

## COINCIDENCE AND FIXED POINT THEOREMS WITH APPLICATIONS

QAMRUL H. ANSARI — ADAM IDZIK — JEN-CHIH YAO

---

*Dedicated to the memory of Juliusz P. Schauder*

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper, we first establish a coincidence theorem under the noncompact settings. Then we derive some fixed point theorems for a family of functions. We apply our fixed point theorem to study nonempty intersection problems for sets with convex sections and obtain a social equilibrium existence theorem. We also introduce a concept of a quasi-variational inequalities and prove an existence result for a solution to such a system.

### 1. Introduction and preliminaries

In 1952, Debreu [7] introduced the concept of the generalized the Nash equilibrium which extends the classical concept of Nash equilibrium for a noncooperative game [18]. Since then, it is widely studied by using some kinds of fixed point theorems, see for example [6], [9], [10], [12], [13], [16], [17], [20]–[23], and references therein. The remaining part of this section deals with preliminaries. In Section 2, we establish a coincidence theorem under the noncompact setting. Then we derive some fixed point theorems for a family of functions which generalize earlier results of Lan and Webb [14]. In Section 3, we study nonempty intersection problems for sets with convex sections. A social equilibrium existence theorem which is applied to results on saddle points, minimax theorems

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 54H25, 47H10, 49J40, 52A07, 49J35.

*Key words and phrases.* Coincidence, fixed point, convex section, equilibrium, quasi-variational inequality.

This research was supported by the National Science Council of the Republic of China.

©2000 Juliusz Schauder Center for Nonlinear Studies

and Nash equilibria, is obtained in Section 4. In the last section, we introduce a concept of a system of quasi-variational inequalities which includes the system of variational inequalities studied in [1], [3], [5], [19], as a special case. We also derive existence results for such a system of quasi-variational inequalities.

We shall use the following notation and definitions. Let  $A$  be a nonempty set. We shall denote by  $2^A$  the family of all subsets of  $A$ . If  $A$  and  $B$  are two nonempty subsets of a topological vector space  $X$  such that  $B \subseteq A$ , we shall denote by  $\text{int}_A B$  the interior of  $B$  in  $A$ . If  $A$  is a subset of a vector space,  $\text{co} A$  denotes the convex hull of  $A$ .

Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be two topological vector spaces and  $\varphi : X \rightarrow 2^Y$  be a multivalued map. Then  $\varphi$  is said to have a *local intersection property* [24] if for each  $x \in X$  with  $\varphi(x) \neq \emptyset$ , there exists an open neighbourhood  $N(x)$  of  $x$  such that  $\bigcap_{z \in N(x)} \varphi(z) \neq \emptyset$ .

A multivalued map  $\varphi$  is said to be *transfer open-valued* [4] if for any  $x \in X$ ,  $y \in \varphi(x)$  there exists a  $z \in X$  such that  $y \in \text{int}_Y \varphi(z)$ .

A *graph* of  $\varphi$ , denoted by  $\text{gr } \varphi$ , is

$$\{(x, z) \in X \times Y : x \in X, z \in \varphi(x)\}.$$

An *inverse* of  $\varphi$ , denoted by  $\varphi^{-1}$ , is the multivalued map from the range of  $\varphi$  to  $X$  defined by

$$x \in \varphi^{-1}(z) \text{ if and only if } z \in \varphi(x).$$

We mention recent results of Ding [8] and Lin [15], Yu [25] and the well known Berge's theorem [2] which will be used in the sequel.

LEMMA 1.1 ([8], [15]). *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be two topological vector spaces and  $\varphi : X \rightarrow 2^Y$  be a multivalued map with nonempty values. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\varphi^{-1}$  is transfer open-valued,
- (ii)  $\varphi$  has the local intersection property,
- (iii)  $X = \bigcup_{y \in Y} \text{int}_X \varphi^{-1}(y)$ .

LEMMA 1.2 ([25]). *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be two Hausdorff topological vector spaces and  $Y$  be compact. Let  $f : X \times Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a function such that*

- (i)  $f$  is upper semicontinuous on  $X \times Y$ , and
- (ii) for each fixed  $y \in Y$ ,  $x \mapsto f(x, y)$  is lower semicontinuous on  $X$ .

Then the function  $\Phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by

$$\Phi(x) = \max_{u \in Y} f(x, u) \quad \text{for all } x \in X$$

is continuous on  $X$ .

LEMMA 1.3 ([2]). *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be topological vector spaces,  $f : X \times Y \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  an extended real-valued function,  $\varphi : X \rightarrow 2^Y$  a multivalued map, and*

$$\widehat{f}(x) = \sup_{y \in \varphi(x)} f(x, y) \quad \text{for all } x \in X.$$

- (i) *If  $f$  is upper semicontinuous and  $\varphi$  is upper semicontinuous with compact values, then  $\widehat{f}$  is upper semicontinuous.*
- (ii) *If  $f$  is lower semicontinuous and  $\varphi$  is lower semicontinuous, then  $\widehat{f}$  is lower semicontinuous.*

**2. Coincidence and fixed point theorems**

Let  $I$  be an index set and for each  $i \in I$ , let  $E_i$  be a Hausdorff topological vector space. Let  $\{K_i\}_{i \in I}$  be a family of nonempty convex subsets with each  $K_i$  in  $E_i$ . Let  $K = \prod_{i \in I} K_i$  and  $K^i = \prod_{j \in I, j \neq i} K_j$  and, we write  $K = K^i \times K_i$ . For each  $x \in K$ ,  $x_i \in K_i$  denotes the  $i$ th coordinate and  $x^i \in X^i$  the projection of  $x$  on  $X^i$  and we also write  $x = (x^i, x_i)$ . We use this denotation throughout our paper.

