

## Hyperbolic Riemann surfaces without unbounded positive harmonic functions

Hiroaki Masaoka and Shigeo Segawa

### Abstract.

Let  $R$  be an open Riemann surface with Green's functions. It is proved that there exist no unbounded positive harmonic functions on  $R$  if and only if the minimal Martin boundary of  $R$  consists of finitely many points with positive harmonic measure.

### §1. Introduction

Denote by  $O_G$  the class of open Riemann surfaces  $R$  such that there exist no Green's functions on  $R$ . We say that an open Riemann surface  $R$  is *parabolic* (resp. *hyperbolic*) if  $R$  belongs (resp. does not belong) to  $O_G$ .

For an open Riemann surface  $R$ , we denote by  $HP(R)$  (resp.  $HB(R)$ ) the class of *positive* (resp. *bounded*) harmonic functions on  $R$ . It is well-known that if  $R$  is parabolic, then  $HP(R)$  and  $HB(R)$  consist of constant functions (cf. [5]).

Hereafter, we consider only hyperbolic Riemann surfaces  $R$ . Let  $\Delta = \Delta^R$  and  $\Delta_1 = \Delta_1^R$  the *Martin boundary* of  $R$  and the *minimal Martin boundary* of  $R$ , respectively. The purpose of this paper is to prove the following.

**Theorem.** *Suppose that  $R$  is hyperbolic. Then the followings are equivalent:*

---

Received March 25, 2005.

Revised June 7, 2005.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 30F15, 30F20, 30F25, 31C35.

*Key words and phrases.* hyperbolic Riemann surface, positive harmonic function, Martin boundary, harmonic measure.

Partially supported by Shimane Prefecture, Matsue City, Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research, Japan Society for the Promotion of Science, (A) (1) (No. 13304009) and (B) (2) (No. 15340046).

- (i) there exist no unbounded positive harmonic functions on  $R$ , i.e.  $HP(R) \subset HB(R)$ ,  
 (ii) the minimal Martin boundary  $\Delta_1^R$  of  $R$  consists of finitely many points with positive harmonic measure.

The above theorem combined with the Martin representation theorem yields the following.

**COROLLARY.** *Suppose that  $R$  is hyperbolic and there exist no unbounded positive harmonic functions on  $R$ . Then the linear space  $HB(R)$  of bounded harmonic functions on  $R$  is of finite dimension.*

Denote by  $\omega_z(\cdot)$  the harmonic measure on  $\Delta^R$  with respect to  $z \in R$ . We also denote by  $k_\zeta(z)$  ( $(\zeta, z) \in (R \cup \Delta^R) \times R$ ) the Martin kernel on  $R$  with pole at  $\zeta$ . The following proposition, which is easily proved, plays fundamental role in the proof of the above theorem.

**PROPOSITION.** *Let  $\zeta$  belong to  $\Delta_1^R$ . Then the Martin kernel  $k_\zeta(\cdot)$  with pole at  $\zeta$  is bounded on  $R$  if and only if the harmonic measure  $\omega(\{\zeta\})$  of the singleton  $\{\zeta\}$  is positive.*

## §2. Proof of Theorem

Let  $k_\zeta(\cdot)$  be the Martin kernel on  $R$  with pole at  $\zeta$  such that  $k_\zeta(a) = 1$  for a fixed point  $a \in R$ . Consider the canonical measure  $\chi$  of the harmonic function 1 in the Martin representation theorem, that is

$$(2.1) \quad 1 = \int_{\Delta_1^R} k_\xi(z) d\chi(\xi).$$

As a relation between  $\chi$  and harmonic measure  $\omega_z$ , the following is known (c.f. [1, Satz 13.4]):

$$(2.2) \quad d\omega_z(\xi) = k_\xi(z) d\chi(\xi).$$

We first give the proof of Proposition in the introduction.

*Proof of Proposition.* We assume that the Martin kernel  $k_\zeta(z)$  with pole at  $\zeta \in \Delta_1^R$  is bounded on  $R$ . Take a positive constant  $M$  such that  $k_\zeta(z) \leq M$  on  $R$ . Then, by the Martin representation theorem, we deduce that

$$\int_{\Delta_1^R} k_\xi(z) d\delta_\zeta(\xi) = k_\zeta(z) \leq M = \int_{\Delta_1^R} M k_\xi(z) d\chi(\xi),$$

where  $\delta_\zeta$  is the Dirac measure on  $\Delta_1^R$  supported at  $\zeta$ . Hence, by virtue of the fact that the mapping of  $HP$  functions to their canonical measures are lattice isomorphic (cf. [1, Forgesatz 13.1]), we see that  $\delta_\zeta \leq M\chi$  or  $(1/M)\delta_\zeta \leq \chi$  on  $\Delta_1^R$ . From this and (2.2) it follows that

$$0 < \frac{k_\zeta(z)}{M} = k_\zeta(z) \frac{\delta_\zeta(\{\zeta\})}{M} \leq k_\zeta(z)\chi(\{\zeta\}) = \omega_z(\{\zeta\}),$$

thus we have proved the ‘only if part’.

