

## 8 Boolean algebras

In this section we consider the length of Borel hierarchies generated by a subset of a complete boolean algebra. We find that the generators of the complete boolean algebra associated with  $\alpha$ -forcing generate it in exactly  $\alpha + 1$  steps. We start by presenting some background information.

Let  $\mathbb{B}$  be a *cBa*, i.e, complete boolean algebra. This means that in addition to being a boolean algebra, infinite sums and products, also exist; i.e., for any  $C \subseteq \mathbb{B}$  there exists  $b$  (denoted  $\sum C$ ) such that

1.  $c \leq b$  for every  $c \in C$  and
2. for every  $d \in \mathbb{B}$  if  $c \leq d$  for every  $c \in C$ , then  $b \leq d$ .

Similarly we define  $\prod C = -\sum_{c \in C} -c$  where  $-c$  denotes the complement of  $c$  in  $\mathbb{B}$ .

A partial order  $\mathbb{P}$  is *separative* iff for any  $p, q \in \mathbb{P}$  we have

$$p \leq q \text{ iff } \forall r \in \mathbb{P} (r \leq p \text{ implies } q, r \text{ compatible}).$$

**Theorem 8.1** (*Scott, Solovay see [43]*) *A partial order  $\mathbb{P}$  is separative iff there exists a cBa  $\mathbb{B}$  such that  $\mathbb{P} \subseteq \mathbb{B}$  is dense in  $\mathbb{B}$ , i.e. for every  $b \in \mathbb{B}$  if  $b > 0$  then there exists  $p \in \mathbb{P}$  with  $p \leq b$ .*

It is easy to check that the  $\alpha$ -forcing  $\mathbb{P}$  is separative (as long as  $\mathcal{B}$  is infinite): If  $p \not\leq q$  then either

1.  $t_p$  does not extend  $t_q$ , so there exists  $s$  such that  $t_q(s) = B$  and either  $s$  not in the domain of  $t_p$  or  $t_p(s) = C$  where  $C \neq B$  and so in either case we can find  $r \leq p$  with  $r, q$  incompatible, or
2.  $F_p$  does not contain  $F_q$ , so there exists  $(s, x) \in (F_q \setminus F_p)$  and we can either add  $(s \hat{\ } n, x)$  for sufficiently large  $n$  or add  $t_r(s \hat{\ } n) = B$  for some sufficiently large  $n$  and some  $B \in \mathcal{B}$  with  $x \in B$  and get  $r \leq p$  which is incompatible with  $q$ .

The elegant (but as far as I am concerned mysterious) approach to forcing using complete boolean algebras contains the following facts:

1. for any sentence  $\theta$  in the forcing language

$$[\theta] = \sum \{b \in \mathbb{B} : b \Vdash \theta\} = \sum \{p \in \mathbb{P} : p \Vdash \theta\}$$

where  $\mathbb{P}$  is any dense subset of  $\mathbb{B}$ ,

2.  $p \Vdash \theta$  iff  $p \leq [\theta]$ ,
3.  $[\neg\theta] = -[\theta]$ ,
4.  $[\theta \wedge \psi] = [\theta] \wedge [\psi]$ ,

5.  $[\theta \vee \psi] = [\theta] \vee [\psi]$ ,

6. for any set  $X$  in the ground model,

$$[\forall x \in \check{X} \theta(x)] = \prod_{x \in X} [\theta(\check{x})].$$

Definitions. For  $\mathbb{B}$  a cBa and  $C \subseteq \mathbb{B}$  define:

$$\mathbb{I}_0^0(C) = C \text{ and}$$

$$\mathbb{I}_\alpha^0(C) = \{\prod \Gamma : \Gamma \subseteq \{-c : c \in \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} \mathbb{I}_\beta^0(C)\}\} \text{ for } \alpha > 0.$$

$$\text{ord}(\mathbb{B}) = \min\{\alpha : \exists C \subseteq \mathbb{B} \text{ countable with } \mathbb{I}_\alpha^0(C) = \mathbb{B}\}.$$

**Theorem 8.2** (Miller [73]) *For every  $\alpha \leq \omega_1$  there exists a countably generated ccc cBa  $\mathbb{B}$  with  $\text{ord}(\mathbb{B}) = \alpha$ .*

proof:

Let  $\mathbb{P}$  be  $\alpha$ -forcing and  $\mathbb{B}$  be the cBa given by the Scott-Solovay Theorem 8.1. We will show that  $\text{ord}(\mathbb{B}) = \alpha + 1$ .

