

## Integrability of Infinitesimal Zoll Deformations

Chiaki Tsukamoto

1. A Riemannian metric on a sphere  $S^n$  ( $n \geq 2$ ) is called a *Zoll metric* when all the geodesics are closed and have a common length  $2\pi$ . The metric of constant sectional curvature 1 is a well-known example of a Zoll metric, but we further know that this standard metric  $g_0$  is deformable by Zoll metrics (Zoll [8], Guillemin [3]; see also Besse [1]).

A symmetric 2-form  $h$  on  $S^n$  which is a direction of a Zoll deformation of  $g_0$  satisfies

$$(1.1) \quad \int_0^{2\pi} h(\dot{\gamma}_0(s), \dot{\gamma}_0(s)) ds = 0$$

for every geodesic  $\gamma_0$  of  $g_0$  parametrized by its arclength  $s$ , where  $\dot{\gamma}_0$  is the tangent vector of  $\gamma_0$ . Conversely, if  $h$  is a symmetric 2-form satisfying (1.1) for every geodesic of  $g_0$ , then the geodesics of  $g_t = g_0 + t \cdot h$  are nearly  $2\pi$ -periodic in the first order of  $t$ . We call such a symmetric 2-form on  $S^n$  an *infinitesimal Zoll deformation*, which we abbreviate as *IZD*. We say an *IZD*  $h$  is *integrable* if there exists a family of Zoll metrics  $g_t$  with  $g_0$  being the standard one such that  $h = \partial g_t / \partial t|_{t=0}$ .

V. Guillemin proved in [3] that every *IZD* on a 2-dimensional sphere is integrable. On the other hand, K. Kiyohara ([4], [5]) showed that the situation is quite different in higher dimensions; not all the *IZD* are integrable, and, moreover, the set of integrable *IZD* does not even form a linear subspace.

They both studied the *IZD* of conformal type. Up to trivial *IZD*, they are the only possible *IZD* on  $S^2$  (Funk [2]). But there exists another type of *IZD* in higher dimensions, as we have seen in [7]. In this paper, we shall exhibit that this type of *IZD* are not integrable, using a representation theoretical counterpart of Kiyohara's argument. The problem to determine which *IZD* is integrable is not yet resolved for the mixture of these two types of *IZD*, though we get some information by our argument.

2. We first recall how the condition (1.1) is deduced. Let  $g_t$  be a family of metrics on  $S^n$  with  $g_0$  being the standard metric. We fix a point

$p \in S^n$  and a direction  $\ell$  in  $T_p S^n$ . Let  $\gamma_t(s)$  be the geodesic of  $g_t$  starting from  $p$  in the direction  $\ell$ , parametrized by its arclength  $s$  with respect to  $g_t$ . We denote by  $\dot{\gamma}_t(s)$  its tangent vector at  $\gamma_t(s)$ . Differentiating an obvious identity

$$(2.1) \quad \int_0^{2\pi} g_t(\dot{\gamma}_t(s), \dot{\gamma}_t(s)) ds = 2\pi$$

with respect to the parameter  $t$  and setting  $t=0$ , we get

$$(2.2) \quad \int_0^{2\pi} h(\dot{\gamma}_0(s), \dot{\gamma}_0(s)) ds + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[ \int_0^{2\pi} g_0(\dot{\gamma}_t(s), \dot{\gamma}_t(s)) ds \right] \Big|_{t=0} = 0,$$

where we set  $h = \partial g_t / \partial t|_{t=0}$ . If  $g_t$  are Zoll metrics,  $\gamma_t$  are all  $2\pi$ -periodic, and hence the second term in (2.2) vanishes, for it is a variation of energy of  $2\pi$ -periodic curves around a geodesic  $\gamma_0$  parametrized by its arclength. Thus a direction  $h = \partial g_t / \partial t|_{t=0}$  of a Zoll deformation  $g_t$  satisfies (1.1) for every geodesic  $\gamma_0$  of  $g_0$ .

