $\tilde{E}\left(q^{m}\right)$. On the other hand, as $\pi_{E^{\prime}}$ is $F w w_{1}^{\prime}$-stable, $E^{\prime}$ is $\gamma_{\dot{j}}^{\prime}$-stable. Hence the extension $\tilde{\pi}_{E^{\prime}}$ of $\pi_{E^{\prime}}$ to $\tilde{M}_{K}^{F^{m}}$ is determined canonically as in 1.13 from $\tilde{\delta}$. Then we have
1.15. Lemma. Let $\pi_{E^{\prime}} \in \mathscr{E}\left(M_{K}^{F^{m}}\right)^{F \dot{w}}$ and $w=w_{1} y w_{1}^{\prime-1}$ as in 1.14. Put $\gamma=\gamma_{\delta}, \gamma^{\prime}=\gamma_{\delta}^{\prime} . \quad$ Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{F w}\left(\left[\tilde{\pi}_{E^{\prime}}\right]\right)(\hat{x} \sigma)= & \frac{1}{\operatorname{dim} E^{\prime}} \varepsilon_{y}^{(m)} q^{m d^{\prime}}\left(q_{y}\right)^{-m / 2} q^{-1 / 2\left(l\left(w_{1}\right)+l(y)-l\left(w_{1^{\prime}}\right)\right) m} \\
& \times \sum_{E \in\left(\sum_{\bar{\delta})}\right) \widehat{\mathrm{ex}}} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\hat{x} \sigma, \tilde{\rho}_{E}\right) \operatorname{Tr}\left(T_{r y} T_{r^{\prime}}^{-1}, \tilde{E}\left(q^{m}\right)_{E^{\prime}}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $d^{\prime}=\operatorname{dim}\left(U_{w K} \cap w^{-1} U^{-} w\right)$.
Proof. Let

$$
\mathscr{P}=\left\{f: P_{K}^{F^{m}} \rightarrow V_{1} \mid f(p x)=\delta(p) f(x) \text { for } p \in P_{J}^{F^{m}}, x \in P_{K}^{F^{m}}\right\}
$$

be a realization of $\operatorname{Ind}_{P_{J}^{F^{m}}}^{P^{m}}(\delta)$, where $V_{1}$ is a representation space of $\delta$. We denote by $\mathscr{P}_{E^{\prime}}$ the $E^{\prime}\left(q^{m}\right)$-isotypic subspace of $\mathscr{P}$ and $p_{E^{\prime}}$ be the representation of $P_{K}^{F^{m}}$ on $\mathscr{P}_{E^{\prime}}$. Hence $p_{E^{\prime}}$ is isomorphic to $\pi_{E^{\prime}} \otimes E^{\prime}\left(q^{m}\right)$ as $P_{K}^{F^{m}}$ $\times H^{\prime}\left(q^{m}\right)$-module. Moreover the map $\phi: \mathscr{P}\left(M_{K}, p_{E^{\prime}}\right) \rightarrow \mathscr{P}\left(M_{J}, \delta\right)$ given by $\phi(f)(x)=f(x)(1)$ (evaluation of $f(x) \in \mathscr{P}_{E^{\prime}}$ at $1 \in P_{K}^{F^{m}}$ ) induces an isomor-
phism of $G^{F} \times H^{\prime}\left(q^{m}\right)$-modules $\mathscr{P}\left(M_{K}, p_{E^{\prime}}\right) \simeq \mathscr{P}\left(M_{J}, \delta\right)_{E^{\prime}}$, which becomes an isomorphism of $\tilde{H}\left(q^{m}\right)$-modules. Here $\mathscr{P}\left(M_{J}, \delta\right)_{E^{\prime}}$ denotes the $E^{\prime}\left(q^{m}\right)$ isotypic subspace of $\mathscr{P}\left(M_{J}, \delta\right)$.

Let $\tilde{\delta}\left(\sigma \dot{w} \dot{w}_{1}^{\prime}\right) F \tau_{\dot{\delta}, \dot{w}_{1}}^{K}: \mathscr{P} \rightarrow \mathscr{P}$ be the map defined for $P_{K}^{F^{m}}$ with respect to $\delta$ and $w_{1}^{\prime} \in Z_{\dot{\delta}}^{\prime}$ similar to $G^{F^{m}}$, and we denote by $b_{\dot{w}_{1}^{\prime}}$ its restriction on $\mathscr{P}_{E^{\prime}}$. Thus, by $1.13, b_{\dot{w}_{1}^{\prime}}$ acts on $\pi_{E^{\prime}} \otimes E^{\prime}\left(q^{m}\right)$ as $q^{-1 / 2 l\left(w_{1}^{\prime}\right) m} F \dot{w} \otimes T_{r^{\prime}}$. Since $\mathscr{P}\left(M_{K}, p_{E^{\prime}}\right) \simeq \mathscr{P}\left(M_{K}, \pi_{E^{\prime}}\right) \otimes E^{\prime}\left(q^{m}\right), b_{\dot{w}_{1}^{\prime}}$ induces a map $\mathscr{P}\left(M_{K},{ }^{F \dot{w}} p_{E^{\prime}}\right) \rightarrow$ $\mathscr{P}\left(M_{K}, p_{E^{\prime}}\right)$, which we denote also by $b_{\dot{w}_{1}^{\prime}}$. Hence we can define a map

Now by assumption, $F w(J)=J$ and $F w(K)=K$. Thus $U_{w_{J}}=U_{w_{J}}^{K} U_{w_{K}}$ and $w^{-1} U_{w J}^{K} w=U_{J}^{K}$, where $U_{I}^{K}=U_{I} \cap M_{K}$ for any $I \subset \Pi$. From this, we see easily that, under the isomorphism $\phi, b_{\dot{w}_{1}^{\prime}} F \tau_{p_{E^{\prime}}, \dot{w}}$ turns out to be the map $\tilde{\delta}\left(\sigma \dot{w} \dot{w}_{1}^{\prime}\right) F \tau_{\dot{\delta}, \dot{w} w_{1}^{\prime}}: \mathscr{P}\left(M_{J}, \delta\right)_{E^{\prime}} \rightarrow \mathscr{P}\left(M_{J}, \delta\right)_{E^{\prime}}$, which is nothing but the map $\tilde{\delta}\left(\sigma \dot{w}_{1} \dot{y}\right) F \tau_{\hat{\delta}_{, \dot{w}_{1}} \dot{y}}$.

On the other hand, using $\mathscr{P}\left(M_{K}, p_{E^{\prime}}\right) \simeq \mathscr{P}\left(M_{K}, \pi_{E^{\prime}}\right) \otimes E^{\prime}\left(q^{m}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Tr}\left(\hat{x} b_{w_{1}^{\prime}} F \tau_{p_{E^{\prime}}, \dot{w}} T_{r^{\prime}}^{-1}, \mathscr{P}\left(M_{K}, p_{E^{\prime}}\right)\right) \\
& \quad=\left(\operatorname{dim} E^{\prime}\right) q^{-1 / 2 l\left(w_{1}^{\prime}\right) m} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\hat{x} \tilde{\pi}_{E^{\prime}}(\sigma \dot{w}) F \tau_{\pi_{E^{\prime}}, \dot{w},} \mathscr{P}\left(M_{K}, \pi_{E^{\prime}}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies the lemma in view of (1.13.2).

