## Chapter 5

## Lecture 16

Example $1(e)$. We have $X_{i}$ iid $a e^{-b(x-\theta)^{4}}$, with $a, b>0$ chosen so that this is a density and $\operatorname{Var}_{\theta}\left(X_{i}\right)=1$. Then

$$
\ell_{\theta}(s)=\varphi_{0}(s) \exp \left\{b\left[\left(4 \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}^{3}\right) \theta-6\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}^{2}\right) \theta^{2}+4\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}\right) \theta^{3}\right]+A(\theta)\right\}
$$

which is not a one-parameter exponential family. It is called a "curved exponential family".

## Sufficient conditions for the Cramér-Rao and Bhattacharya inequalities

As usual, we have $\left(S, \mathcal{A}, P_{\theta}\right), \theta \in \Theta$, where $\Theta$ is an open subset of $\mathbb{R}^{1}$. $\mu$ is a fixed measure on $S$ and $d P_{\theta}(s)=\ell_{\theta}(s) d \mu(s)$.
Condition 1. $\ell_{\theta}(s)>0$ and $\delta \mapsto \ell_{\delta}(s)$ has, for each $s \in S$, a continuous derivative $\delta \mapsto \ell_{\delta}^{\prime}(s)$. Let

$$
\gamma_{\theta}^{(1)}(s)=\frac{\ell_{\theta}^{\prime}(s)}{\ell_{\theta}(s)}=L_{\theta}^{\prime}(s) .
$$

Condition 2. Given any $\theta \in \Theta$, we may find an $\varepsilon=\varepsilon(\theta)>0$ such that $E_{\theta}\left(m_{\theta}^{2}\right)<+\infty$, where

$$
m_{\theta}(s)=\sup _{|\delta-\theta| \leq \varepsilon}\left|\gamma_{\delta}^{(1)}(s)\right|
$$

- i.e., $m_{\theta} \in V_{\theta}$, which implies that $I(\theta)=E_{\theta}\left(\gamma_{\theta}^{(1)}\right)^{2}<+\infty$.

Condition 3. $I(\theta)>0$.
12E Exact statement of Cramér-Rao inequality: Under conditions 1-3 above, if $U_{g}$ is non-empty, then $g$ is differentiable and

$$
\operatorname{Var}_{\theta}(t) \geq \frac{\left(g^{\prime}(\theta)\right)^{2}}{I(\theta)} \forall \theta \in \Theta, t \in U_{g}
$$

Proof.
i.

$$
\Omega_{\delta, \theta}=\Omega_{\theta, \theta}+(\delta-\theta) \gamma_{\delta^{*}}^{(1)}=1+(\delta-\theta) \gamma_{\delta^{*}}^{(1)}
$$

for some $\delta^{*}$ between $\theta$ and $\delta$. By Condition $2, \Omega_{\delta, \theta} \in V_{\theta}$ for $|\delta-\theta|$ sufficiently small.
ii.

$$
\frac{\Omega_{\delta, \theta}(s)-1}{\delta-\theta}-\gamma_{\theta}^{(1)}(s)=\gamma_{\delta^{*}}^{(1)}(s)-\gamma_{\theta}^{(1)}(s) \rightarrow 0
$$

as $\delta \rightarrow \theta$ for all $s \in S$. (From Condition 1, $\gamma_{\delta}^{(1)}$ is continuous.) Also,

$$
\left|\gamma_{\delta^{*}}^{(1)}-\gamma_{\theta}^{(1)}\right| \leq 2 m_{\theta} \in V_{\theta}
$$

and hence $E_{\theta}\left(\gamma_{\delta^{*}}^{(1)}-\gamma_{\theta}^{(1)}\right)^{2} \rightarrow 0$ as $\delta \rightarrow \theta$ (by dominated convergence) i.e.,

$$
\frac{\Omega_{\delta, \theta}-1}{\delta-\theta} \xrightarrow{V_{\theta}} \gamma_{\theta}^{(1)} .
$$

