

## The Universal Verma Module and the $b$ -Function

Masaki Kashiwara

### § 0. Introduction

In this paper, we study the universal Verma module and apply this to the determination of the  $b$ -functions of the invariants on the flag manifold.

Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a semi-simple Lie algebra over  $\mathbb{C}$ ,  $\mathfrak{b}$  a Borel subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$ ,  $\mathfrak{n}$  the nilpotent radical of  $\mathfrak{b}$  and  $\mathfrak{h}$  a Cartan subalgebra in  $\mathfrak{b}$ . Let  $V$  be a finite-dimensional irreducible representation of  $\mathfrak{g}$  and let  $v$  be a lowest weight vector of  $V$ . Then there exists  $f \in U(\mathfrak{h})$  and a commutative diagram

$$(0.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{n})} \mathbb{C} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{n})} V \\ & \searrow f & \downarrow g \\ & & U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{n})} \mathbb{C} \end{array}$$

where  $g$  is given by the  $\mathfrak{n}$ -linear morphism from  $V$  to  $\mathbb{C}$  sending  $u$  to 1. Note that  $\text{End}_{U(\mathfrak{g})}(U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{n})} \mathbb{C}) \cong U(\mathfrak{h})$ .

The first problem is to determine the minimal  $f$  with such a property. In order to state the answer to this problem, we shall introduce further notations. Let  $\Delta$  be the root system for  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ . For  $\alpha \in \Delta$ , let  $h_\alpha$  be the coroot of  $\alpha$ . Let  $\Delta^+$  be the set of positive roots given by  $\mathfrak{b}$  and  $\rho$  the half-sum of positive roots. Let  $-\mu$  be the lowest weight of  $V$ .

**Theorem .** *There exists a commutative diagram (0.1), with*

$$f = \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} (h_\alpha + h_\alpha(\rho) + 1, h_\alpha(\mu))$$

where  $(x, n) = x(x+1) \cdots (x+n-1)$ . Conversely for any commutative diagram (0.1),  $f$  is a multiple of  $\prod_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} (h_\alpha + h_\alpha(\rho) + 1, h_\alpha(\mu))$ .

By using this theorem, we can calculate the  $b$ -functions on the flag manifold. Let  $G$  be a simply connected algebraic group with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ , and let  $B$  and  $N$  be the subgroup of  $G$  with Lie algebras  $\mathfrak{b}$  and  $\mathfrak{n}$ , respectively, and let  $B_-$  be the opposite Borel subgroup.

Then the semi-group of  $B_- \times B$ -semi-invariants  $f$  on  $G$ , i.e. regular functions  $f$  on  $G$  which satisfies  $f(b'gb) = \chi'(b')\chi(b)f(g)$  for  $b' \in B_-$ ,  $g \in G$ ,  $b \in B$  with characters  $\chi'$  and  $\chi$  of  $B_-$  and  $B$ , is parametrized by the set  $P_+$  of dominant integral weights. More precisely, for  $\lambda \in P_+$ , let  $V_\lambda$  be a finite-dimensional irreducible representation of  $G$  with highest weight  $\lambda$ ,  $v_\lambda$  a highest weight vector of  $V_\lambda$  and  $v_{-\lambda}$  a lowest weight vector of the dual  $V_\lambda^*$  of  $V_\lambda$ . We normalize them such that  $\langle v_\lambda, v_{-\lambda} \rangle = 1$ . Then, the regular function  $f^\lambda$  given by

$$f^\lambda(g) = \langle gv_\lambda, v_{-\lambda} \rangle$$

is a semi-invariant, and any semi-invariant is a constant multiple of some  $f^\lambda$ . We have

$$f^{\lambda+\lambda'}(g) = f^\lambda(g)f^{\lambda'}(g).$$

**Theorem.** For any dominant integral weight  $\mu$ , we can find a differential operator  $P_\mu$  on  $G$  such that

$$P_\mu f^{\lambda+\mu} = b_\mu(\lambda) f^\lambda \quad \text{for any } \lambda.$$

Here

$$b_\mu(\lambda) = \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} (h_\alpha(\lambda + \rho), h_\alpha(\mu)).$$

## Notations

- $\mathbf{Z}_+$  : the set of non-negative integers.
- $\mathbf{Z}_{++}$  : the set of positive integers.
- $\mathfrak{g}$  : a semi-simple Lie algebra over  $\mathbf{C}$ .
- $\mathfrak{b}$  : a Borel subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .
- $\mathfrak{n}$  :  $[\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{b}]$
- $\mathfrak{h}$  : a Cartan subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{b}$ .
- $\mathfrak{b}_-$  : the opposite Borel subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{b}$  such that  $\mathfrak{b}_- \cap \mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{h}$ .
- $\mathfrak{n}_-$  :  $[\mathfrak{b}_-, \mathfrak{b}_-]$
- $\Delta$  : the root system of  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ .
- $\Delta^+$  : the set of positive roots given by  $\mathfrak{b}$
- $h_\alpha$  : the coroot of  $\alpha \in \Delta$
- $s_\alpha$  : the reflection  $\lambda \mapsto \lambda - h_\alpha(\lambda)\alpha$ .
- $W$  : the Weyl group of  $(\Delta, \mathfrak{h}^*)$
- $Q_+(\Delta)$  :  $\sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} \mathbf{Z}_+ \alpha$
- $Q(\Delta)$  :  $\sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} \mathbf{Z} \alpha$
- $P_+$  :  $\{\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*; h_\alpha(\lambda) \in \mathbf{Z}_+ \text{ for any } \alpha \in \Delta^+\}$ .
- $\rho$  :  $(\sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} \alpha)/2$

