

On the non-existence of smooth Levi-flat hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}_n$

Andrei Iordan

Abstract.

We prove that there exists no C^m Levi-flat real hypersurface in $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}_n$ for $n \geq 2$ and $m \geq 4$. This is an improvement of the regularity in a theorem of Y.-T. Siu who proved this result for $m \geq 8$.

In [9] Y.-T. Siu proved the following theorem:

Theorem 1 ([9]). *There exists no C^m Levi-flat real hypersurface in $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}_n$ for $n \geq 2$ and $m \geq 8$.*

This theorem answers to a question raised by D. Cerveau [1]. The real analytic case of Theorem 1 was proved by A. Lins Neto [5] for $n \geq 3$ and by T. Ohsawa [7] for $n \geq 2$. The case $n \geq 3$ and $m \geq 3n/2 + 7$ of Theorem 1 was proved by Siu [8].

The proof of Theorem 1 is based on the following regularity result for the $\bar{\partial}$ -operator:

Theorem 2 ([9]). *Let Ω be a domain with C^{m+1} Levi-flat boundary in $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}_2$, $m \geq 3$. Let g be a C^{m+1} $\bar{\partial}$ -closed $(0, 1)$ -form on Ω which is C^m up to the boundary of Ω . Then there exists u belonging to the Sobolev space $W^m(\Omega)$ such that $\bar{\partial}u = g$.*

A recent paper of G. M. Henkin and the author [4] study the regularity of the $\bar{\partial}$ -operator on pseudoconcave domains in $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}_n$.

By using the results of [4] and Theorem 2 we prove in this note that there exists no C^m Levi-flat real hypersurface in $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}_n$ for $n \geq 2$ and $m \geq 4$. The methods are the same as in [4].

Let Ω be a domain of $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}_n$ and E a holomorphic hermitian vector bundle over Ω . We denote by $W_{(p,q)}^k(\Omega; E)$ the (p, q) -forms on Ω with coefficients in the Sobolev space $W^k(\Omega)$ and values in the bundle E endowed with the Sobolev norm $\|\cdot\|_k$ (or $\|\cdot\|_{k,\Omega}$), $A_{(p,q)}^\infty(\Omega; E)$ the set of $\bar{\partial}$ -closed (p, q) -forms on Ω with values in E which have a C^∞ extension

to $\bar{\Omega}$ and $AW_{(p,q)}^k(\Omega; E)$ the set of $\bar{\partial}$ -closed (p, q) -forms contained in $W_{(p,q)}^k(\Omega; E)$.

Let $\delta(z)$ be the distance from $z \in \Omega$ to the boundary of Ω with respect to the Fubini-Study metric. A theorem of Takeuchi [10] shows that for every pseudoconvex domain Ω there exists a positive constant $\mathcal{K}_n \geq 1/3$ such that $i\bar{\partial}\bar{\partial}(-\log \delta) \geq \mathcal{K}_n\omega$ where ω is the Kähler form of the Fubini-Study metric (see also [2], [6]). We denote by $L_{(p,q)}^2(\Omega; \delta^k; E)$ the set of E -valued (p, q) -forms f on Ω such that $\delta^k f$ is an L^2 -form on Ω .

We say that a domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}_n$ is pseudoconcave if $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}_n \setminus \bar{\Omega}$ is pseudoconvex.

Let Ω_- be a pseudoconcave domain in $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}_n$, k a positive integer and $f \in W_{(p,n-1)}^k(\Omega_-; \mathcal{O}(m))$ a $\bar{\partial}$ -closed form. We set $\Omega_+ = \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}_n \setminus \bar{\Omega}_-$. We say that f verifies the moment condition of order k if there exists an extension $\tilde{f} \in W_{(p,n-1)}^k(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}_n; \mathcal{O}(m))$ of f such that $\bar{\partial}\tilde{f} \in L_{(p,n)}^2(\Omega_+; \delta^{-k+1}; \mathcal{O}(m))$ and $\int_{\Omega_+} \bar{\partial}\tilde{f} \wedge h = 0$ for every holomorphic form $h \in L_{(n-p,0)}^2(\Omega_+; \delta^{k-1}; \mathcal{O}(-m))$. Every form $f = \bar{\partial}u$ where $u \in W_{(p,n-2)}^{k+1}(\Omega_-; \mathcal{O}(m))$ verifies the moment condition of order k .