From this it follows that $\gamma_{\theta}^{(1)} \in W_{\theta}$.
iii. Choose $t \in U_{g}$. If we let $(\cdot, \cdot)$ and $\|\cdot\|$ be the inner product and norm, respectively, in $V_{\theta}$, then $E_{\delta}(t)=E_{\theta}\left(t \Omega_{\delta, \theta}\right)=g(\delta)$ and so

$$
\left(t, \Omega_{\delta, \theta}-1\right)=g(\delta)-g(\theta) \Rightarrow\left(t-g(\theta), \Omega_{\delta, \theta}-1\right)=g(\delta)-g(\theta)
$$

(since $\left.E_{\theta}\left(\Omega_{\delta, \theta}-1\right)=0\right)$, whence

$$
\left(t-g(\theta), \frac{\Omega_{\delta, \theta}-1}{\delta-\theta}\right)=\frac{g(\delta)-g(\theta)}{\delta-\theta} \forall \delta \neq \theta .
$$

From (ii) $\frac{g(\delta)-g(\theta)}{\delta-\theta}$ has a finite limit $\left(t-g(\theta), \gamma_{\theta}^{(1)}\right)$ as $\delta \rightarrow \theta$. Thus $g$ is differentiable and $g^{\prime}(\theta)=\left(t-g(\theta), \gamma_{\theta}^{(1)}\right)$, so that $\left|g^{\prime}(\theta)\right| \leq\|t-g(\theta)\|\left\|\gamma_{\theta}^{(1)}\right\|$ - i.e., $\operatorname{Var}_{\theta}(t) \geq \frac{\left[g^{\prime}(\theta)\right]^{2}}{I(\theta)}$.

Note. To know that $\int_{S} \ell_{\theta}^{\prime} d \mu=0=\int_{S} \ell_{\theta}^{\prime \prime} d \mu$, it suffices to show that $\delta \ell_{\delta}^{\prime \prime}(s)$ exists and is continuous for each $s$ and that

$$
\int_{S}\left\{\max _{|\delta-\theta| \leq \varepsilon}\left|\ell_{\delta}^{\prime \prime}(s)\right|^{2}\right\} d \mu(s)<+\infty
$$

for some $\varepsilon=\varepsilon(\theta)>0$.
Note. Under Conditions 1-3, $\operatorname{Span}\left\{1, \gamma_{\theta}^{(1)}\right\}=W_{\theta}^{(1)} \subseteq W_{\theta}$ and $1 \perp \gamma_{\theta}^{(1)}$ in $V_{\theta}$. (Take $t \equiv 1$; then $\left(1, \Omega_{\delta, \theta}\right) \equiv 1$ and hence

$$
\left(1, \frac{\Omega_{\delta, \theta}-1}{\delta-\theta}\right)=0 \forall \delta \neq \theta
$$

Letting $\delta \rightarrow \theta$, we have that $\left(1, \gamma_{\theta}^{(1)}\right)=0$.)

Let $k$ be a positive integer.
Condition $1_{k}$. For each fixed $s, \theta \mapsto \ell_{\theta}(s)$ is positive and is $k$-times continuously differentiable.
Condition $2_{k}$. Given any $\theta \in \Theta$, we may find an $\varepsilon=\varepsilon(\theta)>0$ such that $E_{\theta}\left(m_{\theta}^{2}\right)<+\infty$, where

$$
m_{\theta}(s)=\sup _{|\delta-\theta| \leq \varepsilon}\left|\gamma_{\delta}^{(k)}(s)\right|
$$

