

Regular Holonomic D -modules and Distributions on Complex Manifolds

Masaki Kashiwara

§ 0. Introduction

Let (X, \mathcal{O}_X) be a complex manifold and \mathcal{D}_X the sheaf of differential operators on X . The de Rham functor $\mathcal{D}\mathcal{R}_X = \mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{O}_X, *)$ gives an equivalence of the category $\mathbf{RH}(\mathcal{D}_X)$ of regular holonomic \mathcal{D}_X -modules and the category $\mathbf{Perv}(\mathcal{C}_X)$ of perverse sheaves of \mathcal{C} -vector spaces on X ([K], [M], [B-B-D]).

To a perverse sheaf F^* on X we can associate its complex conjugate \bar{F}^* . Then it is easily checked that \bar{F}^* is also perverse. We shall discuss here how to construct the corresponding functor $c: \mathbf{RH}(\mathcal{D}_X) \rightarrow \mathbf{RH}(\mathcal{D}_X)$ given by $\overline{\mathcal{D}\mathcal{R}_X(\mathcal{M})} = \mathcal{D}\mathcal{R}_X(\mathcal{M}^c)$.

The solution to this problem is given as follows. Let \bar{X} be the complex conjugate of X and $\bar{\mathcal{M}}$ the complex conjugate of \mathcal{M} (See § 1). Denoting by $\mathcal{D}_{b_{X_R}}$ the sheaf of distribution on the underlying real manifold X_R of X , \mathcal{M}^c is given by

$$\mathcal{T}or_n^{\mathcal{D}_X}(\Omega_{\bar{X}}^n \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\bar{X}}} \mathcal{D}_{b_{X_R}}, \bar{\mathcal{M}})$$

where $n = \dim X$ and $\Omega_{\bar{X}}^n$ denotes the sheaf of the highest degree differential forms on \bar{X} .

I would like to thank D. Barlet for helpful conversation.

§ 1. The complex conjugate

Let \bar{X} be the complex conjugate of a complex manifold X . Hence $(\bar{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\bar{X}})$ is isomorphic to (X, \mathcal{O}_X) as an \mathbf{R} -ringed space but the isomorphism $-: \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\bar{X}}$ is \mathcal{C} -anti-linear, i.e. $\overline{af} = \bar{a}\bar{f}$ for $a \in \mathcal{C}$ and $f \in \mathcal{O}_X$.

Let \mathcal{D}_X and $\mathcal{D}_{\bar{X}}$ denote the sheaves of differential operators on X and \bar{X} , respectively. Then they are isomorphic as a sheaf of \mathbf{R} -rings. This isomorphism is also denoted by $-$. Through this isomorphism, we can associate the \mathcal{D}_X module $\bar{\mathcal{M}}$ to a \mathcal{D}_X -module \mathcal{M} . We call it the complex conjugate of \mathcal{M} . The $\mathcal{D}_{\bar{X}}$ -module $\bar{\mathcal{M}}$ is isomorphic to \mathcal{M} as a

sheaf on X and if we denote this isomorphism by $- : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}$ then we have $\overline{pu} = \overline{p}u$ for $p \in \mathcal{D}_X$ and $u \in \mathcal{M}$. By this terminology, we have $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_X = \mathcal{O}_X$ and $\overline{\mathcal{D}}_X = \mathcal{D}_X$.

We can see easily that

$$(1.1) \quad \mathcal{D}\mathcal{R}_X(\overline{\mathcal{M}}) \cong \overline{\mathcal{D}\mathcal{R}_X(\mathcal{M})}$$

in the derived category of complexes of sheaves of \mathbf{C} -vector spaces on X .

§ 2. Distribution solutions

Let us denote by X_R the underlying real analytic manifold of a complex manifold X . Then, by the diagonal map $X_R \hookrightarrow X \times \overline{X}$, we can regard $X \times \overline{X}$ as the complexification of X_R . Hence we have $\mathcal{D}_{X_R} = \mathcal{D}_{X \times \overline{X}}|_{X_R}$, $\mathcal{S}_{X_R} = \mathcal{O}_{X \times \overline{X}}|_{X_R}$. Let $\mathcal{D}b_{X_R}$ denote the sheaf of distributions on X_R in Schwartz's sense. Then $\mathcal{D}b_{X_R}$ is a \mathcal{D}_{X_R} -module, and, in particular, this is endowed with the structure of a left $(\mathcal{D}_X, \mathcal{D}_{\overline{X}})$ -bi-module.

