## RESEARCH

Real Analysis Exchange Vol. 40(1), 2014/2015, pp. 157-178

Donatella Bongiorno, Dipartimento Energia, Ingeneria dell'Informazione e Modelli Matematici (DEIM)

Università di Palermo

Viale delle Scienze ed.9, 90128 Palermo (Italy).

email: donatella.bongiorno@unipa.it

Giuseppa Corrao, Dipartimento Energia, Ingeneria dell'Informazione e Modelli Matematici (DEIM)

Università di Palermo

Viale delle Scienze ed.9, 90128 Palermo (Italy).

email: giuseppa.corrao@unipa.it

# AN INTEGRAL ON A COMPLETE METRIC MEASURE SPACE

#### Abstract

We study a Henstock-Kurzweil type integral defined on a complete metric measure space X endowed with a Radon measure  $\mu$  and with a family of "cells"  $\mathcal F$  that satisfies the Vitali covering theorem with respect to  $\mu$ . This integral encloses, in particular, the classical Henstock-Kurzweil integral on the real line, the dyadic Henstock-Kurzweil integral, the Mawhin's integral [19], and the s-HK integral [4]. The main result of this paper is the extension of the usual descriptive characterizations of the Henstock-Kurzweil integral on the real line, in terms of  $ACG^*$  functions (Main Theorem 1) and in terms of variational measures (Main Theorem 2).

#### 1 Introduction

The following descriptive characterizations of the Henstock-Kurzweil integral on the real line are well known:

Mathematical Reviews subject classification: Primary: 26A39, ; Secondary: 28A12 Key words: HK-integral,  $ACG^{\triangle}$  function, critical variation Received by the editors June 25, 2014 Communicated by: Luisa Di Piazza

**Theorem A.** [13, Theorem 6.12, Theorem 6.13] A function  $f: [a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$  is Henstock-Kurzweil integrable on [a,b] if and only if there exists a function  $F: [a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$  such that F is  $ACG^*$  and F'(x) = f(x) almost everywhere on [a,b].

**Theorem B.** [2, Theorem 3] A function  $f:[a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$  is Henstock-Kurzweil integrable on [a,b] if and only if there exists a function  $F:[a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$  such that its variational measure is absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure and F'(x) = f(x) almost everywhere on [a,b].

Concerning the n-dimensional Henstock-Kurzweil integral, with n > 1, theorems of type A were proved by Lee-Leng [14], by Lu-Lee [17], and by Tuo-Yeong [25]. A theorem of type B was proved by Tuo-Yeong [24], [26], [27].

Moreover, in contrast with the one-dimensional case, the n-dimensional Henstock-Kurzweil integral, with n > 1, does not integrate all derivatives. This was the reason for several modifications of the definition of the n-dimensional Henstock-Kurzweil integral done by some mathematicians, including Mawhin [19], Jarnik-Kurzweil-Schwabik [12], and Pfeffer [20], [21].

For such above integrals, extensions of theorems of type A and B were done, by others, by Bongiorno-Pfeffer-Thomson [3], by Buczolich-Pfeffer [5], by De Pauw [6], by Di Piazza [7], and by Faure [9].

In the more general setting of a generic metric measure space, it is well known that the biggest difficulty in the definition of a Henstock-Kurzweil type integral is that of finding a suitable family of measurable sets which plays the role of "intervals".

Leng-Yee [16] studied, on a complete metric measure space, the Henstock-Kurzweil integral generated by the family of all finite intersections of sets that are the difference of two closed balls.

Later, a theorem of type A for this integral was proved by Leng [15]. Unfortunately, his characterization requires, on the primitive function F, besides an  $ACG^*$ -type notion, some strong additional conditions (see [15, Theorem 19]).

In this paper we prove that, if the family of "intervals", used in the definition of a Henstock-Kurzweil type integral on a complete metric measure space, satisfies, besides the usual conditions, the Vitali covering theorem with respect to the given measure, then it is possible to obtain natural extensions of both Theorems A and B.

#### 2 Preliminaries

We denote by  $\mathbb{N}$  and  $\mathbb{R}$  the sets of all natural and real numbers, respectively. Let X = (X, d) be a complete metric space. For each  $x \in X$  and  $E \subset X$ , we denote by  $\chi_E$ , diam(E),  $\partial E$ ,  $E^o$  and d(x, E) the characteristic function of E, the diameter of E, the boundary of E, the interior of E and the distance from E to E, respectively.

Let  $\mu$  be a non-atomic Radon measure on X, let  $\mathcal{G}$  be a family of non-empty closed subsets of X and let  $E \subset X$ . The family  $\mathcal{G}$  is said to be a *fine* cover of E if

$$\inf\{\operatorname{diam} Q: Q \in \mathcal{G}, \ Q \ni x\} = 0,$$

for each  $x \in E$ .

A family  $\mathcal{F}$  of non-empty closed subsets of X is said to be a  $\mu$ -Vitali family if it satisfies the following Vitali covering theorem:

**Theorem 2.1.** For each subset E of X and for each subfamily  $\mathcal{G}$  of  $\mathcal{F}$  that is a fine cover of E, there exists a countable system  $\{Q_1, Q_2, \dots, Q_j, \dots\} \subset \mathcal{G}$  such that  $Q_i$  and  $Q_j$  are non-overlapping (i.e. the interiors of  $Q_i$  and  $Q_j$  are disjoint), for each  $i \neq j$ , and such that  $\mu(E \setminus \bigcup Q_j) = 0$ .

A  $\mu$ -Vitali family  $\mathcal{F}$  is said to be a family of  $\mu$ -cells if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (a) Given  $Q \in \mathcal{F}$  and a constant  $\delta > 0$ , there exist  $Q_1, Q_2, \dots, Q_m$ , subcells of Q, such that  $Q_i$  and  $Q_j$  are non-overlapping for each  $i \neq j$ ,  $\bigcup_{i=1}^m Q_i = Q$ , and  $\operatorname{diam}(Q_i) < \delta$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, m$ ;
- (b) Given  $A, Q \in \mathcal{F}$  with  $A \subset Q$ , there exist  $Q_1, Q_2, \dots, Q_m$ , subcells of Q, such that  $Q_i$  and  $Q_j$  are non-overlapping for each  $i \neq j$ , and  $A = Q_1$ ;
- (c)  $\mu(\partial Q) = 0$  for each  $Q \in \mathcal{F}$ .

**Example 2.1.** Let X be the interval [0,1] of the real line endowed with the Euclidean distance in  $\mathbb{R}$  and with the one-dimensional Lebesgue measure  $\mathcal{L}$ . The system  $\mathcal{F}$  of all non-empty closed subintervals of X is the simplest example of a family of  $\mathcal{L}$ -cells in [0,1].

In fact,  $\mathcal{F}$  is a  $\mathcal{L}$ -Vitali family by the well known Vitali covering theorem on the real line (see [23, Chapter IV, § 3]), and conditions (a), (b), and (c) are trivially satisfied.

**Example 2.2.** Let X be the interval [0,1] of the real line endowed with the Euclidean distance in  $\mathbb{R}$  and with the one-dimensional Lebesgue measure. It is easy to see that the system  $\mathcal{F}_d$  of all non-empty closed dyadic subintervals of [0,1] is also a family of  $\mathcal{L}$ -cells in [0,1].

**Example 2.3.** Let n > 1 and let X be the unit cube  $[0,1]^n$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  endowed with the Euclidean distance in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and with the n-dimensional Lebesgue measure  $\mathcal{L}^n$ . For a fixed  $\alpha \in (0,1]$ , the system  $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha}$  of all non-empty closed subintervals Q of  $[0,1]^n$  such that  $\mathcal{L}^n(Q) \geq \alpha \mathcal{L}^n(B)$ , for some ball B containing Q, is a family of  $\mathcal{L}^n$ -cells.