(From the above, we have that $1 \perp \gamma_{\theta}^{(j)}$ for $j=1, \ldots, k$ - i.e., $E_{\theta}\left(\gamma_{\theta}^{(j)}\right)=0$.)
Let $\Sigma_{\theta}^{(k)}$ be the covariance matrix of $\left(\begin{array}{c}\gamma_{\theta}^{(1)} \\ \vdots \\ \gamma_{\theta}^{(k)}\end{array}\right)$.
Condition $3_{k} . \Sigma_{\theta}^{(k)}$ is positive definite.
11E. If conditions $1_{k}-3_{k}$ hold and $U_{g}$ is non-empty, then $g$ is $k$-times continuously differentiable and

$$
\operatorname{Var}_{\theta}(t) \geq b_{k}(\theta) \forall t \in U_{g}, \theta \in \Theta
$$

where $b_{k}(\theta)=h^{\prime}(\theta)\left[\Sigma_{\theta}^{(k)}\right]^{-1} h(\theta)$ and $h(\theta)=\left(\begin{array}{c}g^{(1)}(\theta) \\ \vdots \\ g^{(k)}(\theta)\end{array}\right) \quad$ (Of course $g^{(j)}=$ $\left.\frac{d^{j} g}{d \theta}.\right)$

Proof (outline). 1, $\gamma_{\theta}^{(1)}, \ldots, \gamma_{\theta}^{(k)} \in W_{\theta}$ and so $W_{\theta}^{(k)} \subseteq W_{\theta}$ and

$$
\operatorname{Var}_{\theta}(t) \geq\left\|t_{\theta, k}^{*}\right\|^{2}-[g(\theta)]^{2}
$$

## Lecture 17

Note.
i. $L_{\theta}^{\prime}, L_{\theta}^{\prime \prime}, \ldots$ are derivatives of $\log _{e} \ell_{\theta}$, but $\gamma_{\theta}^{(1)}=\ell_{\theta}^{\prime} / \ell_{\theta}, \gamma_{\theta}^{(2)}=\ell_{\theta}^{\prime \prime} / \ell_{\theta}, \ldots$ are not the same as $L_{\theta}^{\prime}, L_{\theta}^{\prime \prime}, \ldots$.
ii. Condition 2 in (12E) can be weakened slightly to:

Condition 2'. Given any $\theta \in \Theta$, we may find an $\varepsilon=\varepsilon(\theta)>0$ such that

$$
E\left[\frac{\max _{|\delta-\theta| \leq \varepsilon}\left|\ell_{\delta}^{\prime}(s)\right|}{\ell_{\theta}}\right]^{2}<+\infty .
$$

and condition $2_{k}$ in (11E) can be weakened to:

Condition $2{ }_{k}$. Given any $\theta \in \Theta$, we may find an $\varepsilon=\varepsilon(\theta)>0$ such that

$$
E\left[\frac{\max _{|\delta-\theta| \leq \varepsilon}\left|d^{k} \ell_{\delta}(s) / d \delta^{k}\right|}{\ell_{\theta}(s)}\right]^{2}<+\infty .
$$

iii. Suppose that $U_{g}$ is non-empty; then (8) implies that the projection of any $t \in U_{g}$ to $W_{\theta}$ is the (fixed) $\tilde{t} \in U_{g} \cap W_{\theta}$. Also, $t_{\theta, k}^{*}$ is the projection of any $t \in U_{g}$ to $W_{\theta}^{(k)}=\operatorname{Span}\left\{1, \gamma_{\theta}^{(1)}, \ldots, \gamma_{\theta}^{(k)}\right\} \subseteq W_{\theta}$ - i.e., $t_{\theta, k}^{*}$ is the (affine) "regression" of any $t \in U_{g}$ on $\left\{\gamma_{\theta}^{(1)}, \ldots, \gamma_{\theta}^{(k)}\right\}$. Thus

$$
t_{\theta, k}^{*}=g(\theta)+\alpha_{1} \gamma_{\theta}^{(1)}+\cdots+\alpha_{k} \gamma_{\theta}^{(k)}
$$

where $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{k}$ are determined as in our discussion of regression, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& b_{k}(\theta)=E_{\theta}\left(t_{\theta, k}^{*}\right)^{2}-[g(\theta)]^{2}=\operatorname{Var}_{\theta}\left(\alpha_{1} \gamma_{\theta}^{(1)}+\cdots+\alpha_{k} \gamma_{\theta}^{(k)}\right) \\
&=\left(\frac{d g}{d \theta}, \cdots, \frac{d^{k} g}{d \theta^{k}}\right)\left(\Sigma_{\theta}^{(k)}\right)^{-1}\left(\frac{d g}{d \theta}, \cdots, \frac{d^{k} g}{d \theta^{k}}\right)^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

by the regression formula.
iv.

