

Saibal Ranjan Ghosh, 13/13, Sibtala Road, Bagmore, Kanchrapara, 24  
Parganas (N), Pin-743145, West Bengal, India. email:  
cool.soibal@rediffmail.com

Hiranmay Dasgupta, Department of Mathematics, JIS College of  
Engineering, Phase-III, Block-A, Kalyani, Nadia, Pin-741235, West Bengal,  
India. email: hiranmaydg@yahoo.com

## CLASSIFICATION OF POINTS OF LOWER SEMI-CONTINUITY OF A MULTIFUNCTION IN TOPOLOGICAL SPACES

### Abstract

In this paper we introduce the notion of  $y$ -lower semi-continuity and point out a distinction between a point of lower semi-continuity in global sense and a point of lower semi-continuity in local sense in general topological spaces after classifying points of  $y$ -lower semi-continuity (resp. lower semi-continuity) and also study their interrelationships. In particular, we find a necessary and sufficient condition for a bijective open multifunction on a  $T_2$  space to be lower semi-continuous. Finally, a sufficient condition for an open bijective multifunction on the real line to have at most countable points of lower semi-discontinuity is formulated.

### 1 Introduction.

In this paper  $X$ ,  $Y$  always denote topological spaces,  $\phi$  the empty set,  $\mathbb{N}$  the set of natural numbers,  $\mathbb{R}$  the set of real numbers and  $\mathbb{U}$  the usual topology. A multifunction  $F : X \rightarrow Y$  is a point to set correspondence and we assume  $F(x) \neq \phi$  for all  $x \in X$ . If  $A \subset X$ ,  $F(A) = \bigcup\{F(x) : x \in A\}$ , and for  $B \subset Y$ ,

---

Mathematical Reviews subject classification: Primary: 54C05, 54C60; Secondary: 54A99  
Key words: lower semi-continuity, lower semi-discontinuity,  $y$ -l.s.c.,  $y$ -l.s.d.,  $s_y$ -point,  $w_y$ -point, honest point,  $s$ -point,  $w$ -point,  $c$ -point  
Received by the editors June 7, 2008  
Communicated by: Udayan B. Darji

$F^{-1}(B) = \{x : F(x) \cap B \neq \phi\}$ . A multifunction  $F : X \rightarrow Y$  is called strongly injective [1] (resp. surjective [2], [6]) if  $x_1, x_2 \in X$  with  $x_1 \neq x_2$  implies  $F(x_1) \cap F(x_2) = \phi$  (resp.  $F(X)=Y$ );  $F$  is called bijective (or a bijection) if  $F$  is both strongly injective and surjective, and  $F$  is called open (resp. closed) if  $F(U)$  is open (resp. closed) in  $Y$  for every open (resp. closed)  $U$  in  $X$ . Clearly, if  $F$  is surjective then  $B \subset FF^{-1}(B)$  for each  $B \subset Y$ . The closure and the interior of a set  $A$  is denoted by  $Cl A$  and  $Int A$  respectively. The boundary [5] of a set  $A$  is defined by  $Bd A = A - Int A$ .  $A$  is said to be weakly separated [5] from  $B$  if and only if  $A \cap Cl B = \phi$  for  $A, B \subset X$ . A multifunction  $F : X \rightarrow Y$  is called lower semi-continuous [6] if  $F^{-1}(V)$  is open in  $X$  for each open  $V$  in  $Y$  and  $F$  is called lower semi-continuous at  $x \in X$  [4] if whenever  $y \in F(x)$  and for every open  $V$  containing  $y$ , there exists an open  $U$  with  $x \in U$  such that  $F(z) \cap V \neq \phi$  for all  $z \in U$ . Clearly  $F$  is lower semi-continuous if and only if  $F$  is lower semi-continuous at each point in the domain space. From now on both of the phrases 'lower semi-continuous' and 'lower semi-continuity' (resp. 'lower semi-discontinuous' and 'lower semi-discontinuity') will often be abbreviated by *l.s.c.* (resp. *l.s.d.*). The logical constant 'exclusive or' will be denoted by 'XOR'.

This paper is based on two simple observations. Firstly, it is trivial that if  $F : X \rightarrow Y$  is not *l.s.c.* then it fails to be so for at least one  $x \in X$ . It may happen that  $F$  fails to satisfy the requisites if not all but for at least one  $y \in F(x)$  and becomes lower semi-discontinuous at  $x \in X$  (i.e., not lower semi-continuous at  $x \in X$ ). This observation leads to the definition of *l.s.c.* (resp. *l.s.d.*) for  $F : X \rightarrow Y$  at  $x \in X$  with respect to an  $y \in F(x)$ , or briefly, *y-l.s.c.* (resp. *y-l.s.d.*) at  $x \in X$ . Our second observation stems from a natural question (cf. [3]) that when a multifunction  $F : X \rightarrow Y$  is *l.s.c.* for some  $z \in X$  but not all, then whether a point of *l.s.c.* for such a multifunction shares the same characteristic with a point of *l.s.c.* for an *l.s.c.* multifunction. We have seen that there is a difference and used it to classify points of *y-l.s.c.* (resp. *l.s.c.*) by defining  $s_y$ -points and  $w_y$ -points (resp. by defining  $s$ -points,  $w$ -points and  $c$ -points) and studied their intrinsic properties : for instance, under certain condition the domain space is partitioned; the existence of a point of *l.s.d.* is ensured by the existence of a  $w_y$ -point, or in other words, for an *l.s.c.* multifunction all points of the domain space are characterized by  $s$ -points. Also the existence of the maximum open neighbourhood of  $y$  for an  $s_y$ -point is found and with the help of this largest open neighbourhood of  $y$  for a special type of  $s_y$ -point we have got a necessary and sufficient condition for a bijective open multifunction on a Hausdorff space to be *l.s.c.* Further properties of this largest open neighbourhood is also studied. Finally a sufficient condition for an open bijective multifunction on the real line to

have at most countable points of lower semi-discontinuity is formulated.

## 2 Classification of points of lower semi-continuity.

In this section we first introduce the following notions.

**Definition 2.1.** A multifunction  $F : X \rightarrow Y$  is called lower semi-continuous at  $x \in X$  with respect to an  $y \in F(x)$  (or simply,  $y$ -l.s.c. at  $x \in X$ ) if for every open  $V$  containing  $y$ , there exists an open  $U$  with  $x \in U$  such that  $F(z) \cap V \neq \phi$  for all  $z \in U$ .  $x$  is then called an  $y$ -l.s.c. point.