THEOREM 2.1. *For each  $i \in I$ , let  $\varphi_i : K_i \rightarrow 2^{K_i}$  and  $\psi_i : K^i \rightarrow 2^{K_i}$  be two multivalued maps. Assume that the following conditions hold:*

- (i) *For each  $i \in I$  and each  $x^i \in K^i$ ,  $\varphi_i^{-1}(\psi_i(x^i))$  is nonempty and convex.*
- (ii) *For each  $i \in I$ ,  $K^i = \bigcup \{\text{int}_{K^i} \psi_i^{-1}(\varphi_i(x_i)) : x_i \in K_i\}$ .*
- (iii) *If  $K^i$  is not compact, assume that there exist a nonempty compact convex subset  $B_i$  of  $K_i$  and a nonempty compact subset  $D^i$  of  $K^i$  such that for each  $x^i \in K^i \setminus D^i$  there exists  $\tilde{y}_i \in B_i$  such that  $x^i \in \text{int}_{K^i} \psi_i^{-1}(\varphi_i(\tilde{y}_i))$ .*

*Then there exists  $\bar{x} \in K$  such that  $\psi_i(\bar{x}^i) \cap \varphi_i(\bar{x}_i) \neq \emptyset$ , for each  $i \in I$ .*

PROOF. Although it is based on one given in [1] for the fixed points of the family of functions, we include it for the sake of completeness of the paper. For each  $i \in I$ , we define a multivalued map  $\phi_i : K_i \rightarrow 2^{K^i}$  by

$$\phi_i(x_i) = \{x^i \in K^i : x^i \notin \text{int}_{K^i} \psi_i^{-1}(\varphi_i(x_i))\} = K^i \setminus \text{int}_{K^i} \psi_i^{-1}(\varphi_i(x_i)).$$

Then  $\phi_i$  satisfies the following conditions:

- (a) For each  $x_i \in K_i$ ,  $\phi_i(x_i)$  is closed in  $K^i$ .
- (b) For each  $i \in I$ , then  $\bigcap_{x_i \in B_i} \phi_i(x_i)$  is compact in  $K^i$ .  
Indeed, if  $K^i$  is compact,  $\bigcap_{x_i \in B_i} \phi_i(x_i)$  is compact since  $\bigcap_{x_i \in B_i} \phi_i(x_i)$  is closed in  $K^i$  by (a). If  $K^i$  is not compact,

$$\bigcap_{x_i \in B_i} \phi_i(x_i) = \bigcap_{x_i \in B_i} \{x^i \in K^i : x^i \notin \text{int}_{K^i} \psi_i^{-1}(\varphi_i(x_i))\} \subset D^i$$

by (iii) and thus is compact.

(c) Since for each  $i \in I$ ,  $K^i = \bigcup \{\text{int}_{K^i} \psi_i^{-1}(\varphi_i(x_i)) : x_i \in K_i\}$ , we have  $\bigcap_{x_i \in K_i} \phi_i(x_i) = \bigcap_{x_i \in K_i} \{K^i \setminus \text{int}_{K^i} \psi_i^{-1}(\varphi_i(x_i))\} = \emptyset$ , for each  $i \in I$ .

Now, we will show that there exist  $a_{i1}, \dots, a_{il_i} \in K_i$  such that

$$(2.1) \quad \left( \bigcap_{x_i \in B_i} \phi_i(x_i) \right) \cap \left( \bigcap_{k=1}^{l_i} \phi_i(a_{ik}) \right) = \emptyset.$$

Suppose that (2.1) is not true, then for every finite set  $\{y_1, \dots, y_n\} \subset K_i$ , we have

$$\left( \bigcap_{x_i \in B_i} \phi_i(x_i) \right) \cap \left( \bigcap_{j=1}^n \phi_i(y_j) \right) \neq \emptyset.$$

Let  $\chi(y) = (\bigcap_{x_i \in B_i} \phi_i(x_i)) \cap (\phi_i(y))$  for  $y \in K_i$ . Then the family  $\{\chi(y) : y \in K_i\}$  has the finite intersection property. Note that  $\chi(y)$  is compact in  $K$  for each  $y \in K_i$  because  $\bigcap_{x_i \in B_i} \phi_i(x_i)$  is compact and  $\phi_i(y)$  is closed in  $K^i$ . It follows that  $\bigcap_{y \in K_i} \chi(y) \neq \emptyset$  and thus  $\bigcap_{y \in K_i} \phi_i(y) \neq \emptyset$  which is a contradiction with (c).

