

## SOLUTION SETS OF BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEMS FOR NONCONVEX DIFFERENTIAL INCLUSIONS

FRANCESCO S. DE BLASI – GIULIO PIANIGIANI

(Submitted by L. Górniewicz)

---

*Dedicated to the memory of Karol Borsuk*

### 1. Introduction and preliminaries

Topological properties of the solution set of Cauchy problems for differential inclusions have been investigated by several authors [16], [24], [14], [23], [10], [19], [3], [15]. Less attention has been, so far, devoted to analogous questions for boundary value problems.

In the present paper we consider boundary value problems of the type

$$(BV) \quad \begin{cases} x''(t) \in F(t, x(t), x'(t)), \\ x(0) = x(1) = 0, \end{cases}$$

where  $F$  is a multifunction from  $I \times \mathbf{R}^q \times \mathbf{R}^q$ ,  $I = [0, 1]$ , to the non-empty compact subsets of  $\mathbf{R}^q$ . If  $F$  is Lipschitzean, we prove that the solution set  $S_F$  of (BV) is a retract of the Sobolev space  $W^{2,1}(I, \mathbf{R}^q)$ . In particular,  $S_F$  is contractible and hence arcwise connected. Whenever  $F$  is convex valued and Lipschitzean,  $S_F$  is a retract also of  $C^1(I, \mathbf{R}^q)$ . Finally, in the nonconvex case, under a continuity assumption on  $F$ , it is proved that  $S_F$  is non-empty.

To establish the retraction property of  $S_F$ , when  $F$  is Lipschitzean, we use some recent results due to Ricceri [21] and Bressan, Cellina and Fryszkowski [4],

who have studied the existence of a retraction of a Banach space  $X$  onto the set of the fixed points of a contractive multifunction from  $X$  into itself. Developments and applications of such ideas can be found in Rybiński [22]. The nonemptiness of  $S_F$ , when  $F$  is continuous, is obtained as in Papageorgiou [18], by a technique based on a selection theorem for decomposable valued multifunctions of Antosiewicz-Cellina type [1], [9], [5].

Unlike the nonconvex case, boundary value problems of the type (BV) with  $F$  compact convex valued have been studied by many authors. We mention, among others, Pruszko [20], also for a historical outline and an extensive list of references, and Erbe and Krawcewicz [7] and Frigon [8], who use an approach based on the topological transversality method of Granas, Guenther and Lee [11].

Let  $X$  be a metric space with distance  $d_X$ . For  $x \in X$  and  $A$  a non-empty subset of  $X$ , we set  $d_X(x, A) = \inf_{a \in A} d_X(x, a)$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{K}(X)$  the space of all non-empty closed bounded subsets of  $X$  equipped with the Hausdorff metric

$$D_X(A, B) = \max \left\{ \sup_{b \in B} d_X(b, A), \sup_{a \in A} d_X(a, B) \right\}, \quad A, B \in \mathcal{K}(X).$$

Moreover  $\mathcal{C}(X)$ , where  $X$  is a normed space, denotes the space of all non-empty, convex, closed, bounded subsets of  $X$  endowed with the Hausdorff metric  $D_X$ . By  $B_X(x, r)$  (resp.  $\tilde{B}_X(x, r)$ ) we mean an open (resp. closed) ball in  $X$  with center  $x \in X$  and radius  $r > 0$  (resp.  $r \geq 0$ ).

Let  $X, Y$  be metric spaces. A multifunction  $F : X \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(Y)$  is said to be *Hausdorff lower* (resp. *upper*) *semicontinuous* if, for every  $x \in X$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a  $\delta > 0$  such that  $F(x_0) \subset \{y \in Y \mid d_Y(y, F(x)) < \varepsilon\}$  (resp.  $F(x) \subset \{y \in Y \mid d_Y(y, F(x_0)) < \varepsilon\}$ ) for every  $x \in B_X(x_0, \delta)$ .  $F$  is called *Hausdorff continuous* if  $F$  is Hausdorff lower and upper semicontinuous. A multifunction  $F : T \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(Y)$ ,  $T$  and interval of  $\mathbf{R}$ , is said to be *measurable* if for every closed subset  $C$  of  $Y$ , the set  $\{t \in T \mid F(t) \subset C\}$  is Lebesgue measurable. We refer to Castaing and Valadier [6] for further properties of measurable multifunctions.

To study problem (BV) we introduce the following assumptions about  $F$ .