In fact,  $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha}$  is a  $\mathcal{L}^n$ -Vitali family by [23, Chapter IV, § 3], and conditions (a), (b) and (c) are trivially satisfied.

**Example 2.4.** Let X be the interval [0,1] of the real line endowed with the Euclidean distance in  $\mathbb{R}$ , and let  $K \subset [0,1]$  be an s-set; i.e., a closed fractal subset of [0,1] of positive s-Hausdorff measure  $\mathcal{H}^s$ , with 0 < s < 1. The system  $\mathcal{F}_K$  of all non-empty closed subintervals of [0,1] is a family of cells with respect to the measure  $\mu_K(\cdot) = \mathcal{H}^s(\cdot \cap K)$ .

In fact, the measure  $\mu_K$  is Radon by [18, Theorem 1.9 (2) and Corollary 1.11],  $\mathcal{F}_K$  is a  $\mu_K$ -Vitali family by [18, Theorem 2.8], and conditions (a), (b) and (c) are trivially satisfied.

In the next definition of the HK-integral on X, a family of  $\mu$ -cells will takes the role of the usual "intervals" in the classical definition of the Henstock-Kurzweil integral on the real line.

### 3 The HK-Integral

Throughout this paper, X = (X, d) is a fixed complete metric space endowed with a non-atomic Radon measure  $\mu$  and with a family  $\mathcal{F}$  of  $\mu$ -cells. For simplicity, in the rest of this paper, we use the name cell instead of the name of  $\mu$ -cell each time there is no ambiguity.

A gauge on a cell Q is any positive real function  $\delta$  defined on Q. Let  $Q \in \mathcal{F}$ , let  $E \subset Q$  and let  $\delta$  be a gauge on Q. A collection  $\mathcal{P} = \{(x_i, Q_i)\}_{i=1}^m$  of ordered pairs (points-cells) is said to be

- a partition of Q, if  $Q_1, Q_2, \dots, Q_m$  are pairwise non-overlapping elements of  $\mathcal{F}$  such that  $\bigcup_{i=1}^m Q_i = Q$  and  $x_i \in Q_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, m$ ;
- a partial partition of Q, if  $Q_1, Q_2, \dots, Q_m$  are pairwise non-overlapping elements of  $\mathcal{F}$  such that  $\bigcup_{i=1}^m Q_i \subset Q$  and  $x_i \in Q_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, m$ ;
- $\delta$ -fine, if diam $(Q_i) < \delta(x_i)$  for  $i = 1, \dots, m$ ;
- E-anchored, if the points  $x_1, \dots, x_m$  belong to E.

The following Cousin's type lemma addresses the existence of  $\delta$ -fine partitions of a given cell Q.

**Lemma 3.1.** If  $\delta$  is a gauge on a cell Q, then there exists a  $\delta$ -fine partition of Q.

PROOF. Let us observe that if  $Q = \bigcup_{i=1}^{m} Q_i$ , with  $Q_i \in \mathcal{F}$ , and if  $\mathcal{P}_1, ..., \mathcal{P}_m$  are  $\delta$ -fine partitions of cells  $Q_1, Q_2, \cdots, Q_m$ , respectively, then  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{m} \mathcal{P}_i$  is a  $\delta$ -fine partition of Q. Using this observation we proceed by contradiction.

By condition (a) there exist  $Q_1, Q_2, \dots, Q_m$  subcells of Q such that  $\bigcup_i^m Q_i = Q$  and  $\operatorname{diam}(Q_i) < \operatorname{diam}(Q)/2$ . Let us suppose that Q does not have a  $\delta$ -fine partition. Then, there exists an index  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$  such that  $Q_i$  does not have a  $\delta$ -fine partition.

Let us say i=1. By indefinitely repeating this argument we obtain a sequence of nested cells:

$$Q\supset Q_1\supset\cdots\supset Q_k\supset\cdots$$

such that  $\operatorname{diam}(Q_k) \leq \operatorname{diam}(Q)/2^k$  and  $Q_k$  does not have a  $\delta$ -fine partition. Since  $\operatorname{diam}(Q_k) \to 0$ , and the cells are closed sets, then there exists a point  $\xi \in Q$  such that

$$\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} Q_k = \{\xi\}.$$

So, by  $\delta(\xi) > 0$ , we can find a natural k such that  $\operatorname{diam}(Q_k) < \delta(\xi)$ . Thus,  $\{(\xi, Q_k)\}_k$  is a  $\delta$ -fine partition of  $Q_k$ , contrary to our assumption.

Given a partition  $\mathcal{P} = \{(x_i, Q_i)\}_{i=1}^m$  of a cell Q and a function  $f: Q \to \mathbb{R}$  we set

$$S(f, \mathcal{P}) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} f(x_i)\mu(Q_i).$$

**Definition 3.1.** We say that a function  $f: Q \to \mathbb{R}$  is HK-integrable on a cell Q (with respect to  $\mu$ ) if there exists a number I such that for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is a gauge  $\delta$  on Q with

$$|S(f, \mathcal{P}) - I| < \varepsilon$$
,

for each  $\delta$ -fine partition  $\mathcal{P} = \{(x_i, Q_i)\}_{i=1}^m$  of Q. The number I is called the HK-integral of f on Q (with respect to  $\mu$ ), and we write

$$I = \int_{Q} f \, d\mu.$$

The collection of all HK-integrable functions on Q (with respect to  $\mu$ ) will be denoted by  $\mu$ -HK(Q), or simply by HK(Q) if it is clear that  $\mu$  is our fixed non-atomic Radon measure.

**Remark 3.1.** If X,  $\mu$ , and  $\mathcal{F}$  are defined as in the Example 2.1, then the  $\mu$ -HK integral is the classical Henstock-Kurzweil integral on [0,1].

**Remark 3.2.** If X,  $\mu$ , and  $\mathcal{F}$  are defined as in the Example 2.2, then the  $\mu$ -HK integral is the dyadic Henstock-Kurzweil integral on [0,1].

**Remark 3.3.** If X,  $\mu$ , and  $\mathcal{F}$  are defined as in the Example 2.3, then the  $\mu$ -HK integral is the Mawhin's integral on  $[0,1]^n$ .

**Remark 3.4.** If X,  $\mu$ , and  $\mathcal{F}$  are defined as in the Example 2.4, then the  $\mu$ -HK integral is the s-HK integral on a s-set studied in [4].

#### 4 Some Properties of the HK-Integral

It is easy to see that the HK-integral is uniquely determined and that for each cell Q the space  $\mathrm{HK}(Q)$  is closed under addition and scalar multiplication. Furthermore, by condition (b), it follows that if  $f \in \mathrm{HK}(Q)$ , and if A is a subcell of Q, then  $f \in \mathrm{HK}(A)$  and

$$\int_A f \, d\mu \, = \, \int_O f \, \chi_A \, d\mu.$$

Moreover, if  $f \in HK(Q)$  and if  $Q_1, Q_2, \dots, Q_m$  are non-overlapping subcells of Q such that  $Q = \bigcup_i Q_i$ , then

$$\int_{Q} f \, d\mu = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \int_{Q_i} f \, d\mu.$$

The map

$$F: A \leadsto \int_A f d\mu$$
,

defined on each subcell A of Q, is called the *indefinite* HK-*integral* of f on Q. Obviously, the indefinite HK-integral is an additive function of cells.

