

# APPROXIMATION OF CONTINUOUS ADDITIVE FUNCTIONALS

R. K. GETOOR  
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SAN DIEGO

## 1. Introduction

The purpose of this exposition is to give correct proofs of two well known and reasonably important propositions concerning continuous additive functionals. We adopt the terminology and notation of [1] throughout. We fix once and for all a standard process  $X = (\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}_t, X_t, \theta_t, P^x)$  with state space  $E$ . (See (I-9.2); all such references are to [1].)

The following two theorems are important facts about continuous additive functionals (CAF's) of such a process. (See (IV-2.21) or [2].)

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $A$  be a CAF of  $X$ . Then  $A = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A^n$  where each  $A^n$  is a CAF of  $X$  having a bounded one potential.*

Making use of Theorem 1, one can establish the following result. (See (V-2.1) or [2].)

**THEOREM 2.** *Suppose that  $X$  has a reference measure (that is, satisfies the hypothesis of absolute continuity). Then every CAF of  $X$  is equivalent to a perfect CAF.*

Unfortunately, the proofs known to me of Theorem 1 are not convincing. For example, the "proof" in [1] goes as follows. Let  $A$  be a CAF of  $X$ . Define

$$(1.1) \quad \varphi(x) = E^x \int_0^{\infty} e^{-t} e^{-A_t} dt.$$

Clearly,  $0 < \varphi \leq 1$  and  $\varphi$  is universally measurable; actually it is not difficult to see that  $\varphi$  is nearly Borel, but this is not required. Let  $R = \inf \{t: A_t = \infty\}$ . Then it is easy to check that  $R$  is a terminal time and that  $P^x(R > 0) = 1$  for all  $x$ . Obviously,  $\varphi(x) = E^x \int_0^R e^{-t} e^{-A_t} dt$ . Now if  $T$  is any stopping time,

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{aligned} E^x \{e^{-T} \varphi(X_T); T < R\} &= E^x \left\{ e^{-T} \int_0^{R \circ \theta_T} e^{-t} e^{-A_t \circ \theta_T} dt; T < R \right\} \\ &= E^x \left\{ e^{A_T} \int_T^R e^{-u} e^{-A_u} du; R < T \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

This research was partially supported by the Air Force Office of Scientific Research, Office of Aerospace Research, United States Air Force, under AFOSR Grant AF-AFOSR 1261-67.

and so using T15, Chapter VII, [3], one finds

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1.3) \quad U_A^1 \varphi(x) &= E^x \int_0^\infty e^{-t} \varphi(X_t) dA_t \\
 &= E^x \int_0^\infty e^{-t} \varphi(X_t) I_{(0,R)}(t) dA_t \\
 &= E^x \int_0^R e^{A_t} \int_t^R e^{-u} e^{-A_u} du dA_t \\
 &= E^x \int_0^R e^{-u} e^{-A_u} \left( \int_0^u e^{A_t} dA_t \right) du \\
 &= E^x \int_0^R e^{-u} (1 - e^{-A_u}) du \leq 1.
 \end{aligned}$$

Next let  $f_n$  be the indicator function of  $\{1/(n+1) < \varphi \leq 1/n\}$  for  $n \geq 1$ . Clearly,  $\sum f_n = 1$  and so if we define  $A_t^n = \int_0^t f_n(X_s) dA_s$ , then  $\sum A^n = A$ . Also,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1.4) \quad E^x \int_0^\infty e^{-t} dA_t^n &= E^x \int_0^\infty e^{-t} f_n(X_t) dA_t \\
 &\leq (n+1) E^x \int_0^\infty e^{-t} \varphi(X_t) dA_t \leq n+1.
 \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, each  $A^n$  is a CAF of  $X$  with a bounded one potential.

The *joker*, of course, comes in this last sentence; namely, although  $t \rightarrow A_t^n$  is continuous almost surely,  $A^n$  need not be an additive functional. To see the issue fix  $n$  and let  $B = A^n$  and  $f = f_n$ . Then

$$(1.5) \quad B_{t+s} = B_t + \int_0^s f(X_u) \circ \theta_t dA_{u+t}.$$

Now  $A_{u+t} = A_t + A_u \circ \theta_t$  and so if  $A_t < \infty$ ,  $dA_{u+t} = d(A_u \circ \theta_t)$  which yields

$$(1.6) \quad B_{t+s} = B_t + B_s \circ \theta_t$$

if  $A_t < \infty$ . Obviously, (1.6) holds if  $B_t = \infty$ , but there is no reason for (1.6) to hold on  $\{A_t = \infty; B_t < \infty\}$ . If  $A_t = \infty$ , then  $A_{u+t} = \infty$  for all  $u$  and so  $dA_{u+t} = 0$ . Therefore, although (1.6) need not hold, at least

