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In this paper we characterize the operations on distribution functions that are both derivable from functions on random variables defined on a common probability space and induced pointwise by functions from $[0,1]^{n}$ into $[0,1]$. We specify the class of functions on random variables from which the operations are derived and show that it includes all order statistics; and we give a description of the $n$-place functions from which these operations are induced pointwise. In addition, by way of illustration, we show that mixtures, which are induced pointwise, are not derivable.

1. Preliminary Concepts and Results. We shall denote by $\mathcal{D}$ the space of proper one-dimensional distribution functions (d.f.'s), i.e. the space of functions $F: \overline{\mathbf{R}}:=[-\infty,+\infty] \rightarrow[0,1]$ that are nondecreasing, left-continuous on $\mathbf{R}:=(-\infty,+\infty)$ and such that

$$
F(-\infty)=0=\lim _{x \rightarrow-\infty} F(x) \text { and } F(+\infty)=1=\lim _{x \rightarrow+\infty} F(x)
$$

An $n$-operation $\phi$ on $\mathcal{D}$ is a mapping from $\mathcal{D}^{n}:=\mathcal{D} \times \mathcal{D} \times \cdots \times \mathcal{D}$ into $\mathcal{D}$, i.e., a mapping that assigns a d.f. to every ordered collection of $n$ d.f.'s. If $X_{i}$ is a random variable (r.v.), we shall denote the distribution function of $X_{i}$ by $F_{i}, F_{X_{i}}$, or $d f\left(X_{i}\right)$, whichever is more convenient.

Definition 1.1. An $n$-operation $\phi$ on $\mathcal{D}$ is said to be derivable from a function on r.v.'s if there exists a Borel measurable function $V$ from $\overline{\mathbf{R}}^{n}$ into $\overline{\mathbf{R}}$ that
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satisfies the following condition: For every collection of $n$ d.f.'s $F_{1}, F_{2}, \cdots, F_{n}$ in $\mathcal{D}$, there exist a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, P)$ and an $n$-dimensional random vector $\boldsymbol{X}=\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, \cdots, X_{n}\right)$ on $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, P)$ whose one-dimensional marginals are $F_{1}, F_{2}, \cdots, F_{n}$, respectively, i.e. $d f\left(X_{i}\right)=F_{i}$, and such that $\phi\left(F_{1}, F_{2}, \cdots, F_{n}\right)$ is the d.f. of the r.v. $V\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, \cdots, X_{n}\right)$ whose value for any $\omega$ in $\Omega$ is given by $V\left(X_{1}(\omega), X_{2}(\omega), \cdots, X_{n}(\omega)\right)$.

Therefore, if $\phi$ is derivable, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi\left(F_{1}, F_{2}, \cdots, F_{n}\right)=F_{V\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, \cdots, X_{n}\right)} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every choice of $F_{1}, F_{2}, \cdots, F_{n}$ in $\mathcal{D}$, where $\boldsymbol{X}=\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, \cdots, X_{n}\right)$ has a distribution function belonging to the Fréchet class of $F_{1}, F_{2}, \cdots, F_{n}$.

Definition 1.2. An $n$-operation $\phi$ on $\mathcal{D}$ is said to be induced pointwise by an $n$-place function $\Phi$ from $[0,1]^{n}$ into $[0,1]$ if

$$
\phi\left(F_{1}, F_{2}, \cdots, F_{n}\right)(t)=\Phi\left[F_{1}(t), F_{2}(t), \cdots, F_{n}(t)\right]
$$

for every choice of $F_{1}, F_{2}, \cdots, F_{n}$ in $\mathcal{D}$ and for every $t$ in $\overline{\mathbf{R}}$.
To illustrate, the convolution $F_{1} \star F_{2} \star \cdots \star F_{n}$ of $n$ d.f.'s $F_{1}, F_{2}, \cdots, F_{n}$ is an $n$-operation on $\mathcal{D}$ which, since it may be viewed as the d.f. of the sum of $n$ independent r.v.'s, is derivable from the operation of addition. However, since the value $\left(F_{1} \star F_{2} \star \cdots \star F_{n}\right)(t)$ generally depends on more than the values $F_{1}(t), F_{2}(t), \cdots, F_{n}(t)$, this operation is not induced pointwise by any $n$-place function. In the other direction, the mixture $c F_{1}+(1-c) F_{2}, 0<c<1$, of two d.f.'s $F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$ is induced pointwise by the two-place function $\Phi(x, y)=$ $c x+(1-c) y$; but, as shown in Alsina and Schweizer (1988), this mixture is not derivable from any binary operation on $\mathcal{D}$.

