

**STABILITY OF PRINCIPAL EIGENVALUE  
OF THE SCHRÖDINGER TYPE PROBLEM  
FOR DIFFERENTIAL INCLUSIONS**

GRZEGORZ BARTUZEL — ANDRZEJ FRYSZKOWSKI

---

ABSTRACT. Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  be a bounded domain. Denote by  $\lambda_1(m)$  the principal eigenvalue of the Schrödinger operator  $L_m(u) = -\nabla^2 u - mu$  defined on  $H_0^1(\Omega) \cap W^{2,1}(\Omega)$ . We prove that  $\lambda_1 : L^{3/2}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous.

Consider differential inclusion

$$(*) \quad \begin{cases} -\nabla^2 x \in \mathcal{F}(t, x), \\ x|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \end{cases}$$

where  $t$  runs over bounded domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  with sufficiently smooth boundary  $\Gamma = \partial\Omega$ ,  $\nabla^2$  is Laplace operator in  $\Omega$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  is a Lipschitzean multifunction with a constant  $m \in L^p(\Omega)$ , i.e.

$$\text{dist}_H(\mathcal{F}(t, x), \mathcal{F}(t, y)) \leq m(t)|x - y|.$$

By a solution  $(*)$  we mean a function  $x \in H_0^1(\Omega) \cap W^{2,1}(\Omega)$  such that

$$-\nabla^2 x \in \mathcal{F}(t, x(t))$$

for a.e.  $t \in \Omega$ . In the paper [2] we examined the case  $n = 1$  i.e.

$$(**) \quad \begin{cases} -x'' \in \mathcal{F}(t, x) & \text{for } t \in [0; \pi], \\ x(0) = 0 = x(\pi). \end{cases}$$

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35A15, 35R99.

*Key words and phrases.* Stability, principal eigenvalue, Schrödinger operator.

We have proved that if  $m$  is sufficiently small then the set of solutions of (\*\*) is an absolute retract. The main tool used in [2] were the spectral properties of the operator  $L_m = -\nabla^2 - m$  extended to Sobolev space  $H_0^1$  and in particular the stability property of the principal eigenvalue of the operator  $L_m$ ,  $m \in L^1$ . Having this property we were able to renorm  $L^1$ , in such a way that the solution set of (\*\*) is the set fixed of points of certain multivalued contraction and then apply the B-C-F theorem [3], [6] on properties of the set of fixed points. Transferring of these methods to the case of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  it seems to be possible however it demands thorough study of spectral properties of the operator

$$L_m x = -\nabla^2 x - m \cdot x \quad \text{for } x \in H_0^1.$$

In particular, we need to examine the stability properties of the principal eigenvalue of the operator  $L_m$  in dependence on  $m \in L^p$  with properly chosen  $p$ . We should point out that spectral properties of the operator  $L_m$  are well known, in case  $m$  is a sufficiently smooth function. The results, known in the literature, concerning the stability of the principal (or others) eigenvalue of the operator  $L_m$  seem not to cover our case  $m \in L^p$ . In this paper we deal with  $L_m$  for  $t \in \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ , where  $\Omega$  is bounded domain and  $m \in L^{3/2}$ .

Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  be a bounded domain with sufficiently smooth boundary  $\Gamma$  and  $H_0^1(\Omega)$  be a Sobolev space i.e. a completion in the norm

$$\|u\| = (\|\nabla u\|_2 + \|u\|_2)^{1/2},$$

of the space  $C_0^\infty(\Omega) = \{u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid \text{supp } u \subset \Omega\}$  of infinitely many times differentiable functions where  $\|u\|_p = \left(\int_\Omega |u|^p\right)^{1/p}$ , is a norm in  $L^p$  with obvious modification for  $p = \infty$ . Moreover,

$$W^{2,p} = \{u \in L^p \mid \partial_i \partial_j u \in L^p, \quad i, j = 1, 2, 3\}.$$

Then  $H_0^1$  can be continuously embedded in  $L^6$  and compactly embedded in  $L^2$ . The latter means in particular that there exists a constant  $S$  such that

$$(1) \quad \left(\int_\Omega |u(t)|^6 dt\right)^{1/6} \leq S \|u\|$$

for  $u \in H_0^1$ . Moreover, for  $m \in L^{3/2}$  the space  $H_0^1$  can be continuously embedded in  $L^2(m) = \{u \mid u^2 m \in L^1\}$ , because from (1) and the Hölder inequality we have

$$(2) \quad \int_\Omega m u^2 \leq \left(\int_\Omega m^{3/2}\right)^{2/3} \left(\int_\Omega |u^2|^3\right)^{1/3} \leq \|m\|_{3/2}^{2/3} S^2 \|u\|^2.$$

