

ROOTS OF THE ONE-SIDED N -SHIFT

J. R. BLUM¹

UNIVERSITY OF NEW MEXICO

H. D. BRUNK² and D. L. HANSON²

UNIVERSITY OF MISSOURI

1. Introduction and summary

In his booklet on ergodic theory [1] Halmos raises the question of the existence of p -th roots of measure-preserving transformations, and more specifically the question of the existence of p -th roots of the N -shifts (see problem 4 on page 97). On page 56 of the same book he indicates that if $N = k^2$, then the N -shift has a square root. Clearly, essentially the same argument shows that if $N = k^p$, then the N -shift has a p -th root.

The main purpose of this paper is to show that the one-sided N -shift has a p -th root if and only if $N = k^p$ for some positive integer k . The problem of the existence of roots seems to be more difficult for the bilateral N -shift than for the one-sided N -shift. At least our methods involve the many-to-one nature of the one-sided N -shift and its roots, and cannot be used on the bilateral shifts.

2. Notation

The following symbols will be used:

- N is a positive integer;
- $\Omega = \{\omega = (\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots) | \omega_i \in \{0, 1, \dots, N-1\} \text{ for all } i\}$;
- Σ is the smallest σ -field containing all sets of the form $\{\omega | \omega_i = k\}$;
- P is a probability measure on (Ω, Σ) defined so that the sequence $\{\omega_k\}$ of coordinate projection random variables is an independent sequence, and so that $P\{\omega | \omega_i = k\} = 1/N$ for $k = 0, 1, \dots, N-1$ and all i ;
- T is the one-sided N -shift defined by $T(\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots) = (\omega_2, \omega_3, \dots)$;
- Σ^0 is the subcollection of 2^Ω consisting of all subsets of sets (in Σ) of measure zero;
- $\Sigma^* = \{E_1 + E_2 | E_1 \in \Sigma \text{ and } E_2 \in \Sigma^0\}$. This is a σ -field;
- P^* is the completion of P to Σ^* ;
- $\omega + j/N = (\omega'_1, \omega_2, \dots)$ where $\omega = (\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots)$, $0 \leq \omega'_1 \leq N-1$, and $\omega'_1 = \omega_1 + j \pmod{N}$.

¹ Research supported by NSF Grant GP-1816.

² Research supported by the Air Force Office of Scientific Research, Office of Aerospace Research, U. S. Air Force, Grant Nr AF-AFOSR-746-65.

3. Results

For our first two lemmas we state some relatively well-known facts about T .

LEMMA 1. *The one-sided N -shift T satisfies the following relations:*

- (i) $A \in \Sigma(\Sigma^*) \Rightarrow TA \in \Sigma(\Sigma^*)$ and $T^{-1}A \in \Sigma(\Sigma^*)$;
- (ii) T is onto;
- (iii) T is measure preserving (that is, $A \in \Sigma^*$ implies $P^*(A) = P^*(T^{-1}A)$);
- (iv) $P^*(A) = 0$ implies $P^*(TA) = 0$ and $P^*(A) = 1$ implies $P^*(TA) = 1$;
- (v) T is ergodic (that is, $A \in \Sigma^*$ and $A = T^{-1}A$ implies $P^*(A) = 0$ or $P^*(A) = 1$).

LEMMA 2. *If $A \in \Sigma^*$, $E \in \Sigma^*$, $P^*(E) = 1$, and $E \cap T^{-1}A \subset A$, then $P^*(A) = 0$ or $P^*(A) = 1$.*

PROOF. Set $A_\infty = \bigcup_{n=0}^\infty \bigcap_{k=n}^\infty T^{-k}A$. One shows that $P^*(T^{-k}A \Delta A) = 0$ for all k , from which it follows that $P^*(A_\infty \Delta A) = 0$ or $P^*(A) = P^*(A_\infty)$. Note that A_∞ is invariant (namely, $T^{-1}A_\infty = A_\infty$) so that $P^*(A_\infty) = 0$ or $P^*(A_\infty) = 1$.

Suppose U and V are point transformations from Ω into Ω which are Σ -measurable (that is, $U^{-1}\Sigma \subset \Sigma$ and $V^{-1}\Sigma \subset \Sigma$), or Σ^* -measurable ($U^{-1}\Sigma^* \subset \Sigma^*$ and $V^{-1}\Sigma^* \subset \Sigma^*$), and which are nonsingular (namely, $A \in \Sigma^*$ and $P^*(A) = 0$ implies $P^*(U^{-1}A) = 0$ and $P^*(V^{-1}A) = 0$).

