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#### Abstract

Consider a Souslin space $X$ and a countable set $B$ of bounded Borel measurable real functions defined on $X$. The decomposition $M(X, B)$ of the set of all Borel probability measures on $X$ induced by the equivalence relation that makes measures $P$ and $Q$ equivalent if $P(f)=Q(f)$ for all $f$ in $B$ is represented uniquely up to an isomorphism in the category of measure convex Souslin sets (Theorem 3). Theorem 2 is used to obtain a characterization of sets of uniqueness for the moment problem connected with the decomposition $M(X, B)$ (Theorem 4). The results presented here extend results proved in Štěpán (1994) for a compact metrizable space $X$ and a countable family $B$ of continuous bounded functions on $X$.


1. Bounded Countable Moment Decompositions of Measure Spaces. For a Hausdorff topological space $X$ we shall denote by $\mathcal{P}(X), \mathcal{B}(X)$ and $C(X)$ the space of Radon probability measures, the space of bounded Borel measurable and bounded continuous real functions defined on $X$, respectively. Given a nonempty (countable) set $B \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ we shall denote by $M(X, B)$ the quotient space obtained from $\mathcal{P}(X)$ by the equivalence relation

$$
P=Q \bmod B \text { if and only if } P(f)=Q(f), f \in B, P, Q \in \mathcal{P}(X)
$$

where $P(f)=\int f d P$ and call it a bounded (countable) moment decomposition of $\mathcal{P}(X)$. Recall, moreover that if

$$
\begin{gather*}
T: X \rightarrow E \text { is a bounded Borel measurable map from } X \\
\text { into a complete Hausdorff locally convex space } E, \tag{1}
\end{gather*}
$$

then the expectation of $T$ with respect to a measure $P$ in $\mathcal{P}(X)$ is a point $E_{P}(T)$ in $E$ for which

$$
x^{\prime}\left(E_{P}(T)\right)=\int_{X} x^{\prime}(T) d P \text { holds for each } x^{\prime} \text { in the topological dual } E^{\prime}
$$
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The existence and uniqueness of the expectation $E_{P}(T)$ (assuming the boundedness of $T$ and completeness of $E$ ) follows easily by Proposition 1.1.3 (a), p. 16 in Winkler (1985) by observing that the expectation $E_{P}(T)$ is equivalently defined as the barycenter of the image probability measure $T P \in \mathcal{P}(E)$, i.e. $E_{P}(T)=b(T P)$. Further on, for such a pair $(T, E)$ we shall denote by $E(T): \mathcal{P}(X) \rightarrow E$ the map which sends a measure $P$ in $\mathcal{P}(X)$ to the expectation $E_{P}(T)$ in $E$ and let

$$
S(X, T, E)=\left\{E_{P}(T), P \in \mathcal{P}(X)\right\} \subset E
$$

and

$$
M(T, X, E)=\left\{(E(T))^{-1}(s), s \in S(X, T, E)\right\}
$$

If $M(X, B)=M(X, T, E)$ for a bounded moment decomposition of $\mathcal{P}(X)$ ( $B \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ ) and a pair $(T, E)$ satisfying (1), then we call $(T, E)$ a generator of the decomposition $M(X, B)$ and the set $S(X, T, E)$ its convex representation in $E$.

There is of course a very direct way to construct such a generator:

## Remark 1.

(a) Put $E=R^{B}$ and endow the space with the product topology (which makes it a locally convex complete space for which the one-dimensional projections $P_{f}: R^{B} \rightarrow R$ linearly generate its topological dual $E^{\prime}$ ).
(b) Define $T=T^{B}$ from $X$ into $E=R^{B}$ by $T(x)=(f(x), f \in B)$. Then (obviously) $T^{B}$ satisfies requirements (1) if
$B$ is either a countable subset in $\mathcal{B}(X)$
or an arbitrary subset in $\mathcal{C}(X)$,
$T^{B}: X \rightarrow R^{B}$ being a continuous map in the latter case
and

$$
\begin{aligned}
P=Q \bmod B & \text { if and only if } E_{P}\left(T^{B}\right)=E_{Q}\left(T^{B}\right) \text { for } P, Q \in \mathcal{P}(X) \\
\text { whence } & M(X, B)=M\left(X, T^{B}, R^{B}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Observe also that topological stability is achieved by the construction (a), (b), (2) when we assume that $X$ is a Souslin space (a continuous image of a Polish space), since according to Lemma 16 in Schwartz (1973), p. 107 and Theorem 2 below we have that $T^{B}(X)$ and $S\left(X, T^{B}, R^{B}\right)$ are also Souslin spaces in this case. In fact we are able to prove the following statement (see also Theorem 4 in S̆těpán (1994):