By (2.1), we have

$$(2.2) \quad \left( \bigcup_{x_i \in B_i} \text{int}_{K^i} \psi_i^{-1}(\varphi_i(x_i)) \right) \cup \left( \bigcup_{k=1}^{l_i} \text{int}_{K^i} \psi_i^{-1}(\varphi_i(a_{ik})) \right) = K^i.$$

Let  $F_i = \text{co}(B_i \cup \{a_{i1}, \dots, a_{il_i}\})$ . Then  $F_i$  is compact in  $K_i$ . Let  $F^i = \prod_{j \in I, j \neq i} F_j$ , then  $F^i$  is a compact subset of  $K^i$ . By (2.2), we have

$$F^i \subset \left( \bigcup_{x_i \in B_i} \text{int}_{K^i} \psi_i^{-1}(\varphi_i(x_i)) \right) \cup \left( \bigcup_{k=1}^{l_i} \text{int}_{K^i} \psi_i^{-1}(\varphi_i(a_{ik})) \right).$$

Since  $F^i$  is compact, there exist  $b_{i1}, \dots, b_{it_i} \in B_i$  such that

$$(2.3) \quad F^i \subset \left( \bigcup_{j=1}^{t_i} \text{int}_{K^i} \psi_i^{-1}(\varphi_i(b_{ij})) \right) \cup \left( \bigcup_{k=1}^{l_i} \text{int}_{K^i} \psi_i^{-1}(\varphi_i(a_{ik})) \right).$$

Let  $\{c_{i1}, \dots, c_{in_i}\} = \{a_{i1}, \dots, a_{il_i}, b_{i1}, \dots, b_{it_i}\}$ . We rewrite (2.3) as follows

$$F^i \subset \bigcup_{k=1}^{n_i} \text{int}_{K^i} \psi_i^{-1}(\varphi_i(c_{ik})).$$

Let  $X_i = \text{co}\{c_{i1}, \dots, c_{in_i}\}$  and  $X^i = \prod_{j \in I, j \neq i} X_j$ . We denote by  $\Delta_i$  the vector subspace of  $E_i$  generated by  $X_i$ . Then  $\Delta_i$  is a finite dimensional subspace. We note that  $X^i$  is a compact set in  $\prod_{j \in I, j \neq i} \Delta_j$ , and  $X^i \subset F^i \subset \bigcup_{k=1}^{n_i} \text{int}_{K^i} \psi_i^{-1}(\varphi_i(c_{ik}))$ . Therefore

$$X^i \subset \left( \bigcup_{k=1}^{n_i} \text{int}_{K^i} \psi_i^{-1}(\varphi_i(c_{ik})) \right) \cap X^i \subseteq \bigcup_{k=1}^{n_i} \text{int}_{X^i} \psi_i^{-1}(\varphi_i(c_{ik})) \subset X^i$$

and hence  $X^i = \bigcup_{k=1}^{n_i} \text{int}_{X^i} \psi_i^{-1}(\varphi_i(c_{ik}))$ .

Since  $X^i$  is compact, there exists a partition of unity  $\{g_{i1}, \dots, g_{in_i}\}$  subordinated to this finite subcovering such that:

- (a) for each  $k = 1, \dots, n_i$ ,  $g_{ik} : X^i \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is continuous,
- (b) for each  $k = 1, \dots, n_i$ ,  $g_{ik}(x^i) = 0$ , for  $x^i \notin \text{int}_{X^i} \psi_i^{-1}(\varphi_i(c_{ik}))$ ,
- (a) for each  $x^i \in X^i$ ,  $\sum_{k=1}^{n_i} g_{ik}(x^i) = 1$ .

For each  $i \in I$ , we define a map  $f_i : X^i \rightarrow X_i$  by  $f_i(x^i) = \sum_{k=1}^{n_i} g_{ik}(x^i)c_{ik}$ , for all  $x^i \in X^i$ . Obviously, for each  $i \in I$ ,  $f_i$  is continuous. For each  $x^i \in X^i$  and each  $k$  with  $g_{ik}(x^i) \neq 0$ , we have  $x^i \in \text{int}_{X^i} \psi_i^{-1}(\varphi_i(c_{ik})) \subset \psi_i^{-1}(\varphi_i(c_{ik}))$  and so that  $c_{ik} \in \varphi_i^{-1}(\psi_i(x^i))$  for each  $i \in I$ . Because  $f_i(x^i)$  is a convex combination of  $c_{i1}, \dots, c_{in_i}$  and because  $\varphi_i^{-1}(\psi_i(x^i))$  is convex by (i), we have for each  $i \in I$ ,  $f_i(x^i) \in \varphi_i^{-1}(\psi_i(x^i))$ , for all  $x^i \in X^i$ .

Define a map  $h : X \rightarrow X$  by  $h(x) = (f_i(x^i))_{i \in I}$ . Since for each  $x \in X$ , we have  $x^i \in X^i$  and  $f_i(x^i) \in X_i$ , it follows that  $h$  is well-defined and continuous. By Tychonoff's fixed point theorem,  $h$  has a fixed point  $\bar{x} = (f_i(\bar{x}^i))_{i \in I} \in X$ . This implies that  $\bar{x}_i = f_i(\bar{x}^i)$  for each  $i \in I$ . Hence  $\bar{x}_i = f_i(\bar{x}^i) \in \varphi_i^{-1}(\psi_i(\bar{x}^i))$  and therefore  $\psi_i(\bar{x}^i) \cap \varphi_i(\bar{x}_i) \neq \emptyset$ , for each  $i \in I$ . □

When  $\varphi(x_i) = \{x_i\}$ , we have the following result on fixed points for a family of multivalued maps.