Let  $F : I \times \mathbf{R}^q \times \mathbf{R}^q \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(\mathbf{R}^q)$ ,  $I = [0, 1]$ , be a multifunction.

We say that  $F$  satisfies (L) if:

(i) For every  $(x, y) \in \mathbf{R}^q \times \mathbf{R}^q$  the multifunction  $t \rightarrow F(t, x, y)$  is measurable and satisfies

$$D_{\mathbf{R}^q}(F(t, 0, 0), \{0\}) \leq m(t) \quad \text{for } t \in I,$$

where  $m : I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is non-negative and integrable.

(ii) For every  $(t, x_1, y_1), (t, x_2, y_2) \in I \times \mathbf{R}^q \times \mathbf{R}^q$  we have

$$D_{\mathbf{R}^q}(F(t, x_1, y_1), F(t, x_2, y_2)) \leq a|x_1 - y_1| + b|x_2 - y_2|,$$

where  $a \geq 0$ ,  $b \geq 0$  and  $a + b = k < 1$ .

We say that  $F$  satisfies (C) if:

(i) For every  $(x, y) \in \mathbf{R}^q \times \mathbf{R}^q$  the multifunction  $t \rightarrow F(t, x, y)$  is measurable and satisfies

$$D_{\mathbf{R}^q}(F(t, x, y), \{0\}) \leq m(t), \quad \text{for every } (t, x, y) \in I \times \mathbf{R}^q \times \mathbf{R}^q,$$

where  $m : I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is non-negative and square integrable.

(ii) For  $t \in I$  a.e. the multifunction  $(x, y) \rightarrow F(t, x, y)$  is Hausdorff continuous.

Suppose that  $F$  satisfies (L) or (C). A function  $x : I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^q$  is said to be a *solution* of the boundary value problem (BV) if: (j)  $x$  is absolutely continuous with  $x(0) = x(1) = 0$ , (jj)  $x'$  is absolutely continuous, and (jjj)  $x''(t) \in F(t, x(t), x'(t))$ ,  $t \in I$  a.e. The set of all solutions of (BV) is called the *solution set* of (BV) and denoted by  $S_F$ .

The above definition of a solution remains valid when  $F$  is, in particular, single valued.

Let  $I = [0, 1]$ . We denote by  $C(I, \mathbf{R}^q)$  (resp.  $C^1(I, \mathbf{R}^q)$ ) the Banach space of all continuous (resp. continuously differentiable functions)  $x : I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^q$  endowed with the norm

$$\|x\|_C = \max_{t \in I} |x(t)| \quad (\text{resp. } \|x\|_{C^1} = \max\{\|x\|_C, \|x'\|_C\}).$$

As usual,  $L^1(I, \mathbf{R}^q)$  (resp.  $L^2(I, \mathbf{R}^q)$ ) is the Banach space of all (equivalence classes of) integrable (resp. square integrable) functions  $x : I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^q$  equipped with the norm  $\|x\|_{L^1} = \int_I |x(t)| dt$  (resp.  $\|x\|_{L^2} = \sqrt{\int_I |x(t)|^2 dt}$ ). Furthermore,  $W^{2,1}(I, \mathbf{R}^q)$  denotes the Sobolev space of all functions  $x : I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^q$  such that  $x$  and  $x'$  are absolutely continuous (thus, with  $x'' \in L^1(I, \mathbf{R}^q)$ ), endowed with the norm

$$\|x\|_{W^{2,1}} = \|x\|_{L^1} + \|x'\|_{L^1} + \|x''\|_{L^1}.$$

We recall that a set  $K \subset L^1(I, \mathbf{R}^q)$  is said to be *decomposable* (see Hiai and Umegaki [12]) if  $u\chi_J + v\chi_{I \setminus J} \in K$  whenever  $u, v \in K$  and  $J$  is any measurable subset of  $I$ . Here  $\chi_A$  stands for the characteristic function of a set  $A \subset I$ . The family of all non-empty, decomposable, closed, bounded subsets of  $L^1(I, \mathbf{R}^q)$  is denoted by  $\mathcal{D}_{L^1(I, \mathbf{R}^q)}$ .