Theorem 1. Let $X$ be a Souslin space. Then a decomposition $D$ of $\mathcal{P}(X)$ is a bounded countable moment decomposition if and only if $D=M(X, T, E)$
for a map

$$
\begin{equation*}
T: X \rightarrow E \text { satisfying (1) such that } T(X) \text { is a Souslin set. } \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. In view of Remark 1 it suffices to consider a map $T$ that satisfies (3) and to construct a countable set $B \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ such that $M(X, T, E)=$ $M(B, X)$. By Theorem 2 below, $S=S(X, T, E)$ is a Souslin set and we can apply Propositions 3 and 4, p. 104, 105, in Schwartz (1973) to get a countable set $B^{\prime} \subset E^{\prime}$ that separates points in $S$. Putting $B=\left\{x^{\prime} \circ T, x^{\prime} \in B^{\prime}\right\}$ we get a countable set in $\mathcal{B}(X)$ such that (for $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}(X)$ )

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P=Q \bmod B \text { if and only if } x^{\prime}\left(E_{P}(T)\right)=x^{\prime}\left(E_{Q}(T)\right), x^{\prime} \in B^{\prime} \\
& \quad \text { and hence if and only if } E_{P}(T)=E_{Q}(T)
\end{aligned}
$$

holds.
Thus, the study of bounded countable moment decompositions $M(X, B)$ when $X$ is a Souslin space is exactly the same problem as the study of $M(X, T, E)$ decompositions with generators ( $T, E$ ) obeying the requirements (3). There is always a variety of ways to choose a suitable generator for a given moment decomposition.

Example. The marginal and transshipment problem. Let $X=Y^{2}$ where $Y$ is a Souslin space. Denote by $\pi_{1}$ and $\pi_{2}$ the coordinate projections from $X$ onto $Y_{1}=Y$ and $Y_{2}=Y$, respectively, and by $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ the corresponding marginals $\pi_{1} P \in \mathcal{P}(Y)$ and $\pi_{2} P \in \mathcal{P}(Y)$ of a measure $P \in \mathcal{P}(X)$.

Consider decompositions marg $(X)$ and $\operatorname{trans}(X)$ of $\mathcal{P}(X)$ into the equivalence classes of probability measures $P$ with a fixed pair of marginals $\left(P_{1}, P_{2}\right)$ and with a fixed difference of marginals $P_{1}-P_{2}$, respectively. It is easy to see that both the marginal and transshipment decompositions are bounded countable moment decompositions since

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{marg}(X)=M(X, b m(L)) \text { where } b m(L)=\left\{f \circ \pi_{1}+g \circ \pi_{2}, f, g \in L\right\}, \\
& \operatorname{trans}(X)=M(X, b t(L)), \text { where } b t(L)=\left\{f \circ \pi_{1}-f \circ \pi_{2}, f \in L\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

for any $L$ in $\mathcal{B}(Y)$ that separates measures in $\mathcal{P}(Y)$ (i.e. $P=Q \bmod L$ if and only if $P=Q, P, Q \in \mathcal{P}(Y)$ ). Obviously, such a set $L$ may be chosen as a countable subset of $C(Y)$.

To construct nontrivial generators ( $T, E$ ) satisfying (3) for $\operatorname{marg}(X)$ and $\operatorname{trans}(X)$ put $E=(C(Y))^{\prime}$ and consider the space with its weak* topology (i.e. $E^{\prime} \cong C(Y)$ ) which is of course complete and locally convex as a closed set in $R^{C(Y)}$. Observe that the set $\mathcal{P}(Y)$ may be naturally embedded into $E$
(as a convex bounded Souslin subset). Denoting

$$
T_{1}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)=\varepsilon_{y_{1}}, T_{2}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)=\varepsilon_{y_{2}}, T\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)=\left(\varepsilon_{y_{1}}, \varepsilon_{y_{2}}\right) \text { for }\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right) \in X,
$$

where $\varepsilon_{y}$ is the point measure supported by $y$, we get continuous bounded maps $T: X \rightarrow E \times E$ and $T_{1}-T_{2}: X \rightarrow E$ such that $T(X)$ and $\left(T_{1}-T_{2}\right)(X)$ are obviously Souslin sets.