We next assume that  $\omega_z(\{\zeta\}) > 0$ . Then, by (2.2), we have

$$(2.3) \quad 0 < \omega_z(\{\zeta\}) = k_\zeta(z)\chi(\{\zeta\}).$$

Hence  $c := \chi(\{\zeta\})$  is a positive constant. On the other hand,  $\omega_z(\{\zeta\}) \leq 1$  on  $R$ . Therefore, in view of (2.3), we see that  $k_\zeta(z) \leq c^{-1}$  on  $R$ . Thus we have proved the ‘if part’.

Applying Proposition proved above, we next give the proof of Theorem in the introduction.

*Proof of Theorem.* Since the implication (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) easily follows from Proposition and the Martin representation theorem, we only have to show the implication (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii).

Suppose that (ii) is not the case although we are assuming that  $HP(R) \subset HB(R)$ . Then it easily follows from Proposition that  $\Delta_1^R$  does not contain a point  $\zeta$  with  $\omega(\{\zeta\}) = 0$ . Therefore  $\Delta_1^R$  consists of countably infinitely many points  $\zeta_n$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ) with  $\omega(\{\zeta_n\}) > 0$  and moreover each Martin kernel  $k_{\zeta_n}$  is bounded on  $R$ . Put  $M_n := \sup_{z \in R} k_{\zeta_n}(z)$ . Then we deduce that

$$\int_{\Delta_1^R} k_\xi(z) d\left(\frac{1}{M_n}\right) \delta_{\zeta_n}(\xi) = \frac{k_{\zeta_n}(z)}{M_n} \leq 1 = \int_{\Delta_1^R} k_\xi(z) d\chi(\xi),$$

where  $\delta_{\zeta_n}$  is the Dirac measure at  $\zeta_n$  and  $\chi$  is the measure in (2.1). Hence, by means of lattice isomorphic determination of canonical measures, we see that  $(1/M_n)\delta_{\zeta_n} \leq \chi$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since the supports  $\text{supp}(\delta_{\zeta_n})$  of  $\{\delta_{\zeta_n}\}$  are mutually disjoint, this implies that  $\sum_{n=1}^\infty (1/M_n)\delta_{\zeta_n} \leq \chi$ . Therefore we conclude that

$$\sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{k_{\zeta_n}(z)}{M_n} = \int_{\Delta_1^R} k_\xi(z) d\left(\sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{\delta_{\zeta_n}(\xi)}{M_n}\right) \leq \int_{\Delta_1^R} k_\xi(z) d\chi(\xi) = 1.$$

Since  $k_{\zeta_n}(a) = 1$ , this yields that  $\sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{1}{M_n} \leq 1$  and hence

$$(2.4) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M_n = +\infty.$$

In view of (2.4), we can choose a subsequence  $\{M_{n_i}\}$  of  $\{M_n\}$  such that

$$(2.5) \quad \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{M_{n_i}}} < +\infty.$$

Put

$$h(z) := \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{M_{n_i}}} k_{\zeta_{n_i}}(z).$$

By (2.5) and the Harnack principle,  $h(z)$  is convergent and a positive harmonic function on  $R$  since  $k_{\zeta}(a) = 1$  for every  $\zeta$ . On the other hand, by the definition,  $h(z) \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{M_{n_i}}} k_{\zeta_{n_i}}(z)$  on  $R$  and therefore

$$\sup_{z \in R} h(z) \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{M_{n_i}}} \sup_{z \in R} k_{\zeta_{n_i}}(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{M_{n_i}}} M_{n_i} = \sqrt{M_{n_i}}.$$

Hence, by means of (2.4), we see that  $\sup_{z \in R} h(z) = +\infty$  or  $h \notin HB(R)$ . This contradicts our primary assumption  $HP(R) \subset HB(R)$ .

The proof is herewith complete.

### §3. Examples

In this section we will give examples of open Riemann surfaces  $R$  satisfying the condition  $HP(R) \subset HB(R)$  in Theorem. We can moreover require for  $\Delta_1^R$  to consist of  $p$  points of positive harmonic measure for an arbitrarily given integer  $1 \leq p < \infty$  in advance.