Consider a quadratic form

$$(3) \quad D_m[u] = \int_\Omega (|\nabla u|^2 - m u^2) dt$$

and let

$$(4) \quad D_m[u, v] = \int_{\Omega} (\nabla u \nabla v - muv) dt$$

be the corresponding bilinear form. It generates the operator  $L_m$  by the formula  $\langle L_m u, v \rangle$ . The previous remarks mean, in particular, that the domain of  $L_m$  contains  $H_0^1$ . Let

$$(5) \quad H[u] = \int_{\Omega} u^2(t) dt.$$

In case, when  $m = 0$  it is known [5], that there exists a number

$$(6) \quad \lambda_1 = \lambda_1(\Omega) := \inf_{0 \neq u \in H_0^1} \frac{D_0[u]}{H[u]}$$

and it is the principal eigenvalue of the Laplace operator  $L_0 u = -\nabla^2 u$ , for  $u \in H_0^1$ . Therefore, there exists an eigenfunction  $u_1 \in H_0^1$  such that

$$(7) \quad -\nabla^2 u_1 = \lambda_1(\Omega) u_1 \quad \text{for } u_1|_{\Gamma} = 0.$$

Moreover, there exists  $\lambda_1(\Omega) < \lambda_2 \leq \lambda_3 \leq \dots$ , such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n = \infty$  and  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$  are consecutive eigenvalues of  $L_0$ . The relation (6) means, in particular, that for arbitrary  $u \in H_0^1$  we have

$$(8) \quad \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 \geq \lambda_1(\Omega) \int_{\Omega} |u|^2$$

and

$$(9) \quad \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_1|^2 = \lambda_1(\Omega) \int_{\Omega} |u_1|^2.$$

We shall show that the operator  $L_m$  posses analogous properties for  $m \in L^{3/2}$ . The most methods used are based on the monograph [5]. We begin with

**PROPOSITION 1.** *Let  $m_n \rightarrow m_0$  in  $L^{3/2}$ . Then for arbitrary  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a constant  $K_{\varepsilon} > 0$  such that*

$$(10) \quad \int_{\Omega} m_n u^2(t) dt \leq \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dt + K_{\varepsilon} \int_{\Omega} |u(t)|^2 dt.$$

**PROOF.** Let  $S$  be a constant such that

$$(11) \quad \left\{ \int_{\Omega} u^6(t) dt \right\}^{1/6} \leq S \left\{ \int_{\Omega} [|\nabla u|^2 + |u(t)|^2] dt \right\}^{1/2}.$$

Fix  $\varepsilon > 0$  and pick  $N$  such that

$$\|m_n - m_0\|_{3/2} < \varepsilon/2S^2 \quad \text{for } n > N.$$

Observe that the function  $\max_{0 \leq i \leq N} |m_i(t)| \in L^{3/2}$  and therefore

$$\lim_{K \rightarrow \infty} \mu\{t \mid \max_{0 \leq i \leq N} |m_i(t)| > K - \varepsilon\} = 0.$$

Applying the Vitali–Hahn–Saks Theorem we conclude that there exists a constant  $K_\varepsilon$  such that on the set

$$\Omega_\varepsilon = \{t \mid \max_{0 \leq i \leq N} |m_i(t)| > K_\varepsilon - \varepsilon\}$$

is satisfied the following inequality

$$\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} |m_n(t)|^{3/2} dt \leq \frac{\varepsilon^{3/2}}{2\sqrt{2}S^3}$$

for  $n = 0, 1, \dots$ . To see that (10) holds we have to consider two cases.

(a) If  $n \leq N$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} m_n u^2 &= \int_{\Omega \setminus \Omega_\varepsilon} m_n u^2 + \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} m_n u^2 \\ &\leq (K_\varepsilon - \varepsilon) \int_{\Omega \setminus \Omega_\varepsilon} u^2 + \left\{ \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} m_n^{3/2} \right\}^{2/3} \left\{ \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} (u^2)^3 \right\}^{1/3} \\ &\leq (K_\varepsilon - \varepsilon) \int_{\Omega} u^2 + \frac{\varepsilon}{2S^2} S^2 \left\{ \int_{\Omega} [(u^2) + |\nabla u|^2] \right\} \\ &= \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 + \left( K_\varepsilon - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right) \int_{\Omega} |u|^2. \end{aligned}$$

(b) For  $n > N$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} m_n u^2 &\leq \int_{\Omega} |m_n - m_0| u^2 + \int_{\Omega} m_0 u^2 \\ &\leq \left\{ \int_{\Omega} |m_n - m_0|^{3/2} \right\}^{2/3} \left\{ \int_{\Omega} |u^2|^3 \right\}^{1/3} + \int_{\Omega} m_0 u^2 \\ &\leq \|m_n - m_0\|_{3/2} \left\{ \int_{\Omega} u^6 \right\}^{2 \times 1/6} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 + (K_\varepsilon - \varepsilon) \int_{\Omega} u^2 \end{aligned}$$

and from (a) it can be estimated by

$$\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2S^2} S^2 \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 + u^2 + \left( K_\varepsilon - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right) \int_{\Omega} u^2 = \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 + K_\varepsilon \int_{\Omega} u^2. \quad \square$$