Let

$$C = \{p \in \mathbb{P} : F_p = \emptyset\}.$$

$C$  is countable and we claim that  $\mathbb{P} \subseteq \mathbb{I}_\alpha^0(C)$ . Since  $\mathbb{B} = \mathbb{I}_1^0(\mathbb{P})$  this will imply that  $\mathbb{B} = \mathbb{I}_{\alpha+1}^0(C)$  and so  $\text{ord}(\mathbb{B}) \leq \alpha + 1$ .

First note that for any  $s \in T$  with  $r(s) = 0$  and  $x \in X$ ,

$$[x \in U_s] = \sum \{p \in C : \exists B \in \mathcal{B} \ t_p(s) = B \text{ and } x \in B\}.$$

By Lemma 7.3 we know for generic filters  $G$  that for every  $x \in X$  and  $s \in T^{>0}$

$$x \in U_s \iff \exists p \in G \ (s, x) \in F_p.$$

Hence  $[x \in U_s] = \langle \emptyset, \{(s, x)\} \rangle$  since if they are not equal, then

$$b = [x \in U_s] \Delta \langle \emptyset, \{(s, x)\} \rangle > 0,$$

but letting  $G$  be a generic ultrafilter with  $b$  in it would lead to a contradiction. We get that for  $r(s) > 0$ :

$$\langle \emptyset, \{(s, x)\} \rangle = [x \in U_s] = [x \in \bigcap_{n \in \omega} \sim U_{s \cdot n}] = \prod_{n \in \omega} \sim [x \in U_{s \cdot n}].$$

Remembering that for  $r(s \hat{\ } n) = 0$  we have  $[x \in U_{s \cdot n}] \in \mathbb{I}_1^0(C)$ , we see by induction that for every  $s \in T^{>0}$  if  $r(s) = \beta$  then

$$\langle \emptyset, \{(s, x)\} \rangle \in \mathbb{I}_\beta^0(C).$$

For any  $p \in \mathbb{P}$

$$p = \langle t_p, \emptyset \rangle \wedge \prod_{(s, x) \in F_p} \langle \emptyset, \{(s, x)\} \rangle.$$

So we have that  $p \in \mathbb{I}_\alpha^0(C)$ .

Now we will see that  $\text{ord}(\mathbb{B}) > \alpha$ . We use the following Lemmas.  
 $\mathbb{B}^+$  are the nonzero elements of  $\mathbb{B}$ .

**Lemma 8.3** *If  $r : \mathbb{P} \rightarrow \text{OR}$  is a rank function, i.e. it satisfies the Rank Lemma 7.4 and in addition  $p \leq q$  implies  $r(p) \leq r(q)$ , then if  $\mathbb{P}$  is dense in the cBa  $\mathbb{B}$  then  $r$  extends to  $r^*$  on  $\mathbb{B}^+$ :*

$$r^*(b) = \min\{\beta \in \text{OR} : \exists C \subseteq \mathbb{P} : b = \sum C \text{ and } \forall p \in C \ r(p) \leq \beta\}$$

and still satisfies the Rank Lemma.

proof:

Easy induction.

■

**Lemma 8.4** *If  $r : \mathbb{B}^+ \rightarrow \text{ord}$  is a rank function and  $E \subseteq \mathbb{B}$  is a countable collection of rank zero elements, then for any  $a \in \mathbb{I}_\gamma^0(E)$  and  $a \neq 0$  there exists  $b \leq a$  with  $r(b) \leq \gamma$ .*

proof:

To see this let  $E = \{e_n : n \in \omega\}$  and let  $\overset{\circ}{Y}$  be a name for the set in the generic extension

$$Y = \{n \in \omega : e_n \in G\}.$$

Note that  $e_n = \llbracket n \in \overset{\circ}{Y} \rrbracket$ . For elements  $b$  of  $\mathbb{B}$  in the complete subalgebra generated by  $E$  let us associate sentences  $\theta_b$  of the infinitary propositional logic  $L_\infty(P_n : n \in \omega)$  as follows:

$$\theta_{e_n} = P_n$$

$$\theta_{-b} = \neg\theta_b$$

$$\theta_{\prod R} = \bigwedge_{r \in R} \theta_r$$

Note that  $\llbracket Y \models \theta_b \rrbracket = b$  and if  $b \in \mathbb{I}_\gamma^0(E)$  then  $\theta_b$  is a  $\Pi_\gamma$ -sentence. The Rank and Forcing Lemma 7.5 gives us (by translating  $p \Vdash Y \models \theta_b$  into  $p \leq \llbracket Y \models \theta_b \rrbracket = b$ ) that:

For any  $\gamma \geq 1$  and  $p \leq b \in \mathbb{I}_\gamma^0(E)$  there exists a  $\hat{p}$  compatible with  $p$  such that  $\hat{p} \leq b$  and  $r(\hat{p}) \leq \gamma$ .