Let us denote by $\mathbf{Mod}(\mathcal{D}_X)$ the category of left \mathcal{D}_X -modules, and by $\mathbf{D}(\mathcal{D}_X)$ its derived category. We denote by $\mathbf{D}_{\text{rh}}^b(\mathcal{D}_X)$ the full subcategory of $\mathbf{D}(\mathcal{D}_X)$ consisting of bounded complexes with regular holonomic cohomology groups. We denote by $\mathbf{RH}(\mathcal{D}_X)$ the category of regular holonomic \mathcal{D}_X -modules.

Theorem 1. (i) $C_X(*) = \mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(*, \mathcal{D}b_{X_R})$ is the functor from $\mathbf{D}_{\text{rh}}^b(\mathcal{D}_X)$ into $\mathbf{D}_{\text{rh}}^b(\mathcal{D}_X)^\circ$. Here \circ denotes the opposite category.

(ii) C_X is an equivalence of categories and $C_X \circ C_X \cong \text{id}$.

(iii) $\mathcal{D}\mathcal{R}_X \circ C_X \cong \mathcal{S}ol_X$, where

$$\mathcal{S}ol_X(*) = \mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(*, \mathcal{O}_X) \cong \mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathbf{C}}(\mathcal{D}\mathcal{R}_X(*), \mathbf{C}_X).$$

The statement (iii) is easily derived from Dolbeaut's lemma for distributions

$$(2.1) \quad \mathcal{D}\mathcal{R}_X(\mathcal{D}b_{X_R}) \cong \mathcal{O}_X.$$

The property (ii) follows from (i), (iii) and the solution to Riemann-Hilbert problem ([K], [M]) for regular holonomic modules. In fact, in order to see (ii), it is enough to check

$$\mathcal{D}\mathcal{R}_X(C_X \circ C_X(\mathcal{M})) \cong \mathcal{D}\mathcal{R}_X(\mathcal{M}).$$

The left-hand-side is isomorphic to

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}ol_X(C_X(\mathcal{M})) &= \mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathbf{C}}(\mathcal{D}\mathcal{R}_{\overline{X}}(C_X(\mathcal{M})), \mathbf{C}_X) = \mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathbf{C}}(\mathcal{S}ol_X(\mathcal{M}), \mathbf{C}_X) \\ &= \mathcal{D}\mathcal{R}_X(\mathcal{M}). \end{aligned}$$

The proof of the assertion (i) is given in Sections 4–5.

Remark 2.1. Similarly we have $\mathcal{D}_X^\infty \otimes_{\mathcal{D}_X} C_X(\mathcal{M}) = \mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{B}_{X_R})$. Here \mathcal{D}_X^∞ denotes the sheaf of differential operators of infinite order on \bar{X} ([K-K]) and \mathcal{B}_{X_R} denotes the sheaf of hyperfunctions on X_R . In fact, since $\mathcal{B}_{X_R} = \mathbf{R}\Gamma_{X_R}(\mathcal{O}_{X \times \bar{X}})[2n]$, $n = \dim X$, we have

$$\mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{B}_{X_R})[2n] = \mathbf{R}\Gamma_{X_R}(\mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{O}_{X \times \bar{X}}))[2n].$$

By Proposition 1.4.3 [K-K], we have $\mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{O}_{X \times \bar{X}}) = \mathcal{S}ol_{\bar{X}}(\mathcal{M}) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{O}_{\bar{X}}$, where $\hat{\otimes}$ denotes the external tensor product. Hence Proposition 1.4.2 [K-K] implies

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{R}\Gamma_{X_R}(\mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{D}\mathcal{R}_X(\mathcal{M}), C_X) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{O}_{\bar{X}})[2n] \\ = \mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{D}\mathcal{R}_X(\mathcal{M}), \mathcal{O}_{\bar{X}}) = \mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om_{C_X}(\mathcal{S}ol_X(C_X(\mathcal{M})), \mathcal{O}_{\bar{X}}) \\ = \mathcal{D}_X^\infty \otimes_{\mathcal{D}_X} C_X(\mathcal{M}). \end{aligned}$$

Here the last identity follows from Theorem 1.4.9 [K-K].

