

ON SOME RESONANT BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEM ON AN INFINITE INTERVAL

KATARZYNA SZYMAŃSKA-DĘBOWSKA

ABSTRACT. The existence of at least one solution to a nonlinear second order differential equation on the half-line with the boundary conditions $x'(0) = 0$ and with the first derivative vanishing at infinity is proved.

1. Introduction

In the paper the following asymptotic boundary value problem

$$(1.1) \quad x'' = f(t, x, x'), \quad x'(0) = 0, \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x'(t) = 0,$$

where $f: \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ is continuous and satisfies the appropriate growth conditions, is studied. Observe that the corresponding homogeneous linear problem, i.e.

$$x'' = 0, \quad x'(0) = 0, \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x'(t) = 0,$$

has nontrivial constant solutions; hence we deal with a resonant situation.

The problem (1.1) has been already studied in [13]. In that paper, we have obtained the existence result in a completely different way than by using standard methods for resonant problems (by standard methods we mean methods considered, for instance, in the following papers: [1]–[4], [7], [10]–[12]). The

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 34B15, 34b40.

Key words and phrases. Nonlinear, asymptotic boundary value problem, resonant problem, set-valued maps, decomposable maps, R_δ -sets.

method used in [13] enabled us to get existence under weak assumptions: a linear growth condition and a sign condition for the nonlinear term f . Similar assumptions appear also for other boundary value problems.

2. Preliminaries

First, we shall introduce notation and terminology.

By a space we mean a metric space. Given a space X with a metric d , a set $A \subset X$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, $B(A, \varepsilon) := \{x \in X \mid d_A(x) := \inf_{a \in A} d(x, a) < \varepsilon\}$ denotes the open ε -neighbourhood of A . Recall that a space X is an absolute neighbourhood retract (we write $X \in \text{ANR}$) if, given a space Y and a homeomorphic embedding $i: X \rightarrow Y$ of X onto a closed subset $i(X) \subset Y$, $i(X)$ is a neighbourhood retract of Y , i.e. there is an open neighbourhood U of $i(X)$ in Y and a retraction $r: U \rightarrow i(X)$ (a map $r: U \rightarrow i(X)$ is a retraction provided that $r(y) = y$ for $y \in i(X)$).

We shall say that a nonempty space X is contractible provided there exist $x_0 \in X$ and a homotopy $h: X \times [0, 1] \rightarrow X$ such that $h(x, 0) = x$ and $h(x, 1) = x_0$ for every $x \in X$.

A compact (nonempty) space X is an R_δ -set (we write $X \in R_\delta$) if there is a decreasing sequence X_n of compact contractible spaces such that $X = \bigcap_{n \geq 1} X_n$.

Let X, Y be spaces. A set-valued map $\Phi: X \multimap Y$ is upper semicontinuous (written u.s.c.) if, given an open $V \subset Y$, the set $\{x \in X \mid \Phi(x) \subset V\}$ is open. We say that $\Phi: X \multimap Y$ is an R_δ -map if it is u.s.c. and, for each $x \in X$, $\Phi(x) \in R_\delta$.

By a decomposable map we mean a pair (D, F) consisting of a set-valued map $F: X \multimap Y$ and a diagram $D: X \xrightarrow{\Phi} Z \xrightarrow{\varphi} Y$, where $Z \in \text{ANR}$, $\Phi: X \multimap Z$ is an R_δ -map, and $\varphi: Z \rightarrow Y$ a single-valued continuous map, such that $F = \varphi \circ \Phi$.

A superposition of a set-valued map with compact values and a continuous function is an u.s.c. map, so any decomposable map is u.s.c.