We will always regard  $(S^n, g_0)$  as a unit sphere in a Euclidean space  $\mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ . Then the set of all oriented geodesics of  $(S^n, g_0)$ , i.e., great circles, is identified with an Grassmann manifold of oriented 2-planes in  $\mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ , which we denote by  $\text{Geod}S^n$ . We define a mapping  $\mathcal{A}$  from  $\mathcal{S}^2(S^n)$ , the space of symmetric 2-forms on  $S^n$ , to  $\mathcal{F}(\text{Geod}S^n)$ , the space of functions on  $\text{Geod}S^n$ , by

$$\mathcal{A}(h)(\gamma_0) = (1/2\pi) \int_0^{2\pi} h(\dot{\gamma}_0(s), \dot{\gamma}_0(s)) ds \quad (h \in \mathcal{S}^2(S^n), \gamma_0 \in \text{Geod}S^n).$$

The space of *IZD* is nothing but the kernel of the mapping  $\mathcal{A}$ .

A Lie derivative of the standard metric  $g_0$  by a vector field  $X$ , denoted by  $\mathcal{L}_X g_0$ , is an integrable *IZD*, since it is a direction of a trivial Zoll deformation  $\varphi_t^* g_0$ , where  $\varphi_t$  is a family of diffeomorphisms generated by  $X$ . We call such an *IZD trivial* and denote the space of trivial *IZD* by  $\mathcal{T}$ .

Let  $\sigma$  be the antipodal mapping on  $(S^n, g_0)$ :  $\sigma(x) = -x$  ( $x \in S^n \subset \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ ). Another type of symmetric 2-forms which are easily seen to be *IZD* are those of the form  $f \cdot g_0$ , where  $f$  is an odd function on  $S^n$  with respect to  $\sigma$ . We call them of *conformal type* and denote the space of *IZD* of conformal type by  $\mathcal{C}$ .

We shall study what happens to the geodesics  $\gamma_t$  of  $g_t = g_0 + t \cdot h$  for an *IZD*  $h$ , in order to see that an *IZD* is in fact worth its name. By the way, we prepare notations for the second order condition for integrability.

We take an orthonormal basis  $X_1 = \dot{\gamma}_0(0), X_2, \dots, X_n$  in  $T_p S^n$  and extend them parallel with respect to  $g_0$  to vector fields along  $\gamma_0$ . They turn out to be  $2\pi$ -periodic. We define a normal coordinate near  $\gamma_0$  by

$$(s^1 = s, s^2, \dots, s^n) \longmapsto \exp_{\gamma_0(s)}(\sum_{i=2}^n s^i X_i).$$

Writing down the equations of geodesics with respect to  $g_t$  in this coordinate and taking the differential at  $t=0$ , we get an equation of a variation vector field  $\xi(s) = (\partial r_t / \partial t)(s)|_{t=0}$  along  $\gamma_0$ :

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{cases} d^2 \xi^1 / ds^2 = -\beta^1 = -(1/2) dh_{11} / ds, \\ d^2 \xi^i / ds^2 + \xi^i = -\beta^i \quad (i \neq 1), \end{cases}$$

where the indices mean the component with respect to our normal coordinate and we set

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{cases} \beta^i(s) = (\partial h_{1i} / \partial s - (1/2) \partial h_{11} / \partial s^i)(s, 0, \dots, 0), \\ h_{11}(s) = h_{11}(s, 0, \dots, 0) (= h(\dot{\gamma}_0(s), \dot{\gamma}_0(s))). \end{cases}$$

Notice that  $\{\beta^i\}$  corresponds to the variation of Levi-Civita connections.