In Alsina, Nelsen, and Schweizer (1993), the first and third authors of this paper, in collaboration with C. Alsina, characterized the class of those binary operations on $\mathcal{D}$ which are both induced pointwise and derivable from functions on random variables. In this paper we generalize these results to $n$-operations on $\mathcal{D}$. We provide a complete characterization (see Theorem 2.2) of the functions $V$ from which these $n$-operations are derived: this class includes the usual order statistics, and, indeed, its elements may be viewed as generalized order statistics. We also give a description (see Theorem 2.5) of the $n$-place functions from which these $n$-operations are induced pointwise.

To present our results we need a number of preliminary notions which are combinatorial in nature.

The set of vertices of the unit $n$-cube $[0,1]^{n}$ will be denoted by $J_{n}$, i.e. $J_{n}:=\left\{\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, \cdots, z_{n}\right) \mid z_{i}=0\right.$ or $\left.1,1 \leq i \leq n\right\}$. The set $J_{n}$ with the usual coordinate-wise partial ordering, given by $\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, \cdots, y_{n}\right) \leq\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, \cdots, z_{n}\right)$ if and only if $y_{i} \leq z_{i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$, is a lattice which, as is well-known, is isomorphic to the lattice $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{n})$ of all subsets of $\mathbf{n}=\{1,2, \cdots, n\}$ - the vertex $\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, \cdots, z_{n}\right)$ corresponding to the set of integers $i$ for which $z_{i}=1$. We let $\mathcal{F}_{n}$ denote the set of nondecreasing Boolean functions $f$ from $J_{n}$ onto $\{0,1\}$ and, for any $f \in \mathcal{F}_{n}$, we let $S_{f}$ denote the set $\left\{\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, \cdots, z_{n}\right) \in J_{n} \mid\right.$ $\left.f\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, \cdots, z_{n}\right)=1\right\}$. Note that since $f$ is onto $\{0,1\}$, the set $S_{f}$ is neither empty nor equal to $J_{n}$. Clearly any $f \in \mathcal{F}_{n}$ is completely determined by the (non-empty) set of minimal elements of $S_{f}$. This set is an antichain (any two elements are incomparable) which corresponds to a non-empty antichain in $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{n})$. Since any non-empty antichain in $J_{n} \backslash\{(0,0, \cdots, 0)\}$ can be taken as the set of minimal elements of the set $S_{f}$ associated with a nondecreasing Boolean function $f$ from $J_{n}$ onto $\{0,1\}$, it follows that $\mathcal{F}_{n}$, endowed with the usual pointwise partial ordering, is isomorphic to the set of non-empty antichains in $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{n}) \backslash\{\emptyset\}$, ordered by set inclusion (for details, see Kleitman (1969)).

While we shall give a complete description of the case $n=3$ in Section 3 , it is instructive to consider an example from that case now. Suppose that $h$ is the nondecreasing Boolean function from $J_{3}$ onto $\{0,1\}$ for which $S_{h}=$ $\{(0,0,1),(0,1,1),(1,0,1),(1,1,0),(1,1,1)\}$. The set of minimal elements of $S_{h}$ is $\{(0,0,1),(1,1,0)\}$; and the corresponding antichain in $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{3}) \backslash\{\emptyset\}$ is $\sigma=$ $\{\{3\},\{1,2\}\}$. Furthermore, it can be shown, Harrison (1965), that $h$ is given by the Boolean sum of products of the variables whose subscripts appear as members of the elements of $\sigma$; so that here $h\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}\right)=z_{3}+z_{1} z_{2}$. We shall return to this example throughout this section and the next.

Now let $\Psi_{n}$ be the set of all the functions $\Phi$ from $[0,1]^{n}$ into $[0,1]$ satisfying
(a) $\Phi$ is nondecreasing in each place on $[0,1]^{n}$ and left-continuous in each place on $(0,1]^{n}$;
(b) $\Phi(0,0, \cdots, 0)=0$ and $\Phi(1,1, \cdots, 1)=1$;
(c) $\Phi\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, \cdots, z_{n}\right)$ equals either 0 or 1 at every vertex $\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, \cdots, z_{n}\right) \in J_{n}$.

Note that the restriction of $\Phi$ to $J_{n}$ is an element of $\mathcal{F}_{n}$.
Definition 1.3. Two functions $\Phi_{1}$ and $\Phi_{2}$ in $\Psi_{n}$ are said to be vertexequivalent, and we write $\Phi_{1} \mathcal{E} \Phi_{2}$, if they take the same value at every vertex of $[0,1]^{n}$.