We say the two decomposable maps $(D_0, F_0), (D_1, F_1)$ where $D_k: X \xrightarrow{\Phi_k} Z_k \xrightarrow{\varphi_k} Y$, $k = 0, 1$ are homotopic (we write $(D_0, F_0) \simeq (D_1, F_1)$) if there is a decomposable map (\check{D}, \check{F}) with $\check{D}: X \times [0, 1] \xrightarrow{\check{\Phi}} Z \xrightarrow{\check{\varphi}} Y$ and maps $j_k: Z_k \rightarrow Z$, $k = 0, 1$ such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 X & \xrightarrow{\Phi_0} & Z_0 & & \\
 i_0 \downarrow & & \downarrow j_0 & \searrow \varphi_0 & \\
 X \times [0, 1] & \xrightarrow{\check{\Phi}} & Z & \xrightarrow{\check{\varphi}} & Y \\
 i_1 \uparrow & & \uparrow j_1 & \nearrow \varphi_1 & \\
 X & \xrightarrow{\Phi_1} & Z_1 & &
 \end{array}$$

where $i_k(x) = (x, k)$ for $x \in X$, $k = 0, 1$, is commutative.

THEOREM 2.1 ([8, p. 1797]). *If a decomposable map $(D, F): X \multimap X$, where X is a compact ANR and is homotopic to identity id_X , i.e. there is a decomposable map $(D', F'): X \multimap X$ such that $(D, F) \simeq (D', F')$ and $F'(x) = x$ for $x \in X$, then*

$$\Lambda(D, F) = \lambda(\text{id}_X) = \chi(X).$$

Hence, if $\chi(X) \neq 0$, then $\text{Fix}(F) \neq \emptyset$.

The following simple corollary will be of crucial importance.

COROLLARY 2.2. *Let Q be a compact polyhedron with nontrivial Euler characteristic $\chi(Q) \neq 0$. If a decomposable map $(D, F): Q \multimap Q$ is homotopic to identity, then $\text{Fix}(F) \neq \emptyset$.*

Now, we shall present a result about the topological structure of the set of solutions of some nonlinear functional equation.

THEOREM 2.3 ([6, p. 159]). *Let X be a space, $(E, \|\cdot\|)$ a Banach space and $h: X \rightarrow E$ a proper map, i.e. h is continuous and for every compact $K \subset E$ the set $h^{-1}(K)$ is compact. Assume further that for each $\varepsilon > 0$ a proper map $h_\varepsilon: X \rightarrow E$ is given and the following two conditions are satisfied:*

- (a) $\|h_\varepsilon(x) - h(x)\| < \varepsilon$, for every $x \in X$;
- (b) for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and $u \in E$ such that $\|u\| \leq \varepsilon$, the equation $h_\varepsilon(x) = u$ has exactly one solution.

Then the set $S = h^{-1}(0)$ is R_δ .

Denote by $\text{BC}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^k)$ (we write BC) the Banach space of continuous and bounded functions with supremum norm and by $\text{BCL}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^k)$ (we write BCL) its closed subspace of continuous and bounded functions which have finite limits at $+\infty$.

The following theorem gives a sufficient condition for compactness in the space BC and, by the definition, in the space BCL as well.

THEOREM 2.4 ([9]). *If $B \subset \text{BC}$ satisfies following conditions:*

- (a) there exists $L > 0$, that for every $x \in B$ and $t \in [0, \infty)$ we have $|x(t)| \leq L$,
- (b) for each $t_0 \geq 0$, the family B is equicontinuous at t_0 ,
- (c) for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist $T > 0$ and $\delta > 0$ such that if $|x(T) - y(T)| \leq \delta$, then $|x(t) - y(t)| \leq \varepsilon$ for $t \geq T$ and all $x, y \in B$.

Then B is relatively compact in BC.

3. The main result

Let us consider an asymptotic BVP

$$(3.1) \quad x'' = f(t, x, x'), \quad x'(0) = 0, \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x'(t) = 0,$$

where $f: \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ is continuous.

The following assumptions will be needed throughout the paper:

- (i) $|f(t, x, y)| \leq a(t)|y| + b(t)$, where $\int_0^\infty a(s) ds < \infty$, $\int_0^\infty b(s) ds < \infty$;
- (ii) there exists $M > 0$ such that $x_i f_i(t, x, y) > 0$ for $t \geq 0$, $y \in \mathbb{R}^k$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^k$ and $|x_i| \geq M$, $i = 1, \dots, k$.