**PROPOSITION 2.** *Let  $m_n \rightarrow m_0$  in  $L^{3/2}$ . Then there exists a constant  $K > 0$  such that*

$$(12) \quad \int_{\Omega} m_n u^2(t) dt \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dt + K \int_{\Omega} |u(t)|^2 dt$$

for  $n = 0, 1, \dots$  and  $u \in H_0^1$ ,

$$(13) \quad \|u\|^2 \leq 2D_{m_n}[u] + (2K + 1)H[u];$$

$$(14) \quad \frac{D_{m_n}[u]}{H[u]} \geq \frac{1}{2}\lambda_1(\Omega) - K.$$

PROOF. To obtain (12) put in Proposition 1  $\varepsilon = 1/2$  and  $K = K_{1/2}$ . Observe that from (12) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}\|u\|^2 &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} u^2 = D_{m_n}[u] + \int_{\Omega} m_n u^2 - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{1}{2} H[u] \\ &\leq D_{m_n}[u] + (K + \frac{1}{2})H[u] \end{aligned}$$

and thus (13).

To prove (14) observe that from (12) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} D_{m_n}[u] &= \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 - \int_{\Omega} m_n |u|^2 \\ &\geq \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 - K \int_{\Omega} |u|^2 = \frac{1}{2} D_0[u] - KH[u] \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$D_m[u] \geq \left[ \frac{1}{2}\lambda_1(\Omega) - K \right] H[u].$$

Now we divide the last inequality by  $H[u] > 0$  and this yields (14). □

COROLLARY 1. Let  $m_n \rightarrow m_0$  in  $L^{3/2}$ . Then there exists constant  $K > 0$  such that for all  $n = 0, 1, \dots$

$$\inf_{0 \neq u \in H_0^1} \frac{D_{m_n}[u]}{H[u]} \geq \frac{1}{2}\lambda_1(\Omega) - K > -\infty.$$

COROLLARY 2. There exists a constant  $C$  such that for arbitrary  $u \in H_0^1$  we have

$$\langle L_m u, u \rangle \geq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 - C \int_{\Omega} |u|^2.$$

COROLLARY 3. The operator  $L_m$  is continuous on  $H_0^1$  since the quadratic form  $D_m$  is continuous.

PROOF. Let  $u_k \rightarrow u_0$  in  $H_0^1$ . Then from (12) we have

$$\int_{\Omega} m(t)(u_k(t) - u_0(t))^2 dt \rightarrow 0,$$

i.e.  $u_k \rightarrow u_0$  in  $L^2(m)$ . Therefore  $\int_{\Omega} m(t)(u_k(t))^2 dt \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} m(t)(u_0(t))^2 dt$ , and hence

$$D_m[u_k] \rightarrow D_m[u_0].$$

Similarly  $\langle L_m u, v \rangle = D_m[u, v]$  is a continuous bilinear form on  $H_0^1$ . □

PROPOSITION 3. Assume that there exists a function  $u_1 \in H_0^1$  such that

$$\inf_{0 \neq u \in H_0^1} \frac{D_m[u]}{H[u]} = \frac{D_m[u_1]}{H[u_1]} = \lambda_1.$$

Then  $\lambda_1$  and  $u_1$  are, respectively, an eigenvalue and an eigenfunction of  $L_m$ , i.e.  $-\nabla^2 u_1 \in L^{6/5}$  and

$$(-\nabla^2 - m)u_1 = \lambda_1 u_1.$$

PROOF. For any  $0 \neq u \in H_0^1$  denote by  $F[u] = D_m[u]/H[u]$ . Then

$$(15) \quad \inf_{0 \neq u \in H_0^1} F[u] = F[u_1] = \lambda_1.$$

Fix  $\varphi \in H_0^1$ . Then taking into account (15) we have, for arbitrary  $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}$ , an inequality

$$(16) \quad F[u_1 + \varepsilon\varphi] \geq F[u_1].$$

We shall show that there exists the variation  $\frac{d}{d\varepsilon} F[u_1 + \varepsilon\varphi]|_{\varepsilon=0}$ , it is represented by the Gateaux derivative and it vanishes. Denote by  $h(\varepsilon) = F[u_1 + \varepsilon\varphi]$  and notice that  $h$  is a differentiable function, since it is a composition of an affine function and the quotient of continuous quadratic forms on  $H_0^1$  (and therefore Frechet's differentiable). It assumes the minimum at  $t = 0$ , i.e.  $h(0) \leq h(\varepsilon)$ . Thus from Fermat's Lemma we get  $h'(0) = 0$ . One can easily check that

$$(17) \quad h'(0) = \{H[u_1]\}^{-1} 2 \left\{ \int_{\Omega} (\nabla u_1 \nabla \varphi - m u_1 \varphi - \lambda_1 u_1 \varphi) \right\}.$$

