

J. Yeh, Department of Mathematics, University of California, Irvine, CA  
92697, U.S.A. email: jyeh@math.uci.edu

## CONSTRUCTION OF MEASURE BY MASS DISTRIBUTION

### Abstract

In this note we show that a measure can be constructed on an arbitrary set by iterated arbitrary mass distribution over arbitrary subsets of the set.

A Borel outer measure on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is an outer measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  whose  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  of  $\mu$ -measurable subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  contains the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}^n}$ . The restriction of a Borel outer measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  to the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  is then a measure on this  $\sigma$ -algebra which contains all the Borel sets in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . K. Falconer [3] describes a method of constructing a Borel outer measure on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  by repeated mass distributions. (What is called a measure in [3] is an outer measure in our terminology.) The process of mass distribution may be described as follows.

Let  $E$  be a bounded Borel set in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Let  $\mathcal{E}_0 = \{E\}$ . For  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ , let  $\mathcal{E}_k$  be a collection of disjoint Borel subsets of  $E$  such that each member  $U$  of  $\mathcal{E}_k$  is contained in one of the members of  $\mathcal{E}_{k-1}$  and contains a finite number of members of  $\mathcal{E}_{k+1}$  and the maximum diameter of the members of  $\mathcal{E}_k$  tends to 0 as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . It is not required that a member of  $\mathcal{E}_k$  is equal to the union of the members of  $\mathcal{E}_{k+1}$  it contains. Let us assign a mass  $\mu(E) \in (0, \infty)$  to the set  $E$ . Subdivide this mass between the members  $U_1, \dots, U_m$  of  $\mathcal{E}_1$  in such a way that  $\sum_{i=1}^m \mu(U_i) = \mu(E)$ . For each set  $U$  in  $\mathcal{E}_1$ , subdivide the mass  $\mu(U)$  between the members  $U_1, \dots, U_n$  of  $\mathcal{E}_2$  contained in  $U$  in such a way that  $\sum_{j=1}^n \mu(U_j) = \mu(U)$ . We repeat this subdivision of mass indefinitely. Consider the sequence  $(\mathcal{E}_k : k \in \mathbb{Z}_+)$ , where  $\mathbb{Z}_+ = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ , of collections of Borel subsets of  $E$ . For each  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ , let  $E_k$  be the union of the members of  $\mathcal{E}_k$ . Then  $(E_k : k \in \mathbb{Z}_+)$  is a decreasing sequence and  $(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus E_k : k \in \mathbb{Z}_+)$  is an increasing sequence. We set  $\mu(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus E_k) = 0$  for every  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ . The collection

---

Mathematical Reviews subject classification: Primary: 28A99

Key words: net measure, mass distribution

Received by the editors May 5, 2009

Communicated by: Brian S. Thomson

$\mathcal{E}$  of all sets in  $\mathcal{E}_k$  and  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus E_k$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  is a covering class for  $\mathbb{R}^n$  in the sense that there exists a sequence in  $\mathcal{E}$  the union of whose members is equal to  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Let  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  be the collection of all subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . If we define a set function  $\mu$  on  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  by setting for every  $A \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$

$$\mu(A) = \inf \left\{ \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mu(U_i) : A \subset \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} U_i \text{ and } U_i \in \mathcal{E} \right\},$$

then  $\mu$  is a Borel outer measure on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and the support of the measure  $\mu$  on the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  is contained in  $\bigcap_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \overline{E_k}$ .

A net  $\mathcal{N}$  of subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is a subcollection of  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with the property that if  $V_1, V_2 \in \mathcal{N}$  then either  $V_1 \cap V_2 = \emptyset$  or else  $V_1 \subset V_2$  or  $V_2 \subset V_1$ . In Falconer's construction of a measure on  $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}^n}$  by mass distribution described above, the mass is distributed over a net of subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

A covering class  $\mathcal{V}$  of subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is a subcollection of  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{V}$  and there exists  $\{V_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset \mathcal{V}$  such that  $\bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} V_i = \mathbb{R}^n$ .