Straightforward calculations show that $E_{P}(T)=\left(P_{1}, P_{2}\right)$ and $E_{P}\left(T_{1}-\right.$ $\left.T_{2}\right)=P_{1}-P_{2}$ for each $P \in \mathcal{P}(X)$. Hence, $\operatorname{marg}(X)=M(X, T, E \times E)$ and $\operatorname{trans}(X)=M\left(X, T_{1}-T_{2}, E\right)$, where $(T, E \times E)$ and $\left(T_{1}-T_{2}, E\right)$ are the generators satisfying (3). Using these generators we get the corresponding convex representations in the form $S(X, T, E \times E)=\left\{\left(P_{1}, P_{2}\right), P \in \mathcal{P}(X)\right\}$ and $S\left(X, T_{1}-T_{2}, E\right)=\left\{P_{1}-P_{2}, P \in \mathcal{P}(X)\right\}$.
2. Convex Representations of Moment Decompositions. We will denote the set of extremal points of a convex set $S$ by ex $S$ and the closed convex hull of a set $H \subset E$ by $\overline{c o}(H)$. Recall that a subset $S$ of a locally convex space is called measure convex if for every $P \in \mathcal{P}(X)$ the barycenter $b(P)$ exists and belongs to $S$. From now on, we assume that the spaces $\mathcal{P}(\cdot)$ have the standard weak topology.

The following theorems provide topological properties of convex representations $S(X, T, E)$ attached to a countable bounded moment decomposition $M(X, B)$ via Theorem 1 (see also Theorems 1, 2 in S̆těpán (1994)).

Theorem 2. Assume that $X$ is a Souslin space and that the pair ( $T, E$ ) is such that (3) holds. Then $S=S(X, T, E)$ is a bounded, measure convex (hence convex) Souslin set in $E$ such that ex $(S) \subset T(X)$ and $S \subset \overline{c o}(T(X))$ hold. If moreover $X$ is a metrizable compact space and $T$ is a continuous map then $S$ is a compact metrizable space such that $S=\overline{c o}(T(X))$ holds.

Proof of the theorem. Observe first that each Borel probability measure on $X$ (resp. $T(X)$ ) belongs to $\mathcal{P}(X)$ (resp. $\mathcal{P}(T(X))$ ) by Theorem 10, p. 122 in Schwartz (1973), since both $X$ and $T(X)$ are Souslin sets. Hence by Theorem 12, p. 39 in Schwartz (1973)

$$
\begin{equation*}
T \circ(\mathcal{P}(X))=\mathcal{P}(T(X)) \text { and } S=b \circ \mathcal{P}(T(X)) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

(we let the symbol $T$ also denote the map $P \rightarrow T P$ from $\mathcal{P}(X)$ onto $\mathcal{P}(T(X))$ ). Here $T P$ denotes the measure in $\mathcal{P}(T(X))$ defined by $(T P)(B)=P\left(T^{-1}(B)\right)$, $B \in \mathcal{B}(X)$. Since $\mathcal{P}(T(X))$ is obviously a Souslin set and the barycenter map $b: \mathcal{P}(T(X)) \rightarrow S$ is an affine continuous surjection by Proposition 1.1.3, p. 16 in Winkler (1985), it follows by (4) that $S$ is also a Souslin set. According to 1.2.3 in Winkler (1985) it follows that $S$ is contained in $\overline{c o}(T(X))$, hence a bounded set in $E$.

