

B. László and J. T. Tóth\*, Department of Mathematics, University of Education, Farská 19, 949 74 Nitra, Slovakia, e-mail: toth@@unitra.sk

## RELATIVELY $(R)$ -DENSE UNIVERSAL SEQUENCES FOR CERTAIN CLASSES OF FUNCTIONS

### Abstract

Let  $f : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  and  $(a_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  be a sequence of positive reals. We will say that  $(a_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  is relatively  $(R)$ -dense for  $f$  provided that for every  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^+$  with  $f(x) < f(y)$  there exists  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $f(x) < \frac{f(a_n)}{f(a_m)} < f(y)$ . Sufficient conditions are given for a sequence of positive reals to be relatively  $(R)$ -dense for certain functions.

### Introduction

Denote by  $\mathbb{R}^+$  and  $\mathbb{N}$  the set of all positive real numbers and the natural numbers, respectively. Let  $R(A, B) = \{\frac{a}{b}; a \in A, b \in B\}$  be the ratio set of  $A, B \subset \mathbb{R}^+$  and put  $R(A) = R(A, A)$  for any  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^+$  (cf. [2], [3], [4], [5]). Note here that  $R(A, B) \neq R(B, A)$  in general, however  $R(A, B)$  is dense in  $\mathbb{R}^+$  if and only if  $R(B, A)$  is dense in  $\mathbb{R}^+$ .

Following [2] and [4] we call a set  $A = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n, \dots\} \subset \mathbb{R}^+$   $(R)$ -dense, provided  $R(A)$  is dense in  $\mathbb{R}^+$ . Occasionally we will work with a sequence  $A = (a_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  rather than a set  $A$ . Sequences of real numbers that are relatively dense for a function  $f$  were introduced and investigated in [1]. A straightforward analogue for  $(R)$ -density is as follows: Let  $f : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  and  $(a_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  be a sequence of positive reals. We will say that  $(a_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  is relatively  $(R)$ -dense for  $f$  provided that for every  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^+$  with  $f(x) < f(y)$  there

---

Key Words: sequences, ratio set,  $(R)$ -density

Mathematical Reviews subject classification: Primary: 26A99. Secondary: 11B83

Received by the editors Feb 20, 1995

\*This research was supported by the Slovak Academy of Sciences Grant 1227

exists  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $f(x) < \frac{f(a_n)}{f(a_m)} < f(y)$  (the choice  $f(x) = x$  clearly yields the  $(R)$ -density). Evidently,  $(a_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  is relatively  $(R)$ -dense for  $f$ , if the sequence  $f(A) = (f(a_n))_{n=1}^\infty$  is  $(R)$ -dense. Further  $(a_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  will be called a relatively  $(R)$ -dense universal sequence for the class of functions  $\mathcal{M}$ , if  $(a_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  is relatively  $(R)$ -dense for every  $f \in \mathcal{M}$ . In what follows  $A(x)$  will stand for the counting function of the set  $A = \{a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_n < \dots\} \subset \mathbb{R}^+$  with  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = +\infty$ , i.e.  $A(x) = \sum_{a \leq x, a \in A} 1$ .

It is the purpose of this paper to study relatively  $(R)$ -dense universal sequences for a certain class of increasing functions, thus extending several results of [1], [2], [3], [4] concerning sets  $R(A, B)$ .

## Main Results

Denote by  $\mathcal{F}$  the set of all functions  $f : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  satisfying the following properties:

1.  $f(x \cdot y) \geq f(x) \cdot f(y)$  for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^+$ ,
2.  $f$  is increasing and unbounded on  $\mathbb{R}^+$ ,
3.  $f$  is continuous at  $x = 1$  and  $f(1) = 1$ .

We have

**Theorem 1** *Suppose that the sequence  $(a_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  of positive reals contains an unbounded subsequence  $(c_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  such that*

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{c_{n+1}}{c_n} = 1 \quad (1)$$

*Then  $(a_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  is a relatively  $(R)$ -dense universal sequence for  $\mathcal{F}$ .*

This theorem is a consequence of the following stronger statement:

**Theorem 2** *Suppose that  $A = (a_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  fulfills the conditions of Theorem 1 and  $B = (b_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  is an unbounded sequence of positive reals. Suppose that  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} g(x) = +\infty$  where  $g : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ . Then  $R(f(A), g(B))$  is dense in  $\mathbb{R}^+$  for each  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ .*