Let  $O_{HP}$  be the class of open Riemann surfaces on which there exists no nonconstant positive harmonic functions. Recall the class  $O_G$  of open Riemann surfaces on which there exist no Green's functions. Then it holds that  $O_G \subset O_{HP}$  (cf. e.g. [5]). Moreover the inclusion  $O_G \subset O_{HP}$  is strict, that is, there exists an open Riemann surface  $T$  belonging to  $O_{HP} \setminus O_G$  (cf. [6], [5]). Since  $HP(T)$  consists of only constant functions, the Martin boundary  $\Delta^T$  of  $T$  and hence the minimal Martin boundary  $\Delta_1^T$  of  $T$  also consists of a single point  $\zeta_0$  and the Martin kernel  $k_{\zeta_0}$  on  $T$  with pole at  $\zeta_0$  is equal to the constant function 1.

Consider a  $p$ -sheeted ( $1 \leq p < \infty$ ) *unlimited* (possibly branched) covering surface  $\tilde{T}$  of  $T$  with its projection map  $\pi$ . Here we say that  $\tilde{T}$  is unlimited if the following condition is satisfied: for any arc  $C$  in  $T$  with  $a$  as its initial point and any point  $\tilde{a}$  over  $a$ , i.e.  $\pi(\tilde{a}) = a$ , there exists an arc  $\tilde{C}$  in  $\tilde{T}$  with  $\tilde{a}$  as its initial point such that  $\pi(\tilde{C}) = C$ . By our preceding result (cf. [2]), the minimal Martin boundary  $\Delta_1^{\tilde{T}}$  of

$\tilde{T}$  consists of at most  $p$  points. Moreover, there exists  $\tilde{T}$  such that  $\Delta_1^{\tilde{T}}$  consists of exactly  $p$  points. Put  $\Delta_1^{\tilde{T}} = \{\tilde{\zeta}_1, \dots, \tilde{\zeta}_q\}$  ( $1 \leq q \leq p$ ) and denote by  $\tilde{k}_{\tilde{\zeta}_i}$  the Martin kernel on  $\tilde{T}$  with pole at  $\tilde{\zeta}_i$ . As a relation between  $\tilde{k}_{\tilde{\zeta}_i}$  and the Martin kernel  $k_{\zeta_0}$  on  $T$ , it holds that

$$\sum_{\tilde{z} \in \pi^{-1}(z)} \tilde{k}_{\tilde{\zeta}_i}(\tilde{z}) \leq c_i k_{\zeta_0}(z),$$

where  $c_i$  is a positive constant (cf. [3]). Hence  $\tilde{k}_{\tilde{\zeta}_i}$  is bounded on  $\tilde{T}$  for every  $i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq q$ ) since  $k_{\zeta_0}^T = 1$ . Consequently, by virtue of the Martin representation theorem, we see that  $HP(\tilde{T}) \subset HB(\tilde{T})$ .

### Acknowledgments

The authors wish to thank to the referee for helpful comments.

### References

- [ 1 ] C. Constantinescu and A. Cornea, *Ideale Ränder Riemannscher Flächen*, Springer, 1969.
- [ 2 ] H. Masaoka and S. Segawa, Martin boundary of unlimited covering surfaces, *Jour. d'Analyse Math.*, **82** (2000), 55–72.
- [ 3 ] H. Masaoka and S. Segawa, Harmonic functions on finitely sheeted unlimited covering surfaces, *Jour. Math. Soc. Japan*, **55** (2003), 323–334.
- [ 4 ] M. Nakai, Densiteis without unbounded positive solutions, *Jour. d'Analyse Math.*, **28** (1975), 300–302.
- [ 5 ] L. Sario and M. Nakai, *Classification Theory of Riemann Surfaces*, Springer, 1970.
- [ 6 ] Y. Tôki, The examples in the classification of open Riemann surfaces, *Osaka Math. Jour.*, **5** (1953), 267–280.

Hiroaki Masaoka

*Department of Mathematics*

*Faculty of Science*

*Kyoto Sangyo University*

*Kyoto 603-8555*

*Japan*

*E-mail address: [masaoka@cc.kyoto-su.ac.jp](mailto:masaoka@cc.kyoto-su.ac.jp)*

Shigeo Segawa

*Department of Mathematics*

*Daido Institute of Technology*

*Nagoya 457-8530*

*Japan*

*E-mail address: [segawa@daido-it.ac.jp](mailto:segawa@daido-it.ac.jp)*