$$(1.7) \quad B_{t+s} \leq B_t + B_s \circ \theta_t.$$

However, something of value can be salvaged from this discussion. Let  $f_n$  and  $A^n$  be as above. Note that

$$(1.8) \quad A_t^n = \int_0^t f_n(X_s) dA_s = \int_0^{t \wedge R} f_n(X_s) dA_s$$

since  $dA_s$  puts no mass on the interval  $[R, \infty]$ . In particular, each  $A^n$  is a CAF of  $(X, R)$  with a bounded one potential; recall that for  $B$  to be an additive functional of  $(X, R)$  we only require that  $B_{t+s} = B_t + B_s \circ \theta_t$  almost surely on  $\{R > t\}$  and that  $B$  is continuous at  $R$  and constant on  $[R, \infty]$ . Thus, we have proved the following lemma.

**LEMMA 1.1.** *Let  $A$  be a CAF of  $X$ . Then  $A = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A^n$ , where each  $A^n$  is a CAF of  $(X, R)$  having a bounded one potential.*

Most likely Lemma 1.1 would suffice in many situations. Still it is of interest to know that Theorem 1 is valid. The main purpose of this note is to present a proof of Theorem 1. It is not at all surprising that Lemma 1.1 will be used in our argument. Once Theorem 1 is established Theorem 2 follows as in [1]. However, because our proof of Theorem 1 is rather long, there is some interest in giving a direct proof of Theorem 2 which avoids an appeal to Theorem 1. We present such a proof in Section 2.

Although our proof of Theorem 1 is rather involved, all of the ideas and techniques that we will need are contained in Section V-5 of [1]. Since these techniques are of some interest in themselves and not particularly well known, it is perhaps worthwhile to present them here in a situation that is substantially simpler than that of Section V-5 of [1]. Consequently, we will give complete details even though this necessitates repeating certain arguments given in [1].

The key fact that we need is the following interesting result which is essentially (V-5.12).

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $T$  be the hitting time of a finely open nearly Borel set and let  $A$  be a CAF of  $(X, T)$  with a bounded one potential. Let  $\eta < 1$  and let  $K = \{x: E^x(e^{-T}) < \eta\}$ . Then there is a CAF,  $B$  of  $X$  with a bounded one potential such that for every  $x$  and  $f \in \mathcal{E}_+^*$  which vanishes off  $K$ , we have*

$$(1.9) \quad E^x \int_0^T e^{-t} f(X_t) dA_t = E^x \int_0^T e^{-t} f(X_t) dB_t.$$

Most likely this theorem is true for an arbitrary exact terminal time  $T$ , but our proof makes use of the fact that  $T$  is the hitting time of a finely open set. Of course, one could easily abstract the property of  $T$  needed for the proof to go through, but this would be of very little interest.

As mentioned before, Section 2 is devoted to a proof of Theorem 2. In Section 3 we prove Theorem 1 assuming Theorem 3, while in Section 4 we prove Theorem 3.

**2. Proof of Theorem 2**

We begin with some preliminary facts that will also be used in Section 3. We fix an additive functional  $A$  of  $X$  and for the moment we assume only that  $A$  has no infinite discontinuity. We assume without loss of generality that  $t \rightarrow A_t(\omega)$  is right continuous and nondecreasing for all  $\omega$ . Recall that  $A_0 = 0$  and  $t \rightarrow A_t$  is continuous at  $\zeta$ . We will usually omit the phrase “almost surely” in our

discussions. Let  $R = \inf \{t: A_t = \infty\}$ . By right continuity  $A_R = \infty$  if  $R < \infty$  and since  $A$  has no infinite discontinuity,  $A$  is continuous at  $R$  if  $R < \infty$ . Of course,  $A$  is continuous at  $R$  if  $R = \infty$  because  $A_\infty = \lim_{t \uparrow \infty} A_t$  by convention. It is easy to see that  $R$  is a terminal time and that  $P^x(R > 0) = 1$  for all  $x$ . Therefore,  $R$  is an exact terminal time. Let  $R_n = \inf \{t: A_t \geq n\}$ . Then each  $R_n$  is a stopping time and  $R_n < R$  when  $R < \infty$  because  $A$  has no infinite discontinuity. Clearly,  $\{R_n\}$  is increasing. Let  $T = \lim R_n \leq R$ . Since  $A(R_n) \geq n$  on  $\{R_n < \infty\}$ , it is clear that  $A(T) = \infty$  on  $\{T < \infty\}$ . Consequently,  $T = R$ . Thus,  $\{R_n\}$  is an increasing sequence of stopping times with limit  $R$  and  $R_n < R$  for all  $n$  if  $R < \infty$ . Let  $\psi(x) = E^x(e^{-R})$ . Because  $R$  is an exact terminal time  $\psi$  is 1-excessive and  $0 \leq \psi < 1$ . Let  $E_n = \{\psi > 1 - 1/n\}$ . Then each  $E_n$  is a finely open nearly Borel set, and the  $E_n$  decrease to the empty set. Finally, let  $T_n$  be the hitting time of  $E_n$ . The following lemma is well known. Since a more general and considerably more complicated version is given in [1], we will give the proof here even though only very standard techniques are involved.