Hence

$$D_m[u_1, \varphi] = \lambda_1 \int_{\Omega} u_1 \varphi \quad \text{for all } \varphi \in H_0^1,$$

i.e.  $L_m u_1 = \lambda_1 u_1$  and  $-\nabla^2 u_1 = \lambda_1 u_1 + m u_1 \in L^6 + L^{3/2} L^6 \subset L^{6/5}$ . Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} DF[u_1] \cdot \varphi &= \{H[u_1]\}^{-1} D_m[u_1, \varphi] - \{H[u_1]\}^{-2} H[u_1, \varphi] D_m[u_1] \\ &= \{H[u_1]\}^{-1} \left\{ D_m[u_1, \varphi] - \lambda_1 \int_{\Omega} u_1 \varphi \right\} \end{aligned}$$

for  $u_1 \neq 0$ , and so

$$\begin{aligned} \left. \frac{d}{d\varepsilon} h(\varepsilon) \right|_{\varepsilon=0} &= DF[u_1 + \varepsilon\varphi] \cdot \left. \frac{d}{d\varepsilon} (u_1 + \varepsilon\varphi) \right|_{\varepsilon=0} \\ &= \{H[u_1]\}^{-1} \left\{ D_m[u_1, \varphi] - \lambda_1 \int_{\Omega} u_1 \varphi \right\}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

PROPOSITION 4. Denote by  $K = \{\varphi \in H_0^1 \mid H[\varphi] = 1\}$ . Then there exists a function  $u_1 \in K$  such that for every  $u \in K$  the following inequality

$$D_m[u_1] \leq D_m[u]$$

holds.

PROOF. Put  $\lambda_1 = \inf_{u \in K} D_m[u]$ . From Proposition 1 we can conclude that  $D_m[u] \geq \lambda_1(\Omega)/2 - C$  for arbitrary  $u \in K$ . Hence

$$(18) \quad \lambda_1 \geq \lambda_1(\Omega)/2 - C > -\infty.$$

Consider a sequence  $\{\varphi_k\} \subset K$ ,  $k = 1, \dots$  such that

$$(19) \quad D_m[\varphi_k] \rightarrow \lambda_1 \quad \text{for } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Since from (13) in Proposition 2 we have  $\|\varphi_k\|^2 \leq 2D_m[\varphi_k] + (2C + 1)$  then from (17) one can conclude that the sequence  $\{\varphi_k\} \subset K$  is bounded in  $H_0^1$ . Hence it relatively compact in  $L^2(\Omega)$  and, passing to a subsequence, we may assume that  $\varphi_k \rightarrow u_1$  in  $L^2$ . The latter, in particular, means that

$$(20) \quad H[\varphi_k - u_1] \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{for } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

We shall check that  $u_1$  is a required function. Let us notice that

$$(21) \quad H\left[\frac{\varphi_k - \varphi_l}{2}\right] + H\left[\frac{\varphi_k + \varphi_l}{2}\right] = \frac{1}{2}H[\varphi_k] + \frac{1}{2}H[\varphi_l] = 1$$

and

$$(22) \quad D_m\left[\frac{\varphi_k - \varphi_l}{2}\right] + D_m\left[\frac{\varphi_k + \varphi_l}{2}\right] = \frac{1}{2}D_m[\varphi_k] + \frac{1}{2}D_m[\varphi_l].$$

From (21) and (20) we see that

$$(23) \quad H\left[\frac{\varphi_k - \varphi_l}{2}\right] \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{when } k, l \rightarrow \infty$$

and  $H[(\varphi_k + \varphi_l)/2] \rightarrow 1$  as  $k, l \rightarrow \infty$ . From the definition of  $\lambda_1$  one can easily see that for arbitrary  $k, l$  we have

$$D_m\left[\frac{\varphi_k + \varphi_l}{2}\right] \geq H\left[\frac{\varphi_k + \varphi_l}{2}\right] \lambda_1.$$

Thus, from (22), we have

$$\liminf_{k, l \rightarrow \infty} D_m\left[\frac{\varphi_k + \varphi_l}{2}\right] \geq \lambda_1.$$

Fix  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then there exist  $n_0$  such that for  $k, l \geq n_0$  the following inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} H\left[\frac{\varphi_k - \varphi_l}{2}\right] &\leq \varepsilon, \\ D_m\left[\frac{\varphi_k + \varphi_l}{2}\right] &\geq \lambda_1 - \varepsilon, \\ D_m[\varphi_k], D_m[\varphi_l] &\leq \lambda_1 + \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

hold. Then from (23) and (22) we may see that

$$D_m\left[\frac{\varphi_k - \varphi_l}{2}\right] \leq \lambda_1 + \varepsilon - \lambda_1 + \varepsilon = 2\varepsilon.$$