We recall here the following consequence of Theorem 7.1 and Theorem 8.7 of [4]:

Theorem 3 ([4]). *Let Ω_- be a pseudoconcave domain with Lipschitz boundary in $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}_n$ and $k \geq 1$ an integer such that $2(k-1)\mathcal{K}_n - m + n + 1 > 0$. Then for every $\bar{\partial}$ -closed form $f \in C_{(n,n-1)}^\infty(\bar{\Omega}_-; \mathcal{O}(m))$ verifying the moment condition of order k there exists $u \in W_{(n,n-2)}^k(\Omega_-; \mathcal{O}(m)) \cap C_{(n,n-2)}^\infty(\Omega_-; \mathcal{O}(m))$ such that $\bar{\partial}u = f$ and $\|u\|_k \leq C_k \|f\|_k$, where C_k is a constant independent of f .*

We use also the following approximation lemma (Lemma 8.3 of [4]):

Lemma 1 ([4]). *Let Ω be a relatively compact domain with Lipschitz boundary in a complex manifold, E a holomorphic bundle on X . Suppose that there exists a fundamental system of neighborhoods $\{\Omega_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon>0}$ of Ω with the following property: for every $\bar{\partial}$ -exact form $\Phi = \bar{\partial}\psi$ with $\psi \in A_{(p,q)}^\infty(\Omega_\varepsilon; E)$, there exists $0 < \varepsilon' < \varepsilon$ and $\varphi \in W_{(p,q)}^s(\Omega_{\varepsilon'}; E) \cap C_{(p,q)}^\infty(\Omega_{\varepsilon'}; E)$ such that $\bar{\partial}\varphi = \Phi$ and $\|\varphi\|_{s, \Omega_{\varepsilon'}} \leq C \|\Phi\|_{s, \Omega_{\varepsilon'}}$ with C independent of Φ and ε . Then, every $f \in AW_{(p,q)}^s(\Omega; E) \cap C_{(p,q)}^\infty(\Omega; E)$ belongs to the closure of $A_{(p,q)}^\infty(\Omega; E)$ in $W_{(p,q)}^s(\Omega; E)$.*

From Theorem 3 and Lemma 1 we obtain:

Proposition 1. *Let Ω_- be a pseudoconcave domain with Lipschitz boundary of $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}_2$. Then $A^\infty(\Omega_-; \mathcal{O}(1))$ is dense in $AW^3(\Omega_-; \mathcal{O}(1))$.*

Proof. We identify the $\mathcal{O}(1)$ -valued sections of $A^\infty(\Omega_-; \mathcal{O}(1))$ with the $\mathcal{O}(4)$ -valued $(2, 0)$ -forms of $A^\infty_{(2,0)}(\Omega_-; \mathcal{O}(4))$. Since $\mathcal{K}_2 \geq 1/3$, it follows that $2(k-1)\mathcal{K}_2 - m + n + 1 > 0$ for $k = 3$ and $m = 4$. Let $\{\Omega_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon > 0}$ be a fundamental neighborhood system $\{\Omega_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon > 0}$ of $\overline{\Omega_-}$ such that Ω_ε is a pseudoconcave domain with Lipschitz boundary of $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}_2$ for each $\varepsilon > 0$. Since every form $f = \partial u$ where $u \in A^\infty_{(2,0)}(\Omega_\varepsilon; \mathcal{O}(4))$ verifies the moment condition of order 4, Proposition 1 follows from Theorem 3 and Lemma 1. Q.E.D.

Since

$$\dim A^\infty(\Omega_-; \mathcal{O}(1)) = \dim A^\infty_{(2,0)}(\Omega_-; \mathcal{O}(4)) = 3$$

(see Proposition 10.1 of [4]), from Proposition 1 we obtain:

Corollary 1. $\dim AW^3(\Omega_-; \mathcal{O}(1)) = 3$.