**Definition 2.2.** A multifunction  $F : X \rightarrow Y$  is called lower semi-discontinuous at  $x \in X$  with respect to an  $y \in F(x)$  (or simply,  $y$ -l.s.d. at  $x \in X$ ) if  $F$  is not  $y$ -l.s.c. at  $x \in X$ .  $x$  is then called an  $y$ -l.s.d. point.

Naturally examples for Definition 2.1 and Definition 2.2 are due. But before that we give a necessary and sufficient condition for a multifunction  $F$  to be  $y$ -l.s.d. at  $x \in X$  in the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.3.** A multifunction  $F : X \rightarrow Y$  is  $y$ -l.s.d. at  $x \in X$  if and only if there exists an open neighbourhood  $V$  of  $y$  such that  $x \in Bd F^{-1}(V)$ .

PROOF. Let  $F$  be  $y$ -l.s.d. at  $x \in X$ . Then there exists an open  $V$  containing  $y$  such that for every open  $U$  with  $x \in U$  we have  $F(z) \cap V \neq \phi$  for at least one  $z \in U$ . If possible, for every open neighbourhood of  $y$  (and hence for  $V$ ),  $x \in Int F^{-1}(V)$ . We set  $U = Int F^{-1}(V)$ . Clearly  $x \in U$ . Now let  $z \in U \subset F^{-1}(V)$ . Then  $F(z) \cap V \neq \phi$ , a contradiction. So,  $x \in Bd F^{-1}(V)$ . Conversely, let there be an open neighbourhood  $V$  of  $y \in F(x)$  such that  $x \in Bd F^{-1}(V)$ . If possible, let  $F$  be  $y$ -l.s.c. at  $x$ . Then for  $V$ , there exists an open  $U$  with  $x \in U$  such that  $F(z) \cap V \neq \phi$  for all  $z \in U$ , i.e.,  $U \subset F^{-1}(V)$ . So  $x \in U = Int U \subset Int F^{-1}(V)$  which contradicts with  $x \in Bd F^{-1}(V)$ . Hence  $F$  is  $y$ -l.s.d. at  $x$ .  $\square$

**Example 2.4.** Let  $F : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be defined by  $F(1) = \{1\}$ ,  $F(\frac{1}{2}) = \{\frac{3}{4}, 1\}$ ,  $F(x) = \{x, 1\}$  for  $x \neq \frac{1}{2}, 1$ . For  $1 \in F(\frac{1}{2})$  we see  $F^{-1}(V) = [0, 1]$  is open where  $V$  is any open set containing 1 as  $F^{-1}(\{1\}) = [0, 1]$ . So  $F$  is 1-l.s.c. at each  $x \in [0, 1]$ . But for  $\frac{3}{4} \in F(\frac{1}{2})$ , taking  $V = (\frac{3}{4} - \epsilon, \frac{3}{4} + \epsilon)$ ,  $0 < \epsilon < \frac{1}{4}$  we see  $\frac{1}{2} \in Bd F^{-1}(V)$  because  $F^{-1}(V) = (\frac{3}{4} - \epsilon, \frac{3}{4} + \epsilon) \cup \{\frac{1}{2}\}$  and  $Int F^{-1}(V) = (\frac{3}{4} - \epsilon, \frac{3}{4} + \epsilon)$ . So  $F$  is  $\frac{3}{4}$ -l.s.d. at  $x = \frac{1}{2}$ .

**Corollary 2.5.** Let  $F : X \rightarrow Y$  be a multifunction and  $O$  be any open set in  $Y$ . If  $Bd F^{-1}(O) \neq \phi$  then each  $x \in Bd F^{-1}(O)$  is a point of l.s.d. with respect to each of the image points of  $x$  in  $O$ .

Now we classify points of  $y$ -*l.s.c.* (respectively *l.s.c.*) for a multifunction  $F : X \rightarrow Y$  in the following.

**Definition 2.6.** A point  $x \in X$  is called an  $s_y$ -point of  $F : X \rightarrow Y$  with respect to an  $y \in F(x)$  (or simply, an  $s_y$ -point) if there exists an open neighbourhood  $N(y)$  of  $y$  such that for any open sub-neighbourhood  $O(y)$  of  $y$  [i.e.,  $O(y)$  is a neighbourhood of  $y$  such that  $O(y) \subset N(y)$ ],  $F^{-1}(O(y))$  is open.  $x \in X$  is called an  $s$ -point of  $F : X \rightarrow Y$  (or simply, an  $s$ -point) if for each  $y \in F(x)$ ,  $x$  is an  $s_y$ -point.

**Example 2.7.** In Example 2.4, it is easy to verify that  $x = \frac{3}{4}$  is an  $s_1$ -point and  $x (\neq \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{4})$  is an  $s$ -point. But  $x = \frac{3}{4}$  is not an  $s$ -point because  $x = \frac{3}{4}$  is not an  $s_{\frac{3}{4}}$ -point.

**Definition 2.8.** A point  $x \in X$  is called a  $w_y$ -point of  $F : X \rightarrow Y$  with respect to an  $y \in F(x)$  (or simply, a  $w_y$ -point) if for any open neighbourhood of  $y$  there exists an open sub-neighbourhood  $O(y)$  of  $y$  such that  $x \in \text{Int} F^{-1}(O(y))$  and  $\text{Bd} F^{-1}(O(y)) \neq \phi$ .  $x \in X$  is called a  $w$ -point of  $F : X \rightarrow Y$  (or simply, a  $w$ -point) if for each  $y \in F(x)$ ,  $x$  is a  $w_y$ -point.

**Example 2.9.** In Example 2.4,  $x = \frac{3}{4}$  is a  $w_{\frac{3}{4}}$ -point but clearly  $x = \frac{3}{4}$  is not a  $w$ -point. Next we consider the multifunction  $F : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  defined by  $F(\frac{1}{2}) = \{\frac{3}{4}, 1\}$ ,  $F(\frac{3}{4}) = \{\frac{3}{4}\}$ ,  $F(1) = \{1\}$  and  $F(x) = \{x, 1\}$  otherwise. It is easy to check that  $x = \frac{3}{4}$  is a  $w$ -point.