■

Now we use the lemmas to see that  $\text{ord}(\mathbb{B}) > \alpha$ .

Given any countable  $E \subseteq \mathbb{B}$ , let  $Q \subseteq X$  be countable so that for any  $e \in E$  there exists  $H \subseteq \mathbb{P}$  countable so that  $e = \sum H$  and for every  $p \in H$  we have  $\text{rank}(p, Q) = 0$ . Let  $x \in X \setminus Q$  be arbitrary; then we claim:

$$\llbracket x \in U_{\langle \rangle} \rrbracket \notin \mathbb{I}_\alpha^0(E).$$

We have chosen  $Q$  so that  $r(p) = \text{rank}(p, Q) = 0$  for any  $p \in E$  so the hypothesis of Lemma 8.4 is satisfied. Suppose for contradiction that  $\lfloor x \in U_{\langle \rangle} \rfloor = b \in \mathfrak{S}_\alpha^0(E)$ . Let  $b = \sum_{n \in \omega} b_n$  where each  $b_n$  is  $\mathfrak{I}_{\gamma_n}^0(C)$  for some  $\gamma_n < \alpha$ . For some  $n$  and  $p \in \mathbb{P}$  we would have  $p \leq b_n$ . By Lemma 8.4 we have that there exists  $\hat{p}$  with  $\hat{p} \leq b_n \leq b = \lfloor x \in U_{\langle \rangle} \rfloor$  and  $\text{rank}(\hat{p}, Q) \leq \gamma_n$ . But by the definition of  $\text{rank}(\hat{p}, Q)$  the pair  $(\langle \rangle, x)$  is not in  $F_{\hat{p}}$ , but this contradicts  $\hat{p} \leq b_n \leq b = \lfloor x \in U_{\langle \rangle} \rfloor = \langle \emptyset, \{(\langle \rangle, x)\} \rangle$ .

This takes care of all countable successor ordinals. (We leave the case of  $\alpha = 0, 1$  for the reader to contemplate.) For  $\lambda$  a limit ordinal take  $\alpha_n$  increasing to  $\lambda$  and let  $\mathbb{P} = \sum_{n < \omega} \mathbb{P}_{\alpha_n}$  be the direct sum, where  $\mathbb{P}_{\alpha_n}$  is  $\alpha_n$ -forcing. Another way to describe essentially the same thing is as follows: Let  $\mathbb{P}_\lambda$  be  $\lambda$ -forcing. Then take  $\mathbb{P}$  to be the subposet of  $\mathbb{P}_\lambda$  such that  $\langle \rangle$  doesn't occur, i.e.,

$$\mathbb{P} = \{p \in \mathbb{P}_\lambda : \neg \exists x \in X (\langle \rangle, x) \in F_p\}.$$

Now if  $\mathbb{P}$  is dense in the cBa  $\mathbb{B}$ , then  $\text{ord}(\mathbb{B}) = \lambda$ . This is easy to see, because for each  $p \in \mathbb{P}$  there exists  $\beta < \lambda$  with  $p \in \mathfrak{I}_\beta^0(C)$ . Consequently,  $\mathbb{P} \subseteq \bigcup_{\beta < \lambda} \mathfrak{I}_\beta^0(C)$  and so since  $\mathbb{B} = \mathfrak{S}_1^0(\mathbb{P})$  we get  $\mathbb{B} = \mathfrak{S}_\lambda^0(C)$ . Similarly to the other argument we see that for any countable  $E$  we can choose a countable  $Q \subseteq X$  such for any  $s \in T$  with  $2 \leq r(s) = \beta < \lambda$  (so  $s \neq \langle \rangle$ ) we have that  $\lfloor x \in U_s \rfloor$  is not  $\mathfrak{S}_\beta^0(E)$ . Hence  $\text{ord}(\mathbb{B}) = \lambda$ .

For  $\text{ord}(\mathbb{B}) = \omega_1$  we postpone until section 12.

■