But this, taking into account (13) in Proposition 1, means that

$$\|\varphi_k - \varphi_l\|^2 \leq (5 + 4C)\varepsilon.$$

Hence  $\{\varphi_k\}$  is a sequence Cauchy in  $H_0^1$ , so  $\varphi_k \rightarrow u_1$  in  $H_0^1$  and  $u_1 \in H_0^1$ . Moreover, from the continuity of  $D_m$  (Corollary 3) and (18) we see that  $D_m[\varphi_k] \rightarrow D_m[u_1] = \lambda_1$ .  $\square$

Assume that functions  $u_1, \dots, u_{k-1} \in H_0^1$  are such that  $H[u_j] = 1$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, k - 1$  and for  $\varphi \in H_0^1$

$$\int_{\Omega} (\nabla u_j \nabla \varphi - (m(t) + \lambda_j)u_j \varphi) dt = 0.$$

Consider the space  $L(k) = \text{span}\{u_1, \dots, u_{k-1}\}^\perp$ , i.e.

$$L(k) = \left\{ v \in H_0^1 \mid \int_{\Omega} v(t)u_j(t) dt = 0, j = 1, \dots, k - 1 \right\}.$$

PROPOSITION 5. Denote by  $K(k)$  the set  $K(k) = \{\varphi \in L(k) \mid H[\varphi] = 1\}$ . Then  $K(k)$  is closed in  $H_0^1$  and there exists  $u_k \in K(k)$  such that

$$\inf_{0 \neq u \in K(k)} D_m[u] = \lambda_k = D_m[u_k].$$

Moreover, every  $\lambda_k, u_k$  is, respectively, an eigenvalue and a corresponding eigenfunction of the operator  $L_m$ , i.e.

$$-\nabla^2 u_k - m u_k = \lambda_k u_k.$$

PROOF. The closedness of  $K(k)$  in  $H_0^1$  follows from a fact that the convergence in  $H_0^1$  implies the convergence in  $L^2$  and  $L(k)$  is closed in the norm topology in  $L^2$ . Let  $\varphi_l \in K(k)$  be such a sequence that  $D_m[\varphi_l] \rightarrow_{l \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_k$ . Similarly as in Proposition 4 we may show that  $\{\varphi_l\}$  contains a converging in  $H_0^1$  subsequence. With no loss of generality we may assume that

$$\varphi_l \rightarrow u_k \quad \text{for } l \rightarrow \infty$$



in  $H_0^1$ . But  $H[\varphi_l] = 1$ . Then also  $H[u_k] = 1$  and

$$D_m[\varphi_l] \xrightarrow{l \rightarrow \infty} D_m[u_k] = \lambda_k.$$

Analogously, as in Proposition 3, we have, for arbitrary  $\varphi \in L(k)$ ,

$$(24) \quad \frac{dF}{d\varphi}[u_k] = \{H[u_k]\}^{-1} \left\{ \int_{\Omega} (\nabla u_k \nabla \varphi - m u_k \varphi - \lambda_k u_k \varphi) dt \right\} = 0$$

and thus, for  $\varphi \in L(k)$ ,

$$(25) \quad \int_{\Omega} -\nabla^2 u_k \varphi = \int_{\Omega} (m + \lambda_k) u_k \varphi.$$

The latter means that

$$-\nabla^2 u_k - m u_k - \lambda_k u_k \in L(k)^\perp \subset \text{span} \{u_1, \dots, u_{k-1}\}.$$

Therefore there exist constants  $C_1, \dots, C_{k-1}$  such that

$$(26) \quad L_m u_k - \lambda_k u_k = C_1 u_1 + \dots + C_{k-1} u_{k-1}.$$

Multiplying both sides of (26) by  $u_j \in M(k)$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, k-1$  and then integrating we get

$$C_j = \int_{\Omega} (-\nabla^2 u_k - m u_k - \lambda_k u_k) u_j = \langle L_m u_j, u_k \rangle = 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, k-1.$$

Hence  $C_1 = \dots = C_{k-1} = 0$  and  $-\nabla^2 u_k - m u_k - \lambda_k u_k = 0$ . □

Now we shall show that the operator  $L_m$  has infinitely many eigenvalues.