$$
b_{1}(\theta) \leq b_{2}(\theta) \leq \cdots \leq b_{k}(\theta) \leq \cdots
$$

(where $b_{1}(\theta)$ is the C-R bound) because $W_{\theta}^{(k)} \subseteq W_{\theta}^{(k+1)}$. If we define $b(\theta):=$ $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} b_{k}(\theta)$, then

$$
b(\theta) \leq \operatorname{Var}_{\theta}(\tilde{t}),
$$

the actual lower bound at $\theta$ for an unbiased estimate of $g$. We have that $b(\theta)=\operatorname{Var}_{\theta}(\tilde{t})$ iff $\tilde{t} \in \operatorname{Span}\left\{1, \gamma_{\theta}^{(1)}, \gamma_{\theta}^{(2)}, \ldots\right\}$. This does hold for any $g$ with nonempty $U_{g}$ if the subspace spanned by $\left\{1, \gamma_{\theta}^{(1)}, \ldots, \gamma_{\theta}^{(k)}, \ldots\right\}$ is $W_{\theta}$. This sufficient condition for $b_{k} \rightarrow b$ and $t_{\theta, k}^{*} \rightarrow \tilde{t}$ is plausible since, by the Taylor expansion,

$$
\Omega_{\delta, \theta}=1+(\delta-\theta) \gamma_{\theta}^{(1)}+\frac{(\delta-\theta)^{2}}{2!} \gamma_{\theta}^{(2)}+\cdots
$$

It holds rigorously in the following case:
15. (One-parameter exponential family) Suppose that

$$
\ell_{\theta}(s)=C(s) e^{A(\theta)+B(\theta) T(s)}
$$

where $C(s)>0, T$ is a fixed statistic and $B$ is a continuous strictly monotone function on $\Theta \subseteq \mathbb{R}$; then, under Condition (*) below, we have
a. $W_{\theta}^{(k)}=\operatorname{Span}\left\{1, T, \ldots, T^{k}\right\}$ for $k=1,2,3, \ldots$.
b. $\operatorname{Span}\left\{1, T, T^{2}, \ldots\right\}=W_{\theta}($ under $\theta)$.
c. $W_{\theta}$ is the space of all Borel functions $f$ of $T$ such that $E_{\theta}(f(T))^{2}<+\infty$.
d. If $U_{g}$ is non-empty, then $b_{k}(\theta) \rightarrow b(\theta)=\operatorname{Var}_{\theta}(\tilde{t})$.
e. $\tilde{t}=E_{\theta}(t \mid T)$ for all $\theta \in \Theta$ and $t \in U_{g}$.
f. Sufficiency of $T$ : Given any $A \subseteq S$, we may find an $h(T)$ independent of $\theta$ such that $h(T)=P_{\theta}(A \mid T)$ for all $\theta \in \Theta$.

Proof. (f) follows from (e) by defining $g(\theta)=P_{\theta}(A)$ and $t=I_{A} \in U_{g}$ and applying (c).
(e) follows from (c) since projection to $W_{\theta}$ is then the same as taking conditional expectation.
(d) follows from (a) and (b) and the above notes.

It now remains only to prove (a)-(c). To this end, let $\xi=B(\delta)-B(\theta)$. Then $\xi$ is the parameter, and takes values in a neighborhood of 0 . We have

$$
\frac{d P_{\xi}}{d P_{0}}(s)=\frac{C(s) e^{A(\delta)+B(\delta) T(s)}}{C(s) e^{A(\theta)+B(\theta) T(s)}}=e^{\xi T(s)-K} .
$$