## § 2. The main result

2.1. In this section, we assume that $G$ is a connected classical group with connected center. Let $(s)$ be an $F$-stable semisimple class in the dual group $G^{*}$ of $G$. Taking $s \in(s) \cap T^{*}$, define $W_{s}=\{w \in W \mid w(s)=s\}$. Since $(s)$ is $F$-stable, there exists $w \in W$ such that $F w(s)=s$. Then $F w$ stabilizes $W_{s}$ and we may take $w_{0} \in W$ such that $F w_{0}(s)=s$ and that $F w_{0}$ induces a graph automorphism $\gamma_{s}: W_{s} \rightarrow W_{s}$. According to [11, §4], the set $\bar{X}\left(W_{s}, \gamma_{s}\right)$, $X\left(W_{s}, \gamma_{s}\right)$ and a pairing $\{\}:, \bar{X}\left(W_{s}, \gamma_{s}\right) \times X\left(W_{s}, \gamma_{s}\right) \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{l}$ is defined. Moreover, a finite group $M_{c}$ acts freely on $X\left(W_{s}, \gamma_{s}\right)$, where $c$ is the order of $\gamma_{s}$ and $M_{c}=\left\{\alpha \in \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{l}^{*} \mid \alpha^{c}=1\right\}$. In our case, $W_{s}$ is isomorphic to a product of various $W_{I}$ and $\gamma_{s}$ stabilizes each $W_{I}$, where $W_{I}$ is either an irreducible Weyl group of type $C_{l}$ or $D_{l}$, or $W_{I} \simeq \prod_{i \in I} W_{i}$ where $W_{i}$ is an irreducible Weyl group of type $A_{l}$ for various $l$ and $\gamma_{s}$ permutes transitively each component $W_{i}$. If we denote by $\gamma_{I}$ the restriction of $\gamma_{s}$ to $W_{I}, \bar{X}\left(W_{s}, \gamma_{s}\right)$ (resp. $X\left(W_{s}, \gamma_{s}\right)$ ) is defined as the product set of $\bar{X}\left(W_{I}, \gamma_{I}\right)\left(\operatorname{resp} . X\left(W_{I}, \gamma_{I}\right)\right)$, and the pairing $\{$,$\} is defined as the product of each pairing.$

If $W_{I} \simeq \prod_{i \in I} W_{i},\left(W_{i}\right.$ : type $\left.A_{i}\right)$, we may assume $I=\mathbf{Z} / r \mathbf{Z}$ and $\gamma_{I}\left(W_{i}\right)$ $=W_{i+1}$ for $i \in I$. Then $\gamma_{I}^{r}\left(W_{1}\right)=W_{1}$. Let $c$ be the order of $\gamma_{I}^{r}$ on $W_{1}$.

Then the order of $\gamma_{I}$ is equal to $r c$. Now, $\bar{X}\left(W_{I}, \gamma_{I}\right) \simeq \bar{X}\left(W_{1}, \gamma_{I}^{r}\right) \simeq W_{1}^{\wedge}$, and $X\left(W_{I}, \gamma_{I}\right) \simeq W_{1}^{\wedge} \times M_{r c}$. The pairing $\{\}:, \bar{X}\left(W_{I}, \gamma_{I}\right) \times X\left(W_{I}, \gamma_{I}\right) \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{l}$ is given by $\left\{\lambda,\left(\lambda^{\prime}, \alpha\right)\right\}=\delta_{\lambda, \lambda^{\prime}} \alpha^{-1}\left(\lambda, \lambda^{\prime} \in W_{1}^{\wedge}, \alpha \in M_{r c}\right)$.

If $W_{I}$ is a Weyl group of type $C_{l}, \gamma_{I}$ is identity. Then $\bar{X}\left(W_{I}, \gamma_{I}\right) \simeq$ $X\left(W_{I}, \gamma_{I}\right)=\Phi_{l}$ : the set of symbol classes of rank $l$ and odd defects ( $[10, \S 3]$, [11, 4.5]).

If $W_{I}$ is a Weyl group of type $D_{l}, \bar{X}\left(W_{I}, \gamma_{I}\right)=\Phi_{l}^{ \pm}$according as $\gamma_{I}$ is trivial or not, where $\Phi_{l}^{+}$(resp. $\Phi_{l}^{-}$) is the set of symbol classes of rank $l$ and defect $\equiv 0(\bmod 4)$, with reduced symbol $(S, S)$ counted twice, (resp. defect $\equiv 2(\bmod 4)),([11,4.6])$. If $\gamma_{I}$ is trivial, $X\left(W_{I}, \gamma_{I}\right)=\bar{X}\left(W_{I}, \gamma_{I}\right)$. While if $\gamma_{I}$ is non-trivial, $X\left(W_{I}, \gamma_{I}\right)=\Psi_{l}$ : the set of ordered symbol classes $(S, T)$ such that $S \neq T$, of rank $l$ and defect $\equiv 0(\bmod 4) . \quad M_{2} \cong \mathbf{Z} / 2 \mathbf{Z}$ acts on $\Psi_{l}$ by $(S, T) \leftrightarrow(T, S),([11,4.18])$. For each of above cases, the pairing is given in terms of symbols, ( $[11,4.5,4.6,4.18]$ ).

It is known by Theorem 4.23 of [11], that $\mathscr{E}\left(G^{F},(s)\right) \cong \bar{X}\left(W_{s}, \gamma_{s}\right)$. We express this correspondence by $\rho \leftrightarrow \bar{x}_{\rho}$. Take $m$ large enough so that $s \in$ $T^{* F^{m}}$ and that $F^{m}$ is a power of $F_{0}$. Then there exists a surjection from $X\left(W_{s}, \gamma_{s}\right)$ to $\mathscr{E}\left(G^{F^{m}},(s)\right)^{F}$ each of whose fibre is just an $M_{c}$-orbit. Hence $\mathscr{E}\left(G^{F m},(s)\right)^{F} \simeq X\left(W_{s}, \gamma_{s}\right) / M_{c}$.

For each $x \in X\left(W_{s}, \gamma_{s}\right)$, we shall define, following [11, (4.24.1)], an almost character associated to $x$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{x}=(-1)^{l\left(w_{0}\right)} \sum_{\rho \in \mathcal{B}\left(G^{F},(s)\right)}\left\{\bar{x}_{\rho}, x\right\} \rho \in \mathscr{R}\left(G^{F}\right) \otimes \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{l} \tag{2.1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The action of $M_{c}$ on $X\left(W_{s}, \gamma_{s}\right)$ gives rise to the scalar multiplication by elemets of $M_{c}$ on $R_{x}$. Hence, for a given $\rho$ in $\mathscr{E}\left(G^{F^{m}},(s)\right)^{F}$, an element $x=x_{\rho}$ in $X\left(W_{s}, \gamma_{s}\right)$ is determined up to the $M_{c}$-orbit, and we can attach $R_{x_{\rho}} \in \mathscr{R}\left(G^{F}\right) \otimes \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{l}$ to $\rho$ up to a $c$-th root of unity multiple.

We note here that by our assumption on $m$, a root of unity $\lambda_{\rho}$ (in Lemma 4.1) is associated to each $\rho \in \mathscr{E}\left(G^{F^{m}},(s)\right)$. We can now state our main result.
2.2. Theorem. Let $G$ be a classical group with connected center. Then there exists an integer $m_{0}=m_{0}\left(G^{F}\right)$ satisfying the following properties:

Let $\rho$ be a representation in $\mathscr{E}\left(G^{F^{m}},(s)\right)^{F}$ and $\tilde{\rho}$ an extension to $\widetilde{G}^{F^{m}}$. If $m$ is divisible by $m_{0}$, there exists $\mu_{\bar{\rho}}$ (depending on $m, \tilde{\rho}$ and the choice of $x_{\rho}$ ) such that

$$
N_{F m / F}^{*}\left(\left[\mu_{\bar{\rho}} \tilde{\rho}\right]\right)=R_{x_{\rho}} .
$$

Here $\mu_{\bar{p}}$ is a root of unity satisfying $\left(\mu_{\bar{p}}\right)^{m}=\lambda_{\rho}^{-1}$.
2.3. Remark. The definition of $\lambda_{\rho}$ in [11, Proposition 2.20] depends
on the choice of a coherent lifting ([11, 1.23]). However, our theorem implies that, at least in our setting, i.e., $m$ is sufficiently divisible and $\rho$ is $F$-stable, $\lambda_{\rho}$ is independent from that choice since $\left(\mu_{\bar{\rho}}\right)^{m}$ is uniquely determined by $\rho$.
2.4. The remainder part of this paper is devoted to the proof of the theorem.