Clearly $\mathcal{E}$ is an equivalence relation on the set $\Psi_{n}$; and it follows at once from the above discussion that the quotient set $\Psi_{n} / \mathcal{E}$ is in one-to-one correspondence with $\mathcal{F}_{n}$. Thus the preceding discussion yields:

Lemma 1.4. The quotient set $\Psi_{n} / \mathcal{E}$ is in one-to-one correspondence with the set of non-empty antichains of $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{n}) \backslash\{\phi\}$.
2. Derivable Operations. We begin with the following:

Lemma 2.1. If $\phi$ is an $n$-operation on $\mathcal{D}$ which is derivable from $V: \overline{\mathbf{R}}^{n} \rightarrow$ $\overline{\mathbf{R}}$ and induced pointwise by $\Phi:[0,1]^{n} \rightarrow[0,1]$ then $\Phi$ belongs to $\Psi_{n}$.

Proof. Since $\phi$ is induced pointwise by $\Phi$, for all $F_{1}, F_{2}, \cdots, F_{n}$ in $\mathcal{D}$, and all $t$ in $\overline{\mathbf{R}}$, we have

$$
\phi\left(F_{1}, F_{2}, \cdots, F_{n}\right)(t)=\Phi\left[F_{1}(t), F_{2}(t), \cdots, F_{n}(t)\right]
$$

and since $\phi\left(F_{1}, F_{2}, \cdots, F_{n}\right)$ is in $\mathcal{D}$, setting, respectively, $t=-\infty$ and $t=+\infty$ yields $\Phi(0,0, \cdots, 0)=0$ and $\Phi(1,1, \cdots, 1)=1$. It is also easy to see that $\Phi$ is nondecreasing and left-continuous in each place.

Next, for any $x$ in $\mathbf{R}$, let $\varepsilon_{x}$ be the unit step function in $\mathcal{D}$ defined by

$$
\varepsilon_{x}(t)= \begin{cases}0, & t \leq x \\ 1, & t>x\end{cases}
$$

and for any $x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{n}$ in $\mathbf{R}$, consider the d.f.'s $\varepsilon_{x_{1}}, \varepsilon_{x_{2}}, \cdots, \varepsilon_{x_{n}}$. Since $\phi$ is derivable from $V$, there is a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, P)$ and a random vector $\mathbf{X}=\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, \cdots, X_{n}\right)$ on $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, P)$ such that $d f\left(X_{i}\right)=\varepsilon_{x_{i}}$, whence $X_{i}=x_{i}$ $P$-a.s. $(i=1,2, \cdots, n)$. It follows that $V\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, \cdots, X_{n}\right)$ is a r.v. which is equal to $V\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{n}\right) P$-a.s. Thus, for every $t \in \mathbf{R}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi\left(\varepsilon_{x_{1}}(t), \varepsilon_{x_{2}}(t), \cdots, \varepsilon_{x_{n}}(t)\right)=F_{V\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)}(t)=\varepsilon_{V\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)}(t) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now let $\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, \cdots, z_{n}\right)$ be an arbitrary vertex in $J_{n}$. Then it is clear that for an appropriate choice of $x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{n}$ and $t$ we have $\varepsilon_{x_{i}}(t)=z_{i}, i=1,2, \cdots, n$. (Indeed, we may let $x_{i}=1-z_{i}$ and $t=1 / 2$.) Thus, since $\varepsilon_{V\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)}(t)$ is either 0 or 1 , it follows that $\Phi$ belongs to $\Psi_{n}$.

Using Lemma 2.1, we can now give a representation of the Borel measurable functions $V$ from which the $\phi$ 's are derived.

Theorem 2.2. Let $\phi, V$ and $\Phi$ be as in Lemma 2.1. Let $\Phi / \mathcal{E}$ be the equivalence class of $\Phi$, let $f$ be the nondecreasing Boolean function in $\mathcal{F}_{n}$ that
corresponds to $\Phi / \mathcal{E}$, and let $\sigma$ be the corresponding antichain in $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{n}) \backslash\{\emptyset\}$. Then, for any $x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{n}$ in $\overline{\mathbf{R}}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
V\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)=\min \left\{\max \left\{x_{j} \mid j \in s\right\} \mid s \in \sigma\right\} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

For example, if $\sigma=\{\{3\},\{1,2\}\}$, then $V\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=\min \left\{x_{3}, \max \left\{x_{1}\right.\right.$, $\left.x_{2}\right\}$ \}.