Since every  $\gamma_t$  starts from the same point in the same direction, the initial condition for  $\xi$  is given by

$$\begin{cases} \xi^i(0) = 0, \\ (d\xi^1 / ds)(0) = -(1/2) h_{11}(0), \\ (d\xi^i / ds)(0) = 0 \quad (i \neq 1). \end{cases}$$

The solution of (2.3) with this initial condition is explicitly written as

$$\begin{cases} \xi^1(s) = -(1/2) \int_0^s h_{11}(u) du, \\ \xi^i(s) = - \int_0^s \beta^i(u) \sin(s-u) du \quad (i \neq 1). \end{cases}$$

When a family of metrics  $g_t$  consists of Zoll metrics, the variation vector field  $\xi$  is  $2\pi$ -periodic, and hence so is each component  $\xi^i$ . The component  $\xi^1$  is  $2\pi$ -periodic if and only if

$$\xi^1(2\pi) = -(1/2) \int_0^{2\pi} h_{11}(u) du = 0,$$

which is the same condition with (1.1). The component  $\xi^i$  ( $i \neq 1$ ) is  $2\pi$ -periodic if and only if

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{cases} \xi^i(2\pi) = \int_0^{2\pi} \beta^i(u) \sin u \, du = 0, \\ (d\xi^i / ds)(2\pi) = - \int_0^{2\pi} \beta^i(u) \cos u \, du = 0. \end{cases}$$

It is easily seen that the above conditions for the periodicity of  $\xi$  do not depend on the choice of a starting point  $p$  of a geodesic  $\gamma_0$ , but only on the symmetric 2-form  $h$  and the geodesic  $\gamma_0$  as an element of  $\text{Geod}S^n$ . Now the following lemma holds.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $h$  be an IZD. Take any geodesic  $\gamma_0$  of  $g_0$  and define  $\beta^i$  by (2.4) using a prescribed normal coordinate associated with  $\gamma_0$ . Then the condition (2.5) is satisfied.*

*Proof.* Suppose an IZD  $h$  is even with respect to  $\sigma$  ( $\sigma^*h=h$ ). Then  $h$  is considered as a symmetric 2-form on a real projective space  $P^n(\mathbf{R})$  and satisfies

$$\int_0^\pi h(\dot{\gamma}_0(s), \dot{\gamma}_0(s)) ds = 0$$

for every geodesic  $\gamma_0$  of  $g_0$ . By Michel's theorem ([6]), such an IZD  $h$  is trivial; it is written as  $\mathcal{L}_X g_0$  on  $P^n(\mathbf{R})$ , where  $X$  is a vector field on  $P^n(\mathbf{R})$  and  $g_0$  is considered as a metric on  $P^n(\mathbf{R})$ . Obviously  $h$  is also trivial on  $S^n$ , and therefore  $h$  satisfies (2.5) because a trivial IZD is integrable. On the other hand, if  $h$  is odd with respect to  $\sigma$  ( $\sigma^*h=-h$ ), then  $\beta^i$  ( $i \neq 1$ ) are even functions on  $S^1$  ( $\beta^i(s+\pi)=\beta^i(s)$ ), and hence (2.5) is satisfied by  $h$ . Since each IZD  $h$  is a sum of even and odd parts with respect to  $\sigma$  and since the condition (2.5) is linear in  $h$ , our lemma follows.

Thus, if  $h$  is an IZD, the variation vector field for the metric deformation  $g_t = g_0 + t \cdot h$  is always  $2\pi$ -periodic, which implies that a family of curves  $\gamma_t$  ( $g_t$ -geodesics) are nearly  $2\pi$ -periodic in the first order of  $t$ .

We now examine the second order condition for integrability. Differentiating (2.1) twice in  $t$  and setting  $t=0$ , we get a formula satisfied by  $h = \partial g_t / \partial t|_{t=0}$  and  $k = \partial^2 g_t / \partial t^2|_{t=0}$  when  $g_t$  is a Zoll deformation:

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{aligned} (1/2\pi) \int_0^{2\pi} k(\dot{\gamma}_0(s), \dot{\gamma}_0(s)) ds &= (1/4\pi) \int_0^{2\pi} \{h_{11}(s)\}^2 ds \\ &\quad - (1/\pi) \sum_{i=2}^n \int_0^{2\pi} ds \beta^i(s) \int_0^s \beta^i(u) \sin(s-u) du. \end{aligned}$$

We define for two IZD  $h^{(1)}$  and  $h^{(2)}$  a function  $\mathcal{B}(h^{(1)}, h^{(2)})$  on  $\text{Geod}S^n$  by