Let  $Z$  be a Hausdorff topological space. A subspace  $X$  of  $Z$  is said to be a *retract* of  $Z$  if there is a continuous map  $r : Z \rightarrow X$  satisfying  $r(x) = x$  for every  $x \in X$ . Any such map  $r$  is called *retraction* of  $Z$  onto  $X$ . Clearly, if  $X$  is a retract of  $Z$ , then  $X$  is closed in  $Z$ . A metrizable space  $X$  is said to be an *absolute retract* (for metrizable spaces) if for every homeomorphism  $h$  mapping  $X$  onto a closed subset  $h(X)$  of a metrizable space  $Y$ , the set  $h(X)$  is a retract of  $Y$ . We recall that

every retract of a convex set of a normed space is an absolute retract (see Borsuk [2], p. 85).

## 2. Topological properties of $S_F$

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $F : I \times \mathbf{R}^q \times \mathbf{R}^q \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(\mathbf{R}^q)$  satisfy (L). Then the solution set  $S_F$  of the boundary value problem (BV) is a retract of  $W^{2,1}(I, \mathbf{R}^q)$ .*

**PROOF.** For  $u \in L^1(I, \mathbf{R}^q)$  we denote by  $x(u) : I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^q$  the solution of the boundary value problem

$$(P_u) \quad \begin{cases} x''(t) = u(t) \\ x(0) = x(1) = 0. \end{cases}$$

This solution exists, is unique, and is given by

$$(2.1) \quad x(u)(t) = \int_0^t \left( \int_0^\tau su(s) ds - \int_\tau^1 (1-s)u(s) ds \right) d\tau, \quad t \in I.$$

For  $u \in L^1(I, \mathbf{R}^q)$ , set

$$(2.2) \quad \mathcal{U}(u) = \{ \sigma \in L^1(I, \mathbf{R}^1) \mid \sigma(t) \in F(t, x(u)(t), x'(u)(t)), t \in I \text{ a.e.} \}.$$

Clearly  $\mathcal{U}(u)$  is a non-empty decomposable closed subset of  $L^1(I, \mathbf{R}^q)$ . From  $F(t, x(u)(t), x'(u)(t)) \subset F(t, 0, 0) + \tilde{B}_{\mathbf{R}^q}(0, D_{\mathbf{R}^q}(F(t, x(u)(t), x'(u)(t)), F(t, 0, 0)))$  and assumption (L), it follows that  $\mathcal{U}(u)$  is bounded in  $L^1(I, \mathbf{R}^q)$ . Thus (2.2) defines a multifunction  $\mathcal{U} : L^1(I, \mathbf{R}^q) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{L^1(I, \mathbf{R}^q)}$ .

For every  $u_1, u_2 \in L^1(I, \mathbf{R}^q)$  we have

$$(2.3) \quad D_{L^1}(\mathcal{U}(u_1), \mathcal{U}(u_2)) \leq k \|u_1 - u_2\|_{L^1},$$

where  $k$  is the constant occurring in (L). Indeed, let  $u_1, u_2 \in L^1(I, \mathbf{R}^q)$ . Let  $x(u_1)$  and  $x(u_2)$  be the solutions of  $(P_{u_1})$  and  $(P_{u_2})$ , respectively. From (2.1) we have

$$(2.4) \quad \|x(u_1) - x(u_2)\|_C \leq \|u_1 - u_2\|_{L^1}, \quad \|x'(u_1) - x'(u_2)\|_C \leq \|u_1 - u_2\|_{L^1}.$$

Let  $\sigma_1 \in \mathcal{U}(u_1)$  be arbitrary. Since the multifunction  $\Phi : I \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(\mathbf{R}^q)$  given by

$$\Phi(t) = F(t, x(u_2)(t), x'(u_2)(t)) \cap \tilde{B}_{\mathbf{R}^q}(\sigma_1(t), d_{\mathbf{R}^q}(\sigma_1(t), F(t, x(u_2)(t), x'(u_2)(t))),$$

for  $t \in I$  is measurable, there exists  $\sigma_2 \in \mathcal{U}(u_2)$  satisfying

$$(2.5) \quad |\sigma_1(t) - \sigma_2(t)| = d_{\mathbf{R}^q}(\sigma_1(t), F(t, x(u_2)(t), x'(u_2)(t))), \quad t \in I \text{ a.e.}$$