Theorem 2. (i) For any regular holonomic \mathcal{D}_X -module \mathcal{M} , we have

$$\mathcal{E}xt_{\mathcal{D}_X}^j(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{D}b_{X_R}) = 0 \quad \text{for } j \neq 0$$

and $C_X(\mathcal{M}) = \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{D}b_{X_R})$ is a regular holonomic \mathcal{D}_X -module.

(ii) $\mathcal{D}\mathcal{R}_X \circ C_X = \mathcal{S}ol_X$.

(iii) C_X gives an equivalence of the categories $\mathbf{RH}(\mathcal{D}_X)$ and $\mathbf{RH}(\mathcal{D}_{\bar{X}})^\circ$.

Here $\mathbf{RH}(\mathcal{D}_{\bar{X}})$ denotes the category of regular holonomic $\mathcal{D}_{\bar{X}}$ -modules.

Proof. By Theorem 1, if \mathcal{M} is a regular holonomic \mathcal{D}_X -module, then $C_X(\mathcal{M}) = \mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{D}b_{X_R})$ belongs to $\mathbf{D}_{\text{rh}}^b(\mathcal{D}_{\bar{X}})$. In order to see $H^j(C_X(\mathcal{M})) = 0$ for $j \neq 0$, it is necessary and sufficient to show that $\mathcal{D}\mathcal{R}_X(C_X(\mathcal{M})) = \mathcal{S}ol_X(\mathcal{M})$ is perverse on \bar{X} . Since the set of analytic subsets of \bar{X} is equal to that of X , the perversity on X is equivalent to the perversity on \bar{X} . Hence the perversity of $\mathcal{D}\mathcal{R}_X(C_X(\mathcal{M}))$ on \bar{X} follows from the perversity of $\mathcal{S}ol_X(\mathcal{M})$ on X . The other statements follow immediately from (i) and the preceding theorem. Q.E.D.

Together with (1.1), we have

Corollary 3. The functor c :

$$\mathcal{M} \mapsto \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\bar{\mathcal{M}}^*, \mathcal{D}b_{X_R}) = \mathcal{F}or_{\bar{X}}^{\mathcal{D}_X}(\Omega_{\bar{X}}^n \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\bar{X}}} \bar{\mathcal{M}}, \mathcal{D}b_{X_R})$$

is an automorphism of $\mathbf{RH}(\mathcal{D}_X)$, which satisfies $\mathcal{D}\mathcal{R}_X(\mathcal{M}^\circ) = \overline{\mathcal{D}\mathcal{R}_X(\mathcal{M})}$. Here $n = \dim X$ and $*$ denotes the dual system.

§ 3. Applications

Proposition 4. *Let u be a distribution on $X_{\mathbb{R}}$. Then the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (a) $\mathcal{D}_X u$ is a regular holonomic \mathcal{D}_X -module.
- (\bar{a}) $\mathcal{D}_{\bar{X}} u$ is a regular holonomic $\mathcal{D}_{\bar{X}}$ -module.
- (b) Any point of X has a neighborhood U and a coherent left $(\mathcal{D}_X|_U)$ -ideal \mathcal{I} such that $\mathcal{I}u=0$ on U and that $(\mathcal{D}_X|_U)/\mathcal{I}$ is regular holonomic (we say shortly that u solves locally a regular holonomic system on X).
- (\bar{b}) u solves locally a regular holonomic system on \bar{X} .

Proof. The implication (a) \Rightarrow (b), (\bar{a}) \Rightarrow (\bar{b}) is evident. Hence it is sufficient to show (b) \Rightarrow (\bar{a}). Let $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{D}_X/\mathcal{I}$ be a regular holonomic \mathcal{D}_X -module with $\mathcal{I}u=0$. Then u gives the \mathcal{D}_X -linear homomorphism $\varphi: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}b_{X_{\mathbb{R}}}$, and hence φ is a section of $C_X(\mathcal{M}) = \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{D}b_{X_{\mathbb{R}}})$. Since $\mathcal{D}_{\bar{X}}u$ is isomorphic to the sub- $\mathcal{D}_{\bar{X}}$ -module of $C_X(\mathcal{M})$ generated by φ , $\mathcal{D}_{\bar{X}}u$ is a regular holonomic $\mathcal{D}_{\bar{X}}$ -module. Q.E.D.