**THEOREM 2.2.** *For each  $i \in I$ , let  $\psi_i : K^i \rightarrow 2^{K^i}$  be a multivalued map. Assume that the following conditions hold:*

- (i) *For each  $i \in I$  and each  $x^i \in K^i$ ,  $\psi_i(x^i)$  is nonempty and convex.*
- (ii) *For each  $i \in I$ ,  $K^i = \bigcup \{\text{int}_{K^i} \psi_i^{-1}(x_i) : x_i \in K_i\}$ .*
- (iii) *If  $K^i$  is not compact, assume that there exist a nonempty compact convex subset  $B_i$  of  $K_i$  and a nonempty compact subset  $D^i$  of  $K^i$  such that for each  $x^i \in K^i \setminus D^i$  there exists  $\tilde{y}_i \in B_i$  such that  $x^i \in \text{int}_{K^i} \psi_i^{-1}(\tilde{y}_i)$ .*

*Then there exists  $\bar{x} \in K$  such that  $\bar{x}_i \in \psi_i(\bar{x}^i)$ , for each  $i \in I$ .*

**REMARK 2.3.**

- (a) Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 are non-compact version of Theorems 3 and 4 in [10], respectively.
- (b) If for each  $x_i \in K_i$ ,  $\psi_i^{-1}(x_i)$  is open in  $K^i$ , then by assumption (i) in Theorem 2.2,  $K^i = \bigcup \{\text{int}_{K^i} \psi_i^{-1}(x_i) : x_i \in K_i\}$ . Hence Theorem 2.2 contains Theorem 2.1 in [14].
- (c) In view of Lemma 1.1, assumption (ii) in Theorem 2.2 can be replaced by any one of the following conditions:
  - (ii)' for each  $i \in I$ ,  $\psi_i^{-1}$  is transfer open-valued,
  - (ii)'' for each  $i \in I$ ,  $\psi_i$  has the local intersection property.

The following result is a consequence of Theorem 2.2 and generalizes Theorem 2.2 in [14].

**THEOREM 2.4.** *For each  $i \in I$ , let  $\phi_i : K^i \rightarrow 2^{K^i}$  be a multivalued map. Assume that the following conditions hold:*

- (i) *For each  $i \in I$  and each  $x^i \in K^i$ ,  $\phi_i(x^i)$  is nonempty.*
- (ii) *For each  $i \in I$ ,  $K^i = \bigcup \{\text{int}_{K^i} \phi_i^{-1}(x_i) : x_i \in K_i\}$ .*
- (iii) *If  $K^i$  is not compact, assume that there exist a nonempty compact convex subset  $B_i$  of  $K_i$  and a nonempty compact subset  $D^i$  of  $K^i$  such that for each  $x^i \in K^i \setminus D^i$  there exists  $\tilde{y}_i \in B_i$  such that  $x^i \in \text{int}_{K^i} \text{co} \phi_i^{-1}(\tilde{y}_i)$ .*

*Then there exists  $\bar{x} \in K$  such that  $\bar{x}_i \in \text{co} \phi_i(\bar{x}^i)$ , for each  $i \in I$ .*

**PROOF.** For each  $i \in I$ , we define a multivalued map  $\psi_i : K^i \rightarrow 2^{K^i}$  by  $\psi_i(x^i) = \text{co} \phi_i(x^i)$ . Then it is easy to verify that for each  $i \in I$ ,  $\psi_i$  satisfies all the conditions of Theorem 2.2.  $\square$

### 3. Intersection theorems for sets with convex sections

Let  $Y$  be a topological space. A family  $\{A_i\}_{i \in I}$  of subsets in  $Y$  is said to be *open transfer complete* (respectively, *closed transfer complete*) if  $y \in A_i$  (respectively,  $y \notin A_i$ ), there exists  $j \in I$  such that  $y \in \text{int}_Y A_j$  (respectively,  $y \notin \text{cl}_Y A_j$ ), where  $\text{cl}_Y A$  denotes the closure of  $A$  in  $Y$  for any subset  $A$  of  $Y$ .

For  $A \subset K$ ,  $x^i \in K^i$  and  $x_i \in K_i$ , we define  $A[x_i] = \{x^i \in K^i : (x^i, x_i) \in A\}$  and  $A[x^i] = \{x_i \in K_i : (x^i, x_i) \in A\}$ .

Now we extend Lemma 2.1 in [4] as follows:

**LEMMA 3.1.** *Let  $\{A_i\}_{i \in I}$  be a family of subsets of  $K$ . Then the following conditions hold:*

- (i) *for each  $i \in I$ , the family  $\{A_i[x^i] : x^i \in K^i\}$  is closed transfer complete if and only if*

$$\bigcap_{x^i \in K^i} A_i[x^i] = \bigcap_{x^i \in K^i} \text{cl}_{K_i} A_i[x^i],$$

- (ii) *for each  $i \in I$ , the family  $\{A_i[x^i] : x^i \in K^i\}$  is open transfer complete if and only if*

$$\bigcup_{x^i \in K^i} A_i[x^i] = \bigcup_{x^i \in K^i} \text{int}_{K_i} A_i[x^i],$$

- (iii) *if for each  $i \in I$ ,  $A_i[x^i]$  is nonempty and the family  $\{A_i[x_i] : x_i \in K_i\}$  is open transfer complete, then  $K^i = \bigcup_{x_i \in K_i} \text{int}_{K^i} A_i[x_i]$ .*

Since the proof of this lemma is similar to the proof of Lemma 2.1 in [4], we omit it.