LEMMA 2.1. *Using the above notation  $T_n \leq R$ ,  $\lim T_n = R$ , and  $T_n < R$  if  $R < \infty$ .*

PROOF. By the usual supermartingale considerations  $e^{-R_n}\psi(X_{R_n}) \rightarrow e^{-R}L$  where  $0 \leq L \leq 1$  and since  $R$  is a strong terminal time, we have, for any  $\Gamma \in \mathcal{F}_{R_k}$  and  $n \geq k$ ,

$$(2.1) \quad E^x\{e^{-R_n}\psi(X_{R_n}); \Gamma; R_n < R\} = E^x\{e^{-R}; \Gamma; R_n < R\}.$$

Letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we obtain

$$(2.2) \quad E^x\{e^{-R}L; \Gamma; R_n < R, \forall n\} = E^x\{e^{-R}; \Gamma; R_n < R, \forall n\}$$

for all  $\Gamma \in \vee \mathcal{F}_{R_k}$ . Let  $\Gamma = \{R < \infty\} \in \vee \mathcal{F}_{R_k}$ . Since  $R_n < R$  if  $R < \infty$ , we see that  $L = \lim \psi(X_{R_n}) = 1$  if  $R < \infty$  and since  $\psi$  is 1-excessive, this yields  $\lim_{t \uparrow R} \psi(X_t) = 1$  if  $R < \infty$ .

Now if  $0 \leq t < T_n$ ,  $\psi(X_t) \leq 1 - 1/n$ , and consequently  $T_n < R$  if  $R < \infty$  because  $\lim_{t \uparrow R} \psi(X_t) = 1$ . Hence,  $T_n \leq R$  and  $T_n < R$  if  $R < \infty$ . Also,  $\psi(X_{T_n}) \geq 1 - 1/n$  if  $T_n < \infty$  and so

$$(2.3) \quad E^x\{e^{-(R-T_n)}; T_n < R\} = E^x\{\psi(X_{T_n}); T_n < R\} \geq \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right) P^x(T_n < R).$$

Letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we see that  $\lim T_n = R$  on  $\{T_n < R; \forall n\}$ . But  $\lim T_n = R$  on  $\{T_n = R \text{ for some } n\}$ , and so Lemma 2.1 is established.

The importance of Lemma 2.1 is that the  $T_n$  are *hitting* times of finely open sets and hence are *perfect exact* terminal times.

We now are ready to prove Theorem 2. We assume that  $A$  is a CAF of  $X$  and we will use the notation developed above. Define  $B_t^n = A(t \wedge T_n)$ . Then each  $B^n$  is a CAF of  $(X, T_n)$  and  $B^n$  is finite on  $[0, T_n)$ ; this is clear if  $R < \infty$  because then  $T_n < R$  and it is true *a priori* if  $R = \infty$ . But  $I_{[0, T_n)}(t)$  is a perfect multiplicative functional of  $X$  and so it follows from (V-2.1) that each  $B^n$  is perfect. (The proof of (V-2.1) is valid for all CAF's of  $(X, M)$  which are finite on  $[0, S)$  where

$S = \inf \{t: M_t = 0\}$ .) As a result for each  $n$  there exists  $\Lambda_n \in \mathcal{F}$  with  $P^x(\Lambda_n) = 0$  for all  $x$  such that if  $\omega \notin \Lambda_n$ ,  $B_{t+s}^n = B_t^n + B_s^n \circ \theta_t I_{[0, T_n)}(t)$  identically in  $t$  and  $s$ . Let  $\Lambda_0 = \{\lim T_n \neq R\}$  and  $\Lambda = \bigcup_{n \geq 0} \Lambda_n$ . The proof of Theorem 2 is completed by observing that

$$(2.4) \quad \{A_{u+t} \neq A_t + A_u \circ \theta_t \text{ for some } t \text{ and } u\} \subset \Lambda.$$

**3. Proof of Theorem 1**

Let  $A$  be a CAF of  $X$ . Then by Lemma 1.1 we can write  $A = \Sigma A^n$  where each  $A^n$  is a CAF of  $(X, R)$  with a bounded one potential.