By virtue of (2.5), assumption (L) (ii), and (2.4) we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\sigma_1 - \sigma_2\| &= \int_I d_{\mathbf{R}^q}(\sigma_1(t), F(t, x(u_2)(t), x'(u_2)(t)))dt \\ &\leq \int_I D_{\mathbf{R}^q}(F(t, x(u_1)(t), x'(u_1)(t)), F(t, x(u_2)(t), x'(u_2)(t)))dt \\ &\leq \int_I (a|x(u_1)(t) - x(u_2)(t)| + b|x'(u_1)(t) - x'(u_2)(t)|)dt \\ &\leq k\|u_1 - u_2\|_{L^1} \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $d_{L^1}(\sigma_1, \mathcal{U}(u_2)) \leq k\|u_1 - u_2\|_{L^1}$  and thus, as  $\sigma_1 \in \mathcal{U}(u_1)$  is arbitrary,

$$\sup_{\sigma_1 \in \mathcal{U}(u_1)} d_{L^1}(\sigma_1, \mathcal{U}(u_2)) \leq k\|u_1 - u_2\|_{L^1}.$$

Combining this with the analogous inequality obtained by interchanging the roles of  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  gives (2.3).

Put  $\text{Fix}(\mathcal{U}) = \{u \in L^1(I, \mathbf{R}^q) \mid u \in \mathcal{U}(u)\}$ . By a result of Nadler [17],  $\text{Fix}(\mathcal{U})$  is a non-empty closed subset of  $L^1(I, \mathbf{R}^q)$ . By a theorem of Bressan, Cellina and Fryszkowski [4] the set  $\text{Fix}(\mathcal{U})$  is a retract of  $L^1(I, \mathbf{R}^q)$ . Hence there exists a continuous map  $r : L^1(I, \mathbf{R}^q) \rightarrow \text{Fix}(\mathcal{U})$  satisfying  $r(u) = u$  for every  $u \in \text{Fix}(\mathcal{U})$ . For  $x \in W^{2,1}(I, \mathbf{R}^q)$  define  $Rx : I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^q$  by

$$(2.6) \quad (Rx)(t) = \int_0^t \left( \int_0^\tau sr(x'')(s) ds - \int_\tau^1 (1-s)r(x'')(s) ds \right) d\tau, \quad t \in I.$$

Clearly,  $Rx$  coincides with the solution of the boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} y''(t) = r(x'')(t) \\ y(0) = y(1) = 0. \end{cases}$$

As  $r(x'') \in \text{Fix}(\mathcal{U})$ , we have  $r(x'') \in \mathcal{U}(r(x''))$  and thus

$$(Rx)''(t) = r(x'')(t) \in F(t, (Rx)(t), (Rx)'(t)), \quad t \in I \text{ a.e.}$$

Since, in addition,  $Rx$  and  $(Rx)'$  are absolutely continuous and  $(Rx)(0) = (Rx)(1) = 0$ , it follows that  $Rx \in S_F$ . Thus, denoting by  $R$  the map which associates with each  $x \in W^{2,1}(I, \mathbf{R}^q)$  the function  $Rx$  given by (2.6), we have:

$$R : W^{2,1}(I, \mathbf{R}^q) \rightarrow S_F.$$

The map  $R$  is continuous. In fact, let  $x_0, x \in W^{2,1}(I, \mathbf{R}^q)$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  be arbitrary. From (2.6), by simple calculations, we have

$$\|Rx - Rx_0\|_{W^{2,1}} \leq 3\|r(x'') - r(x''_0)\|_{L^1}.$$

Take  $\delta > 0$  so that  $\|r(u) - r(x''_0)\|_{L^1} < \varepsilon/3$  for every  $u \in B_{L^1}(x''_0, \delta)$ . Let  $x \in B_{W^{2,1}}(x_0, \delta)$  be arbitrary. As  $x'' \in B_{L^1}(x''_0, \delta)$  we have  $\|r(x'') - r(x''_0)\|_{L^1} < \varepsilon/3$ , and thus  $\|Rx - Rx_0\|_{W^{2,1}} < \varepsilon$ . Hence  $R$  is continuous.

For each  $x \in S_F$  we have  $Rx = x$ . Indeed, let  $x \in S_F$  be arbitrary. Put  $u = x''$ . Denoting by  $y(u)$  the solution of  $(P_u)$  we have  $y(u) = x$ , and so  $u(t) = x''(t) \in F(t, x(t), x'(t)) = F(t, y(u)(t), y'(u)(t))$ ,  $t \in I$  a.e. Hence  $u \in \mathcal{U}(u)$ , which implies  $r(u) = u$  and thus,  $r(x'') = x''$ . Consequently, for each  $t \in I$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (Rx)(t) &= \int_0^t \left( \int_0^\tau sr(x'')(s) ds - \int_\tau^1 (1-s)r(x'')(s) ds \right) d\tau \\ &= \int_0^t \left( \int_0^\tau sx''(s) ds - \int_\tau^1 (1-s)x''(s) ds \right) d\tau = x(t), \end{aligned}$$

that is  $Rx = x$ . It follows that  $R$  is a retraction of  $W^{2,1}(I, \mathbf{R}^q)$  onto  $S_F$ . This completes the proof.