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}(h^{(1)}, h^{(2)})(\gamma_0) &= (1/4\pi) \int_0^{2\pi} h_{11}^{(1)}(s) h_{11}^{(2)}(s) ds \\ &\quad - (1/\pi) \sum_{i=2}^n \int_0^{2\pi} ds \beta_{(1)}^i(s) \int_0^s \beta_{(2)}^i(u) \sin(s-u) du \\ &\quad (\gamma_0 \in \text{Geod}S^n), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\beta_{(1)}^i[\beta_{(2)}^i]$  is defined by (2.4) for  $h^{(1)}[h^{(2)}]$  using a normal coordinate associated with  $\gamma_0$ . It is easily seen from Lemma 2.1 that the right hand side of (2.7) does not depend on the choice of a starting point  $p$  of  $\gamma_0$ . We also notice that the bilinear mapping  $\mathcal{B}$  is symmetric, i.e.,  $\mathcal{B}(h^{(1)}, h^{(2)}) = \mathcal{B}(h^{(2)}, h^{(1)})$ .

The formula (2.6) means that, if an IZD  $h$  is integrable, then there exists a symmetric 2-form  $k$  such that  $\mathcal{A}(k) = \mathcal{B}(h, h) (\in \mathcal{F}(\text{Geod}S^n))$ . It is a non-trivial condition for integrability, for the mapping  $\mathcal{A}$  is not surjective for  $n \geq 3$ .

**Proposition 2.2.** *Let  $h$  be an IZD. If  $\mathcal{B}(h, h)$  is not contained in  $\text{Im } \mathcal{A}$ , then it is not integrable.*

3. Our argument on integrability of IZD is based on the fact that  $(S^n, g_0)$  is a compact rank one symmetric space. The special orthogonal group  $SO(n+1)$  acts on  $(S^n, g_0)$  transitively and isometrically, and on  $\text{Geod}S^n$  transitively. The actions induce  $SO(n+1)$ -module structures on  $\mathcal{S}^2(S^n)$  and  $\mathcal{F}(\text{Geod}S^n)$ , and they are endowed with natural  $SO(n+1)$ -invariant inner products. The spaces  $\text{Ker } \mathcal{A}$  and  $\text{Im } \mathcal{A}$  are considered as their  $SO(n+1)$ -submodules, since the mapping  $\mathcal{A}$  is an  $SO(n+1)$ -homomorphism. The spaces  $\mathcal{T}$  and  $\mathcal{C}$  are considered as  $SO(n+1)$ -submodules of  $\text{Ker } \mathcal{A}$ . For convenience's sake, we complexify all the modules appearing above and denote them by the same symbols in the following.

The  $SO(n+1)$ -module structure of  $\text{Ker } \mathcal{A}$ , etc., has been studied in [7]. We denote by  $V(\lambda)$  an irreducible  $SO(n+1)$ -module over  $\mathbb{C}$  with the highest weight  $\lambda$ ; see [7] for the notation of weights.

**Proposition 3.1.** *Assume  $n \geq 4$ .*

i) *The  $SO(n+1)$ -module  $\text{Ker } \mathcal{A}$  includes densely an orthogonal sum  $M_0 \oplus M_1 \oplus M_2$  of  $SO(n+1)$ -submodules, where  $M_i$  ( $i=0, 1, 2$ ) is isomorphic to a direct sum of irreducible  $SO(n+1)$ -modules;*

$$M_0 \cong \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} V(k\lambda_1) \oplus \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} V(k\lambda_1 + (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)),$$

$$M_1 \cong \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} V((2k+1)\lambda_1),$$

$$M_2 \cong \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} V((2k+1)\lambda_1 + 2(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)).$$

- ii)  $M_0$  is a dense submodule of  $\mathcal{T}$ .
- iii)  $M_0 \oplus M_1$  is a dense submodule of  $\mathcal{T} + \mathcal{C}$ .

