

# Chapter 3

## Lecture 11

Unbiasedness has an appealing property, which we discuss here: Choose any estimate  $t(s)$ . Imagining for the moment that  $s$  is unknown but  $\theta$  is provided, what is the best predictor for  $t$ ?

Let  $\lambda$  be the prior; this determines  $M$ , as above. Regard  $t$  and  $g$  as elements of  $L^2(M)$ .

7. ( $t$  is an unbiased estimate of  $g$ )  $\Leftrightarrow$  (for any choice of a probability  $\lambda$  on  $\theta$ ,  $g$  is the best (in MSE) predictor for  $t$ ).

*Proof.* If  $t$  is an unbiased estimate of  $g$ , then, for any  $\lambda$ ,  $E(t | \theta) = g$  – i.e.,  $g$  is the projection of  $t$  to the subspace of functions in  $L^2(M)$  which depend only on  $\theta$ ; or, equivalently,  $g$  is the best predictor of  $t$  in the sense of  $\|\cdot\|_M$ . Conversely, assume that each one-point set in  $\Theta$  is measurable and take  $\lambda$  to be degenerate at a point  $\theta$ . The assumption that  $g$  is the best predictor of  $t$  tells us that  $g(\theta) = E(t | \theta)$  or, equivalently, that  $t$  is an unbiased estimate of  $g$ .  $\square$

## Unbiased estimation; likelihood ratio

Choose and fix a  $\theta \in \Theta$  and let  $\delta \in \Theta$ . Assume that  $P_\delta$  is absolutely continuous with respect to  $P_\theta$  on  $\mathcal{A}$ ; then, by the Radon-Nikodym theorem, there exists an  $\mathcal{A}$ -measurable function  $\Omega_{\delta,\theta}$  satisfying  $0 \leq \Omega_{\delta,\theta} \leq +\infty$  and  $dP_\delta = \Omega_{\delta,\theta} dP_\theta$  (i.e.,  $P_\delta(A) = \int_A \Omega_{\delta,\theta}(s) dP_\theta(s)$  for all  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ ).

*Note.* Suppose that we begin with  $dP_\delta(\theta) = \ell_\delta(s) d\mu(s)$  on  $S$ , where  $\mu$  is given, and that we know that  $P_\theta(A) = 0 \Rightarrow P_\delta(A) = 0$  (i.e., that  $P_\delta$  is absolutely continuous with respect to  $P_\theta$ ). Then

$$\Omega_{\delta,\theta}(s) = \begin{cases} \ell_\delta(s)/\ell_\theta(s) & \text{if } 0 < \ell_\theta(s) < \infty \\ 1 & \text{if } \ell_\theta(s) = 0 \end{cases}$$

is an explicit formula for the likelihood ratio. In fact  $\Omega_{\delta,\theta}$  can be defined arbitrarily on the set  $\{s : \ell_\theta(s) = 0\}$ .

In estimating  $g$  on the basis of  $s$ , let  $U_g$  be the class of all unbiased estimates of  $g$ . For an estimate  $t \in U_g$ , the risk function is given by  $R_t(\theta) = E_\theta(t - g)^2 = \text{Var}_\theta(t)$ . Two questions arise immediately: What is the infimum (over  $U_g$ ) of the variances at a given  $\theta$  of the various estimates to  $g$ ? Is it attained?

Remember that we fixed a  $\theta \in \Theta$  above. Let  $V_\theta = L^2(S, \mathcal{A}, P_\theta)$ ; then we assume throughout that

$$\{\Omega_{\delta, \theta} : \delta \in \Theta\} \subseteq V_\theta,$$

i.e., that  $E_\theta(\Omega_{\delta, \theta}^2) < +\infty$ . Let  $W_\theta$  be the subspace of  $V_\theta$  spanned by  $\{\Omega_{\delta, \theta} : \delta \in \Theta\}$ .

8. a.  $U_g$  is non-empty iff  $U_g \cap W_\theta$  is non-empty.

We assume henceforth that  $U_g$  is non-empty. Then:

- b.  $U_g \cap W_\theta$  contains (essentially) only *one* estimate  $\tilde{t}$ .
- c.  $\tilde{t}$  is the orthogonal projection on  $W_\theta$  of every  $t \in U_g$ .
- d.  $\text{Var}_\theta(t) \geq \text{Var}_\theta(\tilde{t})$  for all  $t \in U_g$ .