If $G$ is of type $A_{n}$, the lifting always exists by [12], [7] and the theorem is proved easily from this. Hence we assume that $G=G_{n}$ is of type $B_{n}$, $C_{n}$ or $D_{n}$. Using induction on $n$, we shall assume that the theorem is valid for $G_{n^{\prime}}\left(n^{\prime}<n\right)$.

Let $M=M_{J}$ be a proper Levi subgroup of $G$ and $F \dot{w}$ be a Frobenius map on $M$ (i.e., $F w(J)=J$ ). Since the Coxeter diagram of $M$ is a direct sum of diagrams of classical type, using the argument in [1, §2], we may assume that the theorem is valid for $M$.
2.5. Let $M$ and $F \dot{w}$ be as in 2.4 and $(s) \subset M^{*}$ be an $F \dot{w}$-stable semisimple class. We assume that $\mathscr{E}\left(M^{F^{m}},(s)\right)^{F \dot{w}}$ contains a cuspidal representation $\delta$, which is unique in $\mathscr{E}\left(M^{F^{m}},(s)\right)$. By induction hypothesis, for each $m$ divisible by $m_{0}\left(M^{F \dot{w}}\right)$, we can attach a root of unity $\mu_{\tilde{\delta}}$ such that $N_{F m / F, M}^{*}\left[\left[\mu_{\delta} \tilde{\delta}\right]\right)$ is independent of $m$. Let $\rho_{E} \in \mathscr{E}\left(G^{F m},(s)\right)^{F}$ be the representation corresponding to $E \in\left(W_{\delta}\right)_{\widehat{\mathrm{ex}}}$ and $\tilde{\rho}_{E}$ be as in 1.13.

Following [11, §3], we shall show that $N_{F^{m} / F}^{*}\left(\left[\mu_{\bar{\delta}} \tilde{\rho}_{E}\right]\right)$ takes the same value for infinitely many $m$.

Let $H\left(q^{m}\right)$ be the Hecke algebra corresponding to $\operatorname{Ind}_{P_{J}^{F^{m}}}^{G^{F^{m}}}(\delta)$. Then, since $W_{\dot{\delta}}=\left\{w \in W_{s} \mid w(J)=J\right\}$ by [1], $H\left(q^{m}\right)$ is a tensor product of various Hecke algebras of classical type. Hence by [11, §3] and Benson and Curtis [4], we see that, for each $E \in\left(W_{\delta}\right)_{\text {ex }}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Tr}\left(T_{\gamma y}, \widetilde{E}\left(q^{m}\right)\right) \in \mathbf{Q}\left[q^{m}\right] \tag{2.5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $m_{1}\left(G^{F}\right)$ be the smallest integer such that $m_{1}\left(G^{F}\right)$ is divisible by both of $m_{0}\left(M^{F \dot{w}}\right)$ and $m_{1}$ in Proposition 1.6 for various $M$ and $F \dot{w}$, and that $m_{1}\left(G^{F}\right)$ satisfies (1.13.1) for various $\dot{w}_{1}$. We denote by $\mathscr{M}^{\prime}$ the set of positive integers $m$ divisible by $m_{1}\left(G^{F}\right)$. Then, in particular, $N_{F m / F, M}^{*}\left(\left[\mu_{\delta} \tilde{\delta}\right]\right)$ $=R_{x_{j}}$ for $m \in \mathscr{M}^{\prime}$. Put $\alpha_{\psi, E}(m)=\left\langle\psi, N_{F^{m} / F}^{*}\left(\left[\mu_{\tilde{\delta}} \tilde{\rho}_{E}\right]\right)\right\rangle_{G^{F}}$ for each $\psi \in \mathscr{E}\left(G^{F}\right)$. Now using the orthogonality relations of Hecke algebra $\widetilde{H}\left(q^{m}\right)$, we see, by Proposition 1.9 together with (1.13.2), that $N_{F m / F}^{*}\left(\left[\mu_{\bar{\delta}} \tilde{\rho}_{E}\right]\right)$ is contained in $C^{(s)}\left(G^{F} / \sim\right)$. Moreover, by virtue of Proposition 1.6 , we see that $\alpha_{\psi, E}(m)$ is contained in a fixed algebraic number field in $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{i}$. On the other hand, $\alpha_{\psi, E}(m)$ are cyclotomic integers divided by $\left|G^{F}\right|$, and have absolute value $\leq 1$. The last property follows from the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, (cf.
$[11,3.8])$. Hence there are only finitely many $\alpha_{\psi, E}(m)$ for $m \in \mathscr{M}^{\prime}$. Therefore we can divide $\mathscr{M}^{\prime}$ into a finite number of sets $\mathscr{M}_{i}(i=1, \cdots, r)$ such that $\alpha_{\psi, E}$ takes constant value on $\mathscr{M}_{i}$ for each pair $(\psi, E)$.

Let $\mathscr{M}$ be one of the $\mathscr{M}_{i}$ such that $|\mathscr{M}|=\infty$. Then $N_{F^{m / F}}^{*}\left(\left[\mu_{\tilde{\sigma}} \tilde{\rho}_{E}\right]\right)$ is independent of $m$ for $m \in \mathscr{M}$. Hence, by Lemma 1.15 applied to the case $J=K$, we see that $\varepsilon_{y}^{(m)}$ is independent of $m \in \mathscr{M}$ for each $y \in W_{\dot{o}}$. We denote by $\varepsilon_{y}$ this constant value $\varepsilon_{y}^{(m)}$, (the assumption (*) in 1.14 is trivial in this case).
2.6. Let $\mathscr{U}^{(s)}(G, F)$ be an Euclidean space over $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{i}$ with inner product $\langle$,$\rangle generated by f_{x},\left(x \in X\left(W_{s}, \gamma_{s}\right)\right)$ with relations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{\zeta x}=\zeta f_{x} \quad \text { for each } \zeta \in M_{c} \\
& \left\langle f_{x}, f_{y}\right\rangle= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } x=y \\
0 & \text { if } y \notin M_{c} x\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, let $\mathscr{V}^{(s)}(G, F)$ be an Euclidean space over $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{l}$ with inner product $\langle$,$\rangle and with orthonormal basis e_{\bar{x}}\left(\bar{x} \in \bar{X}\left(W_{s}, \gamma_{s}\right)\right)$. As in 2.1, $\mathscr{E}\left(G^{F^{m}},(s)\right)^{F}$ is bijective with $X\left(W_{s}, \gamma_{s}\right) / M_{c}$. We fix a representative $x=x_{\rho}$ in $X\left(W_{s}, \gamma_{s}\right)$ for each $\rho \in \mathscr{E}\left(G^{F^{m}},(s)\right)^{F}$. Let $C^{(s)}\left(G^{F m} / \sim_{F}\right)^{\prime}$ be the subspace of $C^{(s)}\left(G^{F^{m}} / \sim_{F}\right)$ generated by $\left[\tilde{\rho}_{E}\right]$ for various ( $M, F \dot{w}$ ) with $M \neq G$. Also we denote by $\mathscr{U}^{(s)}(G, F)^{\prime}$ the subspace of $\mathscr{U}^{(s)}(G, F)$ generated by $f_{x}$ for $x$ corresponding to $\rho_{E}$ as above. Then we may identify $\mathscr{U}^{(s)}(G, F)^{\prime}$ with $C^{(s)}\left(G^{F m} / \sim_{F}\right)^{\prime}$ by associating $x=x_{\rho_{E}}$ to $\left[\mu_{\delta} \tilde{\rho}_{E}\right]$. We consider also the similar spaces $\mathscr{U}^{(s)}(M, F \dot{w})$ and $\mathscr{V}^{(s)}(M, F \dot{w})$. We may identify $\mathscr{U}^{(s)}(M, F \dot{w})$ with $C^{(s)}\left(M^{F^{m}} / \sim_{F}\right)$ by associating $x=x_{\rho}$ to $\mu_{\tilde{\rho}} \tilde{\rho}$, where $\rho \in \mathscr{E}\left(M^{F^{m}},(s)\right)^{F \dot{w}}$ and $\mu_{\tilde{\delta}}$ is given as in the theorem. Then $a_{F w}$ (resp. $R_{M(\dot{w})}^{(m)}$ ) induces the map $a_{F w}: \mathscr{U}^{(s)}(M, F \dot{w}) \rightarrow \mathscr{U}^{(s)}(G, F)^{\prime}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.R_{M(\dot{w})}^{(m)}: \mathscr{V}^{(s)}(M, F \dot{w}) \rightarrow \mathscr{V}^{(s)}(G, F)\right)$ by above identifications.
2.7 Let us define $\Delta_{M}: \mathscr{U}^{(s)}(M, F \dot{w}) \rightarrow \mathscr{V}^{(s)}(M, F \dot{w})$ by