- $S(\mathcal{A}^+)$  : the set of simple roots of  $\mathcal{A}^+$ .  
 $U(*)$  : the universal enveloping algebra  
 $U_j(\mathfrak{g})$  :  $U_0(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathbf{C}$ ,  $U_j(\mathfrak{g}) = U_{j-1}(\mathfrak{g})\mathfrak{g} + U_{j-1}(\mathfrak{g})$   
 $R$  :  $S(\mathfrak{h}) = U(\mathfrak{h})$   
 $c$  : the canonical homomorphism  $\mathfrak{h} \rightarrow R$   
 $U_R(*)$  :  $R \otimes_{\mathbf{C}} U(*)$   
 $R_{c+\mu}$  : for  $\mu \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ , the  $U_R(\mathfrak{b})$ -module  $U_R(\mathfrak{b}) / (U_R(\mathfrak{b})n + \sum_{h \in \mathfrak{h}} U_R(\mathfrak{b})(h - c(h) - \mu(h)))$   
 $1_{c+\mu}$  : the canonical generator of  $R_{c+\mu}$   
 $\mathbf{C}_\lambda$  : for  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ , the  $U(\mathfrak{b})$ -module  $U(\mathfrak{b}) / (U(\mathfrak{b})n + \sum_{h \in \mathfrak{h}} U(\mathfrak{b})(h - \lambda(h)))$   
 $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$  : the center of  $U(\mathfrak{g})$   
 $\chi_\lambda$  : the central character  $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  of  $U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{b})} \mathbf{C}_{\lambda-\rho}$ ;  $\chi_\lambda = \chi_{w\lambda}$  for  $w \in W$   
 $V_\lambda$  : for  $\lambda \in P_+$ , a finite dimensional irreducible representation of  $\mathfrak{g}$  with highest weight  $\lambda$   
 $v_\lambda$  : a highest weight vector of  $V_\lambda$   
 $v_{-\lambda}$  : a lowest weight vector of  $V_\lambda^*$   
 $(x, m)$  :  $x(x+1) \cdots (x+m-1)$   
 $G, B, N, B_-, N_-$ ,  $T$ : the group with  $\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{b}, n, \mathfrak{b}_-, n_-$  and  $\mathfrak{h}$  as their Lie algebras.

### §1. The universal Verma module

For a ring  $R$  and a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{a}$  over  $\mathbf{C}$ , we write  $U_R(\mathfrak{a})$  for  $R \otimes_{\mathbf{C}} U(\mathfrak{a}) = U(R \otimes_{\mathbf{C}} \mathfrak{a})$ . Hereafter we take  $S(\mathfrak{h}) = U(\mathfrak{h})$  for  $R$ , where  $\mathfrak{h}$  is a Cartan subalgebra of a semi-simple Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Let  $c$  be the canonical injection from  $\mathfrak{h}$  into  $R$ . We define  $R_c$  by  $R_c = U_R(\mathfrak{b}) / (U_R(\mathfrak{b})n + \sum_{h \in \mathfrak{h}} U_R(\mathfrak{b})(h - c(h)))$ . Then  $R_c$  is isomorphic to  $R$  as  $R$ -module. We write  $1_c$  for the canonical generator of  $R_c$ .

**Definition 1.1.** We call  $U_R(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U_R(\mathfrak{b})} R_c$  the universal Verma module.

As a  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module,  $U_R(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U_R(\mathfrak{b})} R_c$  is isomorphic to  $U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{n})} \mathbf{C}$ . For  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ , let  $\mathbf{C}_\lambda$  be the  $U(\mathfrak{b})$ -module given by  $U(\mathfrak{b}) / (U(\mathfrak{b})n + \sum_{h \in \mathfrak{h}} U(\mathfrak{b})(h - \lambda(h)))$ . We regard  $\mathbf{C}_\lambda$  also as an  $R$ -module by  $R \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{b})$ . Then  $\mathbf{C}_\lambda \otimes_R (U_R(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U_R(\mathfrak{b})} R_c)$  is nothing but the Verma module with highest weight  $\lambda$ . Note that the universal Verma module is, as an  $R$ -module, isomorphic to  $R \otimes_{\mathbf{C}} U(\mathfrak{n}_-)$ , and in particular it is a free  $R$ -module.

For  $\mu \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ , we write  $R_{c+\mu}$  for the  $U_R(\mathfrak{b})$ -module  $\mathbf{C}_\mu \otimes_{\mathbf{C}} R_c$ .

The following lemma is almost obvious.

**Lemma 1.2.**  $\text{End}_{U_R(\mathfrak{g})}(U_R(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U_R(\mathfrak{b})} R_c) = R$ .

Now, we choose a non-degenerate  $W$ -invariant symmetric bilinear

form  $(, )$  on  $\mathfrak{h}^*$ .

**Lemma 1.3.** For  $\mu \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ , let  $f_\mu$  be the function on  $\mathfrak{h}^*$  given by

$$\begin{aligned} f_\mu(\lambda) &= (\lambda + \mu + \rho, \lambda + \mu + \rho) - (\lambda + \rho, \lambda + \rho) \\ &= 2(\mu, \lambda + \rho) + (\mu, \mu). \end{aligned}$$

and regard this as an element of  $R$ .

Then we have

$$f_\mu \operatorname{Ext}_{U_R(\mathfrak{g})}^j(U_R(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U_R(\mathfrak{b})} R_c, U_R(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U_R(\mathfrak{b})} R_{c+\mu}) = 0 \quad \text{for any } j.$$

*Proof.* The Laplacian  $\Delta \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$  acts on  $U_R(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U_R(\mathfrak{b})} R_c$  by the multiplication of  $(\lambda + \rho, \lambda + \rho)$  and on  $U_R(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U_R(\mathfrak{b})} R_{c+\mu}$  by  $(\lambda + \mu + \rho, \lambda + \mu + \rho)$ . Hence  $(\lambda + \mu + \rho, \lambda + \mu + \rho) - (\lambda + \rho, \lambda + \rho)$  annihilates  $\operatorname{Ext}^j$ .

Q.E.D.

Now, let  $F$  be a finite-dimensional  $\mathfrak{b}$ -module generated by a weight vector  $u$  of a weight  $\lambda_0 \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ . Hence  $\mathfrak{h}$  acts semisimply on  $F$ . We shall choose a decreasing finite filtration  $\{F^j\}$  of  $F$  by  $\mathfrak{b}$ -modules such that

$$(1.1) \quad F^0 = F$$

$$(1.2) \quad F^j/F^{j+1} \text{ has a unique weight } \lambda_j.$$

$$(1.3) \quad \lambda_j \neq \lambda_{j'} \quad \text{for } j \neq j'.$$

Therefore, we have  $F^1 = \mathfrak{n}F$  and  $F^0/F^1 \cong C_{\lambda_0}$ . Hence there exists an isomorphism

$$\varphi_1: U_R(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U_R(\mathfrak{b})} R_{c+\lambda_0} \xrightarrow{\sim} U_R(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U_R(\mathfrak{b})} (R_c \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} F^0/F^1).$$

Now, we shall construct a commutative diagram

$$(1.4)_j: \begin{array}{ccc} U_R(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U_R(\mathfrak{b})} R_{c+\lambda_0} & \xrightarrow{\varphi_j} & U_R(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U_R(\mathfrak{b})} (R_c \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} F^0/F^j) \\ f_j \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ U_R(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U_R(\mathfrak{b})} R_{c+\lambda_0} & \xrightarrow[\varphi_1]{\sim} & U_R(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U_R(\mathfrak{b})} (R_c \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} F^0/F^1) \end{array}$$

with  $f_j \in R$ , by the induction on  $j$ .