From Theorem 2.4, we obtain the following results on sets with convex sections:

**THEOREM 3.2.** *Let  $\{A_i\}_{i \in I}$  be a family of subsets of  $K$ . Assume that the following conditions hold:*

- (i) *for each  $i \in I$  and each  $x^i \in K^i$ ,  $A_i[x^i]$  is nonempty,*
- (ii) *for each  $i \in I$ ,  $K^i = \bigcup_{x_i \in K_i} \text{int}_{K^i} A_i[x_i]$ ,*
- (iii) *if  $K^i$  is not compact, assume that there exist a nonempty compact convex subset  $B_i$  of  $K_i$  and a nonempty compact subset  $D^i$  of  $K^i$  such that for each  $x^i \in K^i \setminus D^i$  there exists  $\tilde{y}_i \in B_i$  such that  $x^i \in \text{int}_{K^i} \text{co} A_i[\tilde{y}_i]$ .*

*Then there exists  $\bar{x} \in K$  such that  $\bar{x}_i \in \text{co} A_i[\bar{x}^i]$ , for each  $i \in I$ .*

**PROOF.** For each  $i \in I$ , we define a multivalued map  $\phi_i : K^i \rightarrow 2^{K^i}$  by

$$\phi_i(x^i) = A_i[x^i], \quad \text{for all } x^i \in K^i.$$

It is easy to verify that for each  $i \in I$ ,  $\phi_i$  satisfies all the conditions of Theorem 2.4. Hence there exists  $\bar{x} \in K$  such that  $\bar{x}_i \in \text{co} A_i[\bar{x}^i]$ , for each  $i \in I$ .  $\square$

**THEOREM 3.3.** *Let  $\{A_i\}_{i \in I}$  and  $\{\tilde{A}_i\}_{i \in I}$  be two families of subsets of  $K$ . Assume that the following conditions hold:*

- (i) *for each  $i \in I$  and each  $x^i \in K^i$ ,  $A_i[x^i]$  is nonempty,*
- (ii) *for each  $x \in K$ , there exists a subset  $I(x) \subset I$  such that for  $i \in I(x)$ ,  $\text{co} A_i[x^i] \subset \tilde{A}_i[x^i]$ ,*
- (iii) *for each  $i \in I$ ,  $K^i = \bigcup_{x_i \in K_i} \text{int}_{K^i} A_i[x_i]$ ,*
- (iv) *if  $K^i$  is not compact, assume that there exist a nonempty compact convex subset  $B_i$  of  $K_i$  and a nonempty compact subset  $D^i$  of  $K^i$  such that for each  $x^i \in K^i \setminus D^i$  there exists  $\tilde{y}_i \in B_i$  such that  $x^i \in \text{int}_{K^i} \text{co} A_i[\tilde{y}_i]$ .*

*Then there exists  $\bar{x} \in K$  such that  $\bigcap_{i \in I(\bar{x})} \tilde{A}_i \neq \emptyset$ .*

**PROOF.** By Theorem 3.2, there exists  $\bar{x} \in K$  such that  $\bar{x}_i \in \text{co} A_i[\bar{x}^i]$ , for each  $i \in I$ . From assumption (ii), we have  $\bar{x}_i \in \tilde{A}_i[\bar{x}^i]$  for  $i \in I(\bar{x})$ . This implies that  $\bar{x} \in \tilde{A}_i$ , for each  $i \in I(\bar{x})$ .  $\square$

**REMARK 3.4.** Theorems 3.2 and 3.3 generalize Theorems 2.3 and 2.4, respectively, in [14].

In view of Lemma 3.1, we have the following

**REMARK 3.5.** The assumption (ii) in Theorem 3.2 and the assumption (iii) in Theorem 3.3 can be replaced by the following condition:

- (0) *For each  $i \in I$ , the family  $\{A_i[x_i] : x_i \in K_i\}$  is open transfer complete.*

#### 4. Equilibrium existence theorems

For  $S \subset K$ ,  $x^i \in K^i$  and  $x_i \in K_i$ , let  $S(x^i) = \{y_i \in K_i : (x^i, y_i) \in S\}$ .

From Theorem 2.2, we obtain the following social equilibrium existence theorem (cf. [7]):

**THEOREM 4.1.** *Let  $\{K_i\}_{i \in I}$  be a family of nonempty compact convex subsets with each  $K_i$  in  $E_i$ . For each  $i \in I$ , let  $S_i : K^i \rightarrow 2^{K^i}$  be an upper semicontinuous multivalued map with nonempty compact convex values such that  $S_i^{-1}(x_i)$  is open in  $K^i$ , for all  $x_i \in K_i$ . For each  $i \in I$ , let  $f_i : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfy the following conditions:*