$$
\Delta_{M}: f_{x} \longmapsto \hat{e}_{x}=(-1)^{\imath\left(w_{0}^{\prime}\right)} \sum_{\bar{y} \in X\left(\left(w_{J}\right) s, \gamma_{s}^{\prime}\right)}\{\bar{y}, x\} \bar{y},
$$

where $w_{0}^{\prime}$ is the corresponding element in $W_{J}$ of $w_{0}$ in $W$. Hence $\Delta_{M}$ coincides with $N_{F^{m} / F, M}^{*}$ under our identifications. Moreover, we define $\Delta_{G}: \mathscr{U}^{(s)}(G, F)^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathscr{V}^{(s)}(G, F)$ by associating $x=x_{\rho_{E}}$ to the element corresponding to $N_{F^{m} / F}^{*}\left(\left[\mu_{\tilde{\delta}} \tilde{\rho}_{E}\right]\right)$ which is independent of $m \in \mathscr{M}$ by 2.5. Then $\Delta_{M}$ and $\Delta_{G}$ becomes isometries between two spaces and (1.9.1) turns out to be the following commutative diagram.


In (2.7.1), each spaces and $\Delta_{G}, \Delta_{M}$ are independent of $m$, while $R_{M(\dot{w})}^{(m)}$ is the map whose coefficients are given by (laurent) polynomials in $q^{m / 2}$ by Proposition 1.6. We show that $a_{F w}$ is also the map whose coefficients are given by polynomials in $q^{m / 2}$. In view of Lemma 1.15 and (2.5.1), we have only to show that the assumption $\left(^{*}\right)$ in 1.14 is satisfied. Thus we shall show that for each $\pi_{E^{\prime}} \in \mathscr{E}\left(M_{K}^{F m}\right)^{F \dot{w}}$, there exists an $F \dot{w}$-stable Levi subgroup $M_{J}$ and a cuspidal representation $\delta \in \mathscr{E}\left(M_{J}^{F^{m}}\right)$ to which $\pi_{E}$, belongs. Since we are dealing with classical groups, this is reduced to the case where $K$ is of type $A_{l}$ and $\sigma \dot{w}$ is a non-trivial automorphism of $K$. But in this case, by the existence of the lifting ([7]), (*) is transferred to the similar problem in $M_{K}^{F w}$. Hence (*) holds in this case.

Now, by specializing $q^{m} \rightarrow 1$, we get the following diagram.

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathscr{V}^{(s)}(G, F) \stackrel{\Delta_{G}}{\longleftarrow} \mathscr{U}^{(s)}(G, F)^{\prime}  \tag{2.7.2}\\
R_{(\dot{w})} \uparrow a_{w} \\
\mathscr{V}^{(s)}(M, F \dot{w}) \stackrel{\Delta_{M}}{\leftarrow} \mathscr{U}^{(s)}(M, F \dot{w})
\end{gather*}
$$

The map $a_{w}$ is given for each $x_{E^{\prime}}=x_{\pi_{E^{\prime}}}\left(\pi_{E^{\prime}}\right.$ as in 1.15),

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{w}\left(f_{x_{E^{\prime}}}\right)=\frac{1}{\operatorname{dim} E^{\prime}} \varepsilon_{y} \sum_{E \in\left(W_{\delta}\right) \hat{\mathrm{ex}}} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\gamma_{\delta} y \gamma_{\delta}^{\prime-1}, \tilde{E}_{E^{\prime}}\right) f_{x_{E}} \tag{2.7.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f_{x_{E}} \in \mathscr{U}^{(s)}(G, F)^{\prime}$ is the element corresponding to $\rho_{E} \in \mathscr{E}\left(G^{F m},(s)\right)^{F}$ and $w=w_{1} y w_{\mathrm{r}}^{\prime-1}$ is as in 1.15. $\quad \widetilde{E}_{E^{\prime}}$ is the $E^{\prime}$-isotypic subspace of $W_{\delta^{-}}^{\prime}$ module $\widetilde{E} . \quad R_{(\dot{w})}$ is nothing but $R_{M(\dot{w})}^{G}$ by our identifications.

The following transitivity of $R_{(\dot{w})}$ is known ([9], [2, 1.1.3]).

where $w \in W$ and $w^{\prime} \in W_{K} .(s)$ is a class in $M_{J}$ which is $F w w^{\prime}$-stable and is extended to the classes in $M_{K}$ and in $G$.

The following transitivity of $a_{w}$ also follows easily from (2.7.3), (cf. [2, Lemma 2.7.7]). Under the same setting as above,

2.8. We now show that the proof of the theorem is reduced to the special case where the centralizer $Z_{G^{*}}(s)^{*}$ has the same semisimple rank as $G$. Assume that the semisimple rank of $Z_{G^{*}}(s)^{*}$ is less than that of $G$. Then there exists some $M \neq G$ with Frobenius map $F \dot{w}$ such that $Z_{G^{*}}(s)$ is contained in $M^{*}$. In this case, $W_{s}$ is contained in $W_{K}$ (here we put $M=$ $\left.M_{K}\right)$ and $\bar{X}\left(W_{s}, \gamma_{s}\right)$ for $M$ coincides with the one for $G$. By [9, 8, 10], $R_{M(\dot{w})}$ becomes the scalar multiplication (-1) ${ }^{\sigma(G)-\sigma(M)}$ under our identification $\mathscr{V}^{(s)}(M, F \dot{w})=\mathscr{V}^{(s)}(G, F)$, where $\sigma(G)($ resp. $\sigma(M))$ is the $\mathbf{F}_{q}$-split rank of $G$ (resp. $M$ ) with respect to $F$ (resp. $F \dot{w}$ ), respectively. Hence

$$
(-1)^{\sigma(G)-\sigma(M)}=(-1)^{l(w)}
$$

On the other hand, since $W_{\delta}=W_{\delta}^{\prime}$ for each cuspidal representation $\delta$ of $M_{J}(J \subset K)$, we have $w w_{1}^{\prime}=w_{1}$. Hence $\gamma_{\dot{\delta}}=\gamma_{\delta}^{\prime}$ and $y=1$, and $a_{w}$ turns out to be the identity map on $\mathscr{U}^{(s)}(M, F \dot{w})=\mathscr{U}^{(s)}(G, F)^{\prime}\left(=\mathscr{U}^{(s)}(G, F)\right)$. Now our assertion follows from the fact that the element $w_{0}$ in $W$ with respect to $\left(W_{s}, \gamma_{s}\right)$ in $\mathscr{E}\left(G^{F},(s)\right)$ is equal to $w$, while $w_{0}^{\prime}$ in $\mathscr{E}\left(M^{F \dot{w}},(s)\right)$ is equal to 1 .
2.9. In view of 2.8 , we may assume $Z_{G^{*}}(s)$ has the same semisimple rank as $G^{*}$. Then $W_{s}$ has the form $W_{1} \times W_{2}$, where $W_{i}(i=1,2)$ is a Weyl group of type $C_{k}$ or $D_{k}$. We may take $s \in T^{* F}$ in this case and therefore $w_{0}=1$.