PROOF. According to (1)  $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{c_n}{c_{n+1}} = 1$  thus by properties of  $\mathcal{F}$   $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} f\left(\frac{c_n}{c_{n+1}}\right) = 1$ , so  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{f\left(\frac{c_n}{c_{n+1}}\right)} = 1$ . Let  $0 < a < b$ . Then

$$\frac{1}{f\left(\frac{c_n}{c_{n+1}}\right)} < \frac{b}{a}$$

whenever  $n \geq n_0$  for some  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ . Consequently by the first property of  $f$

$$\frac{f(c_{n+1})}{f(c_n)} < \frac{b}{a} \quad \text{for all } n \geq n_0. \quad (2)$$

The sequences  $(b_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  and  $(c_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  are unbounded so there exists an  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $f(c_{n_0})b \leq g(b_i)$  further the number  $j = \min\{k \in \mathbb{N}; k > n_0 \text{ and } f(c_k)b > g(b_i)\}$ . Then  $j - 1 \geq n_0$  and  $f(c_j)b > g(b_i) \geq f(c_{j-1}) \cdot b$ . Hence from (2) for  $n = j - 1$  we get that  $f(c_{j-1})b > f(c_j)a$ , so by the previous inequalities  $f(c_j)b > g(b_i) > f(c_j)a$  which yields the density of  $R(g(B), f(A))$  thus also of  $R(f(A), g(B))$  in  $\mathbb{R}^+$  since  $c_j \in A$ .  $\square$

**Remark 1** *The preceding theorem generalizes Theorem 2.1 in [1] stating that  $R(A, B)$  is dense in  $\mathbb{R}^+$  for every couple of unbounded sequences  $A, B$  of positive reals such that  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} = 1$ .*

PROOF OF THEOREM 1. Let  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ . It suffices to choose  $b_n = a_n$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ) and  $g(x) = f(x)$  in Theorem 2.  $\square$

**Remark 2** *Let  $0 < c \leq 1$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ . The function  $f(x) = cx^\alpha$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^+$  belongs to  $\mathcal{F}$ . Further  $\mathcal{F}$  also contains functions which are not continuous or strictly increasing, respectively on  $\mathbb{R}^+$ ; e.g.*

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} c, & \text{if } x \in [1, x_0) \\ cx^\alpha, & \text{if } x \in \mathbb{R}^+ \setminus [1, x_0) \text{ where } x_0 > 1. \end{cases}$$

It is proved in [4] (Satz 5) that if  $A = \{a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_n < \dots\}$ ,  $B = \{b_1 < b_2 < \dots < b_n < \dots\} \subset \mathbb{N}$  and at least one of  $A, B$  has positive asymptotic density (i.e.  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{A(n)}{n} > 0$  or  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{B(n)}{n} > 0$ ) then  $R(A, B)$  is dense in  $\mathbb{R}^+$ . The pertinent theorem for one set is proved in (3, Theorem 4). The following theorem generalizes these results for positive real numbers. Before stating it introduce the class  $\mathcal{F}^*$  of all functions  $f: \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  for which:

1.  $f(x \cdot y) \geq f(x) \cdot f(y)$  for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^+$ ,
2.  $f(x) > 1$  for all  $x > 1$ .

It is not hard to prove that members of  $\mathcal{F}^*$  are increasing and unbounded on  $\mathbb{R}^+$ , so  $\mathcal{F} \not\subset \mathcal{F}^*$  and  $\mathcal{F}^* \not\subset \mathcal{F}$  but  $\mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{F}^*$  is an infinite set. We have

**Theorem 3** *Suppose that  $B = \{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n, \dots\} \subset \mathbb{R}^+$  is unbounded as well as the set  $A = \{a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_n < \dots\} \subset \mathbb{R}^+$ . Suppose that*

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(A)(x)}{g(x)} > 0 \quad (3)$$

for some  $g \in \mathcal{F}^*$  and a strictly increasing unbounded function  $f : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ . Then  $R(f(A), g(B))$  is dense in  $\mathbb{R}^+$ .

PROOF. Let  $0 < a < b$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}^+$ . Then by the 1. property of  $g \in \mathcal{F}^*$  we get:

$$\frac{f(A)(bx)}{f(A)(ax)} = \frac{\frac{f(A)(bx)}{g(bx)}}{\frac{f(A)(ax)}{g(ax)}} \cdot \frac{g(bx)}{g(ax)} \geq \frac{\frac{f(A)(bx)}{g(bx)}}{\frac{f(A)(ax)}{g(ax)}} \cdot g\left(\frac{b}{a}\right).$$

Then by (3) and the 2nd property of  $g$ ,  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(A)(bx)}{f(A)(ax)} \geq g\left(\frac{b}{a}\right) > 1$ . Accordingly  $\frac{f(A)(bx)}{f(A)(ax)} > 1$  whenever  $x \geq x_0$  for some  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^+$ , hence  $f(A)(bx) - f(A)(ax) > 0$  thus for all  $x \geq x_0$  there exists  $i = i(x) \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $ax < f(a_i) < bx$ . Now  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} g(x) = +\infty$  and  $B$  is unbounded so  $g(b_j) > x_0$  for some  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ . It means by previous considerations that  $g(b_j)a < f(a_i) < g(b_j)b$  for some  $i = i(g(b_j)) \in \mathbb{N}$  and the density of  $R(f(A), g(B))$  in  $\mathbb{R}^+$  follows.  $\square$