**Remark.** i) The sum  $\mathcal{T} + \mathcal{C}$  is not orthogonal nor direct. But we

can still consider  $M_1$  as a representative of  $\mathcal{E}$ , because integrability of an  $IZD$  does not change if we add an element of  $\mathcal{F}$  to it.

ii) In order to get the results for  $n=3$ , we have only to add in the above formulas the terms with  $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2$  changed to  $\lambda_1 - \lambda_2$ . In the following we always assume  $n \geq 4$ , but the reasoning works equally well with small changes for  $n=3$ , contrary to the case  $n=2$ , when the terms containing  $\lambda_2$  disappear.

Since  $\mathcal{A}$  is a continuous  $SO(n+1)$ -homomorphism, Schur's lemma enables us to compute the  $SO(n+1)$ -irreducible decomposition of  $\text{Im } \mathcal{A}$ , using those of  $\text{Ker } \mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{S}^2(S^n)$ .

**Proposition 3.2.** *The  $SO(n+1)$ -module  $\text{Im } \mathcal{A}$  densely includes an  $SO(n+1)$ -submodule isomorphic to the following direct sum of irreducible  $SO(n+1)$ -modules,*

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} V(2k\lambda_1) \oplus \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} V(2k\lambda_1 + 2(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)).$$

We denote by  $V_k$  the irreducible  $SO(n+1)$ -submodule of  $M_2$  that is isomorphic to  $V((2k+1)\lambda_1 + 2(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2))$ . Let  $\{x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}\}$  be a Cartesian coordinate of  $\mathbf{R}^{n+1}$  and set  $z_1 = x_1 + \sqrt{-1}x_2$  and  $z_2 = x_3 + \sqrt{-1}x_4$ . The restriction to  $S^n$  of a ( $\mathbf{C}$ -valued) symmetric 2-form on  $\mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ ,

$$(z_1)^{2k+1}((z_2)^2 dz_1 dz_1 + (z_1)^2 dz_2 dz_2 - z_1 z_2 (dz_1 dz_2 + dz_2 dz_1)),$$

is a maximal vector in  $V_k$ , which we denote by  $v_k$ . We extend  $\mathbf{C}$ -bilinearly the mapping  $\mathcal{B}: \text{Ker } \mathcal{A} \times \text{Ker } \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(\text{Geod}S^n)$ .

**Lemma 3.3.** *A function  $\mathcal{B}(v_k, v_k)$  on  $\text{Geod}S^n$  is not zero.*

*Proof.* Its value at a geodesic  $\gamma_0(s) = (\cos s, 0, \sin s, 0, \dots, 0)$ , which is computed by (2.7), is

$$((4k+3)/4\pi) \int_0^{2\pi} (\cos s)^{2k+2} ds \quad (>0).$$

We notice that  $\mathcal{B}$  is considered as a linear mapping from the symmetric tensor product of  $\text{Ker } \mathcal{A}$  to  $\mathcal{F}(\text{Geod}S^n)$ , and that as such it is an  $SO(n+1)$ -homomorphism. Let us observe its restriction to the symmetric tensor product of  $V_k$ , denoted by  $S^2V_k$ . The  $SO(n+1)$ -module  $S^2V_k$  includes the unique  $SO(n+1)$ -submodule  $V_k^2$  isomorphic to  $V((4k+2)\lambda_1 + 4(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2))$ , which is generated by  $v_k \cdot v_k$ . We denote by  $R$  the sum of other irreducible components;  $S^2V_k = V_k^2 \oplus R$ . The image  $\mathcal{B}(V_k^2)$  is not zero by Lemma 3.3, and hence the restriction of  $\mathcal{B}$  to  $V_k^2$  is an isomorphism by

Schur's lemma. Because Proposition 3.1 implies that  $\text{Im } \mathcal{A}$  does not include an  $SO(n+1)$ -submodule isomorphic to  $V((4k+2)\lambda_1+4(\lambda_1+\lambda_2))$ , the image  $\mathcal{B}(V_k^2)$  is orthogonal to  $\text{Im } \mathcal{A}$ . It is also orthogonal to  $\mathcal{B}(R)$  by the same reason. For any non-zero element  $v$  in  $V_k$ , there exists an element  $a$  of  $SO(n+1)$  such that  $a \cdot v_k$  is not orthogonal to  $v$ , since  $V_k$  is irreducible. Then  $v \cdot v$  is not orthogonal to  $a \cdot (v_k \cdot v_k) = (a \cdot v_k) \cdot (a \cdot v_k)$ , which shows that the  $V_k^2$ -component of  $v \cdot v$  does not vanish. Therefore  $\mathcal{B}(v, v)$ , whose  $\mathcal{B}(V_k^2)$ -component does not vanish, is not contained in  $\text{Im } \mathcal{A}$ .