It is useful to remark that each Lebesgue integrable function on a cell Q is also HK-integrable on Q and the two integrals coincide.

**Theorem 4.1.** Let Q be a cell and let  $f: Q \to \mathbb{R}$ . If f is Lebesgue integrable on Q with respect to  $\mu$ , then f is HK-integrable on Q and

$$(L)\int_{Q} f \ d\mu = \int_{Q} f \ d\mu,$$

where by  $(L)\int_Q f\ d\mu$  we denote the Lebesgue integral of f on Q with respect to  $\mu$ .

PROOF. By the Vitali-Carathéodory Theorem (see [22, Theorem 2.25]), given  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exist functions u and v on Q that are upper and lower semicontinuos respectively, such that  $-\infty \le u \le f \le v \le +\infty$  and  $(L) \int_Q (v-u) \ d\mu < \varepsilon$ . Define on Q a gauge  $\delta$  so that

$$u(t) \le f(x) + \varepsilon$$
 and  $v(t) \ge f(x) - \varepsilon$ ,

for each  $t \in Q$  with  $d(x,t) < \delta(x)$ .

Let  $\mathcal{P} = \{(x_1, Q_1), (x_2, Q_2), \cdots, (x_m, Q_m)\}$  be a  $\delta$ -fine partition of Q. Then, for each  $i \in \{1, 2, \cdots, p\}$ , we have

$$(L) \int_{Q_i} u \ d\mu \le (L) \int_{Q_i} f \ d\mu \le (L) \int_{Q_i} v \ d\mu. \tag{1}$$

Moreover, by  $u(t) \leq f(x_i) + \varepsilon$ , for each  $t \in Q_i$ , it follows that

$$(L)\int_{O_i} (u-\varepsilon) d\mu \le (L)\int_{O_i} f(x_i) d\mu,$$

and therefore,

$$(L) \int_{Q_i} u \ d\mu - \varepsilon \, \mu(Q_i) \le f(x_i) \, \mu(Q_i).$$

Similarly, by  $v(t) \geq f(x_i) - \varepsilon$ , for each  $t \in Q_i$ , it follows that

$$f(x_i) \mu(Q_i) \le (L) \int_{Q_i} v \ d\mu + \varepsilon \mu(Q_i).$$

So, for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$ , we have

$$(L)\int_{Q_i} u \ d\mu - \varepsilon \,\mu(Q_i) \le f(x_i) \,\mu(Q_i) \le (L)\int_{Q_i} v \ d\mu + \varepsilon \,\mu(Q_i).$$

Hence,

$$(L) \int_{Q} u \ d\mu - \varepsilon \, \mu(Q) \le S(f, \mathcal{P}) \le (L) \int_{Q} v \ d\mu + \varepsilon \, \mu(Q),$$

and, by (1),

$$(L) \int_Q u \ d\mu \le (L) \int_Q f \ d\mu \le (L) \int_Q v \ d\mu.$$

Thus.

$$\left|S(f,\mathcal{P})-(L)\int_Q f\ d\mu\right| \leq (L)\int_Q (v-u)\ d\mu + 2\varepsilon\,\mu(Q) < \varepsilon + 2\varepsilon\,\mu(Q),$$

and the theorem is proved.

In the sequel, we need the following Saks-Henstock type Lemma, whose proof is identical to that used in the case X=[0,1]. Therefore, it will be omitted.

**Lemma 4.2.** A function  $f: Q \to \mathbb{R}$  is HK-integrable on a cell Q if and only if there exists an additive cell function  $\pi$  defined on the family of all subcells of Q such that, for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a gauge  $\delta$  on Q with

$$\sum_{(x_i, Q_i) \in \mathcal{P}} \left| \pi(Q_i) - f(x_i) \mu(Q_i) \right| < \varepsilon$$

for each  $\delta$ -fine partial partition  $\mathcal{P}$  of Q. In this situation,  $\pi$  is the indefinite HK-integral of f on Q.

### 5 Absolutely HK-integrable Functions

Let Q be a cell. We recall that a function  $f:Q\to\mathbb{R}$  is said to be absolutely HK-integrable on Q if |f| is HK-integrable on Q. In this section we study the absolutely HK-integrable functions. In particular, we prove that these functions are Lebesgue integrable and that their primitives are differentiable  $\mu$ -almost everywhere.

Given a cell function F defined on  $\mathcal{F}$  and given  $x \in X$ , we remind the reader that the *upper derivative* of F at x, with respect to  $\mu$ , is defined as follows

$$\overline{\mathrm{D}}F(x) = \limsup_{\mathcal{F}\ni B\to x} \ \frac{F(B)}{\mu(B)},$$

where  $B \to x$  means  $\mu(B) \neq 0$ , diam $(B) \to 0$ , and  $x \in B$ .

Analogously, lower derivative of F at x is defined, and it is denoted by  $\underline{\mathrm{D}}F(x)$ . Whenever  $\overline{\mathrm{D}}F(x)=\underline{\mathrm{D}}F(x)\neq\infty$ , then F is said to be differentiable at x and their common value is called the derivative of F at x and it is denoted by F'(x).

**Theorem 5.1.** If f is a non-negative HK-integrable function on a cell Q and if F is its indefinite HK-integral, then F is differentiable  $\mu$ - almost everywhere on Q and F' = f.

PROOF. To prove that F' = f  $\mu$ -almost everywhere on Q, it is enough to show that  $\overline{D}F \leq f \leq \underline{D}F$   $\mu$ -almost everywhere on Q, since  $\underline{D}F \leq \overline{D}F$  everywhere.

To this end, we consider positive rational numbers p,q such that q>p and we set

$$A_{p,q} = \{x \in Q : \overline{D}F(x) > q > p > f(x)\}.$$

If we prove that  $\mu(A_{p,q}) = 0$  for each p and q, then  $\overline{D}F(x) \leq f(x)$   $\mu$ -almost everywhere on Q. Similarly, we can prove that  $\underline{D}F(x) \geq f(x) \mu$ -almost everywhere on Q.

Given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , by Lemma 4.2 there exists a gauge  $\delta$  on Q such that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{m} |F(Q_j) - f(x_j)\mu(Q_j)| < \varepsilon,$$

for each  $\delta$ -fine partial partition  $\{(x_j, Q_j)\}_{i=1}^m$  of Q.

Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be the system of all cells  $B \subset Q$  such that  $F(B) \geq q \mu(B)$  and that there exists  $x \in B \cap A_{p,q}$  with diam $(B) < \delta(x)$ . It is easy to see that this system V is a fine cover of  $A_{p,q}$ . Therefore, ( $\mathcal{F}$  being a  $\mu$ -Vitali family) there exists a system of pairwise non-overlapping cells  $\{B_j\}_{j=1}^m \subset \mathcal{V}$  such that

$$\mu(A_{p,q}) \le \sum_{j=1}^{m} \mu(B_j) + \varepsilon. \tag{2}$$

For  $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ , let  $x_j \in B_j \cap A_{p,q}$  such that  $\operatorname{diam}(B_j) < \delta(x_j)$ . Since  $\{(x_j, B_j)\}_{j=1}^m$  is a  $\delta$ -fine partial partition of Q, we get

$$q \sum_{j=1}^{m} \mu(B_j) \le \sum_{j=1}^{m} F(B_j)$$

$$\le \sum_{j=1}^{m} |F(B_j) - f(x_j)\mu(B_j)| + \sum_{j=1}^{m} f(x_j)\mu(B_j)$$

$$< \varepsilon + p \sum_{j=1}^{m} \mu(B_j).$$

Therefore 
$$(q-p)\sum_{j=1}^{m}\mu(B_j)<\varepsilon$$
.  
So, by (2) and by the arbitrariness of  $\varepsilon$  we obtain  $\mu(A_{p,q})=0$ .