Denote by $c: S \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(T(X))$ a universally measurable section $b: \mathcal{P}(T(X))$ $\rightarrow S(b \circ c$ is the identity map on $S)$, the existence of which follows by Theorem 13, p. 127 in Schwartz (1973). If $P$ is a measure in $\mathcal{P}(S)$, then $Q=\int_{S} c(s) P(d s)$ is a well defined measure in $\mathcal{P}(T(X))$ such that $b(P)=b(Q) \in S$ (the existence of $b()$ follows again by Proposition 1.1.3, p. 16 in Winkler (1985) since we have already proved that $S$ is a bounded set). Thus, $S$ is a measure convex set. It follows by Corollary 1.5.5, p. 49 in Winkler (1985) that for each $x \in e x(S)$ the point measure $\epsilon_{x}$ is the only measure in $\mathcal{P}(S)$ with the barycenter $x$. Hence $e x(S) \subset T(X)$.

Finally, assume that $X$ is a metrizable compact space and that $T: X \rightarrow E$ is a continuous map. Now it follows easily that $E(T): \mathcal{P}(X) \rightarrow S$ is a continuous surjection. Hence $S$ is a metrizable compact set (by Proposition 7.6 .3, p. 126 in Semadeni (1971)) so that $\overline{c o}(T(X)) \subset S$.

The next definition and Theorem may be helpful when trying to establish the identity of two bounded countable moment decompositions. They show the role played by their convex representations.

Let $S$ and $S_{1}$ be measure convex Souslin sets. A map $a: S \rightarrow S_{1}$ will be called measure affine if it is Borel measurable and if $a(b(P))=b(a P)$ for each $P \in \mathcal{P}(S)$, where $a P \in \mathcal{P}\left(S_{1}\right)$ denotes the image of $P$ under the map $a$. Note that a continuous map $a: S \rightarrow S_{1}$ is measure affine.

Remark 2. A measure affine map $a: S \rightarrow S_{1}$ is affine, and if it is a bijection then $a^{-1}: S_{1} \rightarrow S$ is measure affine too, according to Theorem 10 , p. 122 in $\operatorname{Sch} w a r t z ~(1973)$ and due to the fact that the equality $a^{-1}(b(a P))=$ $b\left(a^{-1}(a P)\right)$ which holds for each $P$ in $\mathcal{P}(S)$ implies that $a^{-1}\left(b\left(P_{1}\right)\right)=b\left(a^{-1} P_{1}\right)$ holds for each $P_{1}$ in $\mathcal{P}\left(S_{1}\right)$.

Theorem 3. Let $X$ be a Souslin space and $(T, E),\left(T_{1}, E_{1}\right)$ pairs satisfying (3). Then
(i) $M(X, T, E)$ is a finer decomposition than $M\left(X, T_{1}, E_{1}\right)$ if and only if there exists a measure affine surjection $a: S(X, T, E) \rightarrow S\left(X, T_{1}, E_{1}\right)$ such that $a \circ T=T_{1}$;
(ii) $M(X, T, E)=M\left(X, T_{1}, E_{1}\right)$ if and only if there exists a measure affine bijection $a: S(X, T, E) \rightarrow S\left(X, T_{1}, E_{1}\right)$ such that $a \circ T=T_{1}$.

Remark 3. Note that(ii) says that if we have a fixed generator $\left(T_{o}, E_{o}\right)$ of a bounded countable moment decomposition moment decomposition $M(X, B)$, then we may get all other generators $(T, E)$ by putting $T=a \circ T_{o}$, where $a: S\left(X, T_{o}, E_{o}\right) \rightarrow S$ is a measure affine bijection and $S$ is a bounded measure convex Souslin set in a complete locally convex space $E$.

Also note (see Theorem 2 in Štěpán (1994)) that if $X$ is a compact metrizable set and if both $T$ and $T_{1}$ are continuous maps, then (ii) reads as follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M(X, T, E)=M\left(X, T_{1}, E_{1}\right) \text { if and only if } \\
& \text { there exists a continuous affine bijection } \\
& a: S(X, T, E) \rightarrow S\left(X, T_{1}, E_{1}\right) \text { such that } a \circ T=T_{1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof of the Theorem. Assume first that there is a map $a$ with the properties stipulated by (i) and consider $P, Q \in P(X)$ such that $E_{P}(T)=$ $E_{Q}(T)$. Then using the measure affinity of the map $a$ we get

$$
E_{P}\left(T_{1}\right)=b(a(T P))=a\left(E_{P}(T)\right)=a\left(E_{Q}(T)\right)=E_{Q}\left(T_{1}\right)
$$