Remark 3.1. The conditions (a)~(\bar{b}) for u are also equivalent to the conditions (a)~(\bar{b}) for the complex conjugate \bar{u} of u .

Proposition 5. *Let \mathcal{M} be a regular holonomic \mathcal{D}_X -module and φ a section of $C_X(\mathcal{M})$. Then the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (i) φ is an injective sheaf homomorphism from \mathcal{M} to $\mathcal{D}b_{X_{\mathbb{R}}}$.
- (ii) φ generates $C_X(\mathcal{M})$ as \mathcal{D}_X -module.

Proof. Set $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{D}_X\varphi \subset C_X(\mathcal{M})$. Applying the functor $C_{\bar{X}}$ to the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{N} \xrightarrow{\alpha} C_X(\mathcal{M})$, we obtain the exact sequence $0 \leftarrow C_{\bar{X}}(\mathcal{N}) \xleftarrow{\beta} \mathcal{M}$. The homomorphism β is given by $\beta(u): \mathcal{N} \ni P\varphi \mapsto P(\varphi(u)) \in \mathcal{D}b_{X_{\mathbb{R}}}$ for $u \in \mathcal{M}$, $P \in \mathcal{D}_{\bar{X}}$. Hence $\text{Ker } \beta = \text{Ker } (\varphi: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}b_{X_{\mathbb{R}}})$. The equivalence of $C_{\bar{X}}$ implies: φ is injective $\Leftrightarrow \beta$ is injective $\Leftrightarrow \alpha$ is surjective. Q.E.D.

Remark 3.2. If u satisfies (a)~(\bar{b}) of Proposition 4, then $C_X(\mathcal{D}_X u) = \mathcal{D}_{\bar{X}}u$. In particular, any distribution v which satisfies $Pv=0$ for any $P \in \mathcal{D}_{\bar{X}}$ with $Pu=0$, can be written locally in the form Qu with $Q \in \mathcal{D}_{\bar{X}}$.

Remark 3.3. The subsheaf of $\mathcal{D}b_{X_{\mathbb{R}}}$ consisting of distributions satisfying (a)~(\bar{b}) of Proposition 4 is not a $\mathcal{D}_{X_{\mathbb{R}}}$ -module. In fact, $e^{z\bar{z}}$, nor $1/(1+z\bar{z})$, does not satisfy any holomorphic linear differential equation on C ; that is, $\mathcal{D}_C \ni P \mapsto Pe^{z\bar{z}} \in \mathcal{D}b_{X_{\mathbb{R}}}$ is injective.

Corollary 6. *Any regular holonomic \mathcal{D}_X -module is locally embedded into $\mathcal{D}b_{X_{\mathbb{R}}}$.*

In fact, for a regular holonomic \mathcal{D}_X -module \mathcal{M} , $C_X(\mathcal{M})$ is locally generated by one section ([B]).

Example 3.4. (1) $X = \mathbb{C}$, $u = (z + \bar{z})^n$. Then $\mathcal{D}_X u \cong \mathcal{D}_X / \mathcal{D}_X \partial_z^{n+1}$ and $\mathcal{D}_X u \cong \mathcal{D}_X / \mathcal{D}_X \bar{\partial}_z^{n+1}$.

(2) $X = \mathbb{C}$, $u = 1/z$. Then $\mathcal{D}_X u \cong \mathcal{D}_X / \mathcal{D}_X (z\partial_z + 1)$ and $\mathcal{D}_X u \cong \mathcal{D}_X / \mathcal{D}_X \bar{z}\bar{\partial}_z$. Remark that $\bar{\partial}_z u = \pi^{-1} \delta(\operatorname{Re} z) \delta(\operatorname{Im} z)$.

Remark 3.5. We conjecture that Theorem 2 is still true for arbitrary holonomic \mathcal{D}_X -modules.