Assuming that  $(1.4)_j$  has been already constructed ( $j \geq 1$ ), we shall construct  $(1.4)_{j+1}$ . We have an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow U_R(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U_R(\mathfrak{b})} (R_c \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} F^j/F^{j+1}) \longrightarrow U_R(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U_R(\mathfrak{b})} (R_c \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} F^0/F^{j+1}) \longrightarrow$$

$$\longrightarrow U_{R(\mathfrak{g})} \otimes_{U_{R(\mathfrak{b})}} (R_c \otimes F^0/F^j) \longrightarrow 0.$$

This gives an exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Hom}_{U_{R(\mathfrak{g})}} (U_{R(\mathfrak{g})} \otimes_{U_{R(\mathfrak{b})}} R_{c+\lambda_0}, U_{R(\mathfrak{g})} \otimes_{U_{R(\mathfrak{b})}} (R_c \otimes F^0/F^{j+1})) \\ & \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_{U_{R(\mathfrak{g})}} (U_{R(\mathfrak{g})} \otimes_{U_{R(\mathfrak{b})}} R_{c+\lambda_0}, U_{R(\mathfrak{g})} \otimes_{U_{R(\mathfrak{b})}} (R_c \otimes F^0/F^j)) \\ & \xrightarrow{\delta} \text{Ext}_{U_{R(\mathfrak{g})}}^1 (U_{R(\mathfrak{g})} \otimes_{U_{R(\mathfrak{b})}} R_{c+\lambda_0}, U_{R(\mathfrak{g})} \otimes_{U_{R(\mathfrak{b})}} (R_c \otimes F^j/F^{j+1})). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,  $F^j/F^{j+1}$  is a direct sum of copies of  $R_{c+\lambda_j}$ . Therefore, by Lemma 1.3, we have

$$g_j \text{Ext}_{U_{R(\mathfrak{g})}}^1 (U_{R(\mathfrak{g})} \otimes_{U_{R(\mathfrak{b})}} R_{c+\lambda_0}, U_{R(\mathfrak{g})} \otimes_{U_{R(\mathfrak{b})}} (R_c \otimes F^j/F^{j+1})) = 0$$

where  $g_j \in R$  is given by  $g_j(\lambda) = (\lambda + \lambda_j + \rho, \lambda + \lambda_j + \rho) - (\lambda + \lambda_0 + \rho, \lambda + \lambda_0 + \rho)$ . Hence  $g_j \delta(\varphi_j) = 0$ , which shows that  $g_j \varphi_j$  lifts to  $\psi: U_{R(\mathfrak{g})} \otimes_{U_{R(\mathfrak{b})}} R_{c+\lambda_0} \rightarrow U_{R(\mathfrak{g})} \otimes_{U_{R(\mathfrak{b})}} (R_c \otimes F^0/F^{j+1})$ .

If  $\psi$  is divisible by  $g_j$ , then  $\varphi_j$  itself lifts and we obtain  $(1.4)_{j+1}$  with  $f_{j+1} = f_j$ .

Assume that  $\psi$  is not divisible by  $g_j$ . For  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ , let us denote by  $\psi(\lambda)$  the specialization of  $\psi$ , i.e.  $C_\lambda \otimes_R \psi$ . Then, for a generic point  $\lambda$  of  $g_j^{-1}(0)$ ,  $\psi(\lambda) \neq 0$ . Hence we obtain a diagram

$$(1.5) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & & U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{b})} (C_\lambda \otimes F^j/F^{j+1}) \\ & \nearrow h & \downarrow \\ U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{b})} C_{\lambda+\lambda_0} & \xrightarrow{\psi(\lambda)} & U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{b})} (C_\lambda \otimes F^0/F^{j+1}) \\ & \searrow g_j(\lambda)\varphi_j(\lambda) & \downarrow \\ & & U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{b})} (C_\lambda \otimes F^0/F^j) \end{array}$$

Since  $g_j(\lambda) = 0$ , we obtain a nonzero homomorphism  $h: U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{b})} C_{\lambda+\lambda_0} \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{b})} (C_\lambda \otimes F^j/F^{j+1})$ . Since  $U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{b})} (C_\lambda \otimes F^j/F^{j+1})$  is a direct sum of copies of  $U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{b})} C_{\lambda+\lambda_j}$ , the central character of  $U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{b})} C_{\lambda+\lambda_0}$  and that of  $U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{b})} C_{\lambda+\lambda_j}$  must coincide. Hence there exists  $w \in W$  such that  $w(\lambda + \lambda_0 + \rho) = \lambda + \lambda_j + \rho$ . This shows that  $w(\lambda + \lambda_0 + \rho) = \lambda + \lambda_j + \rho$  holds for any  $\lambda \in g_j^{-1}(0)$ . Since  $\lambda_j \neq \lambda_0$ ,  $w \neq 1$ . Since  $w$  fixes the hyperplane  $(\lambda, \lambda_j - \lambda_0) = 0$ ,  $w$  must be the reflection  $s_\alpha$  for some  $\alpha \in \Delta^+$ . Hence we obtain

$$0 = \lambda + \lambda_j + \rho - s_\alpha(\lambda + \lambda_0 + \rho) = \lambda_j - \lambda_0 + h_\alpha(\lambda + \lambda_0 + \rho)\alpha.$$

This implies that  $\lambda_j = \lambda_0 + k\alpha$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{C}$ . Since  $\lambda_j - \lambda_0 \in Q_+(\mathcal{A}) \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $k$  is a strictly positive integer. Moreover  $h_\alpha(\lambda + \lambda_0 + \rho) + k = 0$  holds on  $g_j^{-1}(0)$ . Hence  $g_j$  is a constant multiple of  $h_\alpha(\lambda + \lambda_0 + \rho) + k$ .