- (i) *for each  $i \in I$ ,  $f_i$  is upper semicontinuous on  $\text{gr } S_i$ ,*
- (ii) *for each  $i \in I$ ,  $\widehat{f}_i(x^i) = \max_{z \in S_i(x^i)} f_i(x^i, z)$  is a lower semicontinuous function,*
- (iii) *for each  $i \in I$  and for each fixed  $y_i \in K_i$ ,  $x^i \mapsto f_i(x^i, y_i)$  is lower semicontinuous on  $K^i$ ,*
- (iv) *for each  $i \in I$  and for each fixed  $x^i \in K^i$ ,  $y_i \mapsto f_i(x^i, y_i)$  is quasi-concave on  $K_i$ .*

*Then there exists an equilibrium point  $\bar{x} \in \text{gr } S_i$  for each  $i \in I$ ; that is,  $\bar{x}_i \in S_i(\bar{x}^i)$  and  $f_i(\bar{x}) = \max_{x_i \in S_i(\bar{x}^i)} f_i(\bar{x}^i, x_i)$ , for each  $i \in I$ .*

**PROOF.** For each  $i \in I$  and each  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , we define a multivalued map  $\psi_{(i,n)} : K^i \rightarrow 2^{K^i}$  by

$$\psi_{(i,n)}(x^i) = \{x_i \in S_i(x^i) : f_i(x^i, x_i) > \max_{z \in S_i(x^i)} f_i(x^i, z) - 1/n\}, \quad \text{for all } x^i \in K^i.$$

Since  $S_i(x^i)$  is compact and  $f_i$  is upper semicontinuous, we have  $\psi_{(i,n)}(x^i)$  is nonempty for each  $i \in I$  and  $x^i \in K^i$ . By the assumption (iv), for each  $i \in I$  and  $x^i \in K^i$ ,  $\psi_{(i,n)}(x^i)$  is convex.

Now for each  $i \in I$  and  $x_i \in S_i(x^i)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{(i,n)}^{-1}(x_i) &= \{x^i \in K^i : x_i \in S_i(x^i) \text{ and } f_i(x^i, x_i) > \max_{z \in S_i(x^i)} f_i(x^i, z) - 1/n\} \\ &= S_i^{-1}(x_i) \cap \{x^i \in K^i : f_i(x^i, x_i) > \max_{z \in S_i(x^i)} f_i(x^i, z) - 1/n\}. \end{aligned}$$

By our assumptions and Lemma 1.3, the set

$$\{x^i \in K^i : f_i(x^i, x_i) > \max_{z \in S_i(x^i)} f_i(x^i, z) - 1/n\}$$

is open in  $K^i$ . Since  $S_i^{-1}(x_i)$  is open in  $K^i$  for any  $x_i \in K_i$ ,  $\psi_{(i,n)}^{-1}(x_i)$  is open in  $K^i$ , for all  $x_i \in K_i$ . Since for each  $i \in I$ ,  $\psi_{(i,n)}(x^i)$  is nonempty and  $\psi_{(i,n)}^{-1}(x_i)$  is open in  $K^i$ , we have

$$K^i = \bigcup_{x_i \in K_i} \psi_{(i,n)}^{-1}(x_i) = \bigcup_{x_i \in K_i} \text{int}_{K^i} \psi_{(i,n)}^{-1}(x_i).$$

By Theorem 2.2, there exists  $\widehat{x}_n = (\widehat{x}^{(i,n)}, \widehat{x}_{(i,n)}) \in K$  such that  $\widehat{x}_{(i,n)} \in \psi_{(i,n)}(\widehat{x}^{(i,n)})$ , for each  $i \in I$  and, for each  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , that is,

$$\widehat{x}_{(i,n)} \in S_i(\widehat{x}^{(i,n)}) : f_i(\widehat{x}^{(i,n)}, \widehat{x}_{(i,n)}) > \max_{z \in S_i(\widehat{x}^{(i,n)})} f_i(\widehat{x}^{(i,n)}, z) - 1/n,$$

for each  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . Since  $K_i$  is compact, without loss of generality, we may assume that  $\hat{x}_n \rightarrow \bar{x} \in K$ , that is,  $\hat{x}^{(i,n)} \rightarrow \bar{x}^i \in K^i$  and  $\hat{x}_{(i,n)} \rightarrow \bar{x}_i \in K^i$ . Since for each  $i \in I$ ,  $S_i$  is compact-valued and upper semicontinuous, the graph of  $S_i$  is closed and therefore  $\bar{x}_i \in S_i(\bar{x}^i)$ . By assumptions (i) and (ii), we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_i(\bar{x}^i, \bar{x}_i) &\geq \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_i(\hat{x}^{(i,n)}, \hat{x}_{(i,n)}) \geq \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[ \max_{z \in S_i(\hat{x}^{(i,n)})} f_i(\hat{x}^{(i,n)}, z) - 1/n \right] \\ &\geq \underline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[ \max_{z \in S_i(\hat{x}^{(i,n)})} f_i(\hat{x}^{(i,n)}, z) - 1/n \right] \geq \max_{z \in S_i(\bar{x}^i)} f_i(\bar{x}^i, z). \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $f_i(\bar{x}^i, \bar{x}_i) = \max_{z \in S_i(\bar{x}^i)} f_i(\bar{x}^i, z)$ . □

REMARK 4.2.