If  $X^d$  denotes the set of all limit points of the set  $X$  then we have:

**Theorem 4** *If  $A = \{a_1 < a_2 < \dots a_n < \dots\} \subset \mathbb{R}^+$  is relatively (R)-dense for some  $f \in \mathcal{F}^*$ , then  $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} = 1$ .*

PROOF. Let  $f \in \mathcal{F}^*$  and suppose that  $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} = c > 1$ , where  $c \in \mathbb{R}^+$ . It follows from properties of  $f$  that

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} f\left(\frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n}\right) \geq f(c) > 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{f(a_{n+1})}{f(a_n)} \geq f\left(\frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n}\right),$$

thus  $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(a_{n+1})}{f(a_n)} \geq f(c)$ . If  $c = +\infty$  then put  $f(c) = +\infty$ .

Now choose  $t \in R(f(A))^d$  and  $t > 1$ . Then  $\frac{f(a_{m_k})}{f(a_{n_k})} \rightarrow t$  (as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ ) for some sequences  $(m_k)_{k=1}^\infty, (n_k)_{k=1}^\infty$  of natural numbers such that  $m_k > n_k$  ( $k = 1, 2, \dots$ ). Clearly  $\frac{f(a_{m_k})}{f(a_{n_k})} \geq \frac{f(a_{n_k+1})}{f(a_{n_k})}$  so

$$t \geq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(a_{n_k+1})}{f(a_{n_k})} \geq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(a_{n+1})}{f(a_n)} \geq f(c).$$

Consequently  $R(f(A))^d \cap (1, f(c)) = \emptyset$ . Then properties of  $f$  easily yield numbers  $1 < x < y < c$  such that  $1 < f(x) < f(y) < f(c)$  and  $(f(x), f(y)) \cap R(f(A)) = \emptyset$ , whence  $A$  is not relatively (R)-dense for  $f$ .  $\square$

**Remark 3** *Functions  $f(x) = x^\alpha, x \in \mathbb{R}^+, \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$  belong to  $\mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{F}^*$ , so these functions satisfy Theorem 1 and Theorem 4.*

An argument similar to that of in Theorem 4 justifies that  $t \leq \frac{1}{f(c)}$  if  $t \in R(f(A))^d$  and  $t < 1$ . Hence we have the following generalization of Proposition 3 of [6]:

**Corollary 1** *Let  $f \in \mathcal{F}^*$  and  $A = \{a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_n < \dots\} \subset \mathbb{R}^+$  such that  $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} = c > 1$ . Then  $R(f(A))^d \cap (\frac{1}{f(c)}, f(c)) = \emptyset$ .*

**Remark 4** *If  $c = +\infty$  in the preceding Corollary, then  $R(f(A))$  consists of isolated points in  $\mathbb{R}^+$ .*

**Remark 5** *It was already mentioned in the introduction that  $(R)$ -density of  $f(A)$  implies relative  $(R)$ -density of  $A = (a_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  for a function  $f$ . It is evident that the reverse implication also holds for surjective  $f : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ .*

*In connection with this it would be interesting to characterize functions  $f : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  for which  $f(A)$  is  $(R)$ -dense if and only if the sequence  $A = (a_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  is relatively  $(R)$ -dense for  $f$ .*

## References

- [1] D. Andrica and S. Buzeteanu, *Relatively dense universal sequences for the class of continuous periodical functions of period  $T$* , L'Analyse Numérique et la théorie de L'Approximation, **16** no. 1 (1987), 1–9.
- [2] W. Narkiewicz and T. Šalát, *A theorem of H. Steinhaus and  $(R)$ -dense sets of positive integers*, Czechoslov. Math. J., **34** (109) (1984), 355–361.
- [3] T. Šalát, *On ratio sets of sets of natural numbers*, Acta Arith., **15** (1969), 273–278.
- [4] T. Šalát, *Quotientbasen und  $(R)$ -dichte Mengen*, Acta Arith., **19** (1971), 63–78.
- [5] J. Smítal, *Remarks on ratio sets of natural numbers*, Acta F. R. N. Univ. Comen. – Math., **25** (1971), 93–99.
- [6] J. T. Tóth and L. Zsilinszky, *On density of ratio sets of powers of primes*, Nieuw Archief voor Wiskunde, **13** no. 2 (1995), 205–208.