Proof. First consider the case when $\sigma$ is a singleton, say $\sigma=\{s\}$. Then, since $f\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, \cdots, z_{n}\right)=0$ unless all the $z_{i}$ having subscripts in $s$ are equal to 1 , we have that

$$
\Phi\left(\varepsilon_{x_{1}}(t), \varepsilon_{x_{2}}(t), \cdots, \varepsilon_{x_{n}}(t)\right)= \begin{cases}0, & \text { if } t \leq \max \left\{x_{j} \mid j \in s\right\} \\ 1, & \text { if } t>\max \left\{x_{j} \mid j \in s\right\}\end{cases}
$$

from which, using (2.1), it follows that $V\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)=\max \left\{x_{j} \mid j \in s\right\}$. Now suppose that $\sigma$ has two elements, say $\sigma=\left\{s_{1}, s_{2}\right\}$. Then $f\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, \cdots, z_{n}\right)$ $=0$ unless all the $z_{i}$ having subscripts in $s_{1}$ are 1 , or all the $z_{i}$ having subscripts in $s_{2}$ are 1 , whence $\Phi\left(\varepsilon_{x_{1}}(t), \varepsilon_{x_{2}}(t), \cdots, \varepsilon_{x_{n}}(t)\right)$ is 0 as long as $t$ is less than or equal to the smaller of $\max \left\{x_{j} \mid j \in s_{1}\right\}, \max \left\{x_{j} \mid j \in s_{2}\right\}$ and $\Phi\left(\varepsilon_{x_{1}}(t), \varepsilon_{x_{2}}(t), \cdots, \varepsilon_{x_{n}}(t)\right)$ is 1 for any larger $t$. It follows that in this case $V=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)=\min \left\{\max \left\{x_{j} \mid j \in s_{1}\right\}, \max \left\{x_{j} \mid j \in s_{2}\right\}\right\}$. Continuing in the same fashion yields (2.2).

Note: It is convenient to view the composite function $\Phi\left(\varepsilon_{x_{1}}(t), \varepsilon_{x_{2}}(t), \cdots\right.$, $\left.\varepsilon_{x_{n}}(t)\right)$ as a "two-stage binary counter" and to consider the operation of this counter as $t$ increases from $-\infty$ to $+\infty$. For $t \leq \min \left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{n}\right\}$, we have $\varepsilon_{x_{1}}(t)=\varepsilon_{x_{2}}(t)=\cdots=\varepsilon_{x_{n}}(t)=0$, whence $\Phi\left(\varepsilon_{x_{1}}(t), \varepsilon_{x_{2}}(t), \cdots, \varepsilon_{x_{n}}(t)\right)=0$. As $t$ increases the $\varepsilon_{x_{i}}$ 's begin to jump from 0 to 1 in an order which is determined by the ordering of the $x_{i}$. The resulting arguments of $\Phi$ form a chain from $(0,0, \cdots, 0)$ to $(1,1, \cdots, 1)$ in $J_{n}$ - which has maximal length whenever the $x_{i}$ are distinct. Finally $\Phi\left(\varepsilon_{x_{1}}(t), \varepsilon_{x_{2}}(t), \cdots, \varepsilon_{x_{n}}(t)\right)$ jumps from 0 to 1 when this chain first hits the set $S_{f}$.

Corollary 2.3. When $S_{f}=\{(1,1, \cdots, 1)\}$, i.e., when $\sigma=\{\{1,2, \cdots, n\}\}$, we have $V\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)=\max \left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{n}\right\}$; when $S_{f}=J_{n} \backslash\{(0,0, \cdots, 0)\}$, i.e., when $\sigma=\{\{1\},\{2\}, \cdots,\{n\}\}$, we have $V\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)=\min \left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots\right.$, $\left.x_{n}\right\}$; and when $S_{f}=\left\{\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, \cdots, z_{n}\right) \in J_{n} \mid z_{j}=1\right\}$, i.e., when $\sigma=\{\{j\}\}$, we have $V\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)=x_{j}$.

Corollary 2.4. When $S_{f}$ consists of precisely the ordered $n$-tuples in $J_{n}$ with $k$ or more 1 's, $1 \leq k \leq n$, i.e., when $\sigma$ consists solely of the $\binom{n}{k}$
subsets of $\mathbf{n}$ of cardinality $k$ (so that $s \in \sigma$ if and only if $\operatorname{card}(s)=k$ ), then $V\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)$ is the $k$ th order statistic $x_{[k]}$. That is,

$$
V\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)=\min \left\{\max \left\{x_{j} \mid j \in s\right\} \mid \operatorname{card}(s)=k\right\}=x_{[k]}
$$