DEFINITION 3.1. A function $x: \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ is called a solution of (3.1) if the following holds:

- (a) $x \in C^2(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^k)$;
- (b) $x''(t) = f(t, x(t), x'(t))$ for every $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$;
- (c) $x'(0) = 0$, $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x'(t) = 0$.

Now, we can formulate our main result.

THEOREM 3.2. *Under assumptions (i) and (ii), problem (3.1) has at least one solution.*

The proof will be divided into a sequence of lemmas.

Given $c \in \mathbb{R}^k$ and $x \in \text{BCL}$ let

$$A(c, x)(t) = \int_0^t f\left(s, c + \int_0^s x(u) du, x(s)\right) ds, \quad t \geq 0.$$

It is clear that $A(c, x): [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ is continuous. For $t \geq 0$,

$$|A(c, x)(t)| \leq \int_0^t (a(s)|y_c(s)| + b(s)) ds \leq M_1 \|x\|_{\text{BC}} + M_2,$$

where

$$M_1 := \int_0^\infty a(s) ds, \quad M_2 := \int_0^\infty b(s) ds.$$

Hence

$$(3.2) \quad \|A(c, x)(t)\|_{\text{BC}} \leq M_1 \|x\|_{\text{BC}} + M_2.$$

Therefore $A(c, x) \in \text{BC}$.

Moreover, observe that the function $[0, \infty) \ni t \mapsto f(t, c + \int_0^t y_c(u) du, y_c(t))$ is integrable. Hence, in particular, $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} A(c, x)(t)$ exists, i.e. $A(c, x) \in \text{BCL}$. It follows that the operator $A: \mathbb{R}^k \times \text{BCL} \rightarrow \text{BCL}$ is well-defined.

LEMMA 3.3. *Under assumption (i) the operator $A: \mathbb{R}^k \times \text{BCL} \rightarrow \text{BCL}$ is completely continuous.*

PROOF. The continuity of A is an easy consequence of the Lebesgue Dominated Convergence Theorem. In order to prove the complete continuity let us consider the set $B := \{y = A(c, x) \mid c \in \mathbb{R}^k, \|x\| \leq R\}$, where $R > 0$. We shall see that B is relatively compact in BCL. To this reason we use Theorem 2.4.

First observe that B is bonded (see (3.2)): for any $y \in B$,

$$\|y\|_{BC} \leq M_1R + M_2.$$

Hence the condition (a) of the Theorem 2.4 holds true.

We shall now show that the family B is equicontinuous, i.e. given $t_0 \geq 0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, there is $\delta > 0$ such that if $t \geq 0$ and $|t - t_0| < \delta$, then $|y(t) - y(t_0)| < \varepsilon$ for any $c \in \mathbb{R}^k$ and $y \in B$. Let us choose an arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$. By (i), there exist $\delta_1, \delta_2 > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{if } |t - t_0| < \delta_1, \quad \text{then } \int_{\min\{t_0, t\}}^{\max\{t_0, t\}} a(s) ds &< \frac{\varepsilon}{2R}, \\ \text{if } |t - t_0| < \delta_2, \quad \text{then } \int_{\min\{t_0, t\}}^{\max\{t_0, t\}} b(s) ds &< \frac{\varepsilon}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\delta = \min\{\delta_1, \delta_2\}$. Then, for $|t - t_0| < \delta$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |y(t) - y(t_0)| &\leq \int_{\min\{t_0, t\}}^{\max\{t_0, t\}} \left| f(s, c + \int_0^s x(u) du, x(s)) \right| ds \\ &\leq R \int_{\min\{t_0, t\}}^{\max\{t_0, t\}} a(s) ds + \int_{\min\{t_0, t\}}^{\max\{t_0, t\}} b(s) ds < R \frac{\varepsilon}{2R} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