- (a) In the proof of Theorem 4.1 we used in fact the nets (the sets  $K_i$  need not be metrizable).
- (b) We notice that Theorem 5.2 in [16] is not correct in the present form. We need one more assumption that for each  $i = 1, \dots, n$ ,  $G_i^{-1}(z)$  is open in  $K$ , where  $G_i$  is defined as in Theorem 5.2 in [16]. Theorem 4.1 corrects and generalizes this theorem in the sense that the index set need not be finite.
- (c) Similar results to Theorem 4.1 were obtained by Idzik [10] (see Theorem 7) and Idzik and Park [12] (see Theorem 3.2) with the inequalities for equilibrium points instead the equalities.

From Theorem 4.1, we have the following saddle point and minimax theorems:

**THEOREM 4.3.** *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be two compact convex subset of a Hausdorff topological vector space  $E$ . Let  $f : X \times Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be an upper semicontinuous function on  $X \times Y$  such that*

- (i) *for each fixed  $y \in Y$ ,  $x \mapsto f(x, y)$  is lower semicontinuous and quasi-convex on  $X$ , and*
- (ii) *for each fixed  $x \in X$ ,  $y \mapsto f(x, y)$  is quasi-concave on  $Y$ .*

*Then  $f$  has a saddle point  $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in X \times Y$ , that is*

$$\min_{y \in Y} f(\bar{x}, y) = f(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) = \max_{x \in X} f(x, \bar{y}).$$

PROOF. It is similar to the proof of Theorem 3.3 in [12]. □

**THEOREM 4.4.** *Under the hypothesis of Theorem 4.3, we have the following minimax inequality*

$$\max_{x \in X} \min_{y \in Y} f(x, y) = \min_{y \in Y} \max_{x \in X} f(x, y).$$

PROOF. It is similar to the proof of Theorem 3.4 in [12]. □

REMARK 4.5. In Theorems 4.3 and 4.4, we have neither assumed that  $X$  and  $Y$  are convexly totally bounded (see [11] for the definition) nor  $f$  is continuous on  $X \times Y$  as it is assumed in Theorems 3.3 and 3.4 in [12] and hence Theorems 4.3 and 4.4 generalize Theorems 3.3 and 3.4, respectively, in [12].

When  $S_i(x^i) = K_i$  for each  $x^i \in K^i$ , we obtain the following generalization of the Nash equilibrium theorem (the condition (ii) of Theorem 4.1 is fulfilled by Lemma 1.2:

THEOREM 4.6. *Let  $\{K_i\}_{i \in I}$  be a family of nonempty compact convex subset with each  $K_i$  in  $E_i$ . For each  $i \in I$ , let  $f_i : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfy the following conditions:*

- (i) *for each  $i \in I$ ,  $f_i$  is upper semicontinuous,*
- (ii) *for each  $i \in I$  and for each fixed  $y_i \in K_i$ ,  $x^i \mapsto f_i(x^i, y_i)$  is lower semicontinuous on  $K^i$ ,*
- (iii) *for each  $i \in I$  and for each fixed  $x^i \in K^i$ ,  $y_i \mapsto f_i(x^i, y_i)$  is quasi-concave on  $K_i$ .*

Then there exists a point  $\bar{x} \in K$  such that, for each  $i \in I$ ,

$$f_i(\bar{x}) = \max_{y_i \in K_i} f_i(\bar{x}^i, y_i).$$

REMARK 4.7. Theorem 4.6 is an infinite version of Theorem 3.2 in [25] and it generalizes Theorem 5 in [21] in the following ways:

- (a)  $K$  need not be convexly totally bounded [11],
- (b) for each  $i \in I$ ,  $f_i$  need not be continuous.

## 5. The system of quasi-variational inequalities

For each  $i \in I$ , let  $E_i$  be a locally convex Hausdorff topological vector space with its dual  $E_i^*$ . For each  $i \in I$ , let  $\theta_i : K^i \rightarrow E_i^*$  be an operator and  $\sigma_i : K^i \rightarrow 2^{K_i}$  be a multivalued map. We consider the *system of quasi-variational inequalities* (in short, SQVI) which is to find  $\bar{x} \in K$  such that for each  $i \in I$ ,

$$\bar{x}_i \in \sigma_i(\bar{x}^i) : \langle \theta_i(\bar{x}^i), \bar{x}_i - y_i \rangle \leq 0 \quad \text{for all } y_i \in \sigma_i(\bar{x}^i),$$

where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  denotes the pairing between  $E_i^*$  and  $E_i$ .

In the case each  $i \in I$  and  $x^i \in K^i$ ,  $\sigma_i(x^i) = K_i$ , we have the *system of variational inequalities* (SVI), that is, to find  $\bar{x} \in K$  such that for each  $i \in I$ ,

$$\langle \theta_i(\bar{x}^i), \bar{x}_i - y_i \rangle \leq 0 \quad \text{for all } y_i \in K_i.$$

SVI was considered by Pang [19] with applications in equilibrium problems. Later, it has also been studied by Ansari and Yao [1], Bianchi [3] and Cohen and Chaplais [5].

Now from Theorem 4.1, we derive the following existence result for the SQVI:

THEOREM 11. Let  $\{K_i\}_{i \in I}$  be a family of nonempty compact convex subsets with each  $K_i$  in  $E_i$ . For each  $i \in I$ , let  $\sigma_i : K^i \rightarrow 2^{K^i}$  be an upper semicontinuous multivalued map with nonempty compact convex values such that  $\sigma_i^{-1}(x_i)$  is open in  $K^i$ , for all  $x_i \in K_i$ . Let  $\theta_i : K^i \rightarrow E_i^*$  be a continuous operator on  $K^i$ . Then there exists a solution to the SQVI.