LEMMA 3. *If U and V are Σ -measurable, then they are also Σ^* -measurable.*

PROOF. If $E \in \Sigma^*$, then we can assume that $E = E_1 + E_2$ with $E_1 \in \Sigma$ and $E_2 \subset E^0$ where $E^0 \in \Sigma$, $P(E^0) = 0$. Now $E_2 \subset E^0 - E_1$ and $P(E^0 - E_1) = 0$. It follows that $U^{-1}(E) = U^{-1}(E_1) + U^{-1}(E_2)$ with $U^{-1}(E_1) \in \Sigma$, $U^{-1}(E^0 - E_1) \in \Sigma$, $P[U^{-1}(E^0 - E_1)] = 0$, and $U^{-1}(E_2) \subset U^{-1}(E^0 - E_1)$. Thus $U^{-1}E \in \Sigma^*$ and similarly, $V^{-1}E \in \Sigma^*$.

Now let $D_0 = \{\omega | UV(\omega) = VU(\omega) = T(\omega)\}$ and note that D_0 is in Σ^* . Define

$$(1) \quad D = D_0 \cap U^{-1}D_0 \cap V^{-1}D_0.$$

We assume that $P^*(D_0) = 1$. It follows from the nonsingularity of U and V that $P^*(D) = 1$ also.

LEMMA 4. *If $\omega \in D$, then $TU(\omega) = UT(\omega)$ and $TV(\omega) = VT(\omega)$.*

LEMMA 5. *If $P^*(E) = 1$, then $UE \in \Sigma^*$, $VE \in \Sigma^*$, and $P^*(UE) = P^*(VE) = 1$.*

PROOF. First $UE \supset UV(D \cap V^{-1}E) = T(D \cap V^{-1}E)$. However,

$$(2) \quad P^*[T(D \cap V^{-1}E)] = P^*(D \cap V^{-1}E) = 1,$$

so UE has inner P^* measure 1. Thus $UE \in \Sigma^*$ and $P^*(UE) = 1$. The remaining conclusions follow by interchanging U and V in the above argument.

LEMMA 6. *The transformations U and V are measure preserving.*

PROOF. We will show that U is measure preserving. The proof that V is measure preserving is identical and omitted.

For $A \in \Sigma^*$ define $\mu(A) = P^*(U^{-1}A)$. We see that μ is a probability measure which is absolutely continuous with respect to P^* , since U is nonsingular. Note that lemma 4 implies $D \cap U^{-1}T^{-1}A = D \cap T^{-1}U^{-1}A$. Thus

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} \mu(A) &= P^*(U^{-1}A) = P^*(T^{-1}U^{-1}A) = P^*(D \cap T^{-1}U^{-1}A) \\ &= P^*(D \cap U^{-1}T^{-1}A) = P^*(U^{-1}T^{-1}A) = \mu(T^{-1}A) \end{aligned}$$

so that T is measure preserving with respect to μ .

The remainder of the proof is similar to that of theorem 1 and corollary 1 of [2].

Let A be a maximal positive set for the signed measure $P^* - \mu$ as guaranteed by the Hahn decomposition theorem. The set

$$(4) \quad A_\infty = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \bigcap_{k=n}^{\infty} T^{-k}A$$

can be shown to be a maximal positive set for $P^* - \mu$. But A_∞ is invariant, hence $P^*(A_\infty) = 0$ or 1. But since μ is absolutely continuous with respect to P^* , we have $P^*(A_\infty) = 0$ implies $\mu(A_\infty) = 0$, and $P^*(A_\infty) = 1$ implies $P^*(A_\infty^c) = 0$ implies $\mu(A_\infty^c) = 0$ implies $\mu(A_\infty) = 1$. In either case $(P^* - \mu)A_\infty = 0$. Similarly $P^* - \mu$ can be shown to be zero on a maximal negative set so $P^* \equiv \mu$. Thus $P^*(U^{-1}A) = P^*(A)$ for $A \in \Sigma^*$.

LEMMA 7. If $E \in \Sigma^*$, then $P^*(T^{-1}E) = P^*(U^{-1}V^{-1}E) = P^*(V^{-1}U^{-1}E)$.