It remains to prove condition (c) of Theorem 2.4, i.e. we shall show that given $\varepsilon > 0$, there are $T > 0$ and $\delta > 0$ such that for any $y, z \in B$ if $|y(T) - z(T)| < \delta$, then $|y(t) - z(t)| < \varepsilon$ for any $t \geq T$. There is $T > 0$ such that

$$\int_T^\infty a(s) ds < \frac{\varepsilon}{6R}, \quad \int_T^\infty b(s) ds < \frac{\varepsilon}{6}.$$

Let $\delta := \varepsilon/3$. If $|y(T) - z(T)| \leq \delta$, then for $t \geq T$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} |y(t) - z(t)| &\leq |y(T) - z(T)| + 2R \int_T^\infty a(s) ds + 2 \int_T^\infty b(s) ds \\ &\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{3} + 2R \frac{\varepsilon}{6R} + 2 \frac{\varepsilon}{6} = \varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

and the proof is complete. □

Given $c \in \mathbb{R}^k$, let $x \in BCL$ and $x = \lambda A(c, x)$ for some $\lambda \in [0, 1]$. Then

$$x(t) = \lambda \int_0^t f\left(s, c + \int_0^s x(u) du, x(s)\right) ds.$$

The Gronwall inequality implies that

$$(3.3) \quad |x(t)| \leq M_2 e^{M_1 t}.$$

Therefore, the Leray–Schauder Alternative implies that for each $c \in \mathbb{R}^k$ the set $\text{Fix}(A(c, \cdot))$ of fixed points of $A(c, \cdot): BCL \rightarrow BCL$ is nonempty.

LEMMA 3.4. *Let assumption (i) hold and let $\Phi: \mathbb{R}^k \dashrightarrow \text{BCL}$ be given by $\Phi(c) := \text{Fix}(A(c, \cdot))$. The set-valued map Φ is upper semicontinuous with compact values.*

PROOF. The set-valued map Φ is upper semicontinuous with compact values if given a sequence (c_n) in \mathbb{R}^k , $c_n \rightarrow c_0$ and $(x_n) \in \Phi(c_n)$, (x_n) has a converging subsequence to some $x_0 \in \Phi(c_0)$. Taking any sequence (c_n) , $c_n \rightarrow c_0$ and $(x_n) \in \Phi(c_n)$ we have

$$(3.4) \quad x_n = A(c_n, x_n).$$

By (3.3), we get that the fixed points of $A(c, \cdot)$ are equibounded for any c . Hence both sequences (x_n) and (c_n) are bounded. Lemma 3.3 yields that the operator A is completely continuous. Then, by (3.4), (x_n) is relatively compact. Hence, passing to a subsequence if necessary, we may assume that $x_n \rightarrow x_0$ in BCL. The continuity of A implies that $x_0 = A(c_0, x_0)$. Hence, $x_0 \in \Phi(c_0)$ and the proof is complete. \square

LEMMA 3.5. *If assumption (i) holds, then Φ is an R_δ -map.*

PROOF. Since the map Φ is u.s.c., it remains to show that for any $c \in \mathbb{R}^k$ the set $\Phi(c)$ is R_δ . Let $X = \{x \in \text{BCL} \mid \|x\| \leq L\}$, where $L := M_2 e^{M_1}$ is taken from (3.3). We will show that if $A(c, \cdot): X \rightarrow \text{BCL}$ is a compact map (it is easy to see that A_c is compact) and $h: X \rightarrow \text{BCL}$ is a compact vector field associated with $A(c, \cdot)$, i.e. $h(x) = x - A(c, x)$, then there exists a sequence $h_n: X \rightarrow \text{BCL}$ of continuous proper mappings satisfying conditions (a) and (b) of Theorem 2.3 with respect to h .

First, notice that $A(c, x) = 0$ for every $x \in X$. Moreover, for every $T \in (0, \infty)$ and for every $x, y \in \text{BCL}$, if $x(t) = y(t)$ for each $t \in [0, T]$, then $A(c, x)(t) = A(c, y)(t)$ for each $t \in [0, T]$.