Summing up, we obtain

**Lemma 1.4.** (i) If  $\lambda_j$  is not of the form  $\lambda_0 + k\alpha$  with  $\alpha \in \Delta_+$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{++}$ , then  $\varphi_j$  lifts to  $\varphi_{j+1}: U_R(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U_R(\mathfrak{b})} R_{c+\lambda_0} \rightarrow U_R(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U_R(\mathfrak{b})} (R_c \otimes F^0/F^{j+1})$   
(ii) If  $\lambda_j = \lambda_0 + k\alpha$  for some  $\alpha \in \Delta^+$  and  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{++}$ , then  $(c(h_\alpha) + h_\alpha(\lambda_0 + \rho) + k)\varphi_j$  lifts to  $\varphi_{j+1}$ .

Repeating this procedure we obtain

**Theorem 1.5.** There exists a commutative diagram

$$(1.6) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} U_R(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U_R(\mathfrak{b})} R_{c+\lambda_0} & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & U_R(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U_R(\mathfrak{b})} (R_c \otimes F) \\ \downarrow f & & \downarrow \\ U_R(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U_R(\mathfrak{b})} R_{c+\lambda_0} & \xrightarrow{\sim} & U_R(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U_R(\mathfrak{b})} (R_c \otimes F^0/F^1). \end{array}$$

Here  $f = \prod_{(\alpha, k) \in \mathfrak{S}(F)} (h_\alpha + h_\alpha(\lambda_0 + \rho) + k)$  and  $\mathfrak{S}(F)$  is the set of pairs  $(\alpha, k)$  of positive root  $\alpha$  and a positive integer  $k$  such that  $\lambda_0 + k\alpha$  is a weight of  $F$ .

**Example 1.6.** We set  $F_k = U(\mathfrak{b})/(U(\mathfrak{b})\mathfrak{h} + U(\mathfrak{b})\mathfrak{n}^k)$ . Let  $K$  be the quotient field of  $R$ . Then for any  $k$ , there exists a unique

$$\varphi_k: U_K(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U_R(\mathfrak{b})} R_c \rightarrow U_K(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U_R(\mathfrak{b})} (R_c \otimes F_k)$$

such that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U_K(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U_R(\mathfrak{b})} R_c & \xrightarrow{\varphi_k} & U_K(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U_R(\mathfrak{b})} (R_c \otimes F_k) \\ & \searrow 1 & \downarrow \\ & & U_K(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U_R(\mathfrak{b})} (R_c \otimes F_0). \end{array}$$

Hence, taking the projective limit, we obtain

$$\hat{\varphi}: U_K(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U_R(\mathfrak{b})} R_c \rightarrow \varprojlim_k U_K(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U_R(\mathfrak{b})} (R_c \otimes F_k).$$

When  $\mathfrak{g} = sl_2$ , we shall calculate  $\hat{\varphi}$ . Let us take the generator  $X_+$ ,  $X_-$ ,  $h$  such that  $[h, X_\pm] = \pm 2X_\pm$ ,  $[X_+, X_-] = h$ . Set  $\lambda = c(h)$ . We can write  $P = \hat{\varphi}(1)$  in the following form

$$P = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j X_-^j \otimes X_+^j (1_c \otimes 1)$$

with  $a_0=1$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} X_+P &= \sum a_j X_+ X_-^j \otimes X_+^j (1_c \otimes 1) \\ &= \sum a_j X_-^j \otimes X_+^{j+1} (1_c \otimes 1) + \sum j a_j X_-^{j-1} (h-j+1) \otimes X_+^j (1_c \otimes 1) \\ &= \sum a_j X_-^j \otimes X_+^{j+1} (1_c \otimes 1) + \sum j(\lambda+j+1) a_j X_-^{j-1} \otimes X_+^j (1_c \otimes 1). \end{aligned}$$

Here we have used the relation  $[X_+, X_-^j] = jX_-^{j-1}(h-j+1)$ .

Hence we obtain the recursion formula

$$a_j = -\frac{1}{j(\lambda+j+1)} a_{j-1} \quad \text{for } j \geq 1.$$

Solving this, we obtain

$$(1.7) \quad P = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^j}{j!(\lambda+2, j)} X_-^j \otimes X_+^j (1_c \otimes 1).$$

Let  $V_\mu^*$  be a finite-dimensional irreducible representation of  $\mathfrak{g}$  with a lowest weight  $-\mu$  and  $v_{-\mu}$  a lowest weight vector. As well-known,  $-\mu + k\alpha$  is a weight of  $V_\mu^*$  if and only if  $0 \leq k \leq h_\alpha(\mu)$ . Hence Theorem 1.5 implies the following Theorem.

**Theorem 1.7.** *There exists a homomorphism*

$$\varphi_0: U_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathfrak{b})} R_c \longrightarrow U_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathfrak{b})} (R_{c+\mu} \otimes V_\mu^*)$$

such that  $g \circ \varphi_0 = \prod_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}^+} (h_\alpha + h_\alpha(\rho) + 1, h_\alpha(\mu))$ , where  $g: U_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathfrak{b})} (R_{c+\mu} \otimes V_\mu^*) \rightarrow U_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathfrak{b})} R_c$  is given by  $g(1 \otimes 1_{c+\mu} \otimes v_{-\mu}) = 1 \otimes 1_c$ .

Now, we shall show the converse.

**Proposition 1.8.** *For any homomorphism*

$$\varphi: U_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathfrak{b})} R_c \longrightarrow U_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathfrak{b})} (R_{c+\mu} \otimes V_\mu^*),$$

set  $f = g \circ \varphi \in R$ . Then  $f$  is a multiple of  $\prod_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}^+} (h_\alpha + h_\alpha(\rho) + 1, h_\alpha(\mu))$ .

*Proof.* Note that  $h_\alpha + h_\alpha(\rho) + k = c(h_{\alpha'} + h_{\alpha'}(\rho) + k')$  with  $\alpha, \alpha' \in \mathcal{A}^+, k, k', c \in \mathbb{C}$  implies,  $\alpha = \alpha', k = k'$ . Hence we can construct another  $\varphi$  such that  $g \circ \varphi$  is the greatest common divisor of  $f$  and  $\prod (h_\alpha + h_\alpha(\rho) + 1, h_\alpha(\mu))$ . Therefore, we may assume from the beginning that  $f$  is a divisor of  $\prod (h_\alpha + \rho(h_\alpha) + 1, h_\alpha(\mu))$ .