LEMMA 3.1. *Let  $B$  be a CAF of  $(X, R)$  with a bounded one potential. Then there exist CAF's  $B^n$  of  $X$ , each having a bounded one potential such that  $B_t = \Sigma B_t^n$  if  $t < R$ .*

Before coming to the proof of this lemma, let us use it to prove Theorem 1. Applying Lemma 3.1 to each  $A^n$ , we have

$$(3.1) \quad A_t = \sum_n A_t^n = \sum_n \sum_k A_t^{n,k} \quad \text{if } t < R.$$

where each  $A^{n,k}$  is a CAF of  $X$  with a bounded one potential. But if  $t \geq R$ ,  $A_t = \infty$ , and since the double sum in (3.1) is monotone in  $t$ , it also must be infinite if  $t \geq R$ . Thus, (3.1) holds for all  $t$  establishing Theorem 1.

It remains to prove Lemma 3.1. We do this assuming Theorem 3 which will be proved in Section 4. As in Section 2 let  $\psi(x) = E^x(e^{-R})$  and let  $T_n$  be the hitting time of the finely open set  $E_n = \{\psi > 1 - 1/n\}$ . Then  $T_n \uparrow R$  according to Lemma 2.1. Let  $G_n = \{\psi \leq 1 - 1/n\}$  and let  $\varphi_n(x) = E^x(e^{-T_n})$ . Next define  $K^{n,k} = \{\varphi_n < 1 - 1/k\}$ . It is immediate that  $K^{n,k}$  increases with both  $n$  and  $k$ , and so if we let  $K_n = K^{n,n}$  then  $K_n \subset G_n$  for each  $n$  and  $\bigcup K_n = E$ . Now  $t \rightarrow B(t \wedge T_n)$  is a CAF of  $(X, T_n)$  with a bounded one potential and so by Theorem 3 there exists a CAF,  $C^n$ , of  $X$  with a bounded one potential such that if  $f \in \mathcal{C}_\#^*$  and vanishes off  $K_n$  then

$$(3.2) \quad E^x \int_0^{T_n} e^{-t} f(X_t) dB_t = E^x \int_0^{T_n} e^{-t} f(X_t) dC_t^n.$$

We need the following compatibility relationship: if  $f \geq 0$  vanishes off  $K_n$ , then for all  $m$

$$(3.3) \quad E^x \int_0^{T_m} e^{-t} f(X_t) dC_t^m = E^x \int_0^{T_m} e^{-t} f(X_t) dB_t.$$

Suppose firstly that  $m < n$ . It follows from (3.2) that

$$(3.4) \quad \bar{B}_t = \int_0^{t \wedge T_n} I_{K_n}(X_u) dB_u, \quad \bar{C}_t = \int_0^{t \wedge T_n} I_{K_n}(X_u) dC_u^n$$

define CAF's of  $(X, T_n)$  with the same bounded one potential. Consequently, by the uniqueness theorem for CAF'S,  $\bar{B} = \bar{C}$  (that is,  $\bar{B}$  and  $\bar{C}$  are equivalent). But  $T_m \leq T_n$  and hence (3.3) holds if  $m < n$ .

Next suppose that  $m > n$ . Then  $K_n \subset G_n \subset G_m$ . Recall that  $E_m = E - G_m$  and  $T_m$  is the hitting time of  $E_m$ . Let  $S$  be the hitting time  $K_n \cup E_m$  and define stopping times as follows:  $S_0 = 0$ ,

$$(3.5) \quad S_{2k+1} = S_{2k} + T_n \circ \theta_{S_{2k}}, \quad S_{2k+2} = S_{2k+1} + S \circ \theta_{S_{2k+2}},$$

for  $k \geq 0$ . Then  $\{S_k\}$  forms an increasing sequence of stopping times and since  $E_m$  is finely open,  $S_k \leq T_m$  for all  $k$ . Also,  $X(S_{2k}) \in K_n$  if  $S_{2k} < T_m$  and using the definition of  $K_n$  this yields

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{aligned} E^x \{e^{-S_{2k+1}}; S_{2k+1} < T_m\} &\leq E^x \{\exp \{-(S_{2k} + T_n \circ \theta_{S_{2k}})\}; S_{2k} < T_m\} \\ &\leq (1 - 1/n) E^x \{e^{-S_{2k}}; S_{2k} < T_m\} \\ &\leq (1 - 1/n) E^x \{e^{-S_{2k-1}}; S_{2k-1} < T_m\}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,  $\lim S_k = T_m$ . But  $f$  vanishes off  $K_n$  and  $X_t \notin K_n$  if  $S_{2k+1} \leq t < S_{2k+2}$ . As a result using (3.2), we obtain

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{aligned} E^x \int_0^{T_m} e^{-t} f(X_t) dB_t &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} E^x \int_{S_{2k}}^{S_{2k+1}} e^{-t} f(X_t) dB_t \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} E^x \left\{ e^{-S_{2k}} E^{X(S_{2k})} \int_0^{T_n} e^{-t} f(X_t) dB_t \right\} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} E^x \left\{ e^{-S_{2k}} E^{X(S_{2k})} \int_0^{T_n} e^{-t} f(X_t) dC_t^n \right\} \\ &= E^x \int_0^{T_m} e^{-t} f(X_t) dC_t^n. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, (3.3) is established since it reduces to (3.2) when  $m = n$ .