For the proof it is sufficient to define a sequence $A^n(c, \cdot): X \rightarrow \text{BCL}$ of compact maps such that $A(c, x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A^n(c, x)$ uniformly in X and show that $h_n(x) = x - A^n(c, x)$ is a one-to-one map. To do this we define auxiliary mappings $r_n: \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ by

$$r_n(t) := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } t \in [0, 1/n], \\ t - 1/n & \text{for } t \in (1/n, \infty). \end{cases}$$

Now we are able to define the sequence $(A^n(c, \cdot))$ as follows

$$(3.5) \quad A^n(c, x) = A(c, x)(r_n(t)), \quad \text{for } x \in X, n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

It is easy to see that A_c^n are continuous and compact. Since $|r_n(t) - t| \leq 1/n$, we deduce from the compactness of $A(c, \cdot)$ and (3.5) that $A^n(c, x) \rightarrow A(c, x)$ uniformly in X .

Now, we shall prove that h_n is a one-to-one map. Assume that fore some $x, y \in X$ we have $h_n(x) = h_n(y)$. This implies that

$$x - y = A^n(c, x) - A^n(c, y).$$

If $t \in [0, 1/n]$, then we have

$$x(t) - y(t) = A(c, x)(r_n(t)) - A(c, y)(r_n(t)) = A(c, x)(0) - A(c, y)(0) = 0.$$

Thus, we obtain $x(t) = y(t)$ for every $t \in [0, 1/n]$.

If $t \in [1/n, 2/n]$, then we have that $0 < r_n(t) \leq 1/n$. Hence, by the property of operator $A(c, \dots)$ mentioned above, we get $x(t) = y(t)$ for $t \in [0, 2/n]$. Finally, by repeating the procedure infinitely many times we infer that $x(t) = y(t)$ for every $t \in [0, \infty)$. Therefore h_n is a one-to-one map. Hence the assumptions of Theorem 2.3 hold and $h^{-1}(0) = \text{Fix}A(c, \cdot)$ is an R_δ -set. \square

REMARK 3.6. For a different treatment of Lemma 3.5, see [5].

Let $\varphi: \text{BCL} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ be given by $\varphi(y) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} y(t)$. It is easily seen that φ is continuous. Hence the map $g = \varphi \circ \Phi$ is decomposable with a decomposition

$$\mathbb{R}^k \xrightarrow{\Phi} \text{BCL} \xrightarrow{\varphi} \mathbb{R}^k.$$

If, for some $c \in \mathbb{R}^k$, $0 \in g(c)$, then there is $y \in \Phi(c)$ (in other words $y'(t) = f(t, c + \int_0^t y(s) ds, y(t))$) such that $0 = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} y(t)$. Putting $x(t) := c + \int_0^t y(s) ds$, we see that

$$x''(t) = f(t, x(t), x'(t)), \quad x'(0) = 0 = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x'(t),$$

i.e. x is a solution to the initial equation (3.1).

Now, set $\widehat{M} := M + 1$, where M is as in (ii).

LEMMA 3.7. Let $Q := [-\widehat{M}, \widehat{M}]^k$. There is $\tilde{c} \in Q$ such that $0 \in g(\tilde{c})$.

PROOF. Let $c_i = \widehat{M}$ and $y \in \Phi(c)$. First, we shall show that $y_i(t) \geq 0$ for $t \geq 0$. We have $y_i(0) = 0$. Assume that for some t we have $y_i(t) < 0$. Then there exists $t_* := \inf\{t \mid y_i(t) < 0\}$ such that, $y_i(t_*) = 0$ and $y_i(t) \geq 0$ for $t < t_*$. Since $y_i(t)$ is continuous there exists $t_1 > t_*$ such that $\int_{t_*}^{t_1} |y_i(t)| dt \leq 1$. Hence, we get

$$x_i(t) = c_i + \int_{t_*}^t y_i(s) ds \geq M + 1 + \int_{t_*}^t y_i(s) ds \geq M \quad \text{for } t \in [t_*, t_1].$$

Now, by condition (ii) we get $x_i(t)f_i(t, x(t), y(t)) = x_i(t)y'_i(t) > 0$. Hence $y'_i(t) > 0$ for $t \in [t_*, t_1]$. It means that $y_i(t)$ is increasing on $[t_*, t_1]$. Since $y_i(t_*) = 0$, we get a contradiction. Hence $y_i(t) \geq 0$ for $t \geq 0$.