Suppose that
Condition ( ${ }^{*}$ ). $\xi=B(\delta)-B(\theta)$ takes all values in a neighborhood of 0 as $\delta$ varies in a neighborhood of $\theta$.
Under this condition,

$$
\int_{S} e^{\xi T(s)-K} d P_{0}(s)=\int_{S} d P_{\xi}(s)=1
$$

and hence the MGF of $T$ exists for $\xi$ in a neighborhood of 0 , and

$$
K=K(\xi)=\log _{e} \int e^{\xi T(s)} d P_{0}(s)
$$

is the cumulant generating function of $T$ under $P_{\theta}$.
Thus the family of probabilities on $S$ is $\left\{P_{\xi}: \xi\right.$ in a neighborhood of 0$\}$, where $d P_{\xi}(s)=e^{\xi T(s)-K(\xi)} d P_{0}(s)$ - i.e., a one-parameter exponential family with $\xi$ as the "natural" parameter and $T(s)$ as the "natural" statistic. $W_{\theta}=\operatorname{Span}\left\{\Omega_{\delta, \theta}\right.$ : $\delta \in \Theta\}$; the spanning set includes $\left\{e^{\xi T(s)-K(\xi)}: \xi\right.$ in a neighbourhood of 0$\}$, so $W_{\theta}$ contains the subspace spanned by $\left\{e^{\xi T}: \xi\right.$ in a neighborhood of 0$\}$. Now

$$
\frac{e^{\eta T}-e^{\xi T}}{\eta-\xi}=e^{\xi T}\left(\frac{e^{(\eta-\xi) T}-1}{\eta-\xi}\right)=e^{\xi T} \frac{\left(1+(\eta-\xi) T+\frac{1}{2}(\eta-\xi)^{2} T^{2} e^{\left(\eta^{*}-\xi\right) T}-1\right)}{\eta-\xi}
$$

for some $\eta^{*}$ between $\eta$ and $\xi$. We have, however, that $\frac{1}{2}(\eta-\xi) T^{2} e^{\left(\eta^{*}-\xi\right) T} \xrightarrow{L^{2}} 0$ since the MGFs of $T$ exist around 0 . Hence

$$
T e^{\xi T}=\lim _{\eta \rightarrow \xi} \frac{1}{\eta-\xi}\left(e^{\eta T}-e^{\xi T}\right) \in W_{\theta}
$$

Similarly, $T^{2} e^{\xi T}, T^{3} e^{\xi T}, \ldots$ are in $W_{\theta}$. Taking $\xi=0$, we get $\left\{1, T, T^{2}, \ldots\right\} \subseteq W_{\theta}$, so that the subspace spanned by $\left\{1, T, T^{2}, \ldots\right\}$ is in $W_{\theta}$; but this subspace is the subspace of all square-integrable Borel functions of $T$, so $\operatorname{Span}\left\{1, T, T^{2}, \ldots\right\}=$ $W_{\theta}$ actually, since each $\Omega_{\delta, \theta}$ is a (square-integrable Borel) function of $T$.

Example 2. Here $s=\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{N}\right), N$ the total number of trials in a Bernoulli sequence, and $\ell_{\theta}(s)=\theta^{T(s)}(1-\theta)^{N(s)-T(s)}$, where $T$, the total number of successes, is $X_{1}+X_{2}+\cdots+X_{N}$. In general, this is a curved exponential family.

In Example 2(a), since $N \equiv n$ (a constant),

$$
\ell_{\theta}=e^{n \log _{e}(1-\theta)+T \log _{e}(\theta /(1-\theta))},
$$

so that $T$ is sufficient and any function of $T$ is the UMVUE of its expected value. $C=\bigcap_{\theta \in \Theta} W_{\theta}$ is the set of all estimates of the form $f(T)$. The C-R bound $b_{1}$ is attained essentially only for $g(\theta)=-A^{\prime}(\theta) / B^{\prime}(\theta)=\theta$, i.e., for $g(\theta)=\alpha+\beta \theta$. The $k^{\text {th }}$ Bhattacharya bound $b_{k}$ is attained iff $g(\theta)$ is a polynomial of degree $k \leq n$. If $k>n$, then $b_{k}=b_{n}=b$.