Let us define a linear map $\tilde{\Delta}=\tilde{\Delta}_{G}: \mathscr{U}^{(s)}(G, F) \rightarrow \mathscr{V}^{(s)}(G, F)$ by associating $f_{x}\left(x \in X\left(W_{s}, \gamma_{s}\right)\right)$ to $\hat{e}_{x}=\Sigma\{\bar{y}, x\} \bar{y}$, where $\bar{y}$ runs over the elements in $\bar{X}\left(W_{s}, \gamma_{s}\right)$. We want to show that $\Delta=\tilde{\Delta}$ on $\mathscr{U}^{(s)}(G, F)^{\prime}$. Let $M_{r}=M_{J_{r}}$ ( $r \geq 0$ ) be the Levi subgroup of $G$ whose Coxeter diagram has the same type as $G$ with rank $r\left(r \neq 1,2\right.$ if $G$ is of type $\left.D_{n}\right)$. It is clear that $\mathscr{U}^{(s)}(G, F)^{\prime}$ is generated by the images of $a_{w}$ from $\mathscr{U}^{\left(s^{\prime}\right)}\left(M_{r}, F \dot{w}\right)\left(\left(s^{\prime}\right)\right.$ is a class in $M_{r}$ such that $\left.\left(s^{\prime}\right) \subset(s)\right)$ for various $M_{r}, w$ and ( $s^{\prime}$ ). So, it is enough to show that $\Delta=\tilde{\Delta}$ on $a_{w}\left(\mathscr{U}^{\left(s^{\prime}\right)}\left(M_{r}, F \dot{w}\right)\right)$ for each triple $\left(M_{r}, w,\left(s^{\prime}\right)\right)$. We note here that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta=\tilde{\Delta} \quad \text { on } \quad a_{w}\left(\mathscr{U}^{\left(s^{\prime}\right)}(T, F \dot{w})\right) \tag{2.9.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact, since $l\left(w_{0}\right)=1$, this follows immediately from Corollary 4.24 of [11].

Assume $r>0$ (resp. $r \geq 4$ ) for $G$ of type $B_{n}, C_{n}$ (resp. $D_{n}$ ) Put $W^{r}=$ $\left\{w \in W \mid w\left(J_{r}\right)=J_{r}\right\}$. Then $W^{r}$ is isomorphic to a Weyl group of type $C_{n-r}$, and an element $w \in W^{r}$ can be expressed as a product of positive cycles and negative cycles. Hence, from the transitivity of $R_{(\dot{w})}$ and $a_{w}$ ((2.7.4), (2.7. 5)), the verification of $\Delta=\tilde{\Delta}$ on $\mathscr{U}^{(s)}(G, F)^{\prime}$ is reduced to showing that $\Delta=\tilde{\Delta}$ on $a_{w}\left(\mathscr{U}^{(s)}\left(M_{r}, F \dot{w}\right)\right)$ where $w$ is a positive or negative cycle of length $n-r$.
2.10. Lemma. Assume that $w \in W^{r}$ is a positive cycle of length $n-r$. Then $\Delta=\tilde{\Delta}$ on $a_{w}\left(\mathscr{U}^{(s)}\left(M_{r}, F \dot{w}\right)\right)$.

Proof. Let $M$ be the Levi subgroup of $G$ whose Coxeter diagram is a direct sum of $A_{n-r-1}$ and the diagram of $M_{r}$. Then using the transitivity (2.7.4), (2.7.5) to $M_{r}^{F \dot{\omega}}, M^{F}$ and $G^{F}$, we see that to prove the lemma it is enough to show the commutativity of the following diagram.


As $R_{(1)}$ is nothing but the induction from $P^{F}$ to $G^{F}$, all the maps are explicitly computable. Hence using the similar computation as in [2, Lemma 2.8.3], we get the lemma.
2.11. Next we consider the case where $w \in W^{r}$ is a negative cycle of length $n-r$. In order to apply (2.7.3) to this case, we shall determine $\gamma_{\delta}$, $\gamma_{\dot{\delta}}^{\prime}$ and others. Assume $\delta$ is a cuspidal representation of $M_{t}^{F^{m}}(t \leq r)$, where $J_{t}$ is $F w$-stable. Then, since $W_{s} \simeq W_{1} \times W_{2}$, we can express $\left(W_{J_{r}}\right)_{s} \simeq W_{1}^{\prime} \times$ $W_{2}^{\prime}$ and $\left(W_{J_{t}}\right)_{s} \simeq W_{1}^{\prime \prime} \times W_{2}^{\prime \prime}$ with $W_{i}^{\prime \prime} \subset W_{i}^{\prime} \subset W_{i}(i=1,2)$. In our setting, we may assume $W_{2}=W_{2}^{\prime}$. Put $W_{\delta}^{\prime}=W_{\delta} \cap W_{J_{r}}$. Since $W_{\delta} \simeq W^{t} \cap W_{s}$, we can express $W_{\delta}$ and $W_{\delta}^{\prime}$ as $W_{\delta} \simeq\left(W_{\delta}\right)_{1} \times\left(W_{\delta}\right)_{2}, W_{\delta}^{\prime} \simeq\left(W_{\delta}^{\prime}\right)_{1} \times\left(W_{\delta}^{\prime}\right)_{2}$. Let $\gamma_{i}^{s}$ : $W_{i} \rightarrow W_{i}, \gamma_{i}:\left(W_{\delta}\right)_{i} \rightarrow\left(W_{\delta}\right)_{i}$ and $\gamma_{i}^{\prime}:\left(W_{\delta}^{\prime}\right)_{i} \rightarrow\left(W_{\delta}^{\prime}\right)_{i}$ be the maps on the $i$-th factor $(i=1,2)$ induced from $\gamma_{s}: W_{s} \rightarrow W_{s}, \gamma_{\dot{\delta}}: W_{\dot{\delta}} \rightarrow W_{\delta}$ and $\gamma_{\dot{\delta}}^{\prime}: W_{\dot{\delta}}^{\prime} \rightarrow W_{\dot{\delta}}^{\prime}$, respectively. Moreover we put $\gamma_{i}^{r}: W_{i}^{\prime} \rightarrow W_{i}^{\prime}$ the map induced on the $i$-th factor from $\gamma_{s}^{\prime}:\left(W_{J_{r}}\right)_{s} \rightarrow\left(W_{J_{r}}\right)_{s}$.

First consider the case where $W_{1}$ is of type $C_{k}$. In this case, $\left(W_{\delta}\right)_{1}$ and $\left(W_{\delta}^{\prime}\right)_{1}$ are also of type $C$. Hence, $\gamma_{1}^{s}=\gamma_{1}=\gamma_{1}^{\prime}=\gamma_{1}^{r}=$ trivial. Moreover, since $w \in\left(W_{\partial}\right)_{1}$, we have $w_{1}=w_{1}^{\prime}=1$.