**Notation.** We use the following notation throughout the paper.

- (i)  $X_l^y$  ( $X_l$ ),  $X_s^y$  ( $X_s$ ),  $X_w^y$  ( $X_w$ ),  $X_{ld}^y$  ( $X_{ld}$ ) denote respectively the set of all points of  $y$ -*l.s.c.* (*l.s.c.*), the set of all  $s_y$ -points ( $s$ -points), the set of all  $w_y$ -points ( $w$ -points), the set of all points of  $y$ -*l.s.d.* (*l.s.d.*) of a multifunction  $F : X \rightarrow Y$ .
- (ii)  $F_l(x) = \{y : y \in F(x) \text{ and } x \text{ is a point of } y\text{-}l.s.c. \}$ ,  
 $F_{ld}(x) = \{y : y \in F(x) \text{ and } x \text{ is a point of } y\text{-}l.s.d. \}$ ,  
 $F_s(x) = \{y : y \in F(x) \text{ and } x \text{ is an } s_y\text{-point} \}$ ,  
 $F_w(x) = \{y : y \in F(x) \text{ and } x \text{ is a } w_y\text{-point} \}$ .

Also by the ‘image points of a point  $x \in X$ ’, ‘ $\downarrow$ -image points of a point  $x \in X$ ’ and ‘ $\uparrow$ -image points of a point  $x \in X$ ’ we mean the points of  $F(x)$ ,  $F_{ld}(x)$  and  $F_l(x)$  respectively.

**Definition 2.10.** A point  $x \in X$  is called a  $c$ -point of  $F : X \rightarrow Y$  (or simply, a  $c$ -point) if  $x$  is an  $s_y$ -point for at least one  $y \in F(x)$  and also  $x$  is a  $w_y$ -point for at least one  $y \in F(x)$  and  $F(x) = F_s(x) \cup F_w(x)$ , or in other words,  $F_s(x) \neq \phi$ ,  $F_w(x) \neq \phi$  and  $F(x) = F_s(x) \cup F_w(x)$ . The set of all  $c$ -points of  $F : X \rightarrow Y$  is denoted by  $X_c$ .

**Example 2.11.** In Example 2.4,  $F(\frac{3}{4}) = \{\frac{3}{4}, 1\}$  and  $x = \frac{3}{4}$  is a  $w_{\frac{3}{4}}$ -point and also an  $s_1$ -point. Hence  $x = \frac{3}{4}$  is a  $c$ -point.

**Theorem 2.12.** *Let  $F : X \rightarrow Y$  be a multifunction. If  $x$  is either an  $s_y$ -point or a  $w_y$ -point then  $F$  is  $y$ -l.s.c. at  $x$ .*

PROOF. We prove only the first case. Let  $x \in X$  be an  $s_y$ -point. Then there exists an open neighbourhood  $N(y)$  of  $y$  such that  $F^{-1}(O(y))$  is open for all open sub-neighbourhoods  $O(y)$  of  $y$ . Let  $V$  be an open set containing  $y$ . Now  $V_1 = N(y) \cap V$  is an open neighbourhood of  $y$  and  $V_1 \subset N(y)$ , so  $F^{-1}(V_1) = U$  is open. Clearly  $x \in U$ , and if  $z \in U$  then  $\phi \neq F(z) \cap V_1 \subset F(z) \cap V$ . So  $F$  is  $y$ -l.s.c. at  $x$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2.13.** *If  $x \in X$  is an  $s$ -point or a  $w$ -point or a  $c$ -point then  $F$  is l.s.c. at  $x$ .*

**Theorem 2.14.** *If  $x$  is an  $s_y$ -point of  $F : X \rightarrow Y$  then there is no  $y$ -l.s.d. points of  $F$  in  $X$ , or in other words,  $X_s^y \neq \phi$  implies  $X_{ld}^y = \phi$ .*

PROOF. Let  $x \in X$  be an  $s_y$ -point. Then there is an open neighbourhood  $N(y)$  of  $y$  such that for each open sub-neighbourhood  $M(y)$  of  $y$ ,  $F^{-1}(M(y))$  is open. If possible, let  $x_1$  be a point of  $y$ -l.s.d. Then by Theorem 2.3, there exists an open neighbourhood  $O(y)$  of  $y$  such that  $x_1 \in Bd F^{-1}(O(y))$ . Let  $O'(y) = N(y) \cap O(y)$ . Then  $x_1 \in Bd F^{-1}(O'(y))$ , a contradiction because  $O'(y) \subset N(y)$  implies  $F^{-1}(O'(y))$  is open.  $\square$

The converse of Theorem 2.14 is not true in general as shown by the following example.

**Example 2.15.** Let  $F : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \tau^*)$  be defined by  $F(x) = \{1, 2\}$ ,  $F(y) = \{2, 3\}$ ,  $F(z) = \{3\}$  where  $X = \{x, y, z\}$ ,  $Y = \{1, 2, 3\}$ ,  $\tau = \{\phi, X, \{x\}\}$  and  $\tau^* = \{\phi, Y, \{1, 2\}\}$ . Clearly both of  $X_{ld}^1$  and  $X_s^1$  are void.

**Theorem 2.16.** *If  $x$  is an  $s_y$ -point of  $F : X \rightarrow Y$  then there is no  $w_y$ -points of  $F$  in  $X$ , or in other words,  $X_s^y \neq \phi$  implies  $X_w^y = \phi$ .*

PROOF. Let  $x \in X$  be an  $s_y$ -point. Then there is an open neighbourhood  $N(y)$  of  $y$  such that for each open sub-neighbourhood  $M(y)$  of  $y$ ,  $F^{-1}(M(y))$  is open. If possible, let  $x_1$  be a  $w_y$ -point. Then for each open neighbourhood of  $y$  and hence for  $N(y)$  there exists an open sub-neighbourhood  $O(y)$  of  $y$  such that  $x_1 \in \text{Int } F^{-1}(O(y))$  and  $\text{Bd } F^{-1}(O(y)) \neq \phi$ . Hence  $F^{-1}(O(y))$  is not open which is a contradiction. So  $x_1$  is not a  $w_y$ -point.  $\square$

The converse of Theorem 2.16 is not true in general as shown by the following example.