Moreover, by the above arguments, $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} y_i(t) > 0$.

Let $d = (d_1, \dots, d_k) \in \mathbb{R}^k$. By the definition of g , for $i = 1, \dots, k$, we get

$$(3.6) \quad \text{if } d \in g(c_1, \dots, c_{i-1}, \widehat{M}, c_{i+1}, \dots, c_k), \quad \text{then } d_i > 0.$$

We can proceed analogously to prove that, for every $i = 1, \dots, k$,

$$(3.7) \quad \text{if } d \in g(c_1, \dots, c_{i-1}, -\widehat{M}, c_{i+1}, \dots, c_k), \quad \text{then } d_i < 0.$$

Let $g_i = P_i g$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$, where $P_i: \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the projection onto the i -th axis. By (3.6) and (3.7), for $i = 1, \dots, k$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} g_i(c_1, \dots, c_{i-1}, \widehat{M}, c_{i+1}, \dots, c_k) &\subset (0, \infty), \\ g_i(c_1, \dots, c_{i-1}, -\widehat{M}, c_{i+1}, \dots, c_k) &\subset (-\infty, 0). \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that g_i is u.s.c. map. By (3.6) and the fact that g_i is u.s.c. there exists $\gamma_i > 0$ such that for any $c \in Q$, where $c_i \in (\widehat{M} - \gamma_i, \widehat{M}]$, we get $g_i(c) \subset (0, \infty)$, for every $i = 1, \dots, k$. Similarly, by (3.7) and the fact that g_i is u.s.c. there exists $\beta_i > 0$ such that for any $c \in Q$, where $c_i \in [-\widehat{M}, -\widehat{M} + \beta_i)$, we have $g_i(c) \subset (-\infty, 0)$, for every $i = 1, \dots, k$.

The image of g is compact, hence $\widehat{g} := \sup\{|d| \mid d \in g_i(c), c \in Q, i = 1, \dots, k\} < \infty$.

Let $\delta := \min\{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_k, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_k, \widehat{M}\}$ and set $\varepsilon := \delta/\widehat{g}$. Considering the set-valued mapping given by $F_i(c) = c_i - \varepsilon g_i(c)$ we get the following inequality

$$-\widehat{M} \leq c_i - \varepsilon y \leq \widehat{M}, \quad \text{for any } c_i \in [-\widehat{M}, \widehat{M}] \text{ and } y \in g_i(c).$$

Now, let us consider the multi-valued mapping $F(c) = c - \varepsilon g(c)$, where $c \in Q$. By the above, we get that F maps the hypercube Q into itself.

Let us define a pair (D, F) consisting of a set-valued map $F: Q \multimap Q$ and the diagram

$$D: Q \xrightarrow{\Phi_0} \text{BCL} \xrightarrow{\varphi} Q,$$

where $F = \varphi \circ \Phi_0$ and $\Phi_0(c) := \{x \in \text{BCL} \mid x(t) = c - \varepsilon y(t), t \in \mathbb{R}_+, y \in \Phi(c)\}$.

Notice, that BCL, as a Banach space, is ANR. Moreover, Φ_0 is an R_δ -map. Hence (D, F) is a decomposable map.

Now, to apply Corollary 2.2, it is sufficient to show that the decomposable map (D, F) is homotopic to the identity id_Q , which means that there exists a decomposable map $(D', F'): Q \multimap Q$ such that $(D, F) \simeq (D', F')$ and $F'(c) = c$ for $c \in Q$.

Let $D': Q \xrightarrow{\Phi_1} \text{BCL} \xrightarrow{\varphi} Q$, where $\Phi_1: Q \ni c \rightarrow x(t) \equiv c \in \text{BCL}$, then $F': Q \rightarrow Q$ and $F'(c) = c$ for every $c \in Q$.