*Note.* The above means that  $\tilde{t} \in U_g \cap W_\theta$  is the LMVUE of  $g$  at  $\theta$ .  $\tilde{t}$  often depends on  $\theta$ , and this is the problem in practice.

*Proof of (8).* Note first that

- 1.  $1 \in W_\theta$  (since  $\Omega_{\theta, \theta} \equiv 1$ ).
- 2. For any  $t$ ,  $E_\delta(t) = \int_S t(s) dP_\delta(s) = \int_S t(s) \Omega_{\delta, \theta}(s) dP_\theta(s)$ , so that  $E_\delta(t) = (t, \Omega_{\delta, \theta})_\theta$ , where  $(\cdot, \cdot)_\theta$  is the inner product in  $L^2(S, \mathcal{B}, P_\theta)$ .

To prove (a), suppose that  $U_g$  is non-empty. Let  $t \in U_g$  and define  $\tilde{t} = \pi t$ , where  $\pi = \pi_{W_\theta}$  is the orthogonal projection on  $W_\theta$ . Then, for any  $\delta \in \Theta$ ,

$$E_\delta(\tilde{t}) = (\tilde{t}, \Omega_{\delta, \theta})_\theta = (\pi t, \Omega_{\delta, \theta})_\theta = (t, \pi \Omega_{\delta, \theta})_\theta = (t, \Omega_{\delta, \theta})_\theta = E_\delta(t) = g(\delta).$$

To prove (b), suppose  $t_1, t_2 \in U_g \cap W_\theta$ ; then

$$(t_1 - t_2, \Omega_{\delta, \theta})_\theta = E_\delta(t_1 - t_2) = g(\delta) - g(\delta) = 0 \quad \forall \delta \in \Theta.$$

Hence  $(t_1 - t_2) \perp \Omega_{\delta, \theta}$  for all  $\delta \in \Theta$ , and so  $(t_1 - t_2) \perp W_\theta$ ; but  $t_1 - t_2 \in W_\theta$ , so

$$(t_1 - t_2) \perp (t_1 - t_2) \Rightarrow t_1 - t_2 = 0 \Rightarrow P_\theta(t_1 = t_2) = 1.$$

It follows by absolute continuity that  $P_\delta(t_1 = t_2) = 1$  for all  $\delta \in \Theta$ .

(c) follows from (b) and the above construction.

(d) follows from (c) since  $\tilde{t}$  is unbiased for  $g$ . □

*Note.* In verifying (8), please remember that, if  $E_\delta(t) = g(\delta) = E_\delta(\tilde{t})$  for all  $\delta \in \Theta$ , then  $\text{Var}_\theta(t) = E_\theta(t^2) - g(\theta)^2$  and  $\text{Var}_\theta(\tilde{t}) = E_\theta(\tilde{t}^2) - g(\theta)^2$ , so that  $\text{Var}_\theta(\tilde{t}) \leq \text{Var}_\theta(t)$ , with equality iff  $t = \tilde{t}$ .

# Lecture 12

We may restate (8) as follows:

- 8'. a. For some  $\tilde{t} \in W_\theta$ ,  $E_\delta(\tilde{t}) = E_\delta(t)$  for all  $\delta \in \Theta$  and  $t \in U_g$ .  
 b.  $\pi_{W_\theta} t$  is such a  $\tilde{t}$ , and is the (essentially) unique such.  
 c. We have that

$$R_{\tilde{t}}(\theta) = E_\theta(\tilde{t} - g(\theta))^2 = \text{Var}_\theta(\tilde{t}) + [b_t(\theta)]^2 \leq \text{Var}_\theta(t) + [b_t(\theta)]^2 = R_t(\theta)$$

with equality iff  $t = \tilde{t}$ .