Now, we prove that each absolutely HK-integrable function is Lebesgue integrable. To this end, we need the following Monotone Convergence type Theorem.

**Theorem 5.2.** Let  $\{f_k\}_k$  be an non-decreasing sequence of HK-integrable functions on a cell Q and let  $f = \lim_k f_k$ . If

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \int_Q f_k \ d\mu < \infty,$$

then f is HK-integrable on Q and

$$\int_{Q} f \ d\mu = \lim_{k \to \infty} \int_{Q} f_k \ d\mu.$$

The proof is similar to that for the classical HK-integral on the real line, and it is omitted.

**Theorem 5.3.** If f is a non-negative HK-integrable function on a cell Q and if F is its indefinite HK-integral, then f is  $\mu$ -measurable.

PROOF. For  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $\mathcal{P}_k$  be a 1/k-fine partial partition of Q, and let  $f_k$  be the simple function defined as follows

$$f_k(x) = \sum_{(x,B)\in\mathcal{P}_k} \frac{F(B)}{\mu(B)}.$$

We set  $C = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{B \in \mathcal{P}_k} \partial B$  and

$$D = \{x \in Q : F'(x) \text{ does not exist, or } F'(x) \text{ exists and } F'(x) \neq f(x)\}.$$

By condition (c) and by Theorem 5.1, the set  $E = C \cup D$  is  $\mu$ -null.

Now, let  $x \in Q \setminus E$ . For each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists  $Q_{k,x} \in \mathcal{F}$  such that  $(x, Q_{k,x}) \in \mathcal{P}_k$ , diam $(Q_{k,x}) < 1/k$  and  $f_k(x) = F(Q_{k,x})/\mu(Q_{k,x})$ . Then, by F'(x) = f(x), we obtain  $f_k(x) \to f(x)$ . Thus, the claim follows by the  $\mu$ -measurability of  $f_k$ , for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Theorem 5.4.** If f is absolutely HK-integrable on a cell Q, then f is Lebesgue integrable on Q.

PROOF. For  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $f_k(x) = \min\{|f(x)|, k\}$ , for each  $x \in Q$ . By Theorem 5.3, |f| is Lebesgue measurable. Therefore, if  $f_k$  is Lebesgue measurable and bounded, then it is Lebesgue integrable on Q. Thus, by Theorem 4.1,  $f_k$  is HK-integrable on Q. Hence, since  $\{f_k\}_k$  is an non-decreasing sequence of non-negative functions convergent to |f|, by Theorem 5.2 we have

$$(L) \int_Q |f| \ d\mu = (L) \lim_{k \to \infty} \int_Q f_k \ d\mu = \lim_{k \to \infty} \int_Q f_k \ d\mu = \int_Q |f| \ d\mu < \infty,$$

and the proof is complete.

## 6 Characterization of the indefinite HK-integral

Hereafter, we denote by  $\pi$  a fixed additive function defined on the family of all subcells of Q. Given  $E \subset Q$  and a gauge  $\delta$  on E, we set

$$V^{\delta}\pi(E) = \sup \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{m} |\pi(Q_i)| \right\},\,$$

where the supremum is taken over all the  $\delta$ -fine E-anchored partial partition  $\mathcal{P} = \{(x_1, Q_1), (x_2, Q_2), \cdots, (x_m, Q_m)\}$  of Q.

The *critical variation* of  $\pi$  on E is defined as

$$V\pi(E) = \inf V^{\delta}\pi(E),$$

where the infimum is taken over all gauges  $\delta$  on E.

It is easy to prove that the extended real-valued function  $V\pi \colon E \leadsto V\pi(E)$  is a metric outer measures on Q. Therefore, by the Carathéodory criterion ([8, Theorem 1.5]),  $V\pi$  is a Borel measure.

We note that the measure  $V\pi$  is said to be absolutely continuous with respect to  $\mu$  (or  $\mu$ -AC) on Q if, for each  $E\subset Q$  with  $\mu(E)=0$ , we have  $V\pi(E)=0$ .

**Theorem 6.1.** If f is HK-integrable on a cell Q and if F is its indefinite HK-integral, then the critical variation VF is  $\mu$ -AC on Q.

PROOF. Let  $E \subset Q$  such that  $\mu(E) = 0$ . We set

$$h(x) = \begin{cases} f(x), & \text{for } x \in Q \setminus E, \\ 0, & \text{for } x \in E. \end{cases}$$

It is clear that F is also the indefinite HK-integral of h. Then, by Lemma 4.2, given  $\varepsilon > 0$  we can find a gauge  $\delta$  on Q such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} |F(Q_i) - h(x_i)\mu(Q_i)| < \varepsilon,$$

for each  $\delta$ -fine partial partition  $\mathcal{P} = \{(x_1, Q_1), (x_2, Q_2), \cdots, (x_m, Q_m)\}$  of Q. In particular, if  $\mathcal{P}$  is anchored in E, then we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} |F(Q_i)| < \varepsilon.$$

Hence, by the arbitrariness of  $\varepsilon$ , it follows that VF(E)=0. Thus, VF is  $\mu$ -AC on Q.

**Theorem 6.2.** If  $\pi$  is differentiable  $\mu$ -almost everywhere on a cell Q and  $V\pi$  is  $\mu$ -AC on Q, then  $\pi'$  is HK-integrable on Q, and  $\pi$  is the indefinite HK-integral of  $\pi'$  on Q.

PROOF. We denote by E the  $\mu$ -negligible set of all  $x \in Q$  at which  $\pi$  is not differentiable, and we define

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \pi'(x), & \text{for } x \in Q \setminus E, \\ 0, & \text{for } x \in E. \end{cases}$$

It suffices to show that f is HK-integrable on Q and that  $\pi$  is the indefinite HK-integral of f. Since  $V\pi$  is  $\mu$ -AC, given  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a gauge  $\delta_1$  on E such that  $\sum_{i=1}^p |\pi(A_i)| < \varepsilon/2$  for each  $\delta_1$ -fine E-anchored partial partition  $\{(y_1, A_1), \cdots, (y_p, A_p)\}$  of Q.

Moreover, given  $x \in Q \setminus E$  there exists  $\delta_2(x) > 0$  such that

$$|\pi(B) - f(x)\mu(B)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2\mu(Q)}\mu(B),$$

for each subset B of Q such that  $B \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $x \in B$ , and diam $(B) < \delta_2(x)$ . Now, we define a gauge  $\delta$  on Q by setting

$$\delta(x) = \begin{cases} \delta_1(x), & \text{for } x \in E, \\ \delta_2(x), & \text{for } x \in Q \setminus E, \end{cases}$$

and we choose a  $\delta$ -fine E-anchored partial partition  $\mathcal{P} = \{(x_i, Q_i)\}_{i=1}^m$  of Q. Then,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} |\pi(Q_i) - f(x_i)\mu(Q_i)| \le \sum_{x_i \in E} |\pi(Q_i)| + \sum_{x_i \notin E} |\pi(Q_i) - f(x_i)\mu(Q_i)|$$

$$< \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2\mu(Q)} \sum_{x_i \notin E} \mu(Q_i) = \varepsilon,$$

since  $f(x_i) = 0$  for  $x_i \in E$  and  $\sum_{x_i \notin E} \mu(Q_i) = \mu(Q \setminus E) = \mu(Q)$ . Therefore f is HK-integrable on Q and  $\pi$  is the indefinite HK-integral of f.