### 3. Continuation

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $F : I \times \mathbf{R}^q \times \mathbf{R}^q \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{R}^q)$  satisfy (L), where the function  $m : I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is square integrable. Then the solution set  $S_F$  of the boundary value problem (BV) is a retract of  $C^1(I, \mathbf{R}^q)$ .*

**PROOF.** For  $y \in C^1(I, \mathbf{R}^q)$  we set

$$(3.1) \quad \mathcal{U}(y) = \{u \in L^1(I, \mathbf{R}^q) \mid u(t) \in F(t, y(t), y'(t)), \quad t \in I \text{ a.e.}\}.$$

Clearly  $\mathcal{U}(y)$  is a non-empty, convex, closed and bounded subset of  $L^1(I, \mathbf{R}^q)$ . For  $y \in C^1(I, \mathbf{R}^q)$  we define

$$(3.2) \quad \mathcal{F}(y) = \{x(u) \mid u \in \mathcal{U}(y)\}.$$

Here, for  $u \in \mathcal{U}(y)$ ,  $x(u)$  denotes the solution of  $(P_u)$ .

$\mathcal{F}(y)$  is a non-empty, convex and compact subset of  $C^1(I, \mathbf{R}^q)$ . It is evident that  $\mathcal{F}(y)$  is non-empty and convex. To show that  $\mathcal{F}(y)$  is compact, consider an arbitrary sequence  $\{z_n\} \subset \mathcal{F}(y)$ . Let  $\{u_n\} \subset \mathcal{U}(y)$  be such that  $z_n = x(u_n)$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since, for  $t \in I$  a.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} u_n(t) \in F(t, 0, 0) + \tilde{B}_{\mathbf{R}^q}(0, D_{\mathbf{R}^q}(F(t, 0, 0), F(t, y(t), y'(t)))) \\ \subset \tilde{B}_{\mathbf{R}^q}(0, m(t) + a|y(t)| + b|y'(t)|), \end{aligned}$$

where the function  $t \rightarrow m(t) + a|y(t)| + b|y'(t)|$  is square integrable, there exists a subsequence, say  $\{u_n\}$ , which converges weakly in  $L^2(I, \mathbf{R}^q)$  to some  $u \in L^2(I, \mathbf{R}^q)$ . Clearly,  $u \in L^1(I, \mathbf{R}^q)$  and  $\{u_n\}$  converges to  $u$  weakly in  $L^1(I, \mathbf{R}^q)$ . By Mazur's

theorem [13] it is easy to see that  $u \in \mathcal{U}(y)$ . Now, for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $t \in I$ , we have:

$$(3.3) \quad x(u_n)(t) - x(u)(t) = \int_0^t \left( \int_0^\tau s(u_n(s) - u(s)) ds \right) d\tau - \int_0^t \left( \int_\tau^1 (1-s)(u_n(s) - u(s)) ds \right) d\tau$$

$$(3.4) \quad x'(u_n)(t) - x'(u)(t) = \int_0^t s(u_n(s) - u(s)) ds - \int_t^1 (1-s)(u_n(s) - u(s)) ds.$$

Since  $\{u_n\}$  converges to  $u$  weakly in  $L^1(I, \mathbb{R}^q)$ , from (3.3) and (3.4) it follows that  $\{x(u_n)\}$  and  $\{x'(u_n)\}$  converge in  $C(I, \mathbb{R}^q)$  to  $x(u)$  and  $x'(u)$ , respectively. Hence  $\{x(u_n)\}$  converges to  $x(u)$  in  $C^1(I, \mathbb{R}^q)$ . As  $x(u) \in \mathcal{F}(y)$ , the set  $\mathcal{F}(y)$  is compact. Thus (3.2) defines a non-empty, convex, compact valued multifunction

$$\mathcal{F} : C^1(I, \mathbb{R}^q) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(C^1(I, \mathbb{R}^q)).$$