- d.  $\tilde{t}$  is (essentially) the only unbiased estimate of  $g$  which belongs to  $W_\theta$ .  
 9. a. An estimate  $t$  is the locally MVUE of  $g(\delta) := E_\delta(t)$  at  $\theta$  iff  $t$  has finite variance at each  $\delta$  and  $t \in W_\theta$ .  
 b. An estimate  $t$  is the UMVUE of  $g(\theta) := E_\theta(t)$  iff  $t \in \bigcap_{\theta \in \Theta} W_\theta$  (we assume that  $\Omega_{\delta, \theta} \in L^2(P_\theta)$  for all  $\theta, \delta \in \Theta$ ).

9(b) above raises the question: Can we describe  $C := \bigcap_{\theta \in \Theta} W_\theta$ ? We know it contains the constant functions; does it contain any others?

10 (Lehman-Scheffé). Write

$$\tilde{V} = \bigcap_{\theta \in \Theta} V_\theta \cap \{v : E_\delta(v) = 0 \ \forall \delta \in \Theta\}.$$

If  $t$  has finite variance for each  $\delta$  (i.e.,  $t \in \bigcap_{\theta \in \Theta} V_\theta$ ), then  $t \in C$  iff, for each  $\delta \in \Theta$ , we have

$$E_\delta(tu) = 0 \ \forall u \in \tilde{V}.$$

*Proof.* Suppose that  $t \in C$ . Then  $t \perp_\delta W_\delta^\perp$  for all  $\delta \in \Theta$ . Now, for all  $u \in \tilde{V}$ ,  $u$  is an unbiased estimate of 0; from (8), we know that 0 is the projection of  $u$  to any  $W_\delta$ . Since  $u = 0 + u$ , we must therefore have  $u \in W_\delta^\perp$ , so that  $t \perp_\delta u$  for each  $\delta$  – i.e.,  $E_\delta(tu) = 0$  for all  $\delta$ .

Conversely, fix a  $\theta \in \Theta$  and write  $t = \pi t + u$ , where  $u = t - \pi t$  and  $\pi = \pi_{W_\theta}$ . Then  $E_\delta(u) = 0$  for all  $\delta$  and hence, by hypothesis, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} E_\theta(u^2) + E_\theta(u \cdot \pi t) &= E_\theta((\pi t + u)u) = E_\theta(tu) = 0 \\ &\Rightarrow E_\theta(u^2) = -E_\theta(u \cdot \pi t) = -(\pi t, u) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

– i.e.,  $u = 0$  a.e.  $(P_\theta)$  and hence, by absolute continuity of each  $P_\delta$ ,  $u = 0$  a.e.  $(P_\delta)$  also for every  $\delta \in \Theta$ . This means that  $t = \pi t = \pi_{W_\theta} t \Rightarrow t \in W_\theta$ ; since  $\theta \in \Theta$  was arbitrary, this means that  $t \in \bigcap_{\theta \in \Theta} W_\theta = C$  as desired.  $\square$

*Example 1(d).* We have  $s = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ , with the  $X_i$  iid as  $N(\theta, 1)$ , and  $\Theta = \{1, 2\}$ . We have explicitly that

$$\ell_\theta(s) \propto e^{-\frac{n}{2}(\bar{X}-\theta)^2}$$

and

$$\Omega_{\delta,\theta}(s) = e^{n(\delta-\theta)\bar{X} - \frac{n}{2}(\delta^2 - \theta^2)}.$$

Choose  $\theta = 1$ ; then

$$W_\theta = \text{Span}\{\Omega_{11}, \Omega_{21}\} = \text{Span}\{1, e^{n\bar{X}}\} = \{a + be^{n\bar{X}} : a, b \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

Let  $g(\delta) = \delta$ . Since  $\bar{X}$  is an unbiased estimate of  $g$ , we have a unique unbiased estimate of  $g$  in  $W_\theta$ . Hence we want

$$\begin{aligned} E_1(a + be^{n\bar{X}}) &= 1 \\ E_2(a + be^{n\bar{X}}) &= 2 \end{aligned} \quad (*)$$