**Example 2.17.** Let  $F : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be a multifunction defined by  $F(x) = \{\frac{x}{2}\}$ ,  $0 \leq x < \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $F(\frac{1}{2}) = [\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}]$ ,  $F(x) = \{\frac{1}{2}(x+1)\}$ ,  $\frac{1}{2} < x \leq 1$ . We consider the image point  $\frac{1}{3}$ . The only  $x \in [0, 1]$  which is mapped into  $\frac{1}{3}$  is  $\frac{1}{2}$ . For the open neighbourhood  $V = (\frac{1}{3} - \epsilon, \frac{1}{3} + \epsilon)$  of  $\frac{1}{3}$ ,  $0 < \epsilon < \frac{1}{12}$  we have  $F^{-1}(V) = \{\frac{1}{2}\}$ . So  $\text{Int } F^{-1}(V) = \phi$  and  $\text{Bd } F^{-1}(V) = \{\frac{1}{2}\}$ . Hence  $x = \frac{1}{2}$  is a point of  $\frac{1}{3}$ -l.s.d., i.e.,  $x = \frac{1}{2}$  is not a point of  $\frac{1}{3}$ -l.s.c. Then by Theorem 2.12,  $x = \frac{1}{2}$  is neither an  $s_{\frac{1}{3}}$ -point nor a  $w_{\frac{1}{3}}$ -point.

It is not necessarily true that  $X_s \neq \phi$  implies  $X_w = \phi$  as follows from Example 2.9 where  $x = \frac{3}{4}$  is a  $w$ -point and  $x = \frac{1}{3}$  is an  $s$ -point. However, we have

**Corollary 2.18.** For  $F : X \rightarrow Y$ , (a)  $X_s \cap X_w = \phi$ , (b)  $X_s \cap X_c = \phi$ , (c)  $X_w \cap X_c = \phi$ .

**Theorem 2.19.** For  $F : X \rightarrow Y$ ,  $X_l^y = X_s^y \text{ XOR } X_l^y = X_w^y$ , or in other words, all points of  $y$ -l.s.c. are  $s_y$ -points XOR  $w_y$ -points.

PROOF. By Theorem 2.12 and Theorem 2.16 we get  $X_s^y \subset X_l^y \text{ XOR } X_w^y \subset X_l^y$ . Now, let  $x \in X_l^y$  and  $x \notin X_w^y$ . Then there exists an open neighbourhood  $N(y)$  of  $y$  such that for every open sub-neighbourhood  $O(y)$  of  $y$  we have either (i)  $x \notin \text{Int } F^{-1}(O(y))$  or (ii)  $x \in \text{Int } F^{-1}(O(y))$  and  $\text{Bd } F^{-1}(O(y)) = \phi$ . If at least one  $O(y)$  satisfies (i) then  $x$  is a point of  $y$ -l.s.d., a contradiction. So all  $O(y)$  satisfy (ii) and hence  $x$  is an  $s_y$ -point, i.e.,  $x \in X_s^y$ . Now,  $X_w^y = \phi$  by Theorem 2.16. Consequently,  $X_l^y \subset X_s^y \text{ XOR } X_l^y \subset X_w^y$ . Hence  $X_l^y = X_s^y \text{ XOR } X_l^y = X_w^y$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2.20.** For a multifunction  $F : X \rightarrow Y$ , (i)  $X_l = X_s \cup X_w \cup X_c$  and (ii)  $X = X_s \cup X_w \cup X_c \cup X_{ld}$ .

In the following theorem the existence of a  $w_y$ -point is ensured by the existence of a point of l.s.d. in a certain manner.

**Theorem 2.21.** *Let  $x \in X_w^y$  for a multifunction  $F : X \rightarrow Y$ . Then either there is an  $y$ - $l.s.d.$  point or  $y$  is a limit point of the  $\downarrow$ -image points of  $l.s.d.$  points.*

PROOF. Since  $x$  is a  $w_y$ -point, for every open neighbourhood  $U$  of  $y$  there exists an open neighbourhood  $O(y)$  of  $y$  with  $O(y) \subset U$  such that  $x \in \text{Int } F^{-1}(O(y))$  and  $\text{Bd } F^{-1}(O(y)) \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $x_1 \in \text{Bd } F^{-1}(O(y))$ . Now either  $y \in F(x_1)$  or  $y \notin F(x_1)$ . If  $y \in F(x_1)$  then by Theorem 2.3,  $x_1$  is an  $y$ - $l.s.d.$  point. If  $y \notin F(x_1)$  then by Corollary 2.5, there is an  $l.s.d.$  point in  $F^{-1}(O(y))$  and hence in  $F^{-1}(U)$ , i.e.,  $y$  is a limit point of the  $\downarrow$ -image points of  $l.s.d.$  points.  $\square$

**Remark 2.22.** From Theorem 2.21 it follows that for  $F : X \rightarrow Y$ ,  $X_w \neq \emptyset$  or  $X_c \neq \emptyset$  implies  $X_{ld} \neq \emptyset$ , and consequently, for  $F$  to be  $l.s.c.$  (i.e.,  $l.s.c.$  at each point of the domain space) each point of the domain space must be an  $s$ -point.

A natural question now arises whether the existence of a point of  $l.s.d.$  ensures the existence of a  $w_y$ -point. The answer is in negation which is evident from the following example.

**Example 2.23.** We consider the multifunction  $F : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  of Example 2.17. Clearly except  $x = \frac{1}{2}$  each  $x \in [0, 1]$  is an  $s$ -point and  $x = \frac{1}{2}$  is a point of  $l.s.d.$  for each  $y \in F(\frac{1}{2})$ . So there is no  $w_y$ -point for  $F$ .

### 3 Maximum open neighbourhood, its applications and the cardinality of $\mathbb{R}_{ld}$ .

In this section we prove the existence of a unique maximum open neighbourhood of an  $y \in F(x)$  for an  $s_y$ -point  $x$  and its consequences in different aspects.