Now disjoint the  $K_n$ :  $J_1 = K_1, \dots, J_n = K_n - \cup_{j < n} K_j$ . Thus,  $\{J_n\}$  is a disjoint sequence of nearly Borel sets such that  $\cup J_n = E$  and  $J_n \subset K_n$  for each  $n$ . Define

$$(3.8) \quad B_t^n = \int_0^t I_{J_n}(X_s) dC_s^n.$$

Each  $B^n$  is a CAF of  $X$  with a bounded one potential. Let  $C_t = \sum B_t^n$  and let

$f \in \mathcal{E}_+^*$ . Then for each  $n$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.9) \quad E^x \int_0^{T_n} e^{-t} f(X_t) dC_t &= \sum_k E^x \int_0^{T_n} e^{-t} (fI_{J_k})(X_t) dC_t^k \\
 &= \sum_k E^x \int_0^{T_n} e^{-t} (fI_{J_k})(X_t) dB_t \\
 &= E^x \int_0^{T_n} e^{-t} f(X_t) dB_t,
 \end{aligned}$$

and letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we obtain

$$(3.10) \quad E^x \int_0^R e^{-t} f(X_t) dC_t = E^x \int_0^R e^{-t} f(X_t) dB_t.$$

Since  $R > 0$  almost surely, this implies that  $t \rightarrow C_t$  is finite on  $[0, R)$  and it is then easy to see that  $C$  is a CAF of  $X$ . Once again the uniqueness theorem for CAF's tells us that  $B_t = C_t$  if  $t < R$ . But  $C = \Sigma B^n$  where each  $B^n$  is a CAF of  $X$  with a bounded one potential, and so Lemma 3.1 is established.

**4. Proof of Theorem 3**

The proof of Theorem 3 is rather long and so we will break it up into several lemmas. We refer the reader to Section 1 for the statement of Theorem 3. We begin with some notation that will be used throughout the proof. Let  $G$  be the finely open set such that  $T = T_G$ . Let  $\psi(x) = E^x(e^{-T})$ . Then  $K = \{\psi < \eta\}$  where  $\eta < 1$  and  $K \subset \{\psi \leq \eta\} \subset E - G$ . Define  $T_0 = 0$  and for  $n \geq 0$

$$(4.1) \quad T_{2n+1} = T_{2n} + T \circ \theta_{T_{2n}}, \quad T_{2n+2} = T_{2n+1} + T_K \circ \theta_{T_{2n+1}}.$$

Thus,  $\{T_n\}$  is an increasing sequence of stopping times, and for any  $x$  and  $n \geq 1$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.2) \quad E^x\{e^{-T_{2n+1}}; T_{2n} < \infty\} &= E^x\{e^{-T_{2n}}\psi(X_{T_{2n}}); T_{2n} < \infty\} \\
 &\leq \eta E^x\{e^{-T_{2n}}; T_{2n} < \infty\} \\
 &\leq \eta E^x\{e^{-T_{2n-1}}; T_{2n-2} < \infty\}
 \end{aligned}$$

because  $\psi(X_{T_{2n}}) \leq \eta$  if  $T_{2n} < \infty$  and  $n \geq 1$ . As a result  $\lim T_n = \infty$ .

Suppose for the moment that there is a CAF,  $B$  of  $X$  for which the conclusion of Theorem 3 holds. If we define

$$(4.3) \quad u(x) = E^x \int_0^T e^{-t} I_K(X_t) dA_t = U_A^1 I_K(x),$$

then because  $X_t \notin K$  if  $T_{2n-1} \leq t < T_{2n}$  we can compute  $U_B^1 I_K(x)$  as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.4) \quad U_B^1 I_K(x) &= E^x \int_0^\infty e^{-t} I_K(X_t) dB_t \\
 &= \sum_{n=0}^\infty E^x \int_{T_{2n}}^{T_{2n+1}} e^{-t} I_K(X_t) dB_t \\
 &= \sum_{n=0}^\infty E^x \left\{ e^{-T_{2n}} E^{X(T_{2n})} \int_0^T e^{-t} I_K(X_t) dB_t \right\} \\
 &= \sum_{n=0}^\infty E^x \{ e^{-T_{2n}u}(X_{T_{2n}}) \}.
 \end{aligned}$$

The main part of the proof of Theorem 3 consists in showing that if we *define*

$$(4.5) \quad w(x) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty E^x \{ e^{-T_{2n}u}(X_{T_{2n}}) \},$$

then  $w$  is a regular one potential of  $X$ , and hence the one potential of CAF of  $X$ . By hypothesis,  $u$  is bounded and since

$$(4.6) \quad w(x) \leq \|u\| \sum_{n=0}^\infty E^x(e^{-T_{2n}}) \leq \|u\| \sum_{n=0}^\infty \eta^n < \infty.$$

$w$  is also bounded.