Next consider the case where $W_{1}$ is of type $D_{k}$. If $W_{1}^{\prime \prime}=\{1\}$, then $\left(W_{\delta}\right)_{1}=W_{1},\left(W_{\delta}^{\prime}\right)_{1}=W_{1}^{\prime}$ and both of these are of type $D$. In this case, since $F$ stablilizes $\left(W_{\delta}\right)_{i}$ and $\left(W_{\delta}^{\prime}\right)_{i}, w_{1}$ stabilizes $\left(W_{\delta}\right)_{i}$ and $w_{1}^{\prime}$ stabilizes $\left(W_{\partial}^{\prime}\right)_{i}(i=1,2)$. From this, considering the possibility of $w_{1}$ and $w_{1}^{\prime}$, we
see that $y=w_{1}^{-1} w w_{1}^{\prime} \in\left(W_{\delta}\right)_{1}$ and that exactly one of $w_{1}$ and $w_{1}^{\prime}$ is equal to 1 . Thus, $\gamma_{1}^{s}=\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{1}^{\prime}=\gamma_{1}^{r}=-\gamma_{1}$. (Here we regarded $\gamma_{1}^{s}, \gamma_{1}$, etc. as elements in $M_{2}=\{1,-1\}$ ). Moreover $\gamma_{1} y \gamma_{1}^{\prime-1}$ coincides with $w$ in $\left(\tilde{W}_{\delta}\right)_{1}$. If $W_{1}^{\prime \prime} \neq\{1\}$, $\left(W_{\delta}\right)_{1}$ and $\left(W_{\delta}^{\prime}\right)_{1}$ has type $C$, and $w$ is contained in $\left(W_{\delta}\right)_{1}$. Hence $\gamma_{1}=\gamma_{1}^{\prime}=$ trivial and $w=y$. Moreover, as $w$ acts non-trivially on $W_{1}^{\prime}$, we have $\gamma_{1}^{s}=$ $-\gamma_{1}^{\gamma}$. Throughout the above cases $\gamma_{2}=\gamma_{2}^{\prime}$ and the contribution of $\gamma_{\delta} y \gamma_{\delta}^{\prime-1}$ on $\left(W_{\delta}\right)_{2}$ is trivial.
2.12. Before proceeding further, we note here about $\varepsilon_{y}$ in (2.7.3). This is described as follows. Let $y \in\left(W_{\delta}\right)_{1}$ as in 2.12. Then by [11, §5], [1], there exists $\varepsilon_{\delta}^{\prime}= \pm 1$ such that

$$
\varepsilon_{y}= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if }\left(W_{\delta}\right)_{1}: \text { type } D  \tag{2.12.1}\\ \left(\varepsilon_{\delta}^{\prime}\right)^{)^{\prime}(y)} & \text { if }\left(W_{\delta}\right)_{1}: \text { type } C\end{cases}
$$

where $l^{\prime}(y)$ is the number of reflections corresponding to long roots (in $C$ ) appearing in the reduced expression of $y$ in $\left(W_{\delta}\right)_{1}$.
2.13. Lemma. Let $w \in W^{r}$ be a negative cycle of length $n-r$. Then $\Delta=\tilde{\Delta}$ on $a_{w}\left(\mathscr{U}^{(s)}\left(M_{r}, F \dot{w}\right)\right)$.

Proof. We shall show the lemma, following [2], only in the case where $W_{1}$ is of type $D_{k}$. The case $W_{1}$ is of type $C_{k}$ is dealt similarly (cf. [3]), (see also Remark 2.14).

Let $\mathscr{U}_{k}^{e}$ (resp. $\left.\mathscr{V}_{k}^{e}\right)$ be the space corresponding to $X\left(W_{1}, \gamma_{1}^{s}\right)$ (resp. $\left.\bar{X}\left(W_{1}, \gamma_{1}^{s}\right)\right)$ as in 2.6 , where $\varepsilon= \pm 1$ according as $\gamma_{1}$ is trivial or not. Thus, as in 2.1, $\mathscr{U}_{k}^{\varepsilon}$ and $\mathscr{V}_{k}^{\varepsilon}$ are described by symbols. For each symbol $\Lambda$ in $\Phi_{k}^{ \pm}$or $\Psi_{k}$, we denote by $f_{A}$ or $e_{A}$ the element corresponding to $f_{x}$ or $e_{\bar{x}}$. We may identify $\mathscr{U}^{(s)}(G, F)\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\mathscr{V}^{(s)}(G, F)\right)$ with $\mathscr{U}_{k}^{s} \otimes \mathscr{U}^{\prime}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\mathscr{V}_{k}^{s} \otimes \mathscr{V}^{\prime}\right)$ and also $\mathscr{U}^{(s)}(M, F \dot{w})\left(\operatorname{resp}, \mathscr{V}^{(s)}(M, F \dot{w})\right)$ with $\mathscr{U}_{i}^{s} \otimes \mathscr{U}^{\prime}\left(\operatorname{resp} . \mathscr{V}_{i}^{\epsilon} \otimes \mathscr{V}^{\prime}\right)$, respectively. Here $\mathscr{U}^{\prime}$ (resp. $\mathscr{V}^{\prime}$ ) denotes the space corresponding to $X\left(W_{2}, \gamma_{2}^{s}\right)\left(\operatorname{resp} . \bar{X}\left(W_{2}, \gamma_{2}^{s}\right)\right)$.

Following [2, 2.8], for positive integer $v$, linear maps $I_{(v)}^{-}: \mathscr{U}_{i} \rightarrow \mathscr{U}_{k}^{-\varepsilon}$ and $J_{(v)}: \mathscr{V}_{i}^{s} \rightarrow \mathscr{V}_{k}^{-s},(k=l+v)$ are defined. Since $\tilde{\Delta}: \mathscr{U}_{k}^{s} \otimes \mathscr{U}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathscr{V}_{k}^{\varepsilon} \otimes \mathscr{V}^{\prime}$ can be decomposed as $\tilde{\Delta}=\tilde{\Delta}_{k} \otimes \tilde{\Delta}^{\prime}$, where $\tilde{\Delta}_{k}, \tilde{\Delta}^{\prime}$ is the corresponding map on $\mathscr{U}_{k}^{\varepsilon}, \mathscr{U}^{\prime}$, respectively, we see that the following diagrams turns out to be commutative by [2, Lemma 2.8.3].


Using the definition of $a_{w}$ (2.7.3) together with 2.11 , we see by [2, Lemma 2.8.2] that $I_{(v)}^{-} \otimes 1$ coincides with $a_{w}$ for a negative cycle $w$ of length $v$. Note, in this case, under the identification of $\mathscr{U}^{(s)}(G, F)$ with $X\left(W_{s}, \gamma_{s}\right) / M_{c}$, retaking representatives of $M_{c}$-orbit if necessary, we may regard $\varepsilon_{y}=1$ when comparing $a_{w}$ with $I_{(v)}^{-} \otimes 1$, (cf. [2]).

Take $e_{A} \otimes e_{\bar{x}} \in \mathscr{V}_{i}^{\varepsilon} \otimes \mathscr{V}^{\prime}$. Then by (2.7.2), (2.13.1), we have

$$
\Delta \tilde{\Delta}^{-1}\left(J_{(v)} e_{\Lambda} \otimes e_{\bar{x}}\right)=R_{(\dot{w})}\left(e_{\Lambda} \otimes e_{\bar{x}}\right)
$$

Hence $\Delta \tilde{\Delta}^{-1}\left(J_{(v)} e_{\Lambda} \otimes e_{\bar{x}}\right)$ is an integral linear combination of various $e_{A^{\prime}} \otimes e_{\bar{y}}$ $\in \mathscr{V}_{k}^{t} \otimes \mathscr{V}^{\prime}$. Now $\tilde{\Delta}$ is an isometry and $\Delta$ is also an isometry where it is defined, and moreover we know already $\Delta=\tilde{\Delta}$ on $\mathscr{U}_{k, 0}^{s} \otimes \mathscr{U}_{0}^{\prime}$ by (2.9.1), where $\mathscr{U}_{k, 0}^{e}$ is a space generated by symbols of defect 0 and $\mathscr{U}_{0}^{\prime}$ is the similar subspace in $\mathscr{U}^{\prime}$. Hence entirely similar proof as in Lemma 2.8.10 of [2] shows that, if we put $\tilde{f}=J_{(v)} e_{1} \otimes e_{\tilde{x}}$, then