We note that $V\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)$ is an order statistic for the set $\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots\right.$, $\left.x_{n}\right\}$ whenever $\sigma$ is invariant under a permutation of the elements of $\mathbf{n}$, e.g., in cases (1), (11), and (18) of Table I. Whenever this holds for a proper subset $\mathbf{m}$ of $\mathbf{n}$, then we obtain the order statistics for the proper subset of $\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{n}\right\}$ whose elements have subscripts in $\mathbf{m}$, e.g., in cases (2) and (15) of Table I, where $\mathbf{m}=\{2,3\}$. However, not all of the $V$ 's given in (2.2) are order statistics for $\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{n}\right\}$ or one of its subsets; for example, $V\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=$ $\min \left\{x_{3}, \max \left\{x_{1}, x_{2}\right\}\right\}$ is not such an order statistic.

Using Theorem 2.2, we can obtain further information about the structure of the $n$-place functions $\Phi$. To this end, recall that if $\phi$ is derivable from $V$ and induced pointwise by $\Phi$, then for every $n$-tuple of d.f.'s $F_{1}, F_{2}, \cdots, F_{n}$ in $\mathcal{D}$ and every $t$ in $\overline{\mathbf{R}}$, we have

$$
\Phi\left[F_{1}(t), F_{2}(t), \cdots, F_{n}(t)\right]=F_{V\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, \cdots, X_{n}\right)}(t)
$$

where $X_{1}, X_{2}, \cdots, X_{n}$ are random variables defined on a common probability space and such that $d f\left(X_{i}\right)=F_{i}, i=1,2, \cdots, n$. Considering the functions $V$ listed in Corollary 2.3, we first have - trivially - that:

If $V\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)=x_{j}$, then $\Phi\left[F_{1}(t), F_{2}(t), \cdots, F_{n}(t)\right]=F_{j}(t)$.
If $V\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)=\max \left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{n}\right\}$, then as is well-known, $\Phi\left[F_{1}(t)\right.$, $\left.F_{2}(t), \cdots, F_{n}(t)\right]=H_{n}(t, t, \cdots, t)$, where $H_{n}$ is the $n$-dimensional joint d.f. of $X_{1}, X_{2}, \cdots, X_{n}$. Next, by Sklar's Theorem, Schweizer and Sklar (1983), Sklar (1959), we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{n}\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, \cdots, u_{n}\right)=C_{n}\left(F_{1}\left(u_{1}\right), F_{2}\left(u_{2}\right), \cdots, F_{n}\left(u_{n}\right)\right), \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{n}$ is the $n$-copula of $X_{1}, X_{2}, \cdots, X_{n}$, whence

$$
\Phi\left[F_{1}(t), F_{2}(t), \cdots, F_{n}(t)\right]=C_{n}\left(F_{1}(t), F_{2}(t), \cdots, F_{n}(t)\right)
$$

Consequently, for any $n$-tuple of d.f.'s $F_{1}, F_{2}, \cdots, F_{n}$, there exists an $n$-copula $C_{n}$ having the property that $\Phi$ agrees with $C_{n}$ on a "track in $[0,1]^{n}$ from $(0,0, \cdots, 0)$ to $(1,1, \cdots, 1)$," specifically, on the set $\left\{\left(F_{1}(t), F_{2}(t), \cdots, F_{n}(t)\right) \mid\right.$ $t \in \overline{\mathbf{R}}\}$. Thus, following the terminology introduced in Alsina, Nelsen, and

Schweizer (1993), we define an $n$-dimensional quasi-copula (briefly, an $n-q$ copula) to be a function on $[0,1]^{n}$ that agrees with some copula on every track in $[0,1]^{n}$ from $(0,0, \cdots, 0)$ to $(1,1, \cdots, 1)$. Then we have that:

If $V\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)=\max \left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{n}\right\}$, then $\Phi$ is an $n-q$-copula.

In the case $V\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)=\min \left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{n}\right\}$, indeed, in all the remaining cases, we need to appeal to the Inclusion-Exclusion Principle. Specifically, we have