Example (D. Barlet). When $X = \mathbb{C}$, $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{D}_X / \mathcal{D}_X (z^2 \partial_z + 1)$, let us take $u = e^{1/z - 1/\bar{z}}$. Since u is a bounded function outside the origin, u can be considered as a distribution on X . Then, we have

$$\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{D}b_{X\mathbb{R}}) \cong \mathcal{D}_X u = \mathcal{D}_X / \mathcal{D}_X (\bar{z}^2 \bar{\partial}_z - 1).$$

The vanishing $\mathcal{E}xt_{\mathcal{D}_X}^1(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{D}b_{X\mathbb{R}}) = 0$ follows from the solvability of the constant-coefficient differential operator $-\partial_t + 1$ ($= z^2 \partial_z + 1$, $t = 1/z$) on the space of tempered distributions on \mathbb{C}_t .

§ 4. Proof of Theorem 1

We shall prove Theorem 1 (i) by reducing it to a simple case (Lemma 7) by using Hironaka’s desingularization theorem ([H]).

Lemma 7. Let $X = \mathbb{C}^n$, $f = x_1 \cdots x_l$ ($l \leq n$) and let \mathcal{M} be a regular holonomic right \mathcal{D}_X -module such that $\mathcal{M}_f = \mathcal{M}$ and that the characteristic variety of \mathcal{M} is contained in the zero section outside $f^{-1}(0)$. Here $*_f$ denotes the localization by f . Then we have $\mathcal{T}or_{i_j}^{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{D}b_{X\mathbb{R}}) = 0$ for $j \neq n$ and $\mathcal{T}or_n^{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{D}b_{X\mathbb{R}})$ is a regular holonomic \mathcal{D}_X -module.

Proof. The assertion being closed under extension of \mathcal{D}_X -modules, we may assume from the beginning that

$$\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{D}_X / \left(\sum_{j=1}^l (x_j \partial_j - \lambda_j) \mathcal{D}_X + \sum_{j=l+1}^n \mathcal{D}_X \partial_j \right)$$

with $\lambda_j \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{-1, -2, \dots\}$. We have $\mathcal{M} \otimes_{\mathcal{D}_X}^L \mathcal{D}b_{X\mathbb{R}} = \mathcal{M} \otimes_{\mathcal{D}_X}^L (\mathcal{D}b_{X\mathbb{R}})_f$. Hence this is isomorphic to the Koszul complex

$$(4.1) \quad (\mathcal{D}b_{X\mathbb{R}})_f \longrightarrow (\mathcal{D}b_{X\mathbb{R}})_f^n \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow (\mathcal{D}b_{X\mathbb{R}})_f^n \longrightarrow (\mathcal{D}b_{X\mathbb{R}})_f \longrightarrow 0$$

$$\left[\begin{array}{c} P_1 \\ \vdots \\ P_n \end{array} \right]$$

where $P_j = \begin{cases} x_j \partial_j - \lambda_j & (j \leq l) \\ \partial_j & (j > l). \end{cases}$

The map $\varphi: u \mapsto |x_1^{l_1} \cdots x_n^{l_n}|^2 u$ gives an isomorphism of $(\mathcal{D}b_{X_R})_f$ and φ transforms (4.1) to the Koszul complex

$$(4.2) \quad (\mathcal{D}b_{X_R})_f \xrightarrow{\begin{bmatrix} Q_1 \\ \vdots \\ Q_n \end{bmatrix}} (\mathcal{D}b_{X_R})_f^n \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow (\mathcal{D}b_{X_R})_f \rightarrow 0$$

where $Q_j = \begin{cases} x_j \partial_j & (j \leq l) \\ \partial_j & (j > l). \end{cases}$

By Dolbeaut's lemma, its homology group is concentrated at the degree n and the n -th homology group is isomorphic to $(\mathcal{O}_X)_f$. Here we used the following

$$(4.3) \quad (\mathcal{D}b_{X_R})_f = (\mathcal{D}b_{X_R})_f.$$

Hence $\mathcal{F}or_j^{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{D}b_{X_R}) = 0$ for $j \neq n$ and $\mathcal{F}or_n^{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{D}b_{X_R})$ is isomorphic to $(\mathcal{O}_X)_f$ with the structure of \mathcal{D}_X -module by

$$(4.4) \quad \bar{\partial}_j \circ u = \bar{x}_j^{\lambda_j} \bar{\partial}_j \bar{x}_j^{-\lambda_j} u = (\bar{\partial}_j - \lambda_j \bar{x}_j^{-1}) u.$$

Hence this is a regular holonomic \mathcal{D}_X -module. Q.E.D.