PROOF. The lemma follows from the observations that $D \cap U^{-1}V^{-1}E = D \cap V^{-1}U^{-1}E = D \cap T^{-1}E$ and that $P^*(D) = 1$.

THEOREM 1. If U and V are measurable (Σ or Σ^*) point transformations from Ω into Ω which are nonsingular, and such that $P^*\{\omega | UV(\omega) = VU(\omega) = T(\omega)\} = 1$, then there exist positive integers n and m such that

- (i) $mn = N$,
- (ii) $P^* \left\{ \omega \left| \begin{array}{l} \text{exactly } m \text{ out of the collection} \\ U(\omega), U(\omega + 1/n), \dots, U(\omega + (N-1)/N) \text{ equal } U(\omega) \end{array} \right. \right\} = 1$,
- (iii) $P^* \left\{ \omega \left| \begin{array}{l} \text{exactly } n \text{ out of the collection} \\ V(\omega), V(\omega + 1/N), \dots, V(\omega + (N-1)/N) \text{ equal } V(\omega) \end{array} \right. \right\} = 1$.

PROOF. Let

$$(5) \quad A_k = \left\{ \omega \left| \begin{array}{l} \text{exactly } k \text{ members of the collection} \\ U(\omega), U\left(\omega + \frac{1}{N}\right), \dots, U\left(\omega + \frac{N-1}{N}\right) \text{ are equal to } U(\omega) \end{array} \right. \right\}$$

$$(6) \quad B_k = \left\{ \omega \left| \begin{array}{l} \text{exactly } k \text{ members of the collection} \\ V(\omega), V\left(\omega + \frac{1}{N}\right), \dots, V\left(\omega + \frac{N-1}{N}\right) \text{ are equal to } V(\omega) \end{array} \right. \right\}$$

Note that $\sum_{k=1}^n A_k = \sum_{k=1}^n B_k = \Omega$ and that the A_k 's and B_k 's are measurable sets. Let m be the smallest integer such that $P^*(A_m) > 0$, and let n be the largest integer such that $P^*(B_n) > 0$.

Let

$$(7) \quad G_1 = \left\{ \omega \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \omega, \omega + \frac{1}{N}, \dots, \omega + \frac{N-1}{N} \in D \cap [A_m \cup A_{m+1} \cup \dots \cup A_n] \\ U(\omega) \in B_n \\ U(\omega), U(\omega) + \frac{1}{N}, \dots, U(\omega) + \frac{N-1}{N} \in UD \end{array} \right. \right\}$$

and note that $G_1 \subset U^{-1}B_n$ and $P^*[U^{-1}B_n - G_1] = 0$ so that $P^*(G_1) > 0$ and $G_1 \neq \phi$. Suppose $\omega \in G_1$. Exactly n members of the set

$$(8) \quad \left\{ U(\omega), U(\omega) + \frac{1}{N}, \dots, U(\omega) + \frac{N-1}{N} \right\}$$

are such that $V[U(\omega) + (\alpha/N)] = V[U(\omega)]$. Suppose these are u_1, \dots, u_n . Now $U^{-1}(u_i) \cap D \neq \phi$ for each u_i , say $x_i \in U^{-1}(u_i) \cap D$. Since

$$(9) \quad T^{-1}[T(\omega)] = \{y | T(y) = T(\omega)\} = \left\{ \omega, \omega + \frac{1}{N}, \dots, \omega + \frac{N-1}{N} \right\},$$

we see that $x_i \in A_m \cup \dots \cup A_n$ so that there are at least m points in the set $\{\omega, \omega + (1/N), \dots, \omega + (N-1/N)\}$ which have the same image under U as x_i does, namely u_i .

Thus $T\omega$ has n preimages under V (namely u_1, \dots, u_n) such that each one of these has at least m preimages under U which are in D . We see that $T\omega$ has at least nm preimages under $VU = T$ which are in D , hence $nm \leq N$.