$$
\tilde{f}-\Delta \tilde{\Delta}^{-1} \tilde{f}=f_{1} \otimes e_{\bar{x}}
$$

where $f_{1} \in \mathscr{V}_{k}^{-8}$ is written as in the form (II) of Lemma 2.8.10 in [2] with $f=J_{(v)} e_{A}$. Furthermore, $\left\langle f_{1}, \hat{e}_{A^{\prime}}\right\rangle=0$ for any $e_{A}$, of defect 0 . Hence by the argument in Lemma 2.8.7, Lemma 2.8.8 in [2], we have $f_{1}=0$. This shows that $\Delta=\tilde{\Delta}$ on $I_{(v)}^{-}\left(\mathscr{U}_{i}\right) \otimes \mathscr{U}^{\prime}$. Hence the lemma is proved.
2.14. Remark. The case where $W_{1}$ is of type $C_{k}$ is dealt similarly according to [2]. In this case, as in [3], we encounter the problem to determine $\varepsilon_{\delta}$ explicitly on the way to the proof. This is done similarly as in [3] and we have the following. Let $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ be the element in $X\left(W_{s}, \gamma_{s}\right) \simeq X\left(W_{1}, \gamma_{1}^{s}\right) \times X\left(W_{2}, \gamma_{2}^{s}\right)$ corresponding to $\delta$. Now $X\left(W_{1}, \gamma_{1}^{s}\right)$ is identified with symbols of odd defect. If $x_{1}$ corresponds to a symbol of defect $d$, then we have

$$
\varepsilon_{\delta}^{\prime}=(-1)^{(d-1) / 2} .
$$

Now, in view of 2.9 , we have
2.15. Proposition. In the setting of $2.9, \Delta=\tilde{\Delta}$ on $\mathscr{U}^{(s)}(G, F)^{\prime}$.
2.16. We keep the assumption on $s$ as in 2.9. Then, as is easily seen, $\mathscr{U}^{(s)}(G, F)^{\prime}$ coincides with the space generated by the elements corresponding to non-cuspidal representations. Moreover, in the case of classical groups, $\mathscr{E}\left(G^{F m},(s)\right)$ contains at most one cuspidal representation for each $(s) \subset G^{*}$. Thus, in view of Proposition 2.15, to prove the theorem, it is enough to show the following lemma. (Note our result does not depend on the choice of $\mathscr{M}$.)
2.17. Lemma. Let $s \in G^{* F}$ be as before and $m \in \mathscr{M}$. Assume $\mathscr{E}\left(G^{F m},(s)\right)^{F}$ contains a cuspidal representation $\rho_{0}$. Then for each extension $\tilde{\rho}_{0}$ to $\tilde{G}^{F^{m}}$, there exists a root of unity $\mu_{\bar{\rho}_{0}}$ such that

$$
N_{F^{m} / F}^{*}\left(\left[\mu_{\bar{\rho}_{0}} \tilde{\rho}_{0}\right]\right)=R_{x_{0}}
$$

where $x_{0}=x_{\rho_{0}}$. Moreover $\left(\mu_{\tilde{\rho}_{0}}\right)^{m}=\lambda_{\rho_{0}}^{-1}$, where $\lambda_{\rho_{0}}$ is a root of unity associated to $\rho_{0}$ (see Lemma 1.4).

Proof. Let us take $w \in W_{s}^{F}$ (the group of $F$-fixed points of $W_{s}$ ). Then $F$ acts on $T^{w F^{m}}$ and we can find $\theta \in \hat{T}^{w F^{m}}$ corresponding to $s \in T^{* w^{m}}$ such that $\theta$ is $F$-stable. We denote by $\theta_{0} \in \hat{T}^{F}$ the character obtained as the image of the map $N_{w F^{m} / F}^{*}: C\left(T^{w F^{m}} / \sim_{F}\right) \rightarrow C\left(T^{F} / \sim\right)$.

Let $X_{w}^{(m)}$ be the variety as in 1.2 with Frobenius map $F^{m}$, and $\mathscr{F}_{\dot{w}, \theta}$ be the corresponding sheaf on $X_{w}^{(m)}$. Since $w$ is $F$-stable, $F$ acts naturally on $X_{w}^{(m)}$ and we get the induced action of $F$ on $H_{c}^{i}\left(X_{w}^{(m)}, \mathscr{F}_{\dot{w}, \theta}\right)$ as $\theta$ is $F$ stable. Then using the similar argument as in the proof of Proposition 1.9 , ([2, 1.4], [11, 2.10]), but with inverse setting, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i}(-1)^{i} \operatorname{Tr}\left(F^{*} \hat{x}^{*}, H_{c}^{i}\left(X_{w}^{(m)}, \mathscr{F}_{\dot{w}, \theta}\right)\right)=\operatorname{Tr}\left(x^{-1} \tau_{\theta_{0}, \dot{w}}, \operatorname{Ind}_{B^{F}}^{G^{F}}\left(\theta_{0}\right)\right) \tag{2.17.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\hat{x} \in G^{F^{m}}$ and $x \in G^{F}$ are as in Introduction.
From Lemma 1.4, for each $\rho \in \mathscr{E}\left(G^{F m},(s)\right)^{F}$, there exists a root of unity $\lambda_{\rho}$ such that the eigenvalues of $F^{m}$ on $H_{c}^{i}\left(X_{w}^{(m)}, \mathscr{F}_{\dot{w}, \theta}\right)$ are of the form $\lambda_{\rho} q^{j m / 2}$ for some integer $j$. Let us fix an $m$-th root $\lambda_{\rho}^{\prime}$ of $\lambda_{\rho}$. For an eigenvalue $\mu=\lambda_{\rho} q^{j m / 2}$, put $H_{w, \mu}^{i}$ be the generalized eigenspace of $F^{m}$ with eigenvalue $\mu$ of $H_{c}^{i}\left(X_{w}^{(m)}, \mathscr{F}_{\dot{w}, \theta}\right)_{\rho}$. Then $H_{\dot{w}, \mu}^{i}$ is a $G^{F^{m}}$-module on which $F$ acts. There exists a filtration of $G^{F}$-modules, stable by $F$, whose successive quotient is isomorphic to $\rho$ as a $G^{F m}$-module. If we define the action of $\sigma$ on this filtration by $\lambda_{\rho}^{\prime} q^{j / 2} F^{*-1}$, each successive quotient becomes a $\widetilde{G}^{F^{m}}$-module. However, if we consider the action of $F^{2}$ instead of $F$, this filtration gives rise to an $F^{2}$-stable filtration and each successive quotient turns out to be a $G^{F^{m}}\left\langle\sigma^{2}\right\rangle$-module. Then, by Lemma 1.4, these $G^{F^{m}}\left\langle\sigma^{2}\right\rangle$ modules are mutually isomorphic for various filtration and various $i$ and $w$. Hence, as $\widetilde{G}^{F m}$-modules, there are at most two possibilities, if we denote one by $\tilde{\rho}$, the other one is obtained by acting $\sigma$ as $-\sigma$ on $\tilde{\rho}$, which we denote by $-\tilde{\rho}$. Since,

$$
\operatorname{Tr}\left(F^{*} \hat{x}^{*}, H_{\dot{w}, \mu}^{i}\right)=A \lambda_{\rho}^{\prime} q^{j / 2} \operatorname{Tr}\left((\hat{x} \sigma)^{-1}, \tilde{\rho}\right),
$$

where $A=\#\left\{\tilde{\rho}\right.$-factors in $\left.H_{\dot{w}, \mu}^{i}\right\}-\#\left\{-\tilde{\rho}\right.$-factors in $\left.H_{\dot{w}, \mu}^{i}\right\}$, the left hand side of (2.17.1) can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\rho} c_{\dot{w}, \rho} \lambda_{\rho}^{\prime} \operatorname{Tr}\left((\hat{x} \sigma)^{-1}, \tilde{\rho}\right), \tag{2.17.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\rho$ runs over all the representations in $\mathscr{E}\left(G^{F m},(s)\right)^{F}$ and $c_{\psi, \rho}$ is a real number.