LEMMA 4.1. *Let  $K$  be as above. Then  $w = P_K^1 w$ .*

PROOF. For typographical simplicity let  $Q = T_K$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.7) \quad P_K^1 w(x) &= E^x \{ e^{-Q} w(X_Q) \} \\
 &= \sum_{n=0}^\infty E^x \{ \exp \{ -(Q + T_{2n} \circ \theta_Q) \} u(X_{Q+T_{2n} \circ \theta_Q}) \}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Break each summand into an integral over  $\{Q < T_1\}$  and over  $\{Q \geq T_1\}$ . A straightforward induction argument shows that if  $k \geq 1$ ,  $Q + T_k \circ \theta_Q = T_k$  on  $\{Q < T_1\}$ . On the other hand if  $Q \geq T_1$ , then  $Q = T_2$ . But then  $Q + T_1 \circ \theta_Q = T_2 + T \circ \theta_{T_2} = T_3$  and again one sees by induction that for  $k \geq 0$ ,  $Q + T_k \circ \theta_Q = T_{k+2}$  if  $Q \geq T_1$ . Consequently,

$$(4.8) \quad P_K^1 w(x) = E^x \{ e^{-Q} u(X_Q); Q < T_1 \} + \sum_{n=1}^\infty E^x \{ e^{-T_{2n}u}(X_{T_{2n}}) \}.$$

Therefore,

$$(4.9) \quad w(x) - P_K^1 w(x) = u(x) - E^x \{ e^{-Q} u(X_Q); Q < T_1 \}.$$

But  $T_1 = T$ ,  $Q = T_K$ , and using the definition of  $u$  (see (4.3)), we obtain

$$(4.10) \quad E^x \{ e^{-Q} u(X_Q); Q < T_1 \} = E^x \int_0^T e^{-t} I_K(X_t) dA_t = u(x).$$

Therefore,  $w = P_K^1 w$ , completing the proof of Lemma 4.1.

LEMMA 4.2. *If  $J$  is any compact set, then  $P_J^1 w \leq w$ .*

PROOF. Let  $S = T_J + Q \circ \theta_{T_J}$  where  $Q = T_K$  as before. Now  $X_S \in K \cup K'$  if  $S < \infty$ . But  $X_t \notin K \cup K'$  if  $T_{2n+1} \leq t < T_{2n+2}$ , and so  $\{S < \infty\} = \cup_n \{T_{2n} \leq S < T_{2n+1}\}$ . Also, it is easy to check by induction that for  $k \geq 0$ ,  $T_{k+2} = T_2 + T_k \circ \theta_{T_2}$ . Hence,

$$(4.11) \quad \begin{aligned} w(x) &= u(x) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E^x \{e^{-T_{2n}} u(X_{T_{2n}})\} \\ &= u(x) + E^x \{e^{-T_2} w(X_{T_2})\}. \end{aligned}$$

Again one checks that for  $k \geq 1$ ,  $S + T_k \circ \theta_S = T_k$  if  $S < T_1$ . Now  $\{S < T_1\} \in \mathcal{F}_{T_1} \subset \mathcal{F}_{T_2}$  and so

$$(4.12) \quad \begin{aligned} E^x \{e^{-S} w(X_S); S < T_1\} \\ = E^x \{e^{-S} u(X_S); S < T_1\} + E^x \{e^{-T_2} w(X_{T_2}); S < T_1\}. \end{aligned}$$

Using (4.11) and the fact that  $u$  is one  $(X, T)$  excessive, we obtain

$$(4.13) \quad E^x \{e^{-S} w(X_S); S < T_1\} + E^x \{e^{-T_2} w(X_{T_2}); S \geq T_1\} \leq w(x).$$

We next prove by induction that for all  $n \geq 1$ .

$$(4.14) \quad w(x) \geq E^x \{e^{-S} w(X_S); S < T_{2n}\} + E^x \{e^{-T_{2n}} w(X_{T_{2n}}); S \geq T_{2n}\}.$$

If  $n = 1$ , this reduces to (4.13) because  $S$  lies in some interval  $[T_{2k}, T_{2k+1})$  when  $S$  is finite. Assume (4.14) for a fixed value of  $n$ . The second summand on the right side of (4.14) may be written

$$(4.15) \quad E^x \{e^{-S} w(X_S); S = T_{2n}\} + E^x \{e^{-T_{2n}} w(X_{T_{2n}}); S > T_{2n}\}.$$