**Theorem 3.1.** *If  $F : X \rightarrow Y$  is a multifunction and  $x \in X_s^y$  then there exists a unique largest open neighbourhood  $M_s(y)$  of  $y$  such that for any open sub-neighbourhood  $O(y)$  of  $y$ ,  $F^{-1}(O(y))$  is open.*

PROOF. Since  $x \in X_s^y$  there exists an open neighbourhood  $N(y)$  of  $y$  such that  $F^{-1}(O(y))$  is open whenever  $O(y)$  is any open sub-neighbourhood of  $y$ . Let  $\{N^\alpha(y)\}$  be the family of all such open neighbourhoods  $N^\alpha(y)$  where  $\alpha$  belongs to an index set  $I$ . Clearly,  $\{N^\alpha(y)\}$  is partially ordered by the set inclusion relation. Then  $M_s(y) = \bigcup_{\alpha \in I} N^\alpha(y)$  is clearly the largest open neighbourhood

of  $y$  sought in the theorem. Let  $M(y)$  be any open neighbourhood of  $y$  such that  $M(y) \subset M_s(y)$ . Now

$$\begin{aligned} F^{-1}(M(y)) &= F^{-1}(M(y) \cap M_s(y)) \\ &= F^{-1}\left(\bigcup_{\alpha \in I} (M(y) \cap N^\alpha(y))\right) \\ &= \bigcup_{\alpha \in I} F^{-1}(M(y) \cap N^\alpha(y)). \end{aligned}$$

As  $M(y) \cap N^\alpha(y)$  is an open neighbourhood of  $y$  and contained in  $N^\alpha(y)$ ,  $F^{-1}(M(y) \cap N^\alpha(y))$  is open for each  $\alpha$  according to the property of  $N^\alpha(y)$ . Consequently,  $F^{-1}(M(y))$  is open.  $\square$

We observe that the largest open neighbourhood  $M_s(y)$  of  $y$  for an  $s_y$ -point may or may not intersect  $F_{ld}(x_1)$  and  $F_w(x_2)$  for some  $x_1, x_2 \in X$ . For this we furnish the following examples.

**Example 3.2.** Let  $F : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be defined by  $F(\frac{1}{2}) = [\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{4}]$  and  $F(x) = \{x\}$  otherwise. It is easy to verify that  $\frac{1}{2}$  is an  $s_{\frac{1}{2}}$ -point and  $M_s(\frac{1}{2}) = [0, 1]$ . Also, for  $0 < \epsilon < \frac{1}{4}$ ,  $F^{-1}((\frac{3}{4} - \epsilon, \frac{3}{4} + \epsilon)) = (\frac{3}{4} - \epsilon, \frac{3}{4} + \epsilon) \cup \{\frac{1}{2}\}$ . Therefore,  $\frac{1}{2}$  is a point of  $\frac{3}{4}$ -*l.s.d.* and  $\frac{3}{4}$  is a  $w_{\frac{3}{4}}$ -point. Hence  $M_s(\frac{1}{2})$  intersects  $F_{ld}(\frac{1}{2})$  and also  $F_w(\frac{3}{4})$ .

**Example 3.3.** We consider the multifunction  $F : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  of Example 2.17. Clearly 1 is an  $s_1$ -point and  $M_s(1) = [0, 1] - [\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}]$ . Also for each  $y \in [\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}]$ ,  $\frac{1}{2}$  is an  $y$ -*l.s.d.* point and this is the only *l.s.d.* point. It is evident that there is no  $w_y$ -point in  $[0, 1]$ . Hence  $M_s(1)$  does not intersect  $F_{ld}(x_1)$  and also  $F_w(x_2)$  for any  $x_1, x_2 \in [0, 1]$ .

This observation leads to the following simple but useful theorem which builds up almost all of our results in the sequel.

**Theorem 3.4.** *Let  $x$  be an  $s_y$ -point of  $F : X \rightarrow Y$ . If  $M_s(y)$  intersects  $F_{ld}(x')$  for some  $x' \in X$  then  $\{x'\}$  can not be weakly separated from  $F^{-1}(O(y))$  where  $O(y)$  is any open neighbourhood of  $y$  contained in  $M_s(y)$ .*

**PROOF.** To prove the theorem we need the following lemma which we state without proof.

**Lemma 3.5.** *If  $A, B \subset X$  such that  $A \cup B$  is open but  $B$  is not open then  $Bd B \subset Cl A$ .*



Continuing the proof, let  $x' \in X_{ld}$  such that  $F_{ld}(x') \cap M_s(y) \neq \phi$ . Let  $y' \in F_{ld}(x') \cap M_s(y)$ . By Theorem 2.3, there exists an open neighbourhood  $M(y')$  of  $y'$  such that  $x' \in Bd F^{-1}(M(y'))$  and also  $x' \in Bd F^{-1}(M'(y'))$  whenever  $M'(y')$  is an open neighbourhood of  $y'$  for which  $M'(y') \subset M(y')$ . We put  $N(y') = M_s(y) \cap M(y')$ . Clearly  $N(y')$  is an open neighbourhood of  $y'$  and can not contain  $y$ . For, if it contains  $y$  then  $N(y')$  would be an open neighbourhood of  $y$  and  $N(y') \subset M_s(y)$ . Hence  $F^{-1}(N(y'))$  should be an open set, i.e.,  $Bd F^{-1}(N(y')) = \phi$ , a contradiction because  $x' \in Bd F^{-1}(N(y'))$  as  $N(y') \subset M(y')$ . Now we consider any open neighbourhood  $O(y)$  of  $y$  such that  $O(y) \subset M_s(y)$ . Then  $x'$  must belong to  $Cl F^{-1}(O(y))$  because of the following reason.

Consider the set  $O(y) \cup N(y') = O'(y)$ . Hence  $F^{-1}(O'(y))$  is open because  $O'(y)$  is an open neighbourhood of  $y$  and  $O'(y) \subset M_s(y)$ . Again  $F^{-1}(O'(y)) = F^{-1}(O(y)) \cup F^{-1}(N(y'))$ . But  $x' \in Bd F^{-1}(N(y'))$ . Hence by Lemma 3.5,  $x' \in Cl F^{-1}(O(y))$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.6.** *Let  $F : X \rightarrow Y$  be a strongly injective open multifunction from a Hausdorff space  $X$  into  $Y$ . If  $x$  is an  $s_y$ -point and  $M_s(y)$ , the largest open neighbourhood of  $y$  intersects  $F_{ld}(x')$  for some  $x' \in X$  then  $x'$  must be equal to  $x$ .*

PROOF. Let  $N(x)$  be any open neighbourhood of  $x$  in  $X$ . Since  $F$  is open,  $F(N(x))$  will be open in  $Y$ . Consider the set  $F(N(x)) \cap M_s(y) = W(y)$ . Then  $W(y)$  is an open neighbourhood of  $y$  for which  $W(y) \subset M_s(y)$ . Obviously  $F^{-1}(W(y))$  is open and  $F^{-1}(W(y)) \subset F^{-1}F(N(x)) = N(x)$  as  $F$  is strongly injective. Again  $x' \in Cl F^{-1}(W(y))$  as proved in Theorem 3.4. Consequently,  $x' \in Cl N(x)$ . Since  $X$  is a Hausdorff space,  $x$  and  $x'$  must be identical as was to be proved.  $\square$

We use the following terminology for an  $s$ -point or a  $c$ -point in the rest of the paper.