**THEOREM 1.** *There exist a nondecreasing sequence of reals  $\lambda_1 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_k \leq \dots$  and a sequence of functions  $u_1, \dots, u_k, \dots \in H_0^1$  such that  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_k = \infty$  and for an arbitrary  $\varphi \in H_0^1$  we have*

$$(27) \quad \int_{\Omega} (\nabla u_k \nabla \varphi - m u_k \varphi - \lambda_k u_k \varphi) dt = 0,$$

$$(28) \quad \int_{\Omega} u_k u_l dt = 0 \quad \text{for } k \neq l,$$

$$(29) \quad \int_{\Omega} u_k^2 u_l dt = 1 \quad \text{for } k \geq 1,$$

$$(30) \quad \int_{\Omega} [\nabla u_k \nabla u_l - m u_k u_l] dt = 0 \quad \text{for } k \neq l,$$

$$(31) \quad \int_{\Omega} [|\nabla u_k|^2 - m u_k^2] dt = \lambda_k \quad \text{for } k \geq 1,$$

**PROOF.** It follows from Proposition 4 that there exist  $u_1, \lambda_1$  such that for any  $\varphi \in H_0^1$  we have the relations

$$\int_{\Omega} \{\nabla u_1 \nabla \varphi - m u_1 \varphi - \lambda_1 u_1 \varphi\} dt = 0,$$

$$H[u_1] = 1, \quad D_m[u_1] = \lambda_1 = \inf_{u \in K_1} \frac{D_m[u]}{H[u]}.$$

Let  $S_1 = \text{span}\{u_1\}$ . Proposition 5 guarantees the existence of  $\lambda_2$  and  $u_2 \in M_2$  such that for every  $\varphi \in S_1^\perp$

$$\int_{\Omega} \{\nabla u_2 \nabla \varphi - m u_2 \varphi - \lambda_2 u_2 \varphi\} dt = 0,$$

$$H[u_2] = 1, \quad D_m[u_2] = \lambda_2 = \inf_{u \in S_1^\perp} \frac{D_m[u]}{H[u]} \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\Omega} u_1(t) u_2(t) dt = 0.$$

Denote by  $S_2 = \text{span}\{u_1, u_2\}$ . Continuing inductively this procedure we have the existence of eigenvalues  $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots$  and eigenfunctions of the operator  $L_m$  and they are orthonormal in  $L^2$ .

We shall observe that  $\lambda_k \rightarrow \infty$  for  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . Assume to a contrary that there is an  $A$  such that  $\lambda_k \leq A$ , for  $k \geq 1$ . Since  $D_m[u_k] = \lambda_k$  then from Proposition 13 we would have

$$\|u_k\| \leq \sqrt{2A + 2C + 1} < \infty$$

for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Hence  $\{u_k\}$  would be a bounded in  $H_0^1$  sequence, and therefore compact in  $L^2$ . Passing to a subsequence we may require that  $u_k \rightarrow u_0$  in  $L^2$  and so

$$\|u_k - u_l\|_2 \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } k, l \rightarrow \infty.$$

But this is impossible since  $u_k$  and  $u_l$  are orthonormal in  $L^2$  and

$$\|u_k - u_l\|_2^2 = \|u_k\|_2^2 + \|u_l\|_2^2 = 2 \neq 0 \quad \text{as } k, l \rightarrow \infty.$$

Thus  $\lambda_k \rightarrow \infty$  for  $k, l \rightarrow \infty$ .  $\square$

REMARK 1. It easy to observe that for  $\{m_n\} \subset L^\infty$ ,  $n = 0, 1, \dots$ , and  $m_n \rightarrow m_0$  in  $L^\infty$

$$\lambda_1(m_n) \rightarrow \lambda_1(m_0).$$

PROOF. To see this let  $u_n$  be the first eigenfunction corresponding to the eigenvalue  $\lambda_1(m_n)$  of  $L_{m_n}$  with  $\|u_n\|_2 = 1$ ,  $n = 0, 1, \dots$ . Then, from Theorem 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1(m_n) - \lambda_1(m_0) &\leq \frac{D_{m_n}[u_0]}{H[u_0]} - \lambda_1(m_0) = \frac{D_{m_n}[u_0] - \lambda_1(m_0)H[u_0]}{H[u_0]} \\ &= \frac{D_{m_n}[u_0] - D_{m_0}[u_0]}{H[u_0]} = \int_{\Omega} (m_n - m_0) u_0^2 dt \\ &\leq \|m_n - m_0\|_\infty \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

for  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Similarly

$$\lambda_1(m_0) - \lambda_1(m_n) \leq \|m_0 - m_n\|_\infty \|u_n\|_2 \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{for } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad \square$$

Much more difficult is the case when  $\{m_n\} \subset L^{3/2}$ ,  $n = 0, 1, \dots$  and  $m_n \rightarrow m_0$  in  $L^{3/2}$ . The previous way of reasoning demands the boundedness of norms