Let

$$(10) \quad G_2 = \left\{ \omega \left\{ \begin{array}{l} V(\omega), V\left(\omega + \frac{1}{N}\right), \dots, V\left(\omega + \frac{N-1}{N}\right) \in D \\ \omega, \omega + \frac{1}{N}, \dots, \omega + \frac{N-1}{N} \in D \cap \{B_1 \cup \dots \cup B_n\} \\ V(\omega) \in A_m \\ V(\omega), V(\omega) + \frac{1}{N}, \dots, V(\omega) + \frac{N-1}{N} \in D \cap VD \end{array} \right. \right\}$$

Note that $G_2 \subset V^{-1}A_m$ and $P^*[V^{-1}A_m - G_2] = 0$ so that $P^*(G_2) > 0$ and $G_2 \neq \phi$. Suppose $\omega \in G_2$. Exactly m members of the set

$$(11) \quad \left\{ V(\omega), V(\omega) + \frac{1}{N}, \dots, V(\omega) + \frac{N-1}{N} \right\}$$

are such that $U[V(\omega) + k/N] = U[V(\omega)]$. Suppose these are v_1, \dots, v_m . Now $V^{-1}(v_k) \cap D \neq \phi$, say $y_k \in V^{-1}(v_k) \cap D$. We have

$$(12) \quad T^{-1}(T\omega) = \left\{ \omega, \omega + \frac{1}{N}, \dots, \omega + \frac{N-1}{N} \right\},$$

and since $y_k \in D \cap V^{-1}V(\omega)$, we see that $y_k \in T^{-1}(T\omega)$. However,

$$(13) \quad \omega, \omega + \frac{1}{N}, \dots, \omega + \frac{N-1}{N} \in B_1 \cup \dots \cup B_n,$$

so $y_k \in B_1 \cup \dots \cup B_n$.

Thus there are no more than n preimages of each v_k which are in

$$(14) \quad \left\{ \omega, \omega + \frac{1}{N}, \dots, \omega + \frac{N-1}{N} \right\}.$$

It follows that $T\omega$ has m preimages under U (namely v_1, \dots, v_m) such that each of these has at most n preimages under V which are in D , so that we have at most nm of these preimages. These are all preimages under T , and if they are all such preimages, then $N \leq nm$. We are done if $V(\omega + k/N) \in \{v_1, \dots, v_m\}$ for each k . If this is not the case, then either

$$(15) \quad V\left(\omega + \frac{k}{N}\right) \in \left\{ V(\omega), V(\omega) + \frac{1}{N}, \dots, V(\omega) + \frac{N-1}{N} \right\} - \{v_1, \dots, v_m\}$$

(which contradicts $T(\omega + k/N) = T(\omega)$), or else

$$(16) \quad V\left(\omega + \frac{k}{N}\right) \notin \left\{ V(\omega), V(\omega) + \frac{1}{N}, \dots, V(\omega) + \frac{N-1}{N} \right\}.$$

But since $V(\omega)$ and $V(\omega + k/N) \in D$, we have

$$(17) \quad \begin{aligned} T(V(\omega)) &= VU(V(\omega)) = V[T(\omega)] = V\left[T\left(\omega + \frac{k}{N}\right)\right] \\ &= V\left[UV\left(\omega + \frac{k}{N}\right)\right] = T\left[V\left(\omega + \frac{k}{N}\right)\right]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus both $V(\omega)$ and $V(\omega + k/N)$ are in D , and preimages of $T(V(\omega))$ under T , hence $V(\omega + k/N) = V(\omega) + j/N$ for some j . We have already seen that these circumstances imply $V(\omega + k/N) \in \{v_1, \dots, v_m\}$.

Now that we have shown that $mn = N$, let us look again at the points in G_1 . We saw that if $\omega \in G_1$, then $T\omega$ has n preimages under V , and that each of these had at least m -preimages under U which are in D . Since $mn = N$ and $T\omega$ has the N preimages $\omega, \omega + 1/N, \dots, \omega + (N-1)/N$ (in D) under $UV = T$, it follows that each preimage under V of $T\omega$ has *exactly* m preimages (in D) under U . In particular, $U(\omega)$ is a preimage under V of $T\omega$, and therefore has exactly m preimages (in D and thus in $\omega, \omega + 1/N, \dots, \omega + (N-1)/N$) under U , hence $\omega \in A_m$. Thus $G_1 \subset A_m$.

Similarly, looking at G_2 we argue that if $\omega \in G_2$, then $V(\omega)$ has exactly n preimages (in $\omega, \omega + 1/N, \dots, \omega + (N-1)/N$) under V , and hence $\omega \in B_n$. Thus $G_2 \subset B_n$.