**Definition 3.7.** A multifunction  $F : X \rightarrow Y$  is called honest at  $x \in X$  if  $x$  is an  $s$ -point or a  $c$ -point.  $x$  is then called honest with respect to  $F$  (or simply, honest). Clearly, an honest point  $x$  is an  $s_y$ -point for at least one  $y \in F(x)$ . We will often say that  $x$  is an honest  $s_y$ -point to mean it.

**Corollary 3.8.** *Let  $x$  be an honest  $s_y$ -point with respect to a multifunction  $F : X \rightarrow Y$  and  $x' \in X_{ld}$ . If  $X$  is Hausdorff and  $F$  is open and strongly injective then  $M_s(y) \cap F_{ld}(x') = \phi$ .*

In the following theorem we exhibit the influence of an honest  $s_y$ -point on the corresponding largest open neighbourhood for a multifunction defined in a Hausdorff space with some restrictions.

**Theorem 3.9.** *Let  $x$  be an honest  $s_y$ -point with respect to  $F : X \rightarrow Y$ . If  $X$  is Hausdorff and  $F$  is open and strongly injective then  $M_s(y)$  can not contain any  $y_1 \in F_w(x_1)$  for any  $w_{y_1}$ -point  $x_1$ .*

PROOF. If possible, let  $M_s(y)$  contain an  $y_1 \in F_w(x_1)$  for a  $w_{y_1}$ -point  $x_1$ . Then by Theorem 2.21 either  $y_1$  is a  $\downarrow$ -image of an *l.s.d.* point or there is a  $\downarrow$ -image of an *l.s.d.* point in  $M_s(y)$ , which contradicts with Corollary 3.8.  $\square$

It might have been known that an open bijective multifunction on a Hausdorff space need not be lower semi-continuous but we are unable to find any reference. So we give the following example.

**Example 3.10.** We consider  $F : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  of Example 2.17. It is easy to verify that  $F$  is an open bijection on a Hausdorff space. But  $F^{-1}((\frac{3}{8}, \frac{5}{8})) = \{\frac{1}{2}\}$  is not open. So  $F$  is not *l.s.c.*

Now we study the role of an honest  $s_y$ -point and give a necessary and sufficient condition for an open bijection on a Hausdorff space to be lower semi-continuous.

**Theorem 3.11.** *Let  $F : X \rightarrow Y$  be an open bijection and  $X$  be Hausdorff. Then  $F$  is *l.s.c.* if and only if there exists an honest  $s_y$ -point such that  $M_s(y) = Y$ .*

PROOF. *Sufficiency.* Corollary 3.8 ensures that no point of  $X$  is a point of  $X_{ld}$ . Also by Theorem 3.9, no  $x \in X$  is in  $X_w$  or in  $X_c$ . Hence  $x$  must be in  $X_s$  as  $X = X_s \cup X_w \cup X_c \cup X_{ld}$  and hence by Remark 2.22,  $F$  is *l.s.c.*

*Necessity.* Suppose  $F$  is *l.s.c.* Let  $x \in X$  and  $y \in F(x)$ . Clearly,  $Y$  is an open neighbourhood of  $y$ . Since  $F$  is *l.s.c.*,  $x$  is an *s*-point by Remark 2.22, and hence,  $x$  is an honest  $s_y$ -point. Let  $O(y)$  be any open neighbourhood of  $y$ . If possible, let  $F^{-1}(O(y))$  be not open. Then  $Bd F^{-1}(O(y)) \neq \phi$  and so by Corollary 2.5, there is an *l.s.d.* point in  $X$ , a contradiction. Hence  $F^{-1}(O(y))$  is open and consequently,  $M_s(y) = Y$ .  $\square$

We now state a theorem (without proof) which follows directly from Corollary 2.5.

**Theorem 3.12.** *For a multifunction  $F : X \rightarrow Y$ , if  $V$  is an open set in  $Y$  such that it does not contain any  $\downarrow$ -image points of *l.s.d.* points then  $F^{-1}(O)$  is open when  $O$  is any open subset of  $V$ .*

Next we prove some results on the intrinsic nature of the largest open neighbourhood  $M_s(y)$  of  $y$  for an  $s_y$ -point and the point  $y$  itself.

**Theorem 3.13.** *Let  $F : X \rightarrow Y$  be strongly injective, open and  $X$  a Hausdorff space. If  $x \in X_s^y$  then  $y$  can not be a limit point of the  $\downarrow$ -image points of those *l.s.d.* points which are different from  $x$ .*

PROOF. Let  $x \in X_s^y$  and if possible, let  $y$  be a limit point of the  $\downarrow$ -image points of those *l.s.d.* points which are different from  $x$ . Let  $M_s(y)$  be the largest open neighbourhood of  $y$ . Then there is an  $y_1 (\neq y)$  in  $M_s(y)$  such that an  $y_1$ -*l.s.d.* point, say  $x_1$  exists which is different from  $x$ , but this is a contradiction because  $x_1 = x$  by Theorem 3.6.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.14.** *Let  $F : X \rightarrow Y$  be strongly injective,  $x \in X_s^y$  and  $M_s(y)$  be the largest open neighbourhood of  $y \in F(x)$ . Then*

- (a)  $M_s(y)$  will contain  $y_1 \in F_{ld}(x)$  (if exists) provided  $y_1$  is not a limit point of the  $\downarrow$ -image points of those *l.s.d.* points which are different from  $x$ ,
- (b)  $M_s(y)$  will contain  $y_1 \in F_s(x_1), x_1 \neq x$  (if exists) provided  $F$  is open,  $X$  is Hausdorff and  $y_1$  is not a limit point of the  $\downarrow$ -image points of  $x_1$ ,
- (c)  $M_s(y)$  will not contain any  $y_1 \in F_w(x_1)$  for  $x_1 \in X$  (if exists) provided  $F$  is open, closed and  $X$  is Hausdorff.