$\{\|u_n\|\}$ . Indeed, let  $u_n$  be the first eigenfunction corresponding to the first eigenvalue  $\lambda_1(m_n)$  of  $L_{m_n}$ ,  $n = 0, 1, \dots$ . Then, from Theorem 1, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} |\lambda_1(m_n) - \lambda_1(m_0)| &\leq \sup \left\{ \frac{D_{m_n}[u_0] - \lambda_1(m_0)H[u_0]}{H[u_0]}, \frac{D_{m_0}[u_m] - \lambda_1(m_n)H[u_m]}{H[u_m]} \right\} \\ &= \sup \left\{ \int_{\Omega} (m_n - m_0)u_0^2 dt, \int_{\Omega} (m_n - m_0)u_n^2 dt \right\} \\ &\leq \sup\{\|m_n - m_0\|_{3/2}\|u_0\|_6, \|m_0 - m_n\|_{3/2}\|u_n\|_6\}. \end{aligned}$$

So boundedness of the  $\{\|u_n\|\}$  is needed.

From Proposition 1, see also Corollary 1, it follows that if  $m_n \rightarrow m_0$  in  $L^{3/2}$  then there exists a constant  $C_0$  such that for  $n = 0, 1, \dots$  we have

$$\lambda_1(m_n) \geq C_0,$$

and, for every  $0 \neq u \in H_0^1$ ,

$$D_{m_n}[u] = \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u|^2 - m_n u^2) dt \geq C_0 H[u].$$

It means that for all  $0 \neq u \in H_0^1$  and for all  $1 > \varepsilon > 0$

$$\int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u|^2 - (m_n + C_0 - \varepsilon)u^2) dt \geq \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} u^2 dt$$

and equivalently

$$\int_{\Omega} ((1 + \varepsilon)|\nabla u|^2 - (m_n + C_0 - \varepsilon)u^2) dt \geq \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} (u^2 + |\nabla u|^2) dt$$

or

$$(32) \quad \int_{\Omega} \left( |\nabla u|^2 - \left( \frac{m_n + C_0 - \varepsilon}{1 + \varepsilon} \right) u^2 \right) dt \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{1 + \varepsilon} \|u\|^2.$$

Denote by

$$p_{n,\varepsilon} = \frac{m_n + C_0 - \varepsilon}{1 + \varepsilon} \in L^{3/2}$$

and by

$$D_{n,\varepsilon}[u, v] = \int_{\Omega} (\nabla u \nabla v - p_{n,\varepsilon}) uv dt.$$

The inequality (32) means that for every  $u \in H_0^1$  we have

$$(33) \quad D_{n,\varepsilon}[u, u] \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{1 + \varepsilon} \|u\|^2,$$

hence the form  $D_{n,\varepsilon}$  is positively defined. Moreover,  $D_{n,\varepsilon}$  are continuous bilinear forms on  $H_0^1 \times H_0^1$ . Therefore, from the Lax–Milgram theorem, for every  $x^* \in H^{-1}$  and  $n = 0, 1, \dots$  there exists a unique  $u_n \in H_0^1$  such that

$$(34) \quad D_{n,\varepsilon}[u_n, v] = \langle x^*, v \rangle$$

for all  $v \in H_0^1$ . Notice that since  $H_0^1$  embeds in  $L^6$  thus  $L^{6/5}$  embeds in  $H^{-1}$ . To see this let us consider, for  $f \in L^{6/5}$ , a functional  $x^*$  by  $\langle x^*, v \rangle = \int_{\Omega} f(t)v(t) dt$ . Observe that for every  $v \in H_0^1$ , the following inequalities

$$|\langle x^*, v \rangle| \leq \|f\|_{6/5} \|v\|_6 \leq S \|f\|_{6/5} \|v\|$$

hold. The latter implies that  $x^*$  is continuous on  $H_0^1$ . Hence, from (34) one can conclude that for every  $f \in L^{6/5}$  and  $n = 0, 1, \dots$  there exists unique  $u_n \in H_0^1$  such that

$$(35) \quad D_{n,\varepsilon}[u_n, v] = \langle f, v \rangle$$

for all  $v \in H_0^1$ . Moreover, from (32) and (35),

$$\|u_n\|^2 \leq \frac{1+\varepsilon}{\varepsilon} D_{n,\varepsilon}[u_n, u_n] = \frac{1+\varepsilon}{\varepsilon} \langle f, u_n \rangle \leq \frac{1+\varepsilon}{\varepsilon} \|f\|_{6/5} S \|u_n\|.$$

So we get

$$(36) \quad \|u_n\| \leq \frac{1+\varepsilon}{\varepsilon} S \|f\|_{6/5}.$$

Denote by  $T_n : L^{6/5} \rightarrow H_0^1 \hookrightarrow L^6$  the mapping  $T_n f = u_n$ , such that for all  $v \in H_0^1$  we have

$$D_{n,\varepsilon}[T_n f, v] = \langle f, v \rangle.$$

Obviously all  $T_n$ 's are linear and inequality (36) means that

$$\|T_n\| \leq (1+\varepsilon)S/\varepsilon, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots$$

Thus operators  $T_n : L^{6/5} \rightarrow L^6$  are uniformly bounded.