We have shown that

$$(18) \quad B_n \supset G_2 \stackrel{\text{a.e.}}{=} V^{-1}A_m \supset V^{-1}G_1 \stackrel{\text{a.e.}}{=} V^{-1}U^{-1}B_n$$

and

$$(19) \quad A_m \supset G_1 \stackrel{\text{a.e.}}{=} U^{-1}B_n \supset U^{-1}G_2 \stackrel{\text{a.e.}}{=} U^{-1}V^{-1}A_m.$$

An application of lemma 7 shows that $B_n \stackrel{\text{a.e.}}{=} T^{-1}B_n$ and $A_m \stackrel{\text{a.e.}}{=} T^{-1}A_m$. An application of lemma 2 shows that $P^*(A_m) = P^*(B_n) = 1$ and completes the proof of the theorem.

THEOREM 2. *The one-sided N -shift has a nonsingular and measurable p -th root S (in the sense that $P^*\{\omega | T(\omega) = S^p(\omega)\} = 1$) if and only if $N = k^p$ for some positive integer k .*

PROOF. Suppose $N = k^p$ and let $X = \{1, \dots, k\}$. The following is hinted at on page 56 of [1]. Define

$$(20) \quad \Omega_X = \{x = ({}_1x, \dots, {}_px) | x \in X \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, p\}.$$

Let ψ be any one of the $N!$ distinct mappings of $\{0, \dots, N - 1\}$ onto Ω_X . Define $x_\alpha = ({}_1x_\alpha, \dots, {}_px_\alpha)$ for $\alpha \in \{0, \dots, N - 1\}$ by $x_\alpha = \psi(\alpha)$. Now suppose $\omega = (\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots) \in \Omega$ and that $x_{\omega_i} = ({}_1x_{\omega_i}, {}_2x_{\omega_i}, \dots, {}_px_{\omega_i})$.

Define

$$(21) \quad S\omega = [\psi^{-1}({}_2x_{\omega_1}, \dots, {}_px_{\omega_1}, {}_1x_{\omega_2}), \psi^{-1}({}_2x_{\omega_2}, \dots, {}_px_{\omega_2}, {}_1x_{\omega_3}), \dots].$$

In order to obtain $S\omega$, one encodes each digit ω_i of ω using ψ to find the corresponding p -tuple $x_{\omega_i} = \psi(\omega_i)$. Then one eliminates the first digit in the coded version of ω_1 , namely, ${}_1x_{\omega_1}$, and one regroupes into p -tuples. This amounts to a p -shift of the encoded version of ω . Finally one decodes each digit: S is Σ and Σ^* measurable, measure preserving, and is a p -th root of T everywhere (namely, $S^p\omega = T\omega$ for all ω).

Now suppose $P^*\{\omega | S^p(\omega) = T(\omega) = 1\}$ and that S is measurable and nonsingular. Let $U = S^{p-1}$ and $V = S$. From theorem 1 there exists some positive integer k such that

$$(22) \quad P^* \left\{ \omega \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{exactly } k \text{ out of the collection} \\ S(\omega), S\left(\omega + \frac{1}{N}\right), \dots, S\left(\omega + \frac{N-1}{N}\right) \text{ are equal to } S(\omega) \end{array} \right\} = 1. \right.$$

It is almost obvious that S^p is k^p -to-one almost everywhere. (A rigorous proof of this fact involves a little effort with sets of measure zero but will be omitted because the difficulties are of the type encountered in theorem 1.) Since T is N -to-one everywhere it follows that $N = k^p$.

4. Some remarks on generalizations

It is clear that the results given here are valid, not only for the one-sided N -shift, but for other ergodic transformations which are essentially N -to-1 as well. The essentials seem to be that there exists a transformation ψ such that on a set of measure one, $\omega, \psi(\omega), \dots, \psi^{N-1}(\omega)$ are all different and $\omega = \psi^N(\omega)$; that ψ be measurable and nonsingular; and that $T(\omega) = T(\omega')$ if and only if $\omega' = \psi^k(\omega)$ for some k (provided we have restricted ω and ω' to some set of measure 1). We have used the fact that the one-sided N -shift is bimeasurable. It would be interesting to know whether it is necessary that T have this property.

It would also be interesting to know about roots of T in the case where ψ is of finite period for almost all ω but the period is a function of ω .

REFERENCES

- [1] P. R. HALMOS, *Lectures on Ergodic Theory*, New York, Chelsea, 1956.
- [2] J. R. BLUM and D. L. HANSON, "On invariant probability measures I," *Pacific J. Math.*, Vol. 10 (1960), pp. 1125-1129.