PROOF. (a). Let  $x \in X_s^y$  and let  $M_s(y)$  be the corresponding largest open neighbourhood of  $y$ . Then  $x \in F^{-1}(M_s(y))$ . Let  $y_1 \in F_{ld}(x)$  and  $y_1$  is not a limit point of the  $\downarrow$ -image points of those *l.s.d.* points which are different from  $x$ . If possible, let  $y_1 \notin M_s(y)$ . It is clear that an open neighbourhood  $O(y_1)$  of  $y_1$  exists such that  $O(y_1)$  does not contain any  $\downarrow$ -image point of those *l.s.d.* points which are different from  $x$ . Now consider the set  $N(y) = M_s(y) \cup O(y_1)$ . Obviously,  $N(y) \supset M_s(y)$ . Now, let  $N'(y)$  be any open sub-neighbourhood of  $y$  such that  $N'(y) \subset N(y)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} F^{-1}(N'(y)) &= F^{-1}(N'(y) \cap N(y)) \\ &= F^{-1}(N'(y) \cap M_s(y)) \cup F^{-1}(N'(y) \cap O(y_1)). \end{aligned}$$

Now  $N'(y) \cap M_s(y)$  is an open neighbourhood of  $y$  and  $N'(y) \cap M_s(y) \subset M_s(y)$ . Hence  $F^{-1}(N'(y) \cap M_s(y))$  is open. Again,  $N'(y) \cap O(y_1) \subset O(y_1)$ . Evidently  $Bd F^{-1}(N'(y) \cap O(y_1)) \subset \{x\}$ , because if there is any other point  $x_1 \neq x$  and  $x_1 \in Bd F^{-1}(N'(y) \cap O(y_1))$ , then that will imply the existence of an  $y'_1 \in F(x_1)$  in  $N'(y) \cap O(y_1) \subset O(y_1)$  such that  $y'_1 \neq y_1$  because  $F$  is strongly injective and so  $x_1$  is an  $y'_1$ -*l.s.d.* point and hence  $y'_1 \in F_{ld}(x_1) \cap O(y_1)$ , a contradiction. But  $x \in Int F^{-1}(N'(y) \cap M_s(y))$ . Hence  $Bd F^{-1}(N'(y)) = \phi$ . So  $N(y) \subset M_s(y)$  which is impossible proving (a).  $\square$

(b). Let  $x \in X_s^y$  and  $M_s(y)$  be the corresponding largest open neighbourhood of  $y$ . Let  $x_1 (\neq x) \in X_s^{y_1}$ . Since  $F$  is strongly injective  $y_1 \neq y$ . Suppose that  $y_1$  is not a limit point of the  $\downarrow$ -image points of  $x_1$ . Hence there exists an open neighbourhood  $O(y_1)$  of  $y_1$  such that  $O(y_1)$  does not contain  $\downarrow$ -image points of *l.s.d.* points by Theorem 3.13 and  $F^{-1}(O(y_1))$  is open by Theorem 3.12. If possible, let  $y_1 \notin M_s(y)$ . Now, we consider the set  $M(y) = M_s(y) \cup O(y_1)$ . Let  $M'(y)$  be any open sub-neighbourhood of  $y$ , i.e.,  $M'(y) \subset M(y)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} F^{-1}(M'(y)) &= F^{-1}(M'(y) \cap M(y)) \\ &= F^{-1}(M'(y) \cap M_s(y)) \cup F^{-1}(M'(y) \cap O(y_1)). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $M'(y) \cap M_s(y) \subset M_s(y)$  and is an open neighbourhood of  $y$ , hence  $F^{-1}(M'(y) \cap M_s(y))$  is open. Again, since  $M'(y) \cap O(y_1) \subset O(y_1)$  and is an open set,  $F^{-1}(M'(y) \cap O(y_1))$  must also be open by Theorem 3.12. So  $M(y) \subset M_s(y)$  which is impossible. Hence  $y_1 \in M_s(y)$ .  $\square$

(c). Let  $x \in X_s^y$  and  $M_s(y)$  be the largest open neighbourhood of  $y$ . If possible, let  $M_s(y)$  contain an  $y_1 \in F_w(x_1)$  for an  $x_1 \in X$ . Let  $N(y_1)$  be any open neighbourhood of  $y_1$  for which  $N(y_1) \subset M_s(y)$ . Then there exists an open neighbourhood  $N'(y_1)$  of  $y_1$  for which  $N'(y_1) \subset N(y_1)$ ,  $x_1 \in \text{Int } F^{-1}(N'(y_1))$  and  $\text{Bd } F^{-1}(N'(y_1)) \neq \phi$ . Let  $x_2 \in \text{Bd } F^{-1}(N'(y_1))$  and  $y_2 \in N'(y_1) \cap F(x_2)$ . Then by Corollary 2.5,  $x_2$  is an  $y_2$ -*l.s.d.* point. Clearly,  $y_2 \in M_s(y)$  and then by Theorem 3.6,  $x_2 = x$ . Since  $x_1 \neq x_2$  and  $F$  is strongly injective, we have  $y_1 \neq y_2$ . Hence  $y_1$  is a limit point of  $F(x)$ . Since  $X$  is Hausdorff and  $F$  is closed it follows that  $F(x)$  is closed and so,  $y_1 \in F(x)$  which implies  $F(x) \cap F(x_1) \neq \phi$ , a contradiction as  $F$  is strongly injective.  $\square$

It is easy to notice (as shown in the following example) that for a point which is both an  $s_y$ -point and also an  $s_{y_1}$ -point ( $y \neq y_1$ ), the largest open neighbourhood of  $y$  and that of  $y_1$  may not be equal.

**Example 3.15.** Let  $F : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be defined by  $F(\frac{1}{2}) = \{0, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}\}$ ,  $F(1) = \{0, \frac{1}{4}, 1\}$  and  $F(x) = \{x, 0\}$  otherwise. It is easy to verify that  $\frac{1}{2}$  is an  $s_0$ -point as well as an  $s_{\frac{1}{2}}$ -point and  $M_s(0) = [0, 1]$  whereas  $M_s(\frac{1}{2}) = [0, 1] - \{\frac{1}{4}\}$ .