## Lecture 18

Note. In the context of (15), it is sometimes necessary to look at the distribution of the (sufficient) statistic $T$. Suppose that we have found the distribution function of $T$ for a particular $\theta$ - say $F_{\theta}$; then $F_{\delta}$ is given by

$$
d F_{\delta}(x)=e^{[B(\delta)-B(\theta)] x+[A(\delta-A(\theta)]} d F_{\theta}(x),
$$

where $x=T(s)$ (so that the distributions of $T$ are a one-parameter exponential family with statistic the identity). (Please check, by computing, that $P_{\delta}(T \leq x)=: F_{\delta}(x)=$ …)
Example 2(a).

## Homework 4

1. $U_{g}$ is non-empty iff $g$ is a polynomial of degree $\leq n$ (in the case of Example 2(a)).
$W_{\theta}$ does not depend on $\theta$; it is the class of all functions of $\bar{X}$, and hence an estimate is a UMVUE of its expected value iff it is a function of $\bar{X}$.

$$
\operatorname{Var}_{\theta}(\bar{X})=\frac{\theta}{n}-\frac{\theta^{2}}{n}=: \sigma^{2}(\theta)
$$

We will show that $\sigma^{2}(\theta)$ has a UMVUE when $n \geq 2$. This UMVUE should be a function of $\bar{X}$. $\frac{\theta}{n}$ may be estimated by $\frac{\bar{X}}{n}$. How about $\theta^{2}$ ? Let

$$
t= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } X_{1} \text { and } X_{2}=1 \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

then $E_{\theta} t=\theta^{2}$. We know that the projection to $W_{\theta}$, which is $E_{\theta}(t \mid T)$, will give $\tilde{t}$ for $g(\theta)=\theta^{2}$. (Taking $E_{\theta}(t \mid T)$ is called "Blackwellization".)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E_{\theta}(t \mid T=k)=\frac{P_{\theta}(t=1, T=k)}{P_{\theta}(T=k)} \\
& =\frac{P_{\theta}\left(X_{1}=1=X_{2}, \text { exactly } k-2 \text { successes in subsequent } n-2 \text { trials }\right)}{} \begin{aligned}
& P_{\theta}(T=k) \\
&=\frac{\theta^{2}\binom{n-2}{k-2} \theta^{k-2}(1-\theta)^{n-k}}{\binom{n}{k} \theta^{k}(1-\theta)^{n-k}}=\frac{\binom{n-2}{k-2}}{\binom{n}{k}}=\frac{k(k-1)}{n(n-1)},
\end{aligned}
\end{aligned}
$$

which is independent of $\theta$, as expected. Thus

$$
\tilde{t}=\frac{T(T-1)}{n(n-1)},
$$

which is the UMVUE of $\theta^{2}$, and therefore $\sigma^{2}(\theta)$ may be estimated by

$$
\frac{\bar{X}}{n}-\frac{\bar{X}}{n}\left(\frac{n \bar{X}-1}{n-1}\right)=\frac{\bar{X}}{n}\left[1-\frac{n \bar{X}-1}{n-1}\right],
$$

which is a function of $\bar{X}$ and hence is the UMVUE of $\sigma^{2}(\theta)$.
Consider the odds ratio $g(\theta)=\frac{\theta}{1-\theta}$. This has no unbiased estimate. Since $\theta$ has MLE $\bar{X}, \hat{t}$, the MLE for this $g$, is $\frac{\bar{X}}{1-\bar{X}}$. Since $P_{\theta}(\bar{X}=1)=\theta^{n}>0$, we have $E_{\theta}(\hat{t})=+\infty$, so the expectation breaks down. If, however, $I(\theta)=\frac{n}{\theta(1-\theta)}$ is large i.e., $n$ is large - then

$$
\hat{t}=\bar{X}+\cdots+\bar{X}^{n}+\frac{\bar{X}^{n+1}}{1-\bar{X}}=\bar{X}+\cdots+\bar{X}^{n}+R_{n}
$$