For every  $y_1, y_2 \in C^1(I, \mathbb{R}^q)$  we have

$$(3.5) \quad D_{C^1}(\mathcal{F}(y_1), \mathcal{F}(y_2)) \leq k \|y_1 - y_2\|_{C^1},$$

where  $k$  is the constant occurring in (L). Indeed, let  $y_1, y_2 \in C^1(I, \mathbb{R}^q)$ . Let  $z_1 \in \mathcal{F}(y_1)$  be arbitrary, thus  $z_1 = x(u_1)$  for some  $u_1 \in \mathcal{U}(y_1)$ . As in the proof of Theorem 1, take  $u_2 \in \mathcal{U}(y_2)$  satisfying

$$(3.6) \quad |u_1(t) - u_2(t)| = d_{\mathbb{R}^q}(u_1(t), F(t, y_2(t), y_2'(t))), \quad t \in I \text{ a.e.,}$$

and set  $z_2 = x(u_2)$ . Clearly,  $z_2 \in \mathcal{F}(y_2)$ . Using the representation of  $x(u_1)$  and  $x(u_2)$  given by (2.1), by simple calculations, for every  $t \in I$ , we have:

$$\begin{aligned} &|x(u_1)(t) - x(u_2)(t)| \\ &= \left| (t-1) \int_0^t s(u_1(s) - u_2(s)) ds - t \int_t^1 (1-s)(u_1(s) - u_2(s)) ds \right| \\ &\leq \int_0^t |u_1(s) - u_2(s)| ds + \int_t^1 |u_1(s) - u_2(s)| ds = \int_I |u_1(s) - u_2(s)| ds. \end{aligned}$$

From this, using (3.6) and assumption (L) (ii), for every  $t \in I$  we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} |x(u_1)(t) - x(u_2)(t)| &\leq \int_I d_{\mathbb{R}^q}(u_1(t), F(t, y_2(t), y_2'(t))) dt \\ &\leq \int_I D_{\mathbb{R}^q}(F(t, y_1(t), y_1'(t)), F(t, y_2(t), y_2'(t))) dt \\ &\leq \int_I (a|y_1(t) - y_2(t)| + b|y_1'(t) - y_2'(t)|) dt \\ &\leq k \|y_1 - y_2\|_{C^1}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently  $\|z_1 - z_2\|_C \leq k\|y_1 - y_2\|_{C^1}$ . Likewise one can show that  $\|z'_1 - z'_2\|_C \leq k\|y_1 - y_2\|_{C^1}$ . Hence,  $\|z_1 - z_2\|_{C^1} \leq k\|y_1 - y_2\|_{C^1}$ . A fortiori,  $d_{C^1}(z_1, \mathcal{F}(y_2)) \leq k\|y_1 - y_2\|_{C^1}$  and thus, as  $z_1 \in \mathcal{F}(y_1)$  is arbitrary,

$$\sup_{z_1 \in \mathcal{F}(y_1)} d_{C^1}(z_1, \mathcal{F}(y_2)) \leq k\|y_1 - y_2\|_{C^1}.$$

From this and the analogous inequality obtained by interchanging the roles of  $y_1$  and  $y_2$  we obtain (3.5).

Put  $\text{Fix}(\mathcal{F}) = \{y \in C^1(I, \mathbf{R}^q) \mid y \in \mathcal{F}(y)\}$ , and observe that  $\text{Fix}(\mathcal{F})$  is a non-empty closed subset of  $C^1(I, \mathbf{R}^q)$ . By a result of Ricceri [21]  $\mathcal{F}(y)$  is a retract of  $C^1(I, \mathbf{R}^q)$ . It is routine to show that  $\text{Fix}(\mathcal{F}) = S_F$ . Hence  $S_F$  is a retract of  $C^1(I, \mathbf{R}^q)$  and the proof of the theorem is complete.

**REMARK 1.** By Theorem 1 (resp. Theorem 2), the space  $S_F$  with the  $W^{2,1}(I, \mathbf{R}^q)$  (resp.  $C^1(I, \mathbf{R}^q)$ ) metric is an absolute retract.

**REMARK 2.** Theorem 2 is no longer true if  $F$  is not convex valued. To see this, denote by  $S$  the solution set of the boundary value problem

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{cases} x''(t) \in \{-1, 1\}, \\ x(0) = x(1) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Since  $S$  is not closed in  $C^1(I, \mathbf{R})$ , the set  $S$  cannot be a retract of  $C^1(I, \mathbf{R})$ . On the other hand, from Theorem 1,  $S$  is a retract of  $W^{2,1}(I, \mathbf{R})$  and so  $S$  is closed in  $W^{2,1}(I, \mathbf{R})$ .