However we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.16.** *Let  $F : X \rightarrow Y$  be strongly injective, open and  $X$  a Hausdorff space. If  $x \in X_s^y$ ,  $x \in X_s^{y_1}$  and  $y \neq y_1$ , then the largest open neighbourhood  $M_s(y)$  of  $y$  will also be the largest open neighbourhood  $M_s(y_1)$  of  $y_1$ .*

PROOF. Let us consider the set  $N(y) = M_s(y) \cup M_s(y_1)$ . Clearly,  $N(y)$  is an open neighbourhood of  $y$ . Let  $N'(y)$  be any open neighbourhood of  $y$  for which  $N'(y) \subset N(y)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} F^{-1}(N'(y)) &= F^{-1}(N'(y) \cap N(y)) \\ &= F^{-1}(N'(y) \cap M_s(y)) \cup F^{-1}(N'(y) \cap M_s(y_1)). \end{aligned}$$

Obviously,  $F^{-1}(N'(y) \cap M_s(y))$  is open because  $N'(y) \cap M_s(y) \subset M_s(y)$  and is an open neighbourhood of  $y$ . Now we set  $N'(y) \cap M_s(y_1) = N''$ . Then  $N''$  is also an open set. If  $y_1 \in N''$  then  $N''$  is an open neighbourhood of  $y_1$  for which  $N'' \subset M_s(y_1)$ . Hence  $F^{-1}(N'')$  is open in this case. If  $y_1 \notin N''$  and  $Bd F^{-1}(N'') \neq \phi$ , then this will imply the existence of at least one  $y_2$ -*l.s.d.* point  $x_2$  in  $Bd F^{-1}(N'') \subset X$  such that  $y_2 \in M_s(y_1)$  by Corollary 2.5. But by Theorem 3.6,  $x_2 = x$ . Hence  $Bd F^{-1}(N'') = \{x\}$ . Therefore  $Bd F^{-1}(N'') \subset F^{-1}(N'(y) \cap M_s(y))$ . But  $F^{-1}(N'(y) \cap M_s(y))$  is an open set. Hence  $x$  is an interior point of  $F^{-1}(N'(y))$ . Therefore  $F^{-1}(N'(y))$  is an open set. This shows that  $N(y) \subset M_s(y)$ . But by construction  $N(y) \supset M_s(y)$ . Consequently  $N(y) = M_s(y)$ . Similarly it can be proved that  $N(y) = M_s(y_1)$ . Hence  $M_s(y) = M_s(y_1)$ , proving the theorem.  $\square$

Finally we have a theorem on the cardinality of the set of points of lower semi-discontinuity which stems from the following example.

**Example 3.17.** Let  $F : (\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{U}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}, \tau)$  be a multifunction defined by

$$\begin{aligned} F(x) &= \{x + 1\}, x = 2, 3, 4, \dots\dots\dots \\ &= \{1, 2\}, x = 1 \\ &= \{x\}, \textit{otherwise} \end{aligned}$$

where a base for  $\tau$  is the set of all open intervals with rational end points and all of the singletons  $\{x\}$  where  $x = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots\dots$ . It is easy to verify that the points of *l.s.d.* are  $x = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots\dots$ . It is also worthwhile to notice that  $(\mathbb{R}, \tau)$  is locally connected and second countable.

Before going to the theorem, we first state (without proof) the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.18.** *Let  $F : X \rightarrow Y$  be an open bijection. Then  $F^{-1}(A)$  is connected in  $X$  if  $A$  is connected in  $Y$ .*

**Theorem 3.19.** *If  $F : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow Y$  is an open bijection of the real line  $\mathbb{R}$  into a locally connected space  $Y$  satisfying the second axiom of countability, then the set of points of lower semi-discontinuity of the multifunction  $F$  must be countable at most.*

PROOF. Let  $x \in \mathbb{R}_{ld}$ . Then there exists an  $y \in F(x)$  and an open neighbourhood  $N(y)$  of  $y$  such that  $x \in Bd F^{-1}(N(y))$ . Since  $Y$  is locally connected, there exists a connected open neighbourhood  $U(y)$  of  $y$  such that  $U(y) \subset N(y)$ . Then  $x \in Bd F^{-1}(U(y))$ . Let us consider the family  $\Omega$  of open connected sets such that for any arbitrarily chosen  $x \in \mathbb{R}_{ld}$  it will be possible to find at least one  $U \in \Omega$  for at least one  $y \in F(x)$  such that  $y \in U$  and  $x \in Bd F^{-1}(U)$ . Since  $Y$  satisfies the second axiom of countability, there is a countable open base  $\{O_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  of  $Y$ . Hence it is possible to find an  $O \in \{O_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  such that  $y \in O \subset U$ . Hence as  $x$  runs over  $\mathbb{R}_{ld}$  the corresponding set  $O$  runs over a subfamily of  $\{O_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . Since this subfamily of the sets  $O$  is countable, the corresponding sets  $U \in \Omega$  also form a countable family. Let  $\{U_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  be the countable family of sets  $U$  so obtained. For any  $x \in \mathbb{R}_{ld}$  it will be possible, obviously, to select an  $U_n \in \{U_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  for at least one  $y \in F(x)$  such that  $y \in U_n$  and  $x \in Bd F^{-1}(U_n)$ , by construction of the family  $\{U_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . So  $\mathbb{R}_{ld} \subset \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} Bd F^{-1}(U_n)$ . Since  $F$  is open and bijective and  $U_n$  is connected for each  $n$ ,  $F^{-1}(U_n)$  is also connected for each  $n$  and it will have at most two boundary points. Also  $\{F^{-1}(U_n) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a countable family of connected sets. Hence  $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} Bd F^{-1}(U_n)$  is countable at most and so,  $\mathbb{R}_{ld} \subset \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} Bd F^{-1}(U_n)$  is also at most countable.  $\square$

**Acknowledgment.** We are thankful to the referee for his valuable comments and suggestions for the improvement of the merit of the paper.

## References

- [1] J. Cao and W. B. Moors, *Quasicontinuous selections of upper continuous set-valued mappings*, Real Anal. Exchange, **31(1)** (2005), 1-7.
- [2] E. Ekici, *On almost and weak forms of nearly continuous multifunctions*, Proc. Jangjeon Math. Soc., **9(2)** (2006), 109-120.
- [3] S. R. Ghosh and H. Dasgupta, *Classification of points of continuities and discontinuities of a mapping in topological spaces*, Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc., **97(4)** (2005), 282-296.
- [4] R. E. Smithson, *Multifunctions*, Nieuw Arch. Wiskd. (5), **XX** (1972), 31-53.

- [5] R. Vaidyanathaswamy, *Set topology*, Chelsea Publishing Company, New York, 2nd Edition (1960).
- [6] G. T. Whyburn, *Continuity of multifunctions*, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, **54** (1965), 1494-1501.