**Definition 6.1.** Let Q be a cell. We say that  $\pi$  is  $BV^{\triangle}$  on  $E \subset Q$  if there exists a gauge  $\delta$  on E such that  $V^{\delta}\pi(E) < \infty$ .

We say that  $\pi$  is  $BVG^{\triangle}$  on Q if there exists a countable sequence of closed sets  $\{E_k\}_k$  such that  $\bigcup_k E_k = Q$  and  $\pi$  is  $BV^{\triangle}$  on  $E_k$ , for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Definition 6.2.** Let Q be a cell. We say that  $\pi$  is  $AC^{\triangle}$  on  $E \subset Q$  if for  $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a gauge  $\delta$  on E and a positive constant  $\eta$  such that the condition There exists a gauge  $\delta$  on E and a positive constant  $\eta$  such that the condition  $\sum_{i=1}^m \mu(Q_i) < \eta$  implies  $\sum_{i=1}^m |\pi(Q_i)| < \varepsilon$ , for each  $\delta$ -fine E-anchored partial partition  $\mathcal{P} = \{(x_i,Q_i)\}_{i=1}^m$  of Q.

We say that  $\pi$  is  $ACG^{\triangle}$  on Q if there exists a countable sequence of closed sets  $\{E_k\}_k$  such that  $\bigcup_k E_k = Q$  and  $\pi$  is  $AC^{\triangle}$  on  $E_k$ , for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Theorem 6.3.** Let E be a compact subset of a cell Q. If  $\pi$  is  $AC^{\triangle}$  on E, then  $\pi$  is  $BV^{\triangle}$  on E.

PROOF. Since  $\pi$  is  $AC^{\triangle}$  on E, there exists a gauge  $\delta$  on Q and a positive constant  $\eta$  such that  $\sum_{i=1}^{m} |\pi(Q_i)| < 1$  whenever  $\mathcal{P} = \{(x_i, Q_i)\}_{i=1}^{m}$  is a  $\delta$ -fine E-anchored partial partition of Q with  $\sum_{i=1}^{m} \mu(Q_i) < \eta$ .

Moreover, since  $\mu$  is non-atomic, for each  $x \in Q$  there exists an open neighborhood G of x such that  $\mu(G) < \eta$ . Then, by the compactness of E, there exist open sets  $G_1, G_2, \dots, G_p$  with  $\mu(G_j) < \eta$ , for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, p$ , and  $E \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^p G_j$ . Given  $x \in E$ , let  $j \in \{1, \dots, p\}$  such that  $x \in G_j$ , and define  $\delta_1(x) = \min\{\delta(x), d(x, \partial G_j)\}.$ 

Let  $\{(x_i, Q_i)\}_{i=1}^m$  be an arbitrary  $\delta_1$ -fine E-anchored partial partition, and let  $I_i = \{i : Q_i \subset G_i\}$ . Therefore, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} |\pi(Q_i)| \le \sum_{j=1}^{p} \sum_{i \in I_j} |\pi(Q_i)| \le p < \infty,$$

since  $\mu\left(\bigcup_{i\in I_j}Q_i\right)\leq \mu(G_j)<\eta$ . Hence,  $V^{\delta_1}\pi(E)<\infty$ , and the proof is

**Theorem 6.4.** If f is HK-integrable on a cell Q and F is its indefinite HKintegral, then there exists a sequence  $\{E_k\}_k$  of closed sets such that Q= $\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k$  and that f is Lebesgue integrable on  $E_k$  for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

PROOF. By Theorem 5.3, |f| is  $\mu$ -measurable. For each natural number m, let

$$A_m = \{ x \in Q : |f(x)| \le m \}.$$

Since  $\mu$  is a Radon measure, we have  $A_m = N_m \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_{m,i}$  where  $N_m$  is  $\mu$ -null and the  $A_{m,i}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ , are closed sets.

Now, let  $N = \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} N_m$  and let  $\{C_k\}_k$  be a rearrangement of  $\{A_{m,i}\}_i$ . Moreover, let

$$Q = N \cup \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} C_k,$$

and let

$$h(x) = \begin{cases} f(x), & \text{for } x \in \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} C_k, \\ 0, & \text{for } x \in N. \end{cases}$$

We remark that h is still HK-integrable on Q and that F is its indefinite HK-integral. Therefore, by Lemma 4.2, there exists a gauge  $\delta$  on Q such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} |F(Q_i) - h(x_i)\mu(Q_i)| < 1, \tag{3}$$

for each  $\delta$ -fine partial partition  $\mathcal{P} = \{(x_i, Q_i)\}_{i=1}^m$  of Q. Then, in particular,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} |F(Q_i)| < 1,\tag{4}$$

for each  $\delta$ -fine N-anchored partial partition  $\mathcal{P} = \{(\xi_i, Q_i)\}_{i=1}^m$  of Q. For each natural number k, let

$$W_k = \left\{ x \in N : \delta(x) \ge \frac{1}{k} \right\}.$$

It is clear that  $N = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} W_k$ . Hence,  $N \subset \bigcup_k \overline{W}_k$ . Then,  $Q = \bigcup_k \overline{W}_k \cup \bigcup_k C_k$ . The function h is Lebesgue integrable on  $C_k$ , for  $k=1,2,\cdots$ , since it is measurable and bounded. Then to complete the proof, it is enough to show that h is Lebesgue integrable on  $\overline{W}_k$ , for  $k=1,2,\cdots$ . To this aim, for each  $q \in \mathbb{N}$ , we remark that the function  $h_q(x) = \min\{|h(x)|, q\}$  is measurable and bounded; therefore,  $h_{q,k} := h_q \chi_{\overline{W}_k}$  is Lebesgue integrable on Q. Hence, by Theorem 4.1,  $h_{q,k}$  is HK-integrable on Q. Let  $F_{q,k}$  be the indefinite HK-integral of  $h_{q,k}$  with respect to  $\mu$  (or the indefinite HK-integral of  $h_q$  with respect to  $\mu_k$ , with  $\mu_k(E) = \mu(E \cap \overline{W}_k)$ ); then by Lemma 4.2 there exists a gauge  $\delta_1$  on Q such that  $\delta_1(x) < \inf\{\delta(x), 1/k\}$ , for each  $x \in Q$ , and

$$\sum_{i} |F_{q,k}(Q_i) - h_q(x_i)\mu_k(Q_i)| < 1,$$

for each  $\delta_1$ -fine partial partition  $\{(x_i, Q_i)\}_i$  of Q. Let  $\mathcal{P} = \{(x_i, Q_i)\}_{i=1}^m$  be a fixed  $\delta_1$ -fine partition of Q, and let  $I = \{i : W_k \cap Q_i^{\circ} \neq \emptyset\}$ . Then,

• If  $i \notin I$ , we have  $(Q_i \cap \overline{W}_k) \subseteq \partial Q_i$ ; so, by condition (c),

$$0 \le \sum_{i \notin I} F_{q,k}(Q_i) = \sum_{i \notin I} \int_{Q_i \cap \overline{W}_k} h_q \ d\mu \le \sum_{i \notin I} \int_{\partial Q_i} h_q \ d\mu = 0;$$

• If  $i \in I$ , there exists  $\xi \in Q_i \cap W_k$ ; so  $\{(\xi_i, Q_i)\}_i$  is a  $\delta_1$ -fine  $W_k$ -anchored partial partition.