The situation does not change if we add to  $v$  some elements in  $V_i$  ( $i < k$ ) in the above, since  $V_k^2$  remains the unique  $SO(n+1)$ -module isomorphic to  $V((4k+2)\lambda_1+4(\lambda_1+\lambda_2))$  in  $S^2(\sum_{i=0}^k V_i)$ . Thus we proved

**Theorem 3.4.** *For a non-zero element  $h$  in  $M_2$ ,  $\mathcal{B}(h, h)$  is not contained in  $\text{Im } \mathcal{A}$ . That is, an IZD in  $M_2$  is not integrable.*

**Remark.** The above argument also holds if we add some elements in  $SO(n+1)$ -submodules of  $M_1$  isomorphic to  $V(i\lambda_1)$  ( $i \leq k$ ). This implies non-integrability of some elements in  $M_1 \oplus M_2$ .

Unfortunately, it would be difficult to study integrability of an element in  $M_1$  or general one in  $M_1 \oplus M_2$  by our method. The following would illustrate the situation.

Let  $W_k$  be the unique irreducible  $SO(n+1)$ -submodule of  $M_1$  isomorphic to  $V((2k+1)\lambda_1)$ . Its symmetric tensor product  $S^2W_k$  decomposes as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} S^2W_k &= W_k^2 \oplus W_k^{2,1} \oplus W_k^{2,2} \oplus R'; \\ W_k^2 &\cong V((4k+2)\lambda_1), \\ W_k^{2,1} &\cong V((4k-2)\lambda_1+2(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)), \\ W_k^{2,2} &\cong V((4k-6)\lambda_1+4(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)). \end{aligned}$$

The image  $\mathcal{B}(W_k^2)$  or  $\mathcal{B}(W_k^{2,1})$  may be included in  $\text{Im } \mathcal{A}$ . It is the image  $\mathcal{B}(W_k^{2,2})$  and some of the images of irreducible components of  $R'$  that cannot be included in  $\text{Im } \mathcal{A}$  if non-zero. The  $W_k^{2,2}$ -component of  $v \cdot v$  vanishes for some element  $v$  in  $M_1$ , and not for another.

We are left with the problem: Determine the integrable IZD. Or, at least, determine the elements in  $M_1 \oplus M_2$  that satisfy the second order condition for integrability. The author now feels that the latter is no easier than the former.

## References

- [ 1 ] A. Besse, *Manifolds all of whose geodesics are closed*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1978.
- [ 2 ] P. Funk, Über Flächen mit lauter geschlossenen geodätischen Linien, *Math. Ann.*, **74** (1913), 278–300.
- [ 3 ] V. Guillemin, The Radon transform on Zoll surfaces, *Adv. in Math.*, **22** (1976), 85–119.
- [ 4 ] K. Kiyohara,  $C_l$ -metrics on the spheres, *Proc. Japan Acad.*, **58**, Ser A (1982), 76–78.
- [ 5 ] —, On deformations of the  $C_l$ -metrics on spheres, in this volume, 93–95.
- [ 6 ] R. Michel, Problèmes d'analyse géométrique liés à la conjecture de Blaschke, *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, **101** (1973), 17–69.
- [ 7 ] C. Tsukamoto, Infinitesimal Zoll deformations on spheres, to appear.
- [ 8 ] O. Zoll, Über Flächen mit Scharen geschlossener geodätischen Linien, *Math. Ann.*, **57** (1903), 108–133.

*Department of Mathematics*  
*Kyoto University*  
*Sakyo-ku, Kyoto 606*  
*Japan*