Set  $M = U_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathfrak{b})} (R_{c+\mu} \otimes V_\mu^*) \cong U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{n})} V_\mu^*$  and let  $M_j$  be the image of  $U_j(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes V_\mu^*$  in  $M$ . Then we can easily show

$$\text{gr } M = \bigoplus M_j / M_{j-1} = (S(\mathfrak{g}) / S(\mathfrak{g})\mathfrak{n}) \otimes_{\mathfrak{g}} V_{\mu}^*$$

as an  $\mathfrak{n}$ -module.

Now,  $v = \varphi(1)$  is a non-zero element of  $M$  which is  $\mathfrak{n}$ -invariant. Let  $j$  be the smallest integer such that  $v \in M_j$  and let  $\bar{v}$  be the image of  $v$  in  $M_j / M_{j-1}$ . Then  $\bar{v}$  is also  $\mathfrak{n}$ -invariant. By the Killing form we identify  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $\mathfrak{g}^*$ . Then  $S(\mathfrak{g}) / S(\mathfrak{g})\mathfrak{n}$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{b}]$ , the polynomial ring of  $\mathfrak{b}$ . Hence we can regard  $\bar{v}$  as a  $V_{\mu}^*$ -valued function on  $\mathfrak{b}$ , and we denote it  $\bar{\Psi}$ . By the assumption,  $v$  has the form

$$v = f \otimes v_{-\mu} \bmod U(\mathfrak{b}_{-})\mathfrak{n}_{-} \otimes \mathfrak{n}V_{\mu}^*.$$

Hence  $j \geq \deg f$  and we have either

$$(1.8) \quad j > \deg f \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\Psi}|_{\mathfrak{h}} = 0$$

or

$$(1.9) \quad j = \deg f \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\Psi}(h) = \bar{f}(h)v_{-\mu} \quad \text{for } h \in \mathfrak{h}.$$

Here  $\bar{f}$  is the homogeneous part of  $f$ . Since  $N\mathfrak{h}$  is an open dense subset of  $\mathfrak{b}$ ,  $\bar{\Psi}|_{\mathfrak{h}} = 0$  implies  $\bar{\Psi} = 0$ . Hence the first case (1.8) does not occur and we have (1.9).

Let  $S(\Delta^+)$  be the set of simple roots. For  $\alpha \in \Delta$ , let  $x_{\alpha}$  be a root vector with root  $\alpha$ . We normalize as  $[x_{\alpha}, x_{-\alpha}] = h_{\alpha}$ . We set

$$x_{+} = \sum_{\alpha \in S(\Delta^+)} x_{\alpha} \quad x_{-} = \sum_{\alpha \in S(\Delta^+)} x_{-\alpha}.$$

We take the element  $h_0 \in \mathfrak{h}$  such that  $h_0(\alpha) = 2$  for  $\alpha \in S(\Delta^+)$ . Then  $h_0 = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} h_{\alpha}$ . Now, we can show easily  $[h_0, x_{\pm}] = \pm 2x_{\pm}$ ,  $[x_{+}, x_{-}] = h_0$  and hence  $\langle h_0, x_{+}, x_{-} \rangle_{\mathbb{C}}$  forms a Lie algebra isomorphic to  $sl_2$ . We have

$$e^{tx_{+}}h_0 = h_0 - 2tx_{+}.$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\Psi}(ah_0 - 2x_{+}) &= \bar{\Psi}(ae^{a^{-1}x_{+}}h_0) = e^{a^{-1}x_{+}}\bar{\Psi}(ah_0) \\ &= \bar{f}(ah_0)e^{a^{-1}x_{+}}v_{-\mu} \\ &= \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{(a^{-1})^k}{k!} \bar{f}(ah_0)x_{+}^k v_{-\mu}. \end{aligned}$$

The representation theory of  $sl_2$  implies that  $x_{+}^k v_{-\mu} \neq 0$  for  $(0 \leq k \leq h_0(\mu))$  and  $x_{+}^k v_{-\mu} = 0$  for  $k > h_0(\mu)$ . Since  $\bar{\Psi}(ah_0 - 2x_{+})$  is a polynomial in  $a$ ,  $\bar{f}(ah_0)a^{-h_0(\mu)}$  is also a polynomial in  $a$ . Moreover  $\bar{f}(h_0) \neq 0$  because  $\bar{f}$  is a



factor of  $\prod h_a^{h_a(\mu)}$ . This shows that

$$\deg f = \deg \bar{f} \geq h_0(\mu) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}^+} h_\alpha(\mu).$$

Hence  $f$  is  $\prod (h_\alpha + h_\alpha(\rho) + 1, h_\alpha(\mu))$  up to constant multiple. Q.E.D.

For a  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module  $V$  and a  $\mathfrak{b}$ -module  $F$ , we have a canonical isomorphism

$$(1.10) \quad U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{b})} (F \otimes V) \longrightarrow V \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} (U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{b})} F)$$

by  $1 \otimes (f \otimes v) \mapsto v \otimes (1 \otimes f)$  for  $v \in V, f \in F$ .

Similarly, we have

$$(1.11) \quad U_R(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U_R(\mathfrak{b})} (R_{c+\mu} \otimes V_\mu^*) \xrightarrow{\sim} V_\mu^* \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} (U_R(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U_R(\mathfrak{b})} R_{c+\mu}).$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Hom}_{U_R(\mathfrak{g})} (U_R(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U_R(\mathfrak{b})} R_c, U_R(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U_R(\mathfrak{b})} (R_{c+\mu} \otimes V_\mu^*)) \\ &= \text{Hom}_{U_R(\mathfrak{g})} (U_R(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U_R(\mathfrak{b})} R_c, V_\mu^* \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} (U_R(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U_R(\mathfrak{b})} R_{c+\mu})) \\ (1.12) \quad &= \text{Hom}_{U_R(\mathfrak{g})} (V_\mu \otimes_{U_R(\mathfrak{b})} (U_R(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U_R(\mathfrak{b})} R_c), U_R(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U_R(\mathfrak{b})} R_{c+\mu}) \\ &= \text{Hom}_{U_R(\mathfrak{g})} (U_R(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U_R(\mathfrak{b})} (R_c \otimes V_\mu), U_R(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U_R(\mathfrak{b})} R_{c+\mu}). \end{aligned}$$

We choose a lowest weight vector  $v_{-\mu}$  of  $V_\mu^*$  and a highest weight vector  $v_\mu$  of  $V_\mu$ , normalized by  $\langle v_\mu, v_{-\mu} \rangle = 1$ . We define  $g: U_R(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U_R(\mathfrak{b})} (R_{c+\mu} \otimes V_\mu^*) \rightarrow U_R(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U_R(\mathfrak{b})} R_c$  and  $h: U_R(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U_R(\mathfrak{b})} R_{c+\mu} \rightarrow U_R(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U_R(\mathfrak{b})} (R_c \otimes V_\mu)$  by  $g(1 \otimes 1_{c+\mu} \otimes v_{-\mu}) = 1 \otimes 1_c$  and  $h(1 \otimes 1_{c+\mu}) = 1 \otimes 1_c \otimes v_\mu$ .