It is immediate that if  $T_{2n} < S$  then  $T_{2n-1} < T_J$ . Recall that  $S = T_J + Q \circ \theta_{T_J}$  and  $T_{2n} = T_{2n-1} + Q \circ \theta_{T_{2n-1}}$ . But this together with the fact that  $K$  is finely open implies that  $T_{2n} < T_J$  if  $T_{2n} < S$ . Consequently,  $T_{2n} + S \circ \theta_{T_{2n}} = S$  if  $T_{2n} < S$ . Combining these observations with (4.13), we obtain

$$(4.16) \quad \begin{aligned} E^x \{e^{-T_{2n}} w(X_{T_{2n}}); S > T_{2n}\} \\ \geq E^x \{e^{-T_{2n}} E^{X(T_{2n})} [e^{-S} w(X_S); S < T_1]; S > T_{2n}\} \\ + E^x \{e^{-T_{2n}} E^{X(T_{2n})} [e^{-T_2} w(X_{T_2}); S \geq T_1]; S > T_{2n}\} \\ = E^x \{e^{-S} w(X_S); T_{2n} < S < T_{2n+1}\} \\ + E^x \{e^{-T_{2n+2}} w(X_{T_{2n+2}}); S \geq T_{2n+1}\}. \end{aligned}$$

But  $\{T_{2n} < S < T_{2n+1}\} = \{T_{2n} < S < T_{2n+2}\}$  and  $\{S \geq T_{2n+1}\} = \{S \geq T_{2n+2}\}$ . As a result (4.14) holds with  $n$  replaced by  $n + 1$ , and hence it holds for all  $n \geq 1$ . Now  $\lim T_n = \infty$  and so letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in (4.14), we obtain  $w \geq P_S^1 w$ . But  $P_S^1 w = P_J^1 P_K^1 w = P_J^1 w$  since  $w = P_K^1 w$  by Lemma 4.1, completing the proof of Lemma 4.2.

LEMMA 4.3. *The function  $w$  is 1-excessive.*

PROOF. In light of Lemma 4.2 and Dynkin's theorem (II-5.3), it will suffice to show that  $\liminf_{t \downarrow 0} P_t^1 w(x) \geq w(x)$  for all  $x$ . Suppose first of all that  $x$  is not regular for  $K$ . Then almost surely  $P^x, t + Q \circ \theta_t = Q$  for  $t$  sufficiently small, and since  $w = P_K^1 w$  this yields

$$\begin{aligned} (4.17) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} P_t^1 w(x) &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} P_t^1 P_K^1 w(x) \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} E^x \{ \exp \{ -(t + Q \circ \theta_t) \} w(X_{t+Q \circ \theta_t}) \} \\ &= P_K^1 w(x) = w(x). \end{aligned}$$

Suppose on the other hand that  $x$  is regular for  $K$ . Then  $P^x(t < T) \rightarrow 1$  as  $t \rightarrow 0$  and so using (4.11) with  $T = T_1$ ,

$$(4.18) \quad \begin{aligned} P_t^1 w(x) &\geq E^x \{ e^{-t} w(X_t); t < T \} \\ &= E^x \{ e^{-t} u(X_t); t < T \} + E^x \{ e^{-T_2} w(X_{T_2}); t < T \}. \end{aligned}$$

Because  $u$  is 1 -  $(X, T)$  excessive this approaches  $u(x) + E^x \{ e^{-T_2} w(X_{T_2}) \} = w(x)$  as  $t \rightarrow 0$ , completing the proof of Lemma 4.3.

LEMMA 4.4. *The function  $w$  is a regular one potential.*

PROOF. We must show that if  $\{S_n\}$  is an increasing sequence of stopping times with limit  $S$ , then  $P_{S_n}^1 w \rightarrow P_S^1 w$ . It follows from (IV-3.6) and (IV-3.8) that we need consider only the case  $S_n = T_{B_n}$  where  $\{B_n\}$  is a decreasing sequence of nearly Borel sets. In particular each  $S_n$  is a strong terminal time and consequently so is their limit  $S$ . In checking that  $P_{S_n}^1 w(x) \rightarrow P_S^1 w(x)$ , we may assume that  $P^x(S_n > 0) = 1$  since if  $S_n = 0$  for all  $n$  the conclusion is obvious. Now fix  $x$  and let

$$(4.19) \quad a_{n,k} = E^x \{ e^{-S_n} w(X_{S_n}); T_k < S_n \leq T_{k+1} \}$$

and

$$(4.20) \quad a_k = E^x \{ e^{-S} w(X_S); T_k < S \leq T_{k+1} \}.$$

Then  $P_{S_n}^1 w(x) = \sum_k a_{n,k}$  and  $P_S^1 w(x) = \sum_k a_k$ . It will suffice to show that for each  $k$ ,  $a_{n,k} \rightarrow a_k$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  because  $\sum_{k \geq N} a_{n,k} \leq \|w\| E^x(e^{-T_N}) \rightarrow 0$  as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ . Suppose first of all that  $k$  is even, say  $k = 2j$ . If  $R$  is any strong terminal time then on  $\{T_{2j} < R \leq T_{2j+1}\}$  we have  $R = T_{2j} + R \circ \theta_{T_{2j}}$ , and also because  $T$  is the hitting time of a finely open set  $R + T_2 \circ \theta_R = T_{2j+2}$ . Now using (4.11), we obtain for any strong terminal time  $R$