Theorem 2.5. Let $\phi$ be an n-operation on $\mathcal{D}$ which is derivable from $V: \overline{\mathbf{R}}^{n} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{R}}$ and induced pointwise by $\Phi:[0,1]^{n} \rightarrow[0,1]$. Let $\Phi / \mathcal{E}$ be the equivalence class of $\Phi$, let $f$ be the nondecreasing Boolean function in $\mathcal{F}_{n}$ that corresponds to $\Phi / \mathcal{E}$, and let $\sigma$ be the corresponding antichain in $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{n}) \backslash\{\emptyset\}$. Let $F_{1}, F_{2}, \cdots, F_{n}$ be any collection of $n$ d.f.'s in $\mathcal{D}$, and for $s \subseteq \mathbf{n}$, let $v_{i}(s)=F_{i}(t)$ if $i \in s$ and $v_{i}(s)=1$ if $i \notin s$. Finally, let $U_{k}(\sigma)$ be the collection of all $\binom{|\sigma|}{k}$ unions of $k$ elements of $\sigma$. Then there exists an $n$-dimensional copula $C_{n}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi\left[F_{1}(t), F_{2}(t), \cdots, F_{n}(t)\right]=\sum_{k=1}^{|\sigma|}(-1)^{k+1} \sum_{s \in U_{k}(\sigma)} C_{n}\left(v_{1}(s), v_{2}(s), \cdots, v_{n}(s)\right) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, on the track $\left\{\left(F_{1}(t), F_{2}(t), \cdots, F_{n}(t)\right) \mid t \in \overline{\mathbf{R}}\right\}, \Phi$ agrees with the linear combination of $C_{n}$ and its margins given by the right-hand side of (2.4).

Note that the collection $U_{k}(\sigma)$ is a multiset, that is, it may have repeated elements; e.g., if $\sigma=\{\{1,2\},\{1,3\},\{2,3\}\}$, then $U_{2}(\sigma)=\{\{1,2,3\},\{1,2,3\}$, $\{1,2,3\}$.

Proof: From Definition 1.1, we know that there exist a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, P)$ and an $n$-dimensional random vector $\mathbf{X}=\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, \cdots, X_{n}\right)$ on $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, P)$ whose one-dimensional marginals are $F_{1}, F_{2}, \cdots, F_{n}$ respectively. Let $H_{n}$ denote the $n$-dimensional d.f. given by $H_{n}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)=P\left[X_{1}<\right.$ $\left.x_{1}, X_{2}<x_{2}, \cdots, X_{n}<x_{n}\right]$. Since $\Phi\left[F_{1}(t), F_{2}(t), \cdots, F_{n}(t)\right]=F_{V\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, \cdots, X_{n}\right)}(t)$ and $V\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, \cdots, X_{n}\right)=\min \left\{\max \left\{X_{j} \mid j \in s\right\} \mid s \in \sigma\right\}$, we have $\Phi\left[F_{1}(t), F_{2}(t)\right.$ $\left.\cdots, F_{n}(t)\right]=P\left[\bigvee_{s \in \sigma}\left\{\bigwedge_{j \in s}\left[X_{j}^{-1}(-\infty, t)\right]\right\}\right]$. Applying the Inclusion-Exclusion Principle now yields

$$
\Phi\left[F_{1}(t), F_{2}(t), \cdots, F_{n}(t)\right]=\sum_{k=1}^{|\sigma|}(-1)^{k+1} \sum_{s \in U_{k}(\sigma)} H_{n}\left(u_{1}(s), u_{2}(s), \cdots, u_{n}(s)\right)
$$

where $u_{i}(s)=t$ if $i \in s$ and $u_{i}(s)=+\infty$ if $i \notin s$. Invoking (2.3) now yields (2.4).

To illustrate (2.4), if $\sigma=\{\{3\},\{1,2\}\}$, then $|\sigma|=2$, so that $U_{1}(\sigma)=\sigma$ and $U_{2}(\sigma)=\{\{1,2,3\}\}$. Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi\left[F_{1}(t), F_{2}(t), F_{3}(t)\right]= & C_{3}\left(1,1, F_{3}(t)\right)+C_{3}\left(F_{1}(t), F_{2}(t), 1\right) \\
& -C_{3}\left(F_{1}(t), F_{2}(t), F_{3}(t)\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

which is equal to $P\left[X_{3}<t\right.$ or $\left(X_{1}<t\right.$ and $\left.\left.X_{2}<t\right)\right]$, as it should be, since $V\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=\min \left\{x_{3}, \max \left\{x_{1}, x_{2}\right\}\right\}$. (See case (14) in Table I.)