PROOF. Taking  $f_i(x^i, y_i) = \langle \theta_i(x^i), x_i - y_i \rangle$  in Theorem 4.1, we obtain the result.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] Q. H. ANSARI AND J. C. YAO, *A fixed point theorem and its applications to the system of variational inequalities*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. **59** (1999), 433–442.
- [2] C. BERGE, *Topological Spaces*, Oliver and Boyd, Edinburgh, Scotland, 1963.
- [3] M. BIANCHI, *Pseudo  $P$ -monotone operators and variational inequalities*, Universita Cattolica del Sacro Cuore **6** (1993).
- [4] S. S. CHANG, B. S. LEE, X. WU, Y. J. CHO AND G. M. LEE, *On the generalized quasi-variational inequality problems*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **203** (1996), 686–711.
- [5] G. COHEN AND F. CHAPLAIS, *Nested monotony for variational inequalities over product of spaces and convergence of iterative algorithms*, J. Optim. Theory Appl. **59** (1988), 360–390.
- [6] P. CUBIOTTI, *Existence of Nash equilibria for generalized games without upper semi-continuity*, Internat. J. Game Theory **26** (1997), 267–273.
- [7] G. DEBREU, *A social equilibrium existence theorem*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **38** (1952), 886–893; *Twenty Papers of Gerald Debreu*, Mathematical Economics, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1983.
- [8] X. P. DING, *Coincidence theorems involving composites of acyclic mappings in contractible spaces*, Appl. Math. Lett. **11** (1998), 85–89.
- [9] A. IDZIK, *Remarks on Himmelberg's fixed point theorems*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Ser. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys. **26** (1978), 909–912.
- [10] ———, *Fixed point theorems for family of functions*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Ser. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys. **26** (1978), 913–916.
- [11] ———, *Almost fixed point theorems*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **104** (1988), 779–784.
- [12] A. IDZIK AND S. PARK, *Leray–Schauder type theorem and equilibrium existence theorems*, Lecture Notes in Nonlinear Analysis, vol. 2; J. Andres, L. Górniewicz and P. Nistri (eds.), Differential Inclusions Optim. Control, Juliusz Schauder Center for Nonlinear Studies, Nicolas Copernicus University, Toruń, Edinburgh, Scotland, 1998, pp. 191–197.
- [13] T. KACZYŃSKI AND V. ZEIDAN, *An application of Ky-Fan point theorem to an optimization problem*, Nonlinear Anal. **13** (1989), 259–261.
- [14] K. Q. LAN AND J. WEBB, *New fixed point theorems for a family of mappings and applications to problems on sets with convex sections*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **126** (1998), 1127–1132.
- [15] L. J. LIN, *Applications of a fixed point theorem in  $G$ -convex space*, Nonlinear Anal., 2000 (to appear).
- [16] E. MARCHI AND J.-E. MARTÍNEZ-LEGAZ, *Some results on approximate continuous selections, fixed points and minimax inequalities*, Fixed Point Theory and Applications (M. A. Théra and J.-B. Baillon, eds.), Longman Scientific & Technical, 1991, pp. 327–342.

- [17] E. MARCHI AND J.-E. MARTINEZ-LEGAZ, *A generalization of Fan–Browder’s fixed point theorem and its applications*, Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal. **2** (1993), 277–291.
- [18] J. NASH, *Non-cooperative games*, Ann. Math. **54** (1951), 286–293.
- [19] J. S. PANG, *Asymmetric variational inequality problems over product sets: applications and iterative methods*, Math. Programming **31** (1985), 206–219.
- [20] S. PARK, *Remarks on a social equilibrium existence theorem of G. Debreu*, Appl. Math. Lett. **11** (1998), 51–54.
- [21] S. PARK AND J. A. PARK, *The Idzik type quasivariational inequalities and noncompact optimization problems*, Colloq. Math. **71** (1996), 287–295.
- [22] D. I. RIM AND W. K. KIM, *A fixed point theorem and existence of equilibrium for abstract economies*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. **45** (1992), 385–394.
- [23] G. TIAN AND J. ZHOU, *The maximum theorem and the existence of Nash equilibrium of (generalized) games without lower semicontinuities*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **166** (1992), 351–364.
- [24] X. WU AND S. SHEN, *A further generalization of Yannelis–Prabhakar’s continuous selection theorem and its applications*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **196** (1996), 61–74.
- [25] J. YU, *Essential equilibria of n-person noncooperative games*, J. Math. Econom. **31** (1999), 361–372.

*Manuscript received December 1, 1999*

QAMRUL H. ANSARI and JEN-CHIH YAO  
Department of Applied Mathematics  
National Sun Yat-sen University  
Kaohsiung, TAIWAN 804, ROC

*E-mail address:* ansari@math.nsysu.edu.tw, yaojc@math.nsysu.edu.tw

ADAM IDZIK  
Institute of Computer Science  
Polish Academy of Sciences  
Ordona 21  
01-237 Warsaw, POLAND

*E-mail address:* adidzik@ipipan.waw.pl