$$\begin{aligned} (4.21) \quad &E^x \{ e^{-R} w(X_R); T_{2j} < R \leq T_{2j+1} \} \\ &= E^x \{ e^{-R} u(X_R); T_{2j} < R; R \circ \theta_{T_{2j}} \leq T \circ \theta_{T_{2j}} \} \\ &\quad + E^x \{ e^{-R} E^{X(R)} [e^{-T_2} w(X_{T_2})]; T_{2j} < R \leq T_{2j+1} \} \\ &= E^x \{ e^{-T_{2j}} E^{X(T_{2j})} [e^{-R} u(X_R)]; R \leq T; T_{2j} < R \} \\ &\quad + E^x \{ e^{-T_{2j+2}} w(X_{T_{2j+2}}); T_{2j} < R \leq T_{2j+1} \}. \end{aligned}$$

In (4.21), we may replace  $R$  by either  $S_n$  or  $S$ . Observe that the set  $\{T_{2j} < S_n\}$  approaches the set  $\{T_{2j} < S\}$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and that  $\{T_{2j} < S_n \leq T_{2j+1}\}$  approaches  $\{T_{2j} < S \leq T_{2j+1}\}$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Now  $u$  is a regular one potential of  $(X, T)$  since it is the one potential of a CAF of  $(X, T)$ , and  $u(X_T) = 0$  because  $X_T$  is regular for  $G$ ; recall  $T = T_G$  with  $G$  finely open. As a result for any  $y$

$$(4.22) \quad \begin{aligned} E^y\{e^{-S_n u}(X_{S_n}); S_n \leq T\} &= E^y\{e^{-S_n u}(X_{S_n}); S_n < T\} \\ &\rightarrow E^y\{e^{-S u}(X_S); S < T\} \\ &= E^y\{e^{-S u}(X_S); S \leq T\}, \end{aligned}$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Consequently,  $a_{n,2j} \rightarrow a_{2j}$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Next consider the case in which  $k$  is odd, say  $k = 2j + 1$ . Using the fact that  $w = P_K^1 w$ , we obtain

$$(4.23) \quad a_{n,2j+1} = E^x\{\exp\{-S_n + T_K \circ \theta_{S_n}\} w(X_{S_n + T_K \circ \theta_{S_n}}); T_{2j+1} < S_n \leq T_{2j+2}\}$$

and a similar expression for  $a_{2j+1}$  with  $S_n$  replaced by  $S$ . But on  $\{T_{2j+1} < S_n \leq T_{2j+2}\}$  we have  $S_n + T_K \circ \theta_{S_n} = T_{2j+2}$  while on  $\{T_{2j+1} < S \leq T_{2j+2}\}$ ,  $S + T_K \circ \theta_S = T_{2j+2}$  because  $K$  is finely open. From this and the fact that  $S_n \uparrow S$ , it is immediate that  $a_{n,2j+1} \rightarrow a_{2j+1}$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . This completes the proof of Lemma 4.4.

We are now prepared to complete the proof of Theorem 3. Since  $w$  is a regular one potential there is a CAF,  $B$  of  $X$  such that  $w = U_B^1 1$ , that is,  $w$  is the one potential of  $B$ . Now  $D_t = B_{t \wedge T}$  is a CAF of  $(X, T)$  and

$$(4.24) \quad U_D^1 1(x) = E^x \int_0^T e^{-t} dB_t = w(x) - E^x\{e^{-T_1} w(X_{T_1})\}.$$

From Lemma 4.1

$$(4.25) \quad E^x\{e^{-T_1} w(X_{T_1})\} = E^x\{e^{-T_2} w(X_{T_2})\},$$

and so by (4.11),  $U_D^1 1 = u$ . Hence,  $D$  and  $t \rightarrow \int_0^t I_K(X_u) dA_u$  are equivalent CAF's of  $(X, T)$ . Therefore,  $E^x \int_0^T e^{-t} f(X_t) dA_t = E^x \int_0^T e^{-t} f(X_t) dB_t$  if  $f$  vanishes off  $K$ , completing the proof of Theorem 3.

REFERENCES

[1] R. M. BLUMENTHAL and R. K. GETTOOR, *Markov Processes and Potential Theory*, New York, Academic Press, 1968.  
 [2] C. DOLÉANS-DADE, "Fonctionnelles additives parfaites," *Séminaire de Probabilités II Université de Strasbourg. Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, Vol. 51, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, Springer-Verlag, 1968.  
 [3] P. A. MEYER, *Probability and Potentials*, Waltham, Blaisdell, 1966.