We shall show the following

LEMMA 1. *There exists a constant  $C$  such that*

$$\|T_n f - T_0 f\| \leq C \|m_n - m_0\|_{3/2} \|f\|_{6/5}$$

for every  $f \in L^{6/5}$  and  $n = 1, \dots$

PROOF. Let us recall that from (35) for all  $n = 0, 1, \dots$  it follows that

$$\int_{\Omega} (\nabla(u_n - u_0) \nabla v - (p_{n,\varepsilon} u_n - p_{0,\varepsilon} u_0) v) dt = 0.$$

Equivalently

$$\int_{\Omega} (\nabla(u_n - u_0) \nabla v - (1+\varepsilon)^{-1} [(m_n - m_0)u_n + (m_0 + c_0 - \varepsilon)(u_n - u_0)] v) dt = 0$$

or

$$D_{0,\varepsilon}[u_n, v] = \langle (1+\varepsilon)^{-1} (m_n - m_0)u_n, v \rangle$$

i.e.

$$u_n - u_0 = T_0((1+\varepsilon)^{-1} (m_n - m_0)u_n).$$

From (36) we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_n - u_0\| &\leq \frac{1+\varepsilon}{\varepsilon} S \|(1+\varepsilon)^{-1}(m_n - m_0)u_n\|_{6/5} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon} S \|m_n - m_0\|_{3/2} \|u_n\|_6 \leq \frac{1+\varepsilon}{\varepsilon^2} S^3 \|m_n - m_0\|_{3/2} \|f\|_{6/5}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally

$$\|T_n f - T_0 f\| \leq C \|m_n - m_0\|_{3/2} \|f\|_{6/5},$$

where  $C = (1+\varepsilon)S^3/\varepsilon^2$ .  $\square$

From Lemma 1 it follows that

$$\|T_n - T_0\| \leq C \|m_n - m_0\|_{3/2}$$

and therefore the operators  $T_n : L^{6/5} \rightarrow H_0^1$  tend to  $T_0 : L^{6/5} \rightarrow H_0^1$ . Moreover, the operators

$$T_n|_{L^2} : L^2 \rightarrow L^2$$

are compact. Thus from Lemma VII.6.3 in [4] it follows that

$$\sigma(T_n) \rightarrow \sigma(T_0)$$

in the Hausdorff metric. But  $\sigma(T_n) \subset [0, \infty)$  and  $\sup \sigma(T_n)$  tend to

$$\left( \lambda_1 \left( \frac{m_n}{1+\varepsilon} \right) - \frac{C_0 - \varepsilon}{1+\varepsilon} \right)^{-1}.$$

Thus  $\lambda_1(m_n/(1+\varepsilon))$  tends to  $\lambda_1(m_0/(1+\varepsilon))$  for every  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ . Therefore, we have proved the following:

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $\{m_n\} \subset L^{3/2}$ ,  $n = 0, 1, \dots$  and  $m_n \rightarrow m_0$  in  $L^{3/2}$ . Then*

$$\lambda_1(m_n) \rightarrow \lambda_1(m_0).$$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] G. BARTUZEL AND A. FRYSZKOWSKI, *On existence of solutions for inclusions  $\nabla^2 u \in F(x, \nabla u)$* , Proc. of the Fourth Conference on Numerical Treatment of Ordinary Differential Equations (R. März, ed.), Sektion Mathematik der Humboldt Universität zu Berlin, Berlin, 1984, pp. 1–7.
- [2] ———, *A topological property of the solution set to the Schrödinger differential inclusions*, Demonstratio Math. **25** (1995), 411–433.
- [3] A. BRESSAN AND G. COLOMBO, *Extensions and selections of maps with decomposable values*, Studia Math. **90** (1986), 163–174.
- [4] N. DUNFORD AND J. T. SCHWARTZ, *Linear Operators, Part I*, Wiley, New York, 1958.
- [5] Y. EGOROV AND V. KONDRATIEV, *On Spectral Theory of Elliptic Operators. Operator Theory, Advances and Applications*, vol. 89, Birkhäuser, Basel, Boston, Berlin, 1996.
- [6] A. FRYSZKOWSKI, *Continuous selections for a class of nonconvex multivalued maps*, Studia Math. **76** (1983), 163–174.

- [7] K. KURATOWSKI AND C. RYLL-NARDZEWSKI, *A general theorem on selectors*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. **13** (1965), 397–403.

*Manuscript received January 18, 2000*

GRZEGORZ BARTUZEL AND ANDRZEJ FRYSKOWSKI  
Warsaw University of Technology,  
1 Plac Politechniki  
00-661 Warszawa, POLAND

*E-mail address:* grgb@mini.pw.edu.pl, frysko@mini.pw.edu.pl