Thus, by (3) and (4) we have

$$\begin{split} \sum_{i \in I} |h_q(\xi_i) \, \mu_k(Q_i)| &\leq \sum_{i \in I} |h(\xi_i) \, \mu(Q_i)| \\ &\leq \sum_{i \in I} |h(\xi_i) \, \mu(Q_i) - F(Q_i)| + \sum_{i \in I} |F(Q_i)| \\ &\leq 1 + 1 = 2. \end{split}$$

Hence,

$$F_{q,k}(Q) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} |F_{q,k}(Q_i)| = \sum_{i \in I} |F_{q,k}(Q_i)|$$

$$\leq \sum_{i \in I} |F_{q,k}(Q_i) - h_q(\xi_i)\mu_k(Q_i)| + \sum_{i \in I} |h_q(\xi_i)\mu_k(Q_i)|$$

$$\leq 1 + 2 = 3.$$

Thus,  $0 \le \int_Q h_q \ d\mu_k = F_{q,k}(Q) \le 3$ ; i.e.,  $h_q$  is Lebesque integrable on Q. In conclusion, since  $h_q \to |h|$ , by the Monotone Convergence Theorem, we have

$$(L)\int_{Q} |h| \ d\mu_k = \lim_{k \to \infty} (L)\int_{Q} h_q \ d\mu_k \le 3;$$

i.e., h is Lebesgue integrable on  $\overline{W}_k$ .

**Theorem 6.5.** Let f be HK-integrable on a cell Q and let F be its indefinite HK-integral. If f is Lebesgue integrable on a closed subset A of Q, then F is  $AC^{\triangle}$  on A.

PROOF. By Lemma 4.2, for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a gauge  $\delta_1$  on Q such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} |F(Q_i) - f(x_i)\mu(Q_i)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3},\tag{5}$$

for each  $\delta_1$ -fine partial partition  $\mathcal{P} = \{(x_i, Q_i)\}_{i=1}^m$  of Q. Moreover, since f is Lebesgue integrable on A, the function  $f\chi_A$  is HK-integrable on Q. We set  $f_A := f\chi_A$ , and we denote by  $F_A(Q)$  the indefinite HK-integral of  $f_A$  on Q. Therefore, by Lemma 4.2, there exists a gauge  $\delta_2$  on Q such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} |F_A(Q_i) - f_A(\xi_i)\mu(Q_i)| = \sum_{i=1}^{m} |F_A(Q_i) - f(\xi_i)\mu(Q_i)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}, \tag{6}$$

for each  $\delta_2$ -fine A-anchored partial partition  $\{(\xi_i,Q_i)\}_{i=1}^m$  of Q. Now, since f is Lebesgue integrable on A, the function  $F_A$  is  $\mu$ -AC on A. Consequently, we can find a positive  $\eta$  such that the condition  $\mu(\bigcup_{i=1}^m Q_i) = \sum_{i=1}^m \mu(Q_i) < \eta$  implies

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} |F_A(Q_i)| \le \sum_{i=1}^{m} \int_{Q_i \cap A} |f| \ d\mu \le \int_{\bigcup Q_i \cap A} |f| \ d\mu < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}. \tag{7}$$

Therefore, by (5), (6) and (7), we infer

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} |F(Q_i)| \le \sum_{i=1}^{m} |F(Q_i) - f(\xi_i)\mu(Q_i)|$$

$$+ \sum_{i=1}^{m} |f(\xi_i)\mu(Q_i) - F_A(Q_i)| + \sum_{i=1}^{m} |F_A(Q_i)| < \varepsilon,$$

for each  $\delta$ -fine A-anchored partial partition  $\{(\xi_i, Q_i)\}_{i=1}^m$ , where

$$\delta(x) = \min\{\delta_1(x), \delta_2(x)\}.$$

Hence, F is  $AC^{\triangle}$  on A.

**Theorem 6.6.** If f is HK-integrable on a cell Q and if F is its indefinite HK-integral, then F is  $ACG^{\triangle}$  on Q.

PROOF. By Theorem 6.4, there exists a sequence  $\{E_k\}_k$  of closed sets such that  $Q = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k$  and f is Lebesgue integrable on  $E_k$  for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Moreover, by Theorem 6.5, F is  $AC^{\triangle}$  on  $E_k$  for each k. Therefore, F is  $ACG^{\triangle}$  on Q.

**Theorem 6.7.** If  $\pi$  is  $ACG^{\triangle}$  on a cell Q, then  $V\pi$  is  $\mu$ -AC on Q.

PROOF. By hypothesis, there exists a sequence of closed sets  $\{E_k\}_k$  such that  $\bigcup_k E_k = Q$  and that  $\pi$  is  $AC^{\triangle}$  on  $E_k$  for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Therefore, for  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a gauge  $\delta$  on  $E_k$  and a positive  $\eta$  such that the condition  $\sum_{i=1}^m \mu(Q_i) < \eta$  implies  $\sum_{i=1}^m |\pi(Q_i)| < \varepsilon$  for each  $\delta$ -fine  $E_k$ -anchored partial partition  $\mathcal{P} = \{(x_i,Q_i)\}_{i=1}^m$  of Q. Let  $E \subset Q$  be  $\mu$ -null. Since  $E \cap E_k$  is  $\mu$ -null, for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists an open set  $G_k$  such that  $E \cap E_k \subset G_k$  and  $\mu(G_k) < \eta$ .

For each  $x \in E \cap E_k$ , we define  $\delta_1(x) = \min\{\delta(x), d(x, \partial G_k)\}$ . So, if  $\{(x_i, Q_i)\}_{i=1}^m$  is a  $\delta_1$ -fine  $E \cap E_k$ -anchored partial partition of Q, we have  $Q_i \subset G_k$ , for each i. Therefore,  $\sum_{i=1}^m \mu(Q_i) \leq \mu(G_k) < \eta$ , which implies  $\sum_{i=1}^m |\pi(Q_i)| < \varepsilon$ . Then,  $V^{\delta_1}\pi(E \cap E_k) \leq \varepsilon$  and  $V\pi(E \cap E_k) \leq \varepsilon$ . By the

arbitrariness of  $\varepsilon$ , it follows that  $V\pi(E \cap E_k) = 0$ . Hence, since  $V\pi$  is an outer measure and  $E = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} (E \cap E_k)$ , we have

$$V\pi(E) \le \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} V\pi(E \cap E_k) = 0.$$

Thus,  $V\pi$  is  $\mu$ -AC on Q.

We note that a signed measure  $\lambda$ , defined on the  $\sigma$ -algebra of all  $\mu$ -measurable subsets of Q, is said to be absolutely continuous with respect to  $\mu$ , and we write  $\lambda \ll \mu$  if the condition  $\mu(E) = 0$  implies  $|\lambda|(E) = 0$  for each  $\mu$ -measurable  $E \subset A$ . Here,  $|\lambda|(E)$  denotes the variation of  $\lambda$  on E.

**Lemma 6.8.** Let A be a closed subset of Q and let  $\lambda$  be a signed measure on Q such that  $\lambda \ll \mu$ . Then,  $\lambda$  is  $AC^{\triangle}$  on A.

The proof follows easily by [22, Theorem 6.11].