#### 4. An existence result

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $F : I \times \mathbf{R}^q \times \mathbf{R}^q \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(\mathbf{R}^q)$  satisfy (C). Then the solution set  $S_F$  of the boundary value problem (BV) is non-empty.*

**PROOF.** For  $u \in L^1(I, \mathbf{R}^q)$  denote by  $y(u)$  the solution of  $(P_u)$ . Set

$$\Omega = \{y \in C^1(I, \mathbf{R}^q) \mid y = y(u) \text{ for some measurable } u \text{ with } |u(t)| \leq m(t), t \in I \text{ a.e.}\}.$$

Clearly  $\Omega$  is non-empty and convex. Moreover  $\Omega$ , endowed with the  $C^1(I, \mathbf{R}^q)$  metric, is a compact space. To see this, let  $\{y(u_n)\} \subset \Omega$  be an arbitrary sequence where, for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $u_n : I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^q$  is measurable and  $|u_n(t)| \leq m(t)$ ,  $t \in I$  a.e. As  $m$  is square integrable, there is a subsequence, say  $\{u_n\}$ , which converges weakly to some  $u$  in  $L^2(I, \mathbf{R}^q)$  and so also in  $L^1(I, \mathbf{R}^q)$ . By the Mazur theorem [13] one



has  $|u(t)| \leq m(t)$ ,  $t \in I$  a.e., and so  $y(u) \in \Omega$ . By using the representation of the solution of  $(P_u)$  furnished by (2.1), it follows that  $\{y(u_n)\}$  converges to  $y(u)$  in  $C^1(I, \mathbb{R}^q)$ , proving that  $\Omega$  is compact.

For  $y \in \Omega$ , let  $\mathcal{U}(y)$  be given by (3.1). As  $\mathcal{U}(y)$  is a non-empty, decomposable, closed, bounded subset of  $L^1(I, \mathbb{R}^q)$ , (3.1) defines a multifunction  $\mathcal{U} : \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{L^1(I, \mathbb{R}^q)}$ . It is routine to verify that  $\mathcal{U}$  is Hausdorff lower semicontinuous. By virtue of Theorem 3 of Bressan and Colombo [5], there exists a continuous function  $\sigma : \Omega \rightarrow L^1(I, \mathbb{R}^q)$  satisfying

$$(4.1) \quad \sigma(y) \in \mathcal{U}(y) \quad \text{for every } y \in \Omega.$$

For  $y \in \Omega$ , let  $x(y) : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^q$  denote the solution of the boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} x''(t) = \sigma(y)(t) \\ x(0) = x(1) = 0. \end{cases}$$

This solution exists, is unique, and is given by

$$(4.2) \quad x(y)(t) = \int_0^t \left( \int_0^r s\sigma(y)(s) ds - \int_r^1 (1-s)\sigma(y)(s) ds \right) dr, \quad t \in I.$$

Clearly  $x(y) \in \Omega$ . Denote by  $T : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$  the map defined by  $Ty = x(y)$ ,  $y \in \Omega$ .  $T$  is continuous. Indeed, let  $y_0, y \in \Omega$ . From (4.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|Ty - Ty_0\|_C &\leq \|\sigma(y) - \sigma(y_0)\|_{L^1}, \\ \|(Ty)' - (Ty_0)'\|_C &\leq \|\sigma(y) - \sigma(y_0)\|_{L^1}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\|Ty - Ty_0\|_{C^1} \leq \|\sigma(y) - \sigma(y_0)\|_{L^1},$$

which implies that  $T$  is continuous, for  $\sigma : \Omega \rightarrow L^1(I, \mathbb{R}^q)$ , is so. By Schauder's fixed point theorem, there exists  $y \in \Omega$  such that  $y = Ty$ , thus

$$y(t) = \int_0^t \left( \int_0^r s\sigma(y)(s) ds - \int_r^1 (1-s)\sigma(y)(s) ds \right) dr, \quad t \in I.$$

Since  $y$  and  $y'$  are absolutely continuous,  $y(0) = y(1) = 0$  and, by virtue of (4.1) and (3.1),

$$y''(t) = \sigma(y)(t) \in F(t, y(t), y'(t)), \quad t \in I \text{ a.e.},$$

it follows that  $y$  is a solution of the boundary value problem (BV). Thus  $S_F$  is non-empty, completing the proof.