Lemma 8. *Let Y be a smooth submanifold of a complex manifold X , H a normally crossing hypersurface of Y and \mathcal{M} a regular holonomic \mathcal{D}_X -module satisfying*

$$(4.5) \quad \text{Supp } \mathcal{M} \subset Y,$$

$$(4.6) \quad \mathcal{H}_{[H]}^i(\mathcal{M}) = 0 \text{ for any } j,$$

(4.7) $\text{Ch } \mathcal{M} \subset T_Y^* X \cup \pi^{-1} H$, where Ch denotes the characteristic variety and π is the projection from $T^* X$ to X . Then $\mathcal{F}or_j^{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{D}b_{X_R})$ is a regular holonomic \mathcal{D}_X -module for any j .

Proof. There exists a regular holonomic \mathcal{D}_Y -module \mathcal{N} such that $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{N} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y} \mathcal{D}_Y \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D}_X$. We can easily show the following

Lemma 9. $\mathcal{F}or_j^{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{D}_Y \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D}_X, \mathcal{D}b_{X_R}) = 0$ for

$$j \neq \text{codim } Y \text{ and } \cong \mathcal{D}_X \longleftarrow \mathcal{D}_Y \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y} \mathcal{D}b_{Y_R} \text{ for } j = \text{codim } Y.$$

By this lemma, we have, denoting $c = \text{codim } Y$,

$$\mathcal{F}or_j^{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{D}_{b_{X_R}}) \cong \mathcal{D}_X \longleftarrow_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes_{\mathcal{D}_R} \mathcal{F}or_j^{\mathcal{D}_Y}(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{D}_{b_{Y_R}}).$$

On the other hand, Lemma 7 implies the regular holonomicity of $\mathcal{F}or_j^{\mathcal{D}_Y}(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{D}_{b_{Y_R}})$ and the lemma follows. Q.E.D.

§ 5. End of Proof of Theorem 1

We shall show the following statement (5.1) by the induction of $\dim \text{Supp } \mathcal{M}$;

(5.1) $\mathcal{M} \otimes_{\mathcal{D}_X}^L \mathcal{D}_{b_{X_R}} \in \mathbf{D}_{\text{rh}}^b(\mathcal{D}_X)$ for any bounded complex \mathcal{M} of right \mathcal{D}_X -modules with regular holonomic cohomology groups.

Here $S = \text{Supp } \mathcal{M}$ is, by definition, the union of $\text{Supp } \mathcal{H}^i(\mathcal{M})$.

There exists a nowhere dense closed analytic subset S_0 of S which satisfies the following two conditions.

(5.2) S_0 contains the singular locus of S .

(5.3) $\text{Ch } \mathcal{H}^i(\mathcal{M}) \subset T_{\mathbb{S}}^*X \cup \pi^{-1}(S_0)$.

The question being local, we may assume further

(5.4) $S_0 = S \cap \varphi^{-1}(0)$ for a $\varphi \in \Gamma(X; \mathcal{O}_X)$.

Let us consider a distinguished triangle $\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_\varphi \rightarrow \mathcal{N} \xrightarrow{+1} \mathcal{M}$. Since $\text{Supp } \mathcal{N} \subset S_0$, $\mathcal{N} \otimes_{\mathcal{D}_X}^L \mathcal{D}_{b_{X_R}}$ belongs to $\mathbf{D}_{\text{rh}}^b(\mathcal{D}_X)$ by the hypothesis of induction. Hence in order to see $\mathcal{M} \otimes_{\mathcal{D}_X}^L \mathcal{D}_{b_{X_R}} \in \mathbf{D}_{\text{rh}}^b(\mathcal{D}_X)$, it is enough to show $\mathcal{M}_\varphi \otimes_{\mathcal{D}_X}^L \mathcal{D}_{b_{X_R}} \in \mathbf{D}_{\text{rh}}^b(\mathcal{D}_X)$. Hence, replacing \mathcal{M} with \mathcal{M}_φ , we may assume further

(5.5) $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_\varphi$.

Now, by Hironaka's desingularization theorem ([H]), there exists a smooth manifold X' a projective morphism $f: X' \rightarrow X$ and a non-singular submanifold S' of X' which satisfy the following properties:

(5.6) f gives an isomorphism from $X' \setminus f^{-1}(S_0)$ onto $X \setminus S_0$ and $f(S') = S$.