Since  $\sqrt{n}(\bar{X} - \delta) \sim N(0, 1)$  for  $\delta \in \Theta$ , under  $\delta$ , using the MGF of  $N(0, 1)$ , we have

$$E_\delta(e^{n\bar{X}}) = e^{n\delta} E_\delta(e^{\sqrt{n} \cdot \sqrt{n}(\bar{X}-\delta)}) = e^{n\delta + \frac{1}{2}n}$$

for any  $\delta \in \Theta$ . Solving (\*), we find  $a$  and  $b$  ( $b > 0$ ). Thus  $a + be^{n\bar{X}}$  is LMVU for  $E_\theta(X_1)$  at  $\theta = 1$ . This is not, however, a reasonable estimate. We already know that  $\Theta = \{1, 2\}$ , but this estimate takes values in  $(-\infty, \infty)$ . (Since  $\Theta$  is not connected, we don't have Taylor's theorem here. Also, the LMVUE at  $\theta = 2$  is a very different function of  $\bar{X}$ .) This is absurd. MSE is not suitable because  $g$  takes on only two values.

We try changing our parameter space to  $\Theta = (\ell, u)$ . Now

$$W_\theta = \text{Span}\{\Omega_{\delta,\theta} : \ell < \delta < u\} = \text{Span}\{e^{t\bar{X}} : t \text{ is sufficiently small}\}$$

(in the last set, 't is sufficiently small' means 'for t in a fixed neighbourhood of 0'). It can be shown that

$$W_\theta = \{f(\bar{X}) : f \text{ is a Borel function and } E_\theta f^2 < +\infty\}.$$

*Proof (outline).* Since  $\frac{e^{t_1\bar{X}} - e^{t_2\bar{X}}}{t_1 - t_2} \in W_\theta$ , we have that  $\frac{d}{dt}e^{t\bar{X}} \in W_\theta$ . Hence  $\bar{X}e^{t\bar{X}} \in W_\theta$  for  $|t|$  sufficiently small. Iterating this reasoning gives us that  $\bar{X}^2 e^{t\bar{X}}, \dots, \bar{X}^i e^{t\bar{X}}, \dots \in W_\theta$  for  $|t|$  sufficiently small (what "sufficiently small" means depends on  $i$ ). Thus  $1, \bar{X}, \bar{X}^2, \dots \in W_\theta$  and hence  $W_\theta$  is as desired.

Since  $\bar{X}$  is an unbiased estimate of  $E_\delta(X_1)$  which belongs to  $W_\theta$ ,  $\bar{X}$  is LMVU at  $\theta$ , and hence  $\bar{X}$  is the UMVUE; since  $\bar{X}^2 - \frac{1}{n}$  is an unbiased estimate of  $[E_\delta(X_1)]^2$ ,  $\bar{X}^2 - \frac{1}{n}$  is the UMVUE for  $[E_\delta(X_1)]^2$ . (Here  $W_\theta$  essentially does not depend on  $\theta$ , and

$$C = \{f(\bar{X}) : f \text{ is Borel and } E_\theta f^2 < +\infty \forall \theta \in \Theta\}$$

by our above computation.)

Let  $A \subseteq S$  be such that  $P_1(A) \neq P_2(A)$  (for example,  $A = \{s : X_1(s) > 3/2\}$ ). Then  $a + bI_A$  is an unbiased estimate of  $\theta$  if  $a$  and  $b$  are chosen properly. Indeed, there are many unbiased estimates. To find the “best”, we try to minimize variances, noting that

$$W_1 = \text{Span}\{\Omega_{11}, \Omega_{21}\} = \{a + be^{n\bar{X}} : a, b \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

is the class of all estimates which are unbiased for their own expected values and have minimum variance when  $\theta = 1$  and hence that there is a  $t_1 \in W_1$  such that  $E_\delta(t_1) = \delta$  for  $\delta = 1, 2$ . (*Exercise:* What is  $t_1$ ?)

Similarly,  $W_2 = \{a + be^{-n\bar{X}} : a, b \in \mathbb{R}\}$  and there is a  $t_2 \in W_2$  such that  $E_\delta(t_2) = \delta$  for  $\delta = 1, 2$ . (*Exercise:* What is  $t_2$ ?)  $t_1 \neq t_2$ , however; in fact,  $C$  is the set of all UMVUEs, which is just the set of constant functions.

As noted, the Neyman-Pearson theory implies that we should use  $a + bI_A$  with  $A = \{s : \bar{X} > c\}$  and  $b > 0$ . We should also restrict the estimation theory to a continuum of values (i.e., should have only connected  $\Theta$ ).