hence $M(X, T, E)$ is a finer decomposition than $M\left(X, T_{1}, E_{1}\right)$. Assume that $M(X, T, E)$ is finer than $M\left(X, T_{1}, E_{1}\right)$. Denote the maps $E(T)$ and $E\left(T_{1}\right)$ by $F$ and $F_{1}$, respectively. It is easy to see that putting

$$
\begin{equation*}
a(s)=F_{1}\left(F^{-1}(\{s\})\right) \text { for } s \in S\left(S=S(X, T, E), S_{1}=\left(X, T_{1}, E_{1}\right)\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

we obtain a well-defined surjective map $a: S \rightarrow S_{1}$ such that $a \circ T=T_{1}$. This is because $F\left(\epsilon_{x}\right)=T(x)$ and $F_{1}\left(\epsilon_{x}\right)=T_{1}(x)$ hold for each $x \in X$.

It follows by Lemma 11 and 12, p. 106 in Schwartz (1973) and Theorem 1 that $\operatorname{graph}(a)=\left\{\left(F(x), F_{1}(x)\right), x \in X\right\}$ is a Borel set in $S \times S_{1}$. Hence it follows from Corollary, p. 107 in Schwartz (1973) that $a$ is a Borel measurable map. To verify that $a: S \rightarrow S_{1}$ is a measure affine map we use Theorem 13 , p. 127 in Schwartz (1973) and again Theorem 1 to find universally measurable sections

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{(\cdot)}: S \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(X), Q_{(\cdot)}: S_{1} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(X) \text { such that } \\
& E_{P_{(s)}}(T)=s \text { and } E_{Q_{\left(s_{1}\right)}}\left(T_{1}\right)=s_{1} \\
& \text { hold for } s \in S \text { and } s_{1} \in S_{1}, \text { respectively. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, if $P$ is a measure in $\mathcal{P}(S)$, then

$$
m=\int_{S} P_{(s)} P(d s), \text { and } n=\int_{S_{1}} Q_{\left(s_{1}\right)}(a P)\left(d s_{1}\right)
$$

are measures in $\mathcal{P}(X)$ such that $E_{m}(T)=b(P), E_{n}\left(T_{1}\right)=b(a P)$ and $n=$ $\int_{S} Q_{(a(s))} P(d s)$ hold. Hence $E_{P_{(s)}}\left(T_{1}\right)=a(s)=E_{Q_{(a(s))}}\left(T_{1}\right)$ for $s \in S$ and therefore $E_{m}\left(T_{1}\right)=E_{n}\left(T_{1}\right)=b(a P)$. On the other hand, it follows from the assumption that $M(X, T, E)$ is a finer decomposition than $M\left(X, T_{1}, E_{1}\right)$ that $E_{m}\left(T_{1}\right)=E_{P_{(b(P))}}\left(T_{1}\right)$ holds. Finally, combining the above observations we get

$$
b(a P)=E_{m}\left(T_{1}\right)=E_{P(b(P))}\left(T_{1}\right)=a(b P)
$$

which shows that the map $a$ is measure affine.
To verify (ii) note that the relation (5) defines a bijective map $a: S \rightarrow S_{1}$ when $M(X, T, E)$ and $M\left(X, T_{1}, E_{1}\right)$ are identical decompositions; the equivalence (ii) is therefore a consequence of (i) and Remark 3.
3. Sets of Uniqueness. Assume again that $X$ is a Souslin space. A Borel set $D \subset X$ will be called a set of uniqueness for a bounded moment decomposition $M(X, B)$ if each member of the decomposition contains at most one measure $P \in \mathcal{P}(X)$ supported by $D$, i.e. $P \in \mathcal{P}(D)$. In other words $D$ is a set of uniqueness if and only if $M(D, B):=M(D, B \mid D)=\mathcal{P}(D)$.

Observe that the concept of a set of uniqueness is crucial when one is trying to characterize extremal (simplicial) measures in moment problems connected with bounded moment decompositions $M(X, B)$. See S̆těpán (1979), Linhartová (1991), Benes̆ (1992), S̆těpán (1993) in the context of marginal and transshipment problems.