Now, let us put $X, Y = Q, Z = Z_0 = Z_1 = \text{BCL}$, $\varphi = \varphi_0 = \varphi_1$ and consider the following decomposable map (\check{D}, \check{F}) with $\check{D}: Q \times [0, 1] \xrightarrow{\check{\Phi}} \text{BCL} \xrightarrow{\varphi} Q$, where $\check{\Phi}(c, \lambda) := \{x \in \text{BCL} \mid x(t) = (1 - \lambda)y(t) + \lambda z(t), t \in \mathbb{R}_+, y \in \Phi_0(c), z \in \Phi_1(c)\}$. It is immediate to see that $\check{\Phi}$ is an R_δ -map. Moreover, one can see that the appropriate diagram is commutative. Hence, (D, F) is homotopic to the identity.

The Euler characteristic of Q satisfies $\chi(Q) = 1$. Thus, by Corollary 2.2, $\text{Fix}(F) \neq \emptyset$ and hence there exists $\tilde{c} \in Q$ such that $\tilde{c} \in F(\tilde{c})$.

On the other hand $F(\tilde{c}) = \tilde{c} - \varepsilon g(\tilde{c})$. Thus $0 \in F(\tilde{c}) - \tilde{c} = -\varepsilon g(\tilde{c})$, and from this $0 \in g(\tilde{c})$. \square

This ends the proof of Theorem 3.2 and completes the paper.

Acknowledgments. The author wishes to thank to the anonymous referee for helpful comments.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. ANDRES, G. GABOR AND L. GÓRNIOWICZ, *Boundary value problems on infinite intervals*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **351** (1999), 4861–4903.
- [2] J. W. BEBERNES AND, L. K. JACKSON, *Infinite interval boundary value problems for $y'' = f(x, y)$* , Duke Math. J. **34** (1967), 39–47.
- [3] A. CONSTANTIN, *On an infinite interval boundary value problem*, Annali di Matematica pura ed applicata (IV) V, vol. CLXXVI, 1999, pp. 379–394.
- [4] M. FURI AND P. PERA, *A continuation method on locally convex spaces and applications to ordinary differential equations on noncompact intervals*, Ann. Polon. Math. **XLVII** (1987), 331–346.
- [5] G. GABOR, *On the acyclicity of fixed point sets of multivalued maps*, Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal. **14** (2000), 327–343.
- [6] A. GRANAS AND M. FRIGON, *Topological Methods in Differential Equations and Inclusions*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1995.
- [7] W. KARPIŃSKA, *A note on bounded solutions of second order differential equations at resonance*, Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal. **14** (1999), 371–384.
- [8] W. KRYSZEWSKI AND S. PLASKACZ, *Periodic solutions to impulsive differential inclusions with constraints*, Nonlinear Anal. **65** (2006), 1794–1804.
- [9] B. PRZERADZKI, *The existence of bounded solutions for differential equations in Hilbert spaces*, Ann. Pol. Math. LVI **2** (1992), 103–121.
- [10] ———, *A new continuation method for the study of nonlinear equations at resonance*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **180** (1993), 553–565.
- [11] P. J. RABIER AND C. A. STUART, *A Sobolev space approach to boundary value problems on the half-line*, Comm. Contemp. Math. **7** (2005), 1–36.
- [12] D. O'REGAN, *Solvability of some singular boundary value problems on the semi-infinite interval*, Canad. J. Math. **48** (1996), 143–158.
- [13] K. SZYMAŃSKA, *On an asymptotic boundary value problem for second order differential equations*, J. Appl. Anal. **12** (2006), 109–119.

Manuscript received November 13, 2007

KATARZYNA SZYMAŃSKA-DĘBOWSKA
 Institute of Mathematics
 Technical University of Łódź
 ul. Wólczańska 215
 90-924 Łódź, POLAND

E-mail address: grampa@zbiorcza.net.lodz.pl

TMNA : VOLUME 36 – 2010 – N° 1