(5.7) $S'_0 = S' \cap f^{-1}(S_0)$ is normally crossing hypersurface of S' .

Set $\varphi' = \varphi \circ f$, and

(5.8) $\mathcal{M}' = f^{-1}\mathcal{M} \otimes_{f^{-1}\mathcal{D}_X} \mathcal{D}_{X-X'}$.

Since $(\mathcal{D}_{X-X'})_\varphi = (\mathcal{D}_{X'})_{\varphi'}$, we have

(5.9) $\mathcal{M}' \cong \mathcal{M} \otimes_{\mathcal{D}_X} (\mathcal{D}_{X'})_{\varphi'} \cong \mathcal{M}'_c$.

Lemma 8 implies $\mathcal{M}' \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X'}}^L \mathcal{D}b_{X_R} \in \mathbf{D}_{\text{rh}}^b(\mathcal{D}_{X'})$. Therefore its integration

$$Rf_* \left(\mathcal{D}_{\bar{X}-\bar{X}'} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\bar{X}'}}^L \left(\mathcal{M}' \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X'}}^L \mathcal{D}b_{X_R} \right) \right)$$

also belongs to $\mathbf{D}_{\text{rh}}^b(\mathcal{D}_{\bar{X}})$ (Theorem 6.2.1 [K-K]).

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}' \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X'}}^L \mathcal{D}b_{X_R} &= \mathcal{M} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X}^L (\mathcal{D}_{X'})_{\varphi'} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X'}}^L \mathcal{D}b_{X_R} \\ &= \mathcal{M} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X}^L (\mathcal{D}b_{X_R})_{\varphi'} = (\mathcal{D}_{X'})_{\varphi'} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X'}}^L \left(\mathcal{M} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X}^L (\mathcal{D}b_{X_R})_{\varphi'} \right), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mathcal{D}_{\bar{X}-\bar{X}'} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\bar{X}'}}^L (\mathcal{D}_{X'})_{\varphi'} = (\mathcal{D}_{X'})_{\varphi'}.$$

Here we used $(\mathcal{D}b_{X_R})_{\varphi'} = (\mathcal{D}b_{X_R})_{\varphi'}$. Hence, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} Rf_* \left(\mathcal{D}_{\bar{X}-\bar{X}'} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\bar{X}'}}^L \left(\mathcal{M}' \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X'}}^L \mathcal{D}b_{X_R} \right) \right) \\ = Rf_* \left(\mathcal{M} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X}^L (\mathcal{D}b_{X_R})_{\varphi'} \right) = \mathcal{M} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X}^L Rf_*((\mathcal{D}b_{X_R})_{\varphi'}). \end{aligned}$$

Since $Rf_*((\mathcal{D}b_{X_R})_{\varphi'}) = (\mathcal{D}b_{X_R})_{\varphi}$ we finally conclude

$$\mathcal{M} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X}^L (\mathcal{D}b_{X_R})_{\varphi} = \mathcal{M} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X}^L \mathcal{D}b_{X_R} \in \mathbf{D}_{\text{rh}}^b(\mathcal{D}_X).$$

This shows (5.1) and completes the proof of Theorem 1.

References

- [B] J-E. Björk, Rings of Differential Operators, 1979, North-Holland, Amsterdam-Oxford-New York.
- [B-B-D] A. A. Beilinson, J. Bernstein and P. Deligne, Faisceaux pervers, analyse et topologie sur les espaces singuliers (1) Astérisque, **100** (1982), Soc. Math. de France.
- [H] H. Hironaka, Resolution of singularities of an algebraic variety over a field of characteristic 0, Ann. of Math., **79** (1964), 109–326.
- [K] M. Kashiwara, The Riemann-Hilbert problem for holonomic systems, Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ., **20** (1984), 319–365.
- [K-K] — and T. Kawai, On holonomic systems of microdifferential equations III, Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ., **17** (1981), 813–979.
- [M] Z. Mebkhout, Une equivalence de catégories, Compositio Math., **51** (1984), 51–62.
- [M] —, Une autre equivalence de catégories, ibid., 63–88

Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences
Kyoto University
Kitashirakawa, Sakyo-ku
Kyoto 606, Japan