**Lemma 6.9.** If  $\pi$  is an additive function of cells that is  $AC^{\triangle}$  on a closed subset A of a cell Q, then

$$E = \left\{ x \in A : \lim_{Q \to x} \frac{|\pi(Q)|}{\mu(Q)} \neq 0 \right\} \quad \text{is $\mu$-null.}$$

PROOF. Let

$$E_n = \left\{ x \in E : \text{there exists } \{Q_k^x\}_k \to x, \text{ with } \frac{|\pi(Q_k^x)|}{\mu(Q_k^x)} > \frac{1}{n} \text{ for each } k \in \mathbb{N} \right\}.$$

It is trivial to remark that  $E = \bigcup_n E_n$ ; therefore, to end the proof it is enough to show that  $\mu(E_n) = 0$ , for each  $n \in N$ . Proceeding towards a contradiction, we can suppose that there exists a natural  $\bar{n} \in N$  such that  $\mu(E_{\bar{n}}) \neq 0$ . Thus, there exists a compact set  $K \subset E_{\bar{n}}$  for which  $\mu(K) > 0$ . Less than substracting from K a  $\mu$ -null relatively open subset, we can assume that  $\mu(K \cap U) > 0$  for each open set  $U \subset X$  with  $K \cap U \neq \emptyset$ .

Since K is compact there exists a countable dense subset C of K. Let  $H \supset C$  be a  $\mu$ -null  $G_{\delta}$  set. Therefore,  $K \cap H$  is a  $\mu$ -null  $G_{\delta}$  subset of K that is dense on K. We show that  $V_{\pi}(K \cap H) > 0$ , contradicting Theorem 4.7.

Set  $D=K\cap H$ , and let  $\delta$  be a gauge on D. We define  $D_m=\{x\in D:\delta(x)>1/m\}$ , for  $m\in\mathbb{N}$ . Then, by  $D=\bigcup_m D_m$  and by the Baire Category theorem, there exists an open set U such that  $D\cap U\neq\emptyset$  and there exists a natural  $\bar{m}$  such that  $D_{\bar{m}}$  is dense on  $D\cap U$ , and hence on  $K\cap U$ .

Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be the system of all cells Q such that  $|\pi(Q)| > \mu(Q)/\bar{m}$ , and  $\operatorname{diam}(Q) < 1/\bar{m}$ . Therefore,  $\mathcal{B}$  is a fine cover of  $K \cap U$ . Moreover, since

 $\mu(K \cap U) > 0$  and since  $\mathcal{F}$  is a  $\mu$ -Vitali family, by the previous remark on the choice of K, there exists a non-overlapping system of cells  $\{Q_i \in \mathcal{B}\}_i$  that covers  $K \cap U$  up to a  $\mu$ -null set. Then,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |\pi(Q_i)| > \frac{1}{\bar{m}} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(Q_i) > \frac{1}{\bar{m}} \mu(K \cap U) = M.$$

So, there exists an integer  $p \geq 1$  such that  $\sum_{i=1}^{p} |\pi(Q_i)| > M$ , and, since  $\mu$  does not charge the boundaries of cells (condition (c)), the interior of each  $Q_i$  meets  $K \cap U$ . Thus, by the density of  $D_{\bar{m}}$  on  $K \cap U$ , we have  $D_{\bar{m}} \cap Q_i \neq \emptyset$ , and we can select  $x_i \in D_{\bar{m}} \cap B_i$  for each natural i. So,  $\{(x_1, B_1), (x_2, B_2), \dots (x_p, B_p)\}$  is a  $\delta$ -fine  $D_{\bar{m}}$ -anchored partial partitions of  $K \cap U$ , and consequently,  $V_{\bar{n}}^{\delta}(D_{\bar{m}}) \geq M$ . Then, by the arbitrariness of  $\delta$ , we have  $V_{\pi}(D_{\bar{m}}) \geq M$ , the required contradiction.

**Theorem 6.10.** Let  $\pi$  be an additive cell function. If  $\pi$  is  $AC^{\triangle}$  on a closed subset A of a cell Q, then  $\pi$  is differentiable  $\mu$ -almost everywhere on A.

PROOF. Given an arbitrary subset Y of Q, we define the functions

$$V_{+}^{\delta}\pi(Y) = \sup \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{m} (\pi(Q_i))^{+} \right\} \quad \text{and} \quad V_{-}^{\delta}\pi(Y) = \sup \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{m} (\pi(Q_i))^{-} \right\},$$

where  $(\pi(Q_i))^+ = \max\{\pi(Q_i), 0\}$  and  $(\pi(Q_i))^- = \max\{-\pi(Q_i), 0\}$  are the positive and the negative parts of  $\pi$ , respectively, and the supremum is taken over all  $\delta$ -fine Y-anchored partial partition  $\mathcal{P} = \{(x_i, Q_i)\}_{i=1}^m$  of Q.

As for the definition of  $V\pi$ , we can define  $V_{+}\pi$  and  $V_{-}\pi$  by

$$V_+\pi(Y) = \inf V_+^{\delta}\pi(Y)$$
 and  $V_-\pi(Y) = \inf V_-^{\delta}\pi(Y)$ ,

where the infimum is taken over all gauges  $\delta$  on E. It is easy to prove that  $V_{+}\pi$  and  $V_{-}\pi$  are finite measures.

For each measurable set E of Q, we define  $\nu^+(E) = V_+\pi(E \cap A)$  and  $\nu^-(E) = V_-\pi(E \cap A)$ . Since  $\pi$  is  $AC^{\triangle}$  on A, given  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a gauge  $\delta$  on A and  $\eta > 0$  such that the condition  $\sum_{i=1}^m \mu(Q_i) < \eta$  implies  $\sum_{i=1}^m |\pi(Q_i)| < \varepsilon$  for each  $\delta$ -fine A-anchored partial partition  $\mathcal{P} = \{(x_i,Q_i)\}_{i=1}^m$  of Q.

Let  $E \subset Q$  be  $\mu$ -null. Therefore,  $E \cap A$  is  $\mu$ -null, and thus, there exists an open set G such that  $E \cap A \subset G$  and  $\mu(G) < \eta$ . By the argument used in the proof of Theorem 6.7, we have  $\sum_{i=1}^m \mu(Q_i) \leq \mu(G) < \eta$ , which implies  $\sum_{i=1}^m (\pi(Q_i))^+ \leq \sum_{i=1}^m |\pi(Q_i)| < \varepsilon$ , for each  $\delta_1$ -fine  $(E \cap A)$ -anchored partial partition  $\{(x_i,Q_i)\}_{i=1}^m$  of Q. Therefore,  $V_+^{\delta_1}\pi(E \cap A) \leq \varepsilon$ 

and  $\nu^+(E) = V_+\pi(E \cap A) \le \varepsilon$ . Thus,  $\nu^+ \ll \mu$ . Similarly, we can prove that  $\nu^- \ll \mu$ .

So, by the Radon-Nikodym Theorem ([11, Theorem 19.23]), there exist non-negative Lebesgue integrable functions  $f^+$  and  $f^-$  on Q such that

$$\nu^{+}(E) = (L) \int_{E} f^{+} d\mu \text{ and } \nu^{-}(E) = (L) \int_{E} f^{-} d\mu,$$

for every  $\mu$ -measurable subset E of Q.

We set  $f = f^+ - f^-$ , and we remark that f is Lebesgue integrable on Q. Therefore, by Theorem 4.1, f is HK-integrable on Q, and  $\nu = \nu_+ - \nu_-$  is the indefinite HK-integral of f. Since f is the Radon-Nikodym derivative of  $\nu$  with respect to  $\mu$ , we have

$$\lim_{\mathcal{F}\ni R\to x} \frac{\nu(R)}{\mu(R)} = f(x),\tag{8}$$

 $\mu$ -almost everywhere on A.