**Theorem 1.9.** Assume that

$$\varphi \in \text{Hom}_{U_R(\mathfrak{g})} (U_R(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U_R(\mathfrak{b})} R_c, U_R(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U_R(\mathfrak{b})} (R_{c+\mu} \otimes V_\mu^*))$$

and

$$\psi \in \text{Hom}_{U_R(\mathfrak{g})} (U_R(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U_R(\mathfrak{b})} (R_c \otimes V_\mu), U_R(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U_R(\mathfrak{b})} R_{c+\mu})$$

correspond by the isomorphism (1.12). Set  $f = g \circ \varphi \in R$  and  $f' = \psi \circ h \in R$ . Then, we have

$$(1.13) \quad f' = \prod_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}^+} \frac{h_\alpha + h_\alpha(\rho)}{h_\alpha + h_\alpha(\rho + \mu)} f$$

*Proof.* For  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ , we shall denote by  $\varphi(\lambda)$ ,  $\psi(\lambda)$ ,  $h(\lambda)$  and  $g(\lambda)$  their specializations at  $\lambda$ . Identifying  $V_\mu^* \otimes (U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{b})} \mathbf{C}_{\lambda+\mu})$  with  $U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{b})} (\mathbf{C}_{\lambda+\mu} \otimes V_\mu^*)$ , etc., we have commutative diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{b})} \mathbf{C}_\lambda & \xrightarrow{\varphi(\lambda)} & V_\mu^* \otimes (U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{b})} \mathbf{C}_{\lambda+\mu}) \\ & \searrow f(\lambda) & \downarrow g(\lambda) \\ & & U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{b})} \mathbf{C}_\lambda \end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{b})} \mathbf{C}_{\lambda+\mu} & & \\ \downarrow h(\lambda) & \searrow f'(\lambda) & \\ V_\mu \otimes (U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{b})} \mathbf{C}_\lambda) & \xrightarrow{\psi(\lambda)} & U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{b})} \mathbf{C}_{\lambda+\mu} \end{array}$$

Letting  $\lambda$  be a dominant integral weight and employing the homomorphism  $U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{b})} \mathbf{C}_\lambda \rightarrow V_\lambda$ , etc. we obtain

$$(1.14) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} V_\lambda & \xrightarrow{\bar{\varphi}} & V_\mu^* \otimes V_{\lambda+\mu} \\ & \searrow f(\lambda) & \downarrow \bar{g} \\ & & V_\lambda \end{array}$$

and

$$(1.15) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} V_{\lambda+\mu} & & \\ \downarrow \bar{h} & \searrow f'(\lambda) & \\ V_\mu \otimes V_\lambda & \xrightarrow{\bar{\psi}} & V_{\lambda+\mu} \end{array}$$

Here  $\bar{g}$  and  $\bar{h}$  are characterized by  $\bar{g}(v_{-\mu} \otimes v_{\lambda+\mu}) = v_\lambda$  and  $\bar{h}(v_{\lambda+\mu}) = v_\mu \otimes v_\lambda$ . Moreover,  $\bar{\varphi}$  and  $\bar{\psi}$  are related by

$$(c \otimes \text{id}_{V_{\lambda+\mu}})(w \otimes \bar{\varphi}(v)) = \bar{\psi}(w \otimes v) \quad \text{for } v \in V_\lambda \quad \text{and } w \in V_\mu,$$

where  $c$  is the contraction  $V_\mu \otimes V_\mu^* \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ .

Now,  $V_\mu \otimes V_\lambda$  contains  $V_{\lambda+\mu}$  with multiplicity 1. Let us denote by  $p$  the projector from  $V_\mu \otimes V_\lambda$  onto  $\bar{h}(V_{\lambda+\mu})$ , and regard this as an endomorphism of  $V_\mu \otimes V_\lambda$ . Then by (1.15), we have

$$\bar{h} \circ \bar{\psi} = f'(\lambda)p.$$

On the other hand, we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & V_\mu^* \otimes V_\mu \otimes V_\lambda & & \\
 & \nearrow & \downarrow V_\mu^* \otimes \bar{\psi} & \searrow f'(\lambda) V_\mu^* \otimes p & \\
 \iota \otimes V_\lambda & & & & \\
 \downarrow \bar{\varphi} & & V_\mu^* \otimes V_{\lambda+\mu} & \xrightarrow{V_\mu^* \otimes \bar{h}} & V_\mu^* \otimes V_\mu \otimes V_\lambda \\
 V_\lambda & \xrightarrow{f(\lambda)} & V_\mu^* \otimes V_\lambda & \xleftarrow{c \otimes V_\lambda} & \\
 & \searrow & \downarrow \bar{g} & & \\
 & & V_\lambda & & 
 \end{array}$$

where  $\iota: \mathbf{C} \rightarrow V_\mu^* \otimes V_\mu$  is the canonical injection. Therefore we have

$$f(\lambda) \text{id}_{V_\lambda} = f'(\lambda) (c \otimes V_\lambda) \circ (V_\mu^* \otimes p) \circ (\iota \otimes V_\lambda).$$

Taking the trace, we have

$$(1.16) \quad f(\lambda) \dim V_\lambda = f'(\lambda) \text{tr}_{V_\lambda} (c \otimes V_\lambda) \circ (V_\mu^* \otimes p) \circ (\iota \otimes V_\lambda).$$