## REFERENCES

- [1] H. A. ANTOSIEWICZ AND A. CELLINA, *Continuous selections and differential relations*, J. Differential Equations **19** (1975), 386–398.
- [2] K. BORSUK, *Theory of Retracts*, PWN, Polish Scientific Publishers, Warszawa, 1966.
- [3] R. BIELAWSKI, L. GÓRNIOWICZ AND S. PLASKACZ, *Topological approach to differential inclusions on closed subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^n$* , Dynamics Reported, Expositions in Dynamical Systems, (1992), C.K.R.T. Jones, U. Kirchgraber, H.O. Walther (Managing Editors), Springer-Verlag, Berlin.
- [4] A. BRESSAN, A. CELLINA AND A. FRYSZKOWSKI, *A class of absolute retracts in spaces of integrable functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **112** (1991), 413–418.
- [5] A. BRESSAN AND G. COLOMBO, *Extensions and selections of maps with decomposable values*, Studia Math **90** (1988), 69–86.
- [6] C. CASTAING AND M. VALADIER, *Convex Analysis and Measurable Multifunctions*, Lecture Notes in Math. **580** (1977), Springer-Verlag, Berlin.
- [7] L. H. ERBE AND W. KRAWCEWICZ, *Nonlinear boundary value problems for differential inclusions  $y'' \in F(t, y, y')$* , Ann. Polon. Math. **54** (1991), 195–226.
- [8] M. FRIGON, *Problèmes aux limites pour des inclusions différentielles sans condition de croissance*, Ann. Polon. Math. **54** (1991), 69–83.
- [9] A. FRYSZKOWSKI, *Continuous selections for a class of nonconvex multivalued maps*, Studia Math. **76** (1983), 163–174.
- [10] L. GÓRNIOWICZ, *On the solution sets of differential inclusions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **113** (1986), 235–244.
- [11] A. GRANAS, R. B. GUENTHER AND J. W. LEE, *Nonlinear boundary value problems for ordinary differential equations*, Dissertationes Math. **244** (1981).
- [12] F. HIAI AND H. UMEGAKI, *Integrals, conditional expectations and martingales of multivalued maps*, J. Multivariate Anal. **7** (1977), 149–182.
- [13] E. HILLE AND R. S. PHILLIPS, *Functional analysis and semigroups*, Colloquium Publications **31** (1957), American Mathematical Society, Providence.
- [14] C. J. HIMMELBERG AND F. S. VAN VLECK, *A note on the solution sets of differential inclusions*, Rocky Mountain J. Math. **12** (1982), 621–625.
- [15] S. HU, V. LAKSHMIKANTHAM AND N. S. PAPAGEORGIOU, *On the solution set of nonlinear differential inclusions*, (preprint) (1991).
- [16] M. HUKUHARA, *Sur les systèmes des équations différentielles ordinaires*, Japan J. Math. **5** (1928), 345–350.
- [17] S. B. NADLER, *Multivalued contracting mappings*, Pacific J. Math. **30** (1969), 475–488.
- [18] N. S. PAPAGEORGIOU, *Boundary value problems for differential inclusions*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin. **29** (1988), 355–363.
- [19] ———, *A property of the solution set of differential inclusions in Banach spaces with Carathéodory orientor field*, Applicable Analysis **27** (1988), 279–287.
- [20] T. PRUSZKO, *Some applications of the topological degree theory to multivalued boundary value problems*, Dissertationes Math. **229** (1984).
- [21] B. RICCI, *Une propriété topologique de l'ensemble des points fixes d'une contraction multivoque à valeurs convexes*, Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Natur. (8) **81** (1987), 283–286.
- [22] L. E. RYBIŃSKI, *A fixed point approach in the study of the solution sets to Lipschitzian differential inclusions*, (preprint) (1991).
- [23] A. A. TOLSTONOGOV, *On the structure of the solution sets for differential inclusions in a Banach space*, Math. USSR Sb. **46** (1983), 1–15. (Russian)

- [24] J. A. YORKE, *Spaces of Solutions*, Lecture Notes Op. Res. Math. Econ. 12 (1969), Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 383-403.

*Manuscript received January 23, 1993*

FRANCESCO S. DE BLASI  
Dipartimento di Matematica  
Università di Roma II  
Via della Ricerca Scientifica, 00133 Roma, ITALY

GIULIO PIANIGIANI  
Dipartimento di Matematica delle Decisioni  
Università di Firenze  
Via Lombroso 7, 50141 Firenze, ITALY