On specializing Theorems 2.2 and 2.5 to the case $n=2$, we obtain the principal result of Alsina and Schweizer (1988) as the following:

Corollary 2.6. Suppose that $\phi$ is a binary operation on $\mathcal{D}$ which is derivable from $V: \overline{\mathbf{R}}^{2} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{R}}$ and induced pointwise by $\Phi:[0,1]^{2} \rightarrow[0,1]$. Then precisely one of the following holds:
(a) $V(x, y)=\max \{x, y\}$ and $\Phi$ is a quasi-copula, i.e., for any $F_{1}, F_{2} \in \mathcal{D}$, there exists a copula $C_{2}$ such that $\Phi\left(F_{1}(t), F_{2}(t)\right)=C_{2}\left(F_{1}(t), F_{2}(t)\right)$ for all $t$ in $\mathbf{R}$;
(b) $V(x, y)=\min \{x, y\}$ and $\Phi$ is the dual of a quasi-copula, i.e., for any $F_{1}, F_{2} \in \mathcal{D}$, there exists a copula $C_{2}$ such that $\Phi\left(F_{1}(t), F_{2}(t)\right)=F_{1}(t)+$ $F_{2}(t)-C_{2}\left(F_{1}(t), F_{2}(t)\right)$ for all $t$ in $\mathbf{R}$;
(c) $V(x, y)=x$ and $\Phi(u, v)=u$; or
(d) $V(x, y)=y$ and $\Phi(u, v)=v$.
3. The Case $n=3$. In this section, to illustrate the situation relating the antichains $\sigma$, the Borel-measurable functions $V: \overline{\mathbf{R}}^{n} \rightarrow \bar{R}$, and the $n$-place functions $\Phi:[0,1]^{n} \rightarrow[0,1]$, we present the case $n=3$ in detail. The results for the 18 non-empty antichains of $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{3}) \backslash\{\emptyset\}$ appear in Table I. In the first column, we list the antichains; in the second column the functions $V$; and in the third column, for any $F_{1}, F_{2}, F_{3}$ in $\mathcal{D}$, we give the values $\Phi\left(F_{1}(t), F_{2}(t), F_{3}(t)\right)$ in terms of the 3 -copula $C_{3}$ with which $\Phi$ agrees on the $\operatorname{track}\left\{\left(F_{1}(t), F_{2}(t), F_{3}(t)\right) \mid t \in \overline{\mathbf{R}}\right\}$. Furthermore, $x \vee y=\max \{x, y\}$ and $x \wedge y=\min \{x, y\}$.

## Table I. The case $n=3$

| case | antichain | $V(x, y, z)$ | $\Phi\left(F_{1}(t), F_{2}(t), F_{3}(t)\right)=\Phi(a, b, c)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\{\{1,2,3\}\}$ | $x \vee y \vee z$ | $C_{3}(a, b, c)$ |
| 2 | $\{\{2,3\}\}$ | $y \vee z$ | $C_{3}(1, b, c)$ |
| 3 | $\{\{1,3\}\}$ | $x \vee z$ | $C_{3}(a, 1, c)$ |
| 4 | $\{\{1,2\}\}$ | $x \vee y$ | $C_{3}(a, b, 1)$ |
| 5 | $\{\{1,2\},\{1,3\}\}$ | $(x \vee y) \wedge(x \vee z)$ | $C_{3}(a, b, 1)+C_{3}(a, 1, c)-C_{3}(a, b, c)$ |
| 6 | $\{\{1,2\},\{2,3\}\}$ | $(x \vee y) \wedge(y \vee z)$ | $C_{3}(a, b, 1)+C_{3}(1, b, c)-C_{3}(a, b, c)$ |
| 7 | $\{\{1,3\},\{2,3\}\}$ | $(x \vee z) \wedge(y \vee z)$ | $C_{3}(a, 1, c)+C_{3}(1, b, c)-C_{3}(a, b, c)$ |
| 8 | $\{\{1\}\}$ | $x$ | $a$ |
| 9 | $\{\{2\}\}$ | $y$ | $b$ |
| 10 | $-\{\{3\}\}$ | $z$ | $c$ |
| 11 | $\{\{1,2\},\{1,3\}$, | $(x \vee y) \wedge(x \vee z)$ | $C_{3}(a, b, 1)+C_{3}(a, 1, c)+$ |
|  | $\{2,3\}\}$ | $\wedge(y \vee z)$ | $C_{3}(1, b, c)-2 C_{3}(a, b, c)$ |
| 12 | $\{\{1\},\{2,3\}\}$ | $x \wedge(y \vee z)$ | $a+C_{3}(1, b, c)-C_{3}(a, b, c)$ |
| 13 | $\{\{2\},\{1,3\}\}$ | $y \wedge(x \vee z)$ | $b+C_{3}(a, 1, c)-C_{3}(a, b, c)$ |
| 14 | $\{\{3\},\{1,2\}\}$ | $z \wedge(x \vee y)$ | $c+C_{3}(a, b, 1)-C_{3}(a, b, c)$ |
| 15 | $\{\{2\},\{3\}\}$ | $y \wedge z$ | $b+c-C_{3}(1, b, c)$ |
| 16 | $\{\{1\},\{3\}\}$ | $x \wedge z$ | $a+c-C_{3}(a, 1, c)$ |
| 17 | $\{\{1\},\{2\}\}$ | $x \wedge y$ | $a+b-C_{3}(a, b, 1)$ |
| 18 | $\{\{1\},\{2\},\{3\}\}$ | $x \wedge y \wedge z$ | $a+b+c-C_{3}(a, b, 1)-C_{3}(a, 1, c)-$ |
|  |  |  | $C_{3}(1, b, c)+C_{3}(a, b, c)$ |