In order to calculate the right-hand side, we shall take bases  $\{w_j\}$  of  $V_\lambda$ ,  $\{u_k\}$  of  $V_\mu$  and their dual bases  $\{w_j^*\}$  and  $\{u_k^*\}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (c \otimes V_\lambda) \circ (V_\mu^* \otimes p) \circ (\iota \otimes V_\lambda)(w_j) \\
 &= \sum_k (c \otimes V_\lambda) \circ (V_\mu^* \otimes p)(u_k^* \otimes u_k \otimes w_j) \\
 &= \sum_k (c \otimes V_\lambda)(u_k^* \otimes p(u_k \otimes w_j)).
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \text{tr}_{V_\lambda} (c \otimes V_\lambda) \circ (V_\mu^* \otimes p) \circ (\iota \otimes V_\lambda) \\
 &= \sum_{j,k} \langle w_j^*, (c \otimes V_\lambda)(u_k^* \otimes p(u_k \otimes w_j)) \rangle \\
 &= \sum_{j,k} \langle u_k^* \otimes w_j^*, p(u_k \otimes w_j) \rangle \\
 &= \text{tr}_{V_\mu \otimes V_\lambda} p = \dim V_{\lambda+\mu}.
 \end{aligned}$$

By (1.16), we obtain

$$f(\lambda) \dim V_\lambda = f'(\lambda) \dim V_{\lambda+\mu}.$$

Then the assertion follows from Weyl's dimension formula

$$\dim V_\lambda = \prod_{\alpha \in d^+} \frac{h_\alpha(\lambda + \rho)}{h_\alpha(\rho)}. \quad \text{Q.E.D.}$$

**Corollary 1.10.** *For a dominant integral weight  $\mu$ , there exists a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 U_R(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U_R(\mathfrak{b})} R_{c+\mu} & & \\
 \downarrow h & \searrow f & \\
 U_R(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U_R(\mathfrak{b})} (R_c \otimes V_\mu) & \xrightarrow{\psi} & U_R(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U_R(\mathfrak{b})} R_{c+\mu}
 \end{array}$$

where  $f = \prod_{\alpha \in J^+} (h_\alpha + h_\alpha(\rho), h_\alpha(\mu))$  and  $h(1 \otimes 1_{c+\mu}) = 1 \otimes 1_c \otimes v_\mu$ .

**Remark 1.11.** This corollary is also obtained either by a similar argument as the proof of Theorem 1.5 or directly from Theorem 1.7 by the following argument. First note that for any  $U_R(\mathfrak{b})$ -module  $F$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{R} \operatorname{Hom}_{U_R(\mathfrak{g})} (U_R(\mathfrak{g}) \underset{U_R(\mathfrak{b})}{\otimes} F, U_R(\mathfrak{g})) \\ = U_R(\mathfrak{g}) \underset{U_R(\mathfrak{b})}{\otimes} \mathbf{R} \operatorname{Hom}_{U_R(\mathfrak{b})} (F, U_R(\mathfrak{b})). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, for a finite dimensional  $\mathfrak{b}$ -module  $V$

$$\mathbf{R} \operatorname{Hom}_{U_R(\mathfrak{b})} (R_c \otimes V, U_R(\mathfrak{b})) = R_{-c-2\rho} \otimes V^*[-\dim \mathfrak{b}]$$

where  $R_{-c-2\rho}$  is the  $U_R(\mathfrak{b})$ -module  $R$  with weight  $-c-2\rho$ . Hence the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U_R(\mathfrak{g}) \underset{U_R(\mathfrak{b})}{\otimes} R_c & \longrightarrow & U_R(\mathfrak{g}) \underset{U_R(\mathfrak{b})}{\otimes} (R_{c+\mu} \otimes V_\mu^*) \\ & \searrow f' & \downarrow \\ & & U_R(\mathfrak{g}) \underset{U_R(\mathfrak{b})}{\otimes} R_c \end{array}$$

with  $f' = \prod_{\alpha} (h_\alpha + h_\alpha(\rho) + 1, h_\alpha(\mu))$  gives

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U_R(\mathfrak{g}) \underset{U_R(\mathfrak{b})}{\otimes} R_{-c-2\rho} & \longleftarrow & U_R(\mathfrak{g}) \underset{U_R(\mathfrak{b})}{\otimes} (R_{-c-\mu-2\rho} \otimes V_\mu) \\ & \nwarrow f' & \uparrow \\ & & U_R(\mathfrak{g}) \underset{U_R(\mathfrak{b})}{\otimes} R_{-c-2\rho} \end{array}$$

Now, the isomorphism  $h \mapsto -h - h(2\rho + \mu)$  gives Corollary 1.10.

## § 2. The $b$ -functions of $B_- \times B$ -semi-invariants

For a dominant integral weight  $\lambda$ , let  $V_\lambda$  be an irreducible representation of  $\mathfrak{g}$  with highest weight  $\lambda$ . Let  $v_\lambda$  be a highest weight vector of  $V_\lambda$  and  $v_{-\lambda}$  the lowest weight vector of  $V_\lambda^*$ , normalized by  $\langle v_\lambda, v_{-\lambda} \rangle = 1$ .

Let  $f^\lambda$  be the regular function on  $G$  defined by

$$(2.1) \quad f^\lambda(g) = \langle gv_\lambda, v_{-\lambda} \rangle.$$

Then  $f^\lambda$  is  $B_- \times B$ -semi-invariant such that

$$(2.2) \quad f^\lambda(b'gb) = \chi_{-\lambda}^-(b') \chi_{\lambda}^+(b) f^\lambda(g) \quad \text{for } g \in G, b' \in B_- \text{ and } b \in B,$$

where  $\chi_\lambda^\pm$  is the character of  $B$  and  $B_-$  such that

$$\chi_\lambda^\pm(e^h) = e^{\lambda(h)} \quad \text{for } h \in \mathfrak{h}.$$

Moreover we have

$$(2.3) \quad f^\lambda(e) = 1.$$

Note that any  $B_- \times B$ -semi-invariant with character  $\chi_\lambda^- \otimes \chi_\lambda$  is a constant multiple of  $f^\lambda$  and any  $B_- \times B$ -semi-invariant has a character  $\chi_\lambda^- \otimes \chi_\lambda$  for some  $\lambda \in P^+$ . This follows from the well-known formula

$$\mathcal{O}(G) = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in P^+} V_\lambda^* \otimes V_\lambda.$$

In particular, we have

$$(2.4) \quad f^{\lambda+\lambda'}(g) = f^\lambda(g) f^{\lambda'}(g).$$

**Theorem 2.1.** *For any dominant integral weight  $\mu$ , there exists a differential operator  $P_\mu$  such that*

$$(2.5) \quad P_\mu f^{\lambda+\mu} = b_\mu(\lambda) f^\lambda \quad \text{for any } \lambda.$$

Here  $b_\mu(\lambda) = \prod_{\alpha \in d^+} (h_\alpha(\lambda + \rho), h_\alpha(\mu))$ .