Theorem 4. *There exists no domain with C^k Levi-flat boundary in $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}_n$ for $n \geq 2$ and $k \geq 4$.*

Proof. The proof is done by using Theorem 2 and an extension argument as in the proof of Proposition 4.3 of [4]. By using projections it is enough to prove the result for $n = 2$.

Let Ω be a domain with C^4 Levi-flat boundary in $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}_2$, $a \in \Omega$ and $b \in \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}_2 \setminus \overline{\Omega}$. We denote by H the complex projectiv line through a and b and we choose homogeneous coordinates $z = (z_0; z_1; z_2)$ for a point $[z] \in \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}_2$ such that the complex projectiv line through a and b is given by $H = \{[z] | z_0 = 0\}$. Let Ω' be an open neighborhood of $\overline{\Omega}$ which does not contain the point b and $h \in H^{0,0}(H \cap \Omega'; \mathcal{O}(1))$. By [3] there exists a Stein neighborhood V of $H \cap \Omega'$ and let $\tilde{h} \in H^{0,0}(V; \mathcal{O}(1))$ an extension of h .

Let χ be a C^∞ function on $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}_2$ with support contained in V such that $\chi \equiv 1$ near $H \cap \Omega$. By identifying the sections of $\mathcal{O}(1)$ with the 1-homogeneous functions in homogeneous coordinates, $\frac{\tilde{h}\partial\chi}{z_0}$ defines a form $g \in C^\infty_{(0,1)}(\overline{\Omega})$. By Theorem 2 there exists $u \in W^3(\Omega)$ such that $\bar{\partial}u = g$. Then $\chi\tilde{h} - z_0u$ defines a section $f \in AW^3(\Omega; \mathcal{O}(1))$ such that $f = h$ on $H \cap \Omega$. This implies that $AW^3(\Omega; \mathcal{O}(1))$ is infinite dimensional and it contradicts the Corollary 1. Q.E.D.

References

- [1] D. Cerveau, *Minimaux des feuilletages algébriques de P_n* , Ann. Inst. Fourier **43** (1993), 1535–1543.
- [2] G. Elencwajc, *Pseudo-convexité locale dans les variétés kähleriennes*, Ann. Inst. Fourier **25** (1975), no. 2, 295–314.
- [3] R. Gunning and H. Rossi, *Analytic functions of several complex variables*, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N.J., 1965.
- [4] G. M. Henkin and A. Iordan, *Regularity of $\bar{\partial}$ on pseudoconcave compacts and applications*, Asian J. Math. **4** (2000), no. 4, 855–884, and Erratum to : Regularity of $\bar{\partial}$ on pseudoconcave compacts and applications by G. M. Henkin and A. Iordan, Asian J. Math., 4, 855-884, 2000, to appear in Asian J. Math.
- [5] A. Lins Neto, *A note on projective Levi flats and minimal sets of algebraic foliations*, Ann. Inst. Fourier **49** (1999), 1369–1385.
- [6] T. Ohsawa, *Pseudoconvex domains in P^n : A question on 1-convex boundary points* in *Analysis and Geometry in Several Complex Variables*, G. Komatsu and M. Kuranishi Editors, Birkhäuser Boston, Trends in Mathematics (1999), 239–252.
- [7] ———, *Nonexistence of real analytic Levi-flat hypersurfaces in P_2* , Nagoya Math. J. **158** (2000), 95–98.
- [8] Y.-T. Siu, *Nonexistence of smooth Levi-flat hypersurfaces in complex projective spaces of dimension ≥ 3* , Ann. of Math. **151** (2000), 1217–1243.
- [9] ———, *$\bar{\partial}$ -regularity for weakly pseudoconvex domains in hermitian symmetric spaces with respect to invariant metrics*, to appear in Ann. of Math.
- [10] A. Takeuchi, *Domaines pseudoconvexes infinis et la métrique riemannienne dans un espace projectif*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **16** (1964), 159–181.

Institut de Mathématiques
 UMMR 7586 du CNRS, case 247
 Université Pierre et Marie-Curie
 4 Place Jussieu
 75252 Paris Cedex 05
 France
 E-mail address: iordan@math.jussieu.fr