On the other hand, the right hand side of (2.17.1) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{w}(q) \sum_{E} \operatorname{Tr}\left(x^{-1}, \rho_{E}\right) \operatorname{Tr}\left(T_{w}, E(q)\right) \tag{2.17.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{w}(q)$ is an integral power of $q$ and $E$ runs over all the irreducible representations of $W_{s}^{F}$. Moreover $T_{w}$ is a standard basis of the Hecke algebra $H(q)$ corresponding to a Coxeter group $W_{s}^{F}$. Since the set of the dual representation of $\mathscr{E}\left(G^{F m},(s)\right)^{F}$ coincides with $\mathscr{E}\left(G^{F m},\left(s^{-1}\right)\right)^{F}$ and the dual of the cuspidal representation is again cuspidal, we may replace $\rho$ by the dual $\rho^{*}$ of $\rho$. Then (2.17.2) and (2.17.3) implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{F}^{* m / F}\left(\sum_{\rho} c_{c_{,}, \rho^{*}} \lambda_{\rho}^{\prime} *[\tilde{p}]\right)=C_{w}(q) \sum_{E} \operatorname{Tr}\left(T_{w}, E(q)\right) \rho_{E} \tag{2.17.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each $w \in W_{s}^{F}$.
Let $C\left(W_{s}^{F}\right)$ be the subspace of $C^{(s)}\left(G^{F m} / \sim_{F}\right)$ generated by $\Sigma c_{w_{w, ~}, \alpha^{\prime} *_{\rho}^{\prime}}[\tilde{\rho}]$ for various $w \in W_{s}^{F}$. Then (2.17.4) shows, by the orthogonality relations of Hecke algebra $H(q)$, that the image of $C\left(W_{s}^{F}\right)$ by $N_{F^{m} / \bar{F}}^{*}$ coincides with the subspace of $C^{(s)}\left(G^{F} / \sim\right)$ generated by $\rho_{E}\left(E \in\left(W_{s}^{F}\right)^{\wedge}\right)$. Let $\rho_{0}$ be the cuspidal representation in $\mathscr{E}^{( }\left(G^{F m},(s)\right)^{F}$ and let $x_{0}$ the corresponding element in $X\left(W_{s}, \gamma_{s}\right)$. Then $\left\langle R_{x_{0}}, \rho_{E}\right\rangle_{G^{F}} \neq 0$ for some $E$, and in particular, $N_{F^{*} / F_{F}}^{*}\left(C\left(W_{s}^{F}\right)\right)$ is not contained in the subspace of $C^{(s)}\left(G^{F} / \sim\right)$ generated by $R_{x}$ with $x \neq x_{0}, x \in X\left(W_{s}, \gamma_{s}\right)$. This implies that $N_{F}^{* m^{m} / F}\left(\left[\tilde{\rho}_{0}\right]\right)$ is contained in $C^{(s)}\left(G^{F} / \sim\right)$ since we know already $N_{F^{m} / F}^{*}\left(\mu_{\bar{\rho}}[\hat{\rho}]\right)=R_{x_{\rho}}$ for each $x_{\rho} \neq x_{0}$. Since $N_{F^{m} / F}^{*}$ is an isometry, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{F^{m} / F}^{*}\left(\lambda_{\rho_{0}^{\prime}}^{*}\left[\tilde{\rho}_{0}\right]\right)=\alpha_{0} R_{x_{0}} \tag{2.17.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $\alpha_{0} \in \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{i}$ of absolute value 1 .
Let us take $w \in W_{s}^{F}$ such that $c_{w,{ }^{*} *} \neq 0$, (such a $w$ exists). Then (2. 17.4) implies that the image of $\sum c_{w_{i}, p^{*} \lambda_{\rho}^{\prime} \in[\hat{\rho}]}$ by $N_{F}^{*} m_{/ F}$ is written as a linear combination of $R_{x}\left(x \in X\left(W_{s}, \gamma_{s}\right)\right)$ with coefficients in R. Hence, in particular, $N_{F m / F}^{*}\left(\lambda_{\rho \tilde{t}}^{\prime}\left[\tilde{\rho}_{0}\right]\right)$ coincides with $R_{x_{0}}$ up to a real number multiple. This shows, by (2.17.5),

$$
N_{F}^{*} m_{/ F}\left(\lambda_{\rho_{0}^{\prime} 0}^{\prime}\left[\tilde{\rho}_{0}\right]\right)= \pm R_{x_{0}} .
$$

Now, $\left( \pm \lambda_{\left.\rho_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{m}}=\lambda_{\rho_{0}^{*}}\right.$ and $\lambda_{\rho_{0}}$ coincides with $\lambda_{\rho_{0}}^{-1}$ by the Poincaré duality. This proves the lemma.
2.18. Using Theorem 2.2, we can describe the map

$$
R_{M(\dot{w})}: C^{(s)}\left(M^{F \dot{w}} / \sim\right) \longrightarrow C^{(s)}\left(G^{F} / \sim\right)
$$

for $M=M_{K}$. If we choose a set $X_{1}$ of representatives of $M_{c}^{-}$orbits in $X\left(\left(W_{K}\right)_{s}, \gamma_{s}^{\prime}\right)$, almost characters $R_{x}\left(x^{\prime} \in X_{1}\right)$ give a basis of $C^{(s)}\left(M^{F \dot{w}} / \sim\right)$. For each $x^{\prime} \in X_{1}$, there exists a Levi subgroup $M_{J}$ contained in $M_{K}$ and a cuspidal representation $\delta$ of $M_{J}^{F m}$ ( $m$ : as in the theorem) such that $x^{\prime}$ can be expressed as $x^{\prime}=x_{\rho_{R^{\prime}}}$, where $E^{\prime} \in W_{\dot{d}}^{\prime \wedge}$ and $\rho_{E}$ is an irreducible representation of $M_{K}^{F^{m}}$ corresponding to $E^{\prime}$. As mentioned earlier, $W_{s}$ is a product of various Weyl groups of classical type. Hence $W_{\delta}$ and the linear character $y \rightarrow \varepsilon_{y}\left(y \in W_{\delta}\right)$ is decomposed according to it. We denote by $\eta(y)$ the part of $\varepsilon_{y}$ corresponding to the component of type $C$ in $W_{s}$. Hence $\eta(y)$ is explicitly known by Remark 2.14. Now, in view of (2.7.3), together with Theorem 2.2, we have the following corollary.
2.19. Corollary. Let $w=w_{1} y w_{1}^{\prime-1}, \gamma_{\delta}: W_{\delta} \rightarrow W_{\delta}$ and $\gamma_{\delta}^{\prime}: W_{\delta}^{\prime} \rightarrow W_{\delta}^{\prime}$ be as in (2.7.3). Then

$$
R_{M(\dot{w})}\left(R_{x_{E}}\right)=\frac{1}{\operatorname{dim} E^{\prime}} \eta(y) \sum_{E \in\left(W_{\delta}\right) \widehat{\mathrm{ex}}} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\gamma_{\delta} y \gamma_{\delta}^{\prime-1}, \tilde{E}_{E^{\prime}}\right) R_{x_{E}}
$$

2.20. Remark. It is likely that similar results hold for exceptional groups, in view of [4]. But more generally for arbitrary connected algebraic groups, we can consider the map $N_{F m / F}^{*}: C\left(G^{F^{m}} / \sim_{F}\right) \rightarrow C\left(G^{F} / \sim\right)$ in a similar manner, and the number of $F$-stable irreducible representations of $G^{F^{m}}$ is independent of $m$. Hence our result suggests the following conjecture.

Conjecture. Let $G$ be a connected algebraic group defined over $\mathbf{F}_{q}$. There exists a good parametrization of the set $\mathscr{E}\left(G^{F^{m}}\right)^{F}$ of $F$-stable irreducible representations of $G^{F^{m}}$, say $X(G)$ by $\rho_{x} \leftrightarrow x$ such that $N_{F^{m} / F}^{*}\left(\left[\tilde{\rho}_{x}\right]\right)$ $\epsilon C\left(G^{F} / \sim\right.$ ) is independent of $m$ (for sufficiently divisible $m$ ) up to a root of unity multiple.

Added in Proof. Recently Asai extended his result to the case of non-split orthogonal groups.
T. Asai.: The unipotent class functions of non-split finite special orthogonal groups. Preprint.
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