where $R_{n}=\frac{\bar{X}^{n+1}}{1-\bar{X}}$. For each $\theta \in(0,1), R_{n}$ is very small with large probability, and

$$
\frac{R_{n}}{\theta^{n+1}} \rightarrow \frac{1}{1-\theta}
$$

in $P_{\theta}$-probability as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
Example 2(b) (Negative binomial sampling). Here

$$
\ell_{\theta}=\theta^{k}(1-\theta)^{N-k}=\exp \left\{k \log \frac{\theta}{1-\theta}+k \log (1-\theta) \cdot y\right\}
$$

where $y=N / k$, so that

$$
T=y, \quad A=k \log (\theta /(1-\theta)) \quad \text { and } \quad B=k \log (1-\theta)
$$

and hence $-A^{\prime}(\theta) / B^{\prime}(\theta)=1 / \theta$. Thus $E_{\theta}(y)=1 / \theta$ and $\operatorname{Var}_{\theta}(y)$ is the C-R bound, and the C-R bound is attained only for $g(\theta)=a+b / \theta$.

Now assume $k \geq 3$. We know (even for $k \geq 2$ ) that $\frac{k-1}{N-1}$ is an unbiased estimate of $\theta$. Since $\frac{k-1}{N-1}=\frac{k-1}{k y-1}$ is a function of $y$, it is in fact the UMVUE of $\theta$.

Let $\sigma^{2}(\theta)=\operatorname{Var}_{\theta}\left(\frac{k-1}{N-1}\right)$. Since $\tilde{t}=\frac{k-1}{N-1}$ is not a polynomial in $y$ - in fact, $\tilde{t} \notin W_{\theta, k} \forall k$ - we have (for $g(\theta)=\theta$ )

$$
b_{1}(\theta)<b_{2}(\theta)<\cdots<b_{k+1}(\theta)<\sigma^{2}(\theta)
$$

but $b_{k}(\theta) \rightarrow \sigma^{2}(\theta)$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. We can, however, find a UMVUE for $\sigma^{2}(\theta)$ (without knowing what the $b_{k} \mathrm{~s}$ are).

Suppose that we can find an unbiased estimate $u$ of $\theta^{2}$. Then $v=\tilde{t}^{2}-u$ is an unbiased estimate of $\sigma^{2}(\theta)\left(\sigma^{2}(\theta)=\operatorname{Var}_{\theta}(\tilde{t})=E_{\theta}\left(\tilde{t}^{2}\right)-\theta^{2}\right)$.

Let

$$
t= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } X_{1}=1=X_{2} \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Then (even at present) $E_{\theta}(t)=\theta^{2}$ and hence $u=E_{\theta}(t \mid N)$ (the Blackwellization of $t$ ) is the UMVUE of $\theta^{2}$ (when $k \geq 3$ ).

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left.E_{\theta}(t \mid N=m)=\frac{P_{\theta}\left(X_{1}\right.}{}=1=X_{2}, N=m\right) \\
& P_{\theta}(N=m) \\
&=\frac{\theta^{2}\binom{m-3}{k-3} \theta^{k-3}(1-\theta)^{m-k} \theta}{\binom{m-1}{k-1} \theta^{k-1}(1-\theta)^{m-k} \theta}=\frac{\binom{m-3}{k-3}}{\binom{m-1}{k-1}}=\frac{(k-1)(k-2)}{(m-1)(m-2)}
\end{aligned}
$$

- i.e., $u=\frac{(k-1)(k-2)}{(N-1)(N-2)}$ is the UMVUE of $\theta^{2}$, so that the UMVUE of $\sigma^{2}(\theta)$ is

$$
\left(\frac{k-1}{N-1}\right)^{2}-\frac{(k-1)(k-2)}{(N-1)(N-2)}=\frac{(k-1)(N-k)}{(N-1)^{2}(N-2)}
$$

## Homework 4

2. Does every polynomial in $\theta$ have an unbiased estimate? (Yes?) Does $\frac{\theta}{1-\theta}$ have an unbiased estimate? (No?)