*Proof.* Let us denote by  $\mathcal{D}$  the sheaf of differential operators on  $G$ . Then the right-action of  $G$  on itself gives a homomorphism  $R: U(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(G)$ . In particular,  $R(U(\mathfrak{g}))$  is the set of left invariant differential operators on  $G$ .

By Corollary 1.10, there exists an  $n$ -invariant element  $P$  of  $V_\mu^* \otimes (U_{R(\mathfrak{g})} \otimes_{U_{R(\mathfrak{g})}} R_{c+\mu})$  with weight  $c$ , whose coefficient of  $v_{-\mu}$  is  $\prod_{\alpha \in d^+} (c(h_\alpha) + h_\alpha(\rho), h_\alpha(\mu))$ . Hence  $P$  is written in the following form

$$P = \sum_{j=0}^N v_j \otimes P_j \otimes 1_{c+\mu}$$

where

$$(2.6) \quad v_0 = v_{-\mu}, \quad P_0 = \prod_{\alpha \in d^+} (h_\alpha + h_\alpha(\rho - \mu), h_\alpha(\mu))$$

and

$$(2.7) \quad v_j \in n V_\mu^*, \quad P_j \in U(\mathfrak{b}_-) n_- \quad \text{for } j \geq 1.$$

We shall define the differential operator  $P_\mu$  on  $G$  by

$$(2.8) \quad (P_\mu u)(g) = \sum_j \langle v_\mu, g v_j \rangle (R(P_j)u)(g).$$

**Lemma 2.2.** *For any  $y \in \mathfrak{n}$ , we have*

$$[R(y), P_\mu] \in \mathcal{D}(G)R(\mathfrak{n}).$$

*Proof.* We have  $[R(y), \langle v_\mu, gv_j \rangle] = \langle v_\mu, gyv_j \rangle$ . Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} ([R(y), P_\mu]u)(g) &= \sum_j \langle g^{-1}v_\mu, yv_j \rangle (R(P_j)u)(g) \\ &\quad + \sum_j \langle g^{-1}v_\mu, v_j \rangle (R([y, P_j])u)(g). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\sum v_j \otimes P_j \otimes 1_{c+\mu}$  is  $\mathfrak{n}$ -invariant, we have

$$\sum_j yv_j \otimes P_j \otimes 1_{c+\mu} + \sum_j v_j \otimes [y, P_j] \otimes 1_{c+\mu} = 0$$

in

$$V_\mu^* \otimes_{U_R(\mathfrak{g})} U_R(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U_R(\mathfrak{b})} R_{c+\mu} = V_\mu^* \otimes (U(\mathfrak{g})/U(\mathfrak{g})\mathfrak{n}).$$

Therefore we can write, as the identity in  $V_\mu^* \otimes_c U(\mathfrak{g})$ ,

$$\sum_j yv_j \otimes P_j + \sum_j v_j \otimes [y, P_j] = \sum w_k \otimes S_k$$

with  $w_k \in V_\mu^*$  and  $S_k \in U(\mathfrak{g})\mathfrak{n}$ . This shows

$$([R(y), P_\mu]u)(g) = \sum_k \langle g^{-1}v_\mu, w_k \rangle (R(S_k)u)(g).$$

Since  $R(S_k) \in \mathcal{D}(G)R(\mathfrak{n})$ , we have the desired result. Q.E.D.

By this lemma, we have for  $y \in \mathfrak{n}$

$$R(y)P_\mu f^{\lambda+\mu} = [R(y), P_\mu]f^{\lambda+\mu} + P_\mu R(y)f^{\lambda+\mu} = 0$$

because  $f^{\lambda+\mu}$  is right invariant by  $N$ . Therefore  $P_\mu f^{\lambda+\mu}$  is also right  $N$ -invariant. Since  $B_- \cap N$  is an open dense subset of  $G$ , it is sufficient to show (2.5) on  $B_-$ . Now for  $g \in B_-$ , we have

$$(P_\mu f^{\lambda+\mu})(g) = \sum_j \langle v_\mu, gv_j \rangle (R(P_j)f^{\lambda+\mu})(g).$$

Note that all  $P_j$  belongs to  $U(\mathfrak{b}_-)$  and  $P_j \in U(\mathfrak{b}_-)\mathfrak{n}_-$  for  $j \neq 0$ . Since  $f^{\lambda+\mu}(n_-h) = f^{\lambda+\mu}(hn_-) = h^{\lambda+\mu}$  for  $h \in T$  and  $n_- \in N_-$ ,  $f^{\lambda+\mu}|_{B_-}$  is right  $N_-$ -invariant. This shows  $R(P_j)f^{\lambda+\mu}|_{B_-} = 0$  for  $j \neq 0$ . It is easy to see for  $g \in B_-$

$$\begin{aligned} R(P_0)f^{\lambda+\mu}(g) &= \prod_\alpha (h_\alpha(\lambda + \mu) + h_\alpha(\rho - \mu), h_\alpha(\mu)) f^{\lambda+\mu} \\ &= b_\mu(\lambda) f^{\lambda+\mu} \end{aligned}$$

and  $\langle v_\mu, gv_0 \rangle = 1/f^\mu$ .

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.1.

**Remark 2.3.** We can show  $b_\mu(\lambda)$  in Theorem 2.1 is the best possible one. This follows from the similar argument as Proposition 1.8, or we can use the result in [3]. In fact if  $w_0$  is the longest element of  $W$ , then  $T_{B-w_0B}^*G$  is a good Lagrangian variety in the sense in [3], which is equivalent to saying that  $\mathfrak{n}$  is a prehomogeneous vector space over  $\mathfrak{b}$ . Hence we can show the degree of the local  $b$ -function is  $\sum_{\alpha \in J_+} h_\alpha(\mu)$ .

### Bibliography

- [1] Bernstein, I. N., Gelfand, I. M. and Gelfand, S. I., Differential operators on the base affine space and a study of  $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules, Proc. of the Summer School on Group Representations, Bolyai János Mathematical Society, Budapest, (1971), 21–64.
- [2] Verma, D. N., Structure of certain induced representations of complex semi-simple Lie algebras, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., **74** (1968), 160–166.
- [3] Sato, M., Kashiwara, M., Kimura, T. and Oshima, T., Microlocal analysis of prehomogeneous vector spaces, Invent. Math., **62** (1980), 117–179.

*Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences  
Kyoto University  
Kyoto 606, Japan*