ℵ Modern Logic ω

ERRATA

Vol. 4, no. 1 (1994), p. 95, line 7 from bottom: j(c) = 2c should be: $j(c) = 2^c$.

ERRATA IN "THE SET-THEORETICAL PROGRAM OF QUINE SUCCEEDED, BUT NOBODY NOTICED"

(vol. 4, no. 1 (1994), pp. 1-47)

M. RANDALL HOLMES

Department of Mathematics Boise State University Boise ID 83725, USA

There is one typographical error in the paper to which I would like to call attention: on page 8, line 11 from the bottom, in the expression starting V..., the first subscript should be α_{i+1} , and the second should be α_{i+1} . This can be deduced from context, but the fact that the two subscripts are identical in the published version could prove puzzling!

Maurice Boffa called attention to an error in my reference to his work. I correctly attributed the explicit development of the method of modelling NFU using models with automorphisms to Boffa, but I referred readers to the wrong article! I am sorry for any confusion. The correct references:

Maurice Boffa. 1977. The consistency problem for NF, Journal of Symbolic Logic 42, 215–220.

— 1988. **ZFJ** and the consistency problem for NF, Jahrbuch der Kurt Gödel Gesellschaft (Wien), 102–106.

For any readers who looked at the 1973 paper that I referenced by mistake (which is on a different but interesting topic related to NFU), the 1977 reference here contains an improved version of its results.

Volume 4, no. 3 (July 1994)

While correcting references, I would like to acknowledge the contribution of Roland Hinnion, who described the construction of interpretations of fragments of Zermelo-style set theory using isomorphism classes of well-founded extensional relations in his Ph.D. thesis:

Roland Hinnion. 1975. Sur la théorie des ensembles de Quine. Ph.D. thesis, Université Libre de Bruxelles.

I have only recently seen this work, which contains a development paralleling much of what I presented on this topic in my paper.

On all bibliographic questions relating to NF, the best source is the bibliography of Thomas Forster's book Set theory with a universal set, Oxford Logic Guides, no. 20.