## 4. Concluding Remarks and Open Problems.

1. Let $c_{1}, c_{2}, \cdots, c_{n}$ be such that $0<c_{i}<1$ and $c_{1}+c_{2}+\cdots+c_{n}=1$, and let $M_{n}:[0,1]^{n} \rightarrow[0,1]$ be defined by

$$
M_{n}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, \cdots, z_{n}\right)=c_{1} z_{1}+c_{2} z_{2}+\cdots+c_{n} z_{n}
$$

It is not true that $M_{n}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, \cdots, z_{n}\right)$ equals either 0 or 1 at every vertex of $\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, \cdots, z_{n}\right) \in J_{n}$. And, by Lemma 2.1, it follows from this simple observation that mixtures of d.f.'s are not derivable from operations on random variables defined on a common probability space.
2. In Alsina and Schweizer (1988) a two-dimensional quasi-copula that is not a two-dimensional copula was explicitly exhibited. Using this quasicopula, it is easy to construct $n$-dimensional quasi-copulas that are not copulas. Consider first the case $n=3$. Let $\Phi$ be the two-dimensional quasi-copula
constructed in Alsina and Schweizer (1988), let $\Phi^{\prime}$ be the mapping from $[0,1]^{3}$ onto $[0,1]$ given by

$$
\Phi^{\prime}(x, y, z)=z \Phi(x, y)
$$

and note that, since $\Phi^{\prime}(x, y, 1)=\Phi(x, y), \Phi^{\prime}$ is not a 3 -copula. Now let $B$ be any track in $[0,1]^{3}$ from $(0,0,0)$ to $(1,1,1)$. The projection $\beta$ of $B$ onto the $x y$-plane is a track in $[0,1]^{2}$ from $(0,0)$ to $(1,1)$; and since $\Phi$ is a quasi-copula, there exists a copula $C_{\beta}$ that coincides with $\Phi$ on $\beta$. By Theorem 6.6.3 of Schweizer and Sklar (1983), the mapping $C_{B}$ from [ 0,1$]^{3}$ onto [ 0,1$]$ given by

$$
C_{B}(x, y, z)=z C_{\beta}(x, y)
$$

is a 3 -copula. Clearly $C_{B}$ and $\Phi^{\prime}$ agree on $B$, hence $\Phi^{\prime}$ is a 3 -quasi-copula.
In general if, for any positive integers $m$ and $n, C_{m}$ is an $m$-copula and $C_{n}$ is an $n$-copula, then again by Theorem 6.6 .3 of Schweizer and Sklar (1983), the mapping $C_{m+n}$ from $[0,1]^{m+n}$ onto $[0,1]$ given by

$$
C_{m+n}\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{m+n}\right)=C_{m}\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{m}\right) C_{n}\left(x_{m+1}, \cdots, x_{m+n}\right)
$$

is an $(m+n)$-copula. A simple extension of the above argument then shows that if $\Phi_{n}$ is an $n$-quasi-copula $(n \geq 2)$ and $C_{m}$ is an $m$-copula, then the mapping $\Phi_{m+n}$ from $[0,1]^{m+n}$ onto $[0,1]$ given by

$$
\Phi_{m+n}\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{m+n}\right)=C_{m}\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{m}\right) \Phi_{n}\left(x_{m+1}, \cdots, x_{m+n}\right)
$$

is an $(m+n)$-quasi-copula but not an $(m+n)$-copula. (Note that if $m=1$, then necessarily $C_{m}(z)=z$.)
3. The number of order statistics of $\left\{X_{1}, X_{2}, \cdots, X_{n}\right\}$ and its subsets is known to be $n 2^{n-1}$. The problem of determining the number of derivable $n$-operations on $\mathcal{D}$ is equivalent to the problem of determining the number of nondecreasing Boolean functions from $J_{n}$ onto $[0,1]$. This problem dates back to Dedekind. It is known that for $n=1$ through $n=7$ these numbers are 1,4 , $18,166,7579,7828352$ and 2414682040996 , respectively. Asymptotic results are also known. For details, see Kleitman (1969) and Wegener (1987).
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