Choquet theory and Theorem 1 may be used to get a characterization of sets of uniqueness (see also Theorem 3 in Štěpán (1994)).

Theorem 4. Let a pair ( $T, E$ ) satisfying (3) generate a bounded countable moment decomposition $M(X, B)$. Then a Borel set $D \subset X$ is a set of uniqueness for $M(X, B)$ if and only if
(a) the restriction of the map $T$ to the set $D$ is an injective map and
(b) $S(D, T, E):=S(D, T \mid D, E)$ is a simplex with ex $S(D, T, E)=T(D)$.

Remark 4. According to Theorem $2, S=S(D, T, E)$ is a bounded measure convex Souslin set in a locally convex space $E$. For such a set $S$ the set of extremal points $e x(S)$ is universally measurable and $S$ is a simplex if and only if every element $s \in S$ is the barycenter of one and only one measure $P \in \mathcal{P}(S)$ such that $P(e x S)=1$ according to Proposition 1.4.2(b), (c), p. 39 in Winkler (1985). By Theorem 2 again, $S=S(D, T, E)$ is a metrizable compact convex set if $D \subset X$ is a compact metrizable set and $T$ is a continuous map of $X$ into $E$ (i.e. bounded on $D$ ). Such a set $S$ is a simplex if and only if the cone $C=R^{+}(S \times\{1\}) \subset E \times R$ is lattice in its own order, according to Theorem 23.6 .5 , p. 420 in Semadeni (1971). Theorem 4 thus provides a purely algebraic characterization of compact metrizable sets of uniqueness $D \subset X$ for bounded countable moment decompositions $M(X, B)$ where $B$ is a set of continuous functions.

Proof of Theorem 4. Observe first that
$D$ is a set of uniqueness for $M(X, B)$ if and only if
$E(T): \mathcal{P}(D) \rightarrow S(D):=S(D, T, E)$ is a bijective map
since $M(D, B)=M(D, T, E):=M(D, T \mid D, E)$. Hence
$D$ is a set of uniqueness if and only if both
$T: \mathcal{P}(D) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(T D)$ and $b: \mathcal{P}(T(D)) \rightarrow S(D)$ are bijective maps
and therefore, according to Remark $4, D$ is a set of uniqueness if and only if (a) and (b) hold.

Corollary. Let $M(X, T, E)$ be a decomposition generated by $(T, E)$ satisfying (3) such that $E$ has a finite dimension $n$. Then a Borel set $D \subset X$ is a set of uniqueness for $M(X, T, E)$ if and only if
(a) the restriction of the map $T$ to the set $D$ is an injective map and
(b) $T(D)$ is a set of affinely independent points in $E$.

Hence, if $D$ is a set of uniqueness then $\operatorname{card}(D) \leq n+1$.
To derive this Corollary from Theorem 4 just observe that extremal points in a bounded measure affine Souslin simplex $S$ are affinely independent according to Remark 4 and that $\operatorname{card}(D)=\operatorname{card}(T(D)) \leq n+1$ when (a) and (b) hold.

Recalling the marginal and transshipment decompositions of $\mathcal{P}(X)$ introduced in the Example of Section 1, we may consider the generators ( $T, E \times E$ ) and ( $T_{1}-T_{2}, E$ ), respectively, and try to apply Theorem 4 when searching for sets of uniqueness in $\operatorname{marg}(X)$ and $\operatorname{trans}(X)$. The map $T$ is injective and $T(S)=e x(S(X, T, E \times E))$, so that a Borel set $D \subset X$ is a set of uniqueness for $\operatorname{marg}(X)$ if and only if the set of all available pairs of marginals $\left\{\left(P_{1}, P_{2}\right), P \in \mathcal{P}(D)\right\}$, is a simplex. The map $T_{1}-T_{2}$ is injective when avoiding the diagonal $d g(X)$ in $X$. Also, it is not difficult to show that

$$
\left(T_{1}-T_{2}\right)(X-d g(X))=e x\left(S\left(X-d g(X), T_{1}-T_{2}, E\right)\right)
$$

Hence, the sets of uniqueness for $\operatorname{trans}(X)$ are Borel sets $D$ disjoint from the diagonal (cf. Beneš (1992)) such that $S\left(D, T_{1}-T_{2}, E\right)$ is a simplex.
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