Now, by Lemma 6.8, the signed measure  $\nu$  is  $AC^{\triangle}$  on A. Then also,  $\pi - \nu$  is  $AC^{\triangle}$  on A. Hence, by Lemma 6.9, we have  $\lim_{R \to x} (\pi(R) - \nu(R))/\mu(R) = 0$   $\mu$ -almost everywhere on A, and by (8) we have  $\lim_{R \to x} \pi(R)/\mu(R) = f(x)$ ,  $\mu$ -almost everywhere on A; i.e.,  $\pi'(x) = f(x)$   $\mu$ -almost everywhere on A.

**Theorem 6.11.** Let  $\pi$  be an additive cell function. If  $\pi$  is  $ACG^{\triangle}$  on a cell Q, then  $\pi$  is differentiable  $\mu$ -almost everywhere on Q.

PROOF. Since  $\pi$  is  $ACG^{\triangle}$  on Q, then there exists a countable sequence of closed sets  $\{E_k\}_k$  such that  $\bigcup_k E_k = Q$  and  $\pi$  is  $AC^{\triangle}$  on  $E_k$ , for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . So, by Theorem 6.10,  $\pi$  is differentiable  $\mu$ -almost everywhere on  $E_k$  for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Thus, it is differentiable  $\mu$ -almost everywhere on Q.

**Main Theorem 1** (of Type A). Let Q be a cell. A function  $f: Q \to \mathbb{R}$  is HK-integrable on Q if and only if there exists an additive cell function F that is  $ACG^{\triangle}$  on Q and F'(x) = f(x)  $\mu$ -almost everywhere on Q.

PROOF. Let  $f: Q \to \mathbb{R}$  be HK-integrable on Q, and let F be its HK-primitive. By Theorem 6.6, F is  $ACG^{\triangle}$  on Q, then by Theorem 6.11 F is differentiable  $\mu$ -almost everywhere on Q. Moreover, by Theorem 6.7, VF is  $\mu$ -AC on Q. So, by Theorem 6.2, F'(x) = f(x)  $\mu$ -almost everywhere on Q.

Vice versa, let F be an additive function of cells that is  $ACG^{\triangle}$  on Q and such that F'(x) = f(x)  $\mu$ -almost everywhere on Q. By Theorem 6.7,

\_

VF is  $\mu$ -AC on Q, and then, by Theorem 6.2, F is the HK-primitive of F'. Thus, the condition f(x) = F'(x),  $\mu$ -almost everywhere on Q, implies the HK-integrability of f on Q.

**Main Theorem 2** (of Type B). Let Q be a cell. A function  $f: Q \to \mathbb{R}$  is HK-integrable on Q if and only if there exists an additive cell function F such that VF is  $\mu$ -AC on Q and F'(x) = f(x)  $\mu$ -almost everywhere on Q.

PROOF. Let  $f: Q \to \mathbb{R}$  be HK-integrable on Q, and let F be its HK-primitive. By Theorems 6.6 and 6.7, VF is  $\mu$ -AC. Moreover by Theorems 6.6 and 6.10, F is differentiable  $\mu$ -almost everywhere on Q, and, by Theorem 6.2, F'(x) = f(x)  $\mu$ -almost everywhere on Q.

Vice versa, let F be an additive function of cells such that VF is  $\mu$ -AC on Q and F'(x) = f(x)  $\mu$ -almost everywhere on Q. Then, by Theorem 6.2, F is the HK-primitive of F' on Q. Thus, the condition f(x) = F'(x),  $\mu$ -almost everywhere on Q, implies the HK-integrability of f on Q.

**Acknowledgment.** The authors would like to thank Professor Jan Maly for his constructive comments during the preparation of this paper.

#### References

- [1] A. S.Besicovitch, On density of perfect sets, J. London Math. Soc., 31 (1956), 48–53.
- [2] B. Bongiorno, L. Di Piazza, and V. Skvortsov, A new full descriptive characterization of Denjoy-Perron integral, Real Anal. Exchange, 21 (1995/1996), no. 2, 656–663.
- [3] B. Bongiorno, W. F. Pfeffer, and B. S. Thomson, A full descriptive definition of the gage integral, Canad. Math. Bull., **39** (1996), no. 4, 390–401.
- [4] D. Bongiorno, and G. Corrao, On the Fundamental theorem of Calculus for fractal sets, Fractals (in print), (2013).
- [5] Z. Buczolich and W. F. Pfeffer, On absolute continuity, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 222 (1998), no. 1, 64–78.
- [6] T. De Pauw, A concept of generalized absolute continuity for the F-integral, Real Anal. Exchange, 22 (1996/1997), no. 1, 350–361.

- [7] L. Di Piazza, Variational measures in the theory of the integration in  $\mathbb{R}^m$ . Czechoslovak Math. J., **51** (2001), no. 1, 95–110.
- [8] K.J. Falconer, The geometry of fractal sets, 85, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1986.
- [9] C. A. Faure, A descriptive definition of some multidimensional gauge integrals, Czechoslovak Math. J., 45 (1995), 549–562.
- [10] R. Henstock, Measure spaces and division spaces, Real Anal. Exchange, 19 (1993/1994), no. 1, 121–128.
- [11] E. Hewitt and K. Stromberg, Real and abstract analysis. A modern treatment of the theory of functions of a real variable. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1965.
- [12] J. Jarník, J. Kurzweil, Š. Schwabik, On Mawhin's approach to multiple nonabsolutely convergent integral, Časopis pĕst. mat., 108 (1983), 356– 380.
- [13] P. Y. Lee, Lanzhou lectures on Henstock integration, Series in Real Analysis, vol. 2. World Scientific Publishing Co. Inc., Teaneck, NJ, 1989.
- [14] P. Y. Lee and N. W. Leng, The Radon-Nikodým theorem for the Henstock integral in Euclidean space, Real Anal. Exchange, 22 (1996/1997), no. 2, 677–687.
- [15] N. W. Leng, The Radon-Nikodým theorem for a nonabsolute integral on measure spaces, Bull. Korean Math. Soc., 41 (2004), no. 1, 153–166.
- [16] N. W. Leng and L. P. Yee, Nonabsolute integral on measure spaces, Bull. London Math. Soc., 32 (2000), no. 1, 34–38.
- [17] J. Lu and P. Y. Lee, The primitives of Henstock integrable functions in Euclidean space, Bull. London Math. Soc., 31 (1999), no. 2, 173–180.
- [18] P. Mattila, Geometry of sets and measures in Euclidean Spaces. Cambridge University Press, 1995.
- [19] J. Mawhin, Generalized multiple Perron integrals and the Green-Goursat theorem for differentiable vector fields, Czechoslovak Math. J., 31 (1981), no. 4, 614–632.
- [20] W. F. Pfeffer, The divergence theorem, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 295 (1986), no. 2, 665–685.

- [21] W. F. Pfeffer, The Riemann approach to integration, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, 109, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993.
- [22] W. Rudin, Real and complex analysis,  $3^{rd}$  ed., McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York, 1987.
- [23] S. Saks, Theory of the integral. Dover Publications Inc., New York, 1964.
- [24] L. Tuo-Yeong, A full descriptive definition of the Henstock-Kurzweil integral in the Euclidean space, Proc. London Math. Soc., 87 (2003), no. 3, 677–700.
- [25] L. Tuo-Yeong, Some full descriptive characterizations of the Henstock-Kurzweil integral in the euclidean space, Czechoslovak Math. J., **55** (2005), no. 3, 625–637.
- [26] L. Tuo-Yeong, A measure-theoretic characterization of the Henstock-Kurzweil integral revisited, Czechoslovak Math. J., 58 (2008), no. 4, 1221– 1231.
- [27] L. Tuo-Yeong, *Henstock-Kurzweil integration on Euclidean spaces*. Word Scientific, Singapore, 2011.