A premeasure  $\gamma$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is a nonnegative extended real-valued set function on a covering class  $\mathcal{V}$  of subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $\gamma(\emptyset) = 0$ . Given a premeasure  $\gamma$  defined on a covering class  $\mathcal{V}$  of subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , a set function  $\mu$  on  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  defined by setting for every  $E \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$

$$\mu(E) = \inf \left\{ \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \gamma(V_i) : (V_i : i \in \mathbb{N}) \subset \mathcal{V}, \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} V_i \supset E \right\},$$

is an outer measure on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Restriction of  $\mu$  to the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  of  $\mu$ -measurable subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , that is,  $E \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  satisfying the Carathéodory condition

$$\mu(A) = \mu(E \cap A) = \mu(E^c \cap A) \quad \text{for every } A \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

is the a measure generated by the premeasure  $\gamma$ . In general, the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  may not contain the covering class  $\mathcal{V}$  on which the premeasure  $\gamma$  is based. If the covering class  $\mathcal{V}$  is a semialgebra of subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\gamma$  is additive on  $\mathcal{V}$  then  $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{M}$  and if  $\gamma$  is countably additive on the semialgebra  $\mathcal{V}$  then we have  $\gamma = \mu$  on  $\mathcal{V}$ .

A net measure is a measure generated by a premeasure whose covering class is a net. Thus Falconer's measure on  $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}^n}$  constructed by mass distribution is a net measure on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

Net measures are a useful tool in the study of Hausdorff measures. A. S. Besicovitch [1] constructed net measures comparable to a Hausdorff measure  $\mathcal{H}^s$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  to show that any closed set of infinite  $\mathcal{H}^s$ -measure contains subsets of positive and finite  $\mathcal{H}^s$ -measure. For a treatise of comparable net measures we refer to K. Falconer [2].

In this article we show that a measure can be constructed on an arbitrary set  $X$  by mass distribution on a sequence  $(\mathcal{D}_k : k \in \mathbb{Z}_+)$  of successive decompositions of  $X$  into arbitrary subsets. We show that the collection of subsets of  $X$  resulting from the decompositions  $(\mathcal{D}_k : k \in \mathbb{Z}_+)$  together with  $\emptyset$  constitute a semialgebra of subsets of  $X$  and an arbitrary mass distribution over these sets is a countably additive set function on the semialgebra. This set function is then extended to be a measure on the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by the semialgebra.

A collection  $\mathcal{S}$  of subsets of a set  $X$  is called a semialgebra if it satisfies the following conditions:

- 1°  $\emptyset, X \in \mathcal{S}$ ,
- 2° if  $E, F \in \mathcal{S}$ , then  $E \cap F \in \mathcal{S}$ ,
- 3° if  $E \in \mathcal{S}$ , then  $E^c$  is a finite disjoint union of members of  $\mathcal{S}$ .

It follows that a finite union of members of  $\mathcal{S}$  is always equal to a finite disjoint union of members of  $\mathcal{S}$ . The collection of all finite unions of members of a semialgebra  $\mathcal{S}$  is equal to the algebra  $\alpha(\mathcal{S})$  generated by  $\mathcal{S}$ , that is, the smallest algebra of subsets of  $X$  containing  $\mathcal{S}$ .

Let  $\mu$  be a nonnegative extended real-valued set function on a semialgebra  $\mathcal{S}$  of subsets of a set  $X$  with  $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$ . We say that  $\mu$  is finitely additive on  $\mathcal{S}$  if for every finite disjoint collection  $\{E_1, \dots, E_n\}$  of members of  $\mathcal{S}$  such that  $\bigcup_{i=1}^n E_i \in \mathcal{S}$  we have  $\mu(\bigcup_{i=1}^n E_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n \mu(E_i)$ . We say that  $\mu$  is countably additive on  $\mathcal{S}$  if for every countable disjoint collection  $\{E_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  of members of  $\mathcal{S}$  such that  $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} E_n \in \mathcal{S}$  we have  $\mu(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} E_n) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mu(E_n)$ .

For  $A \in \alpha(\mathcal{S})$  given as a finite disjoint union of member  $E_1, \dots, E_n$  of  $\mathcal{S}$ , let us define  $\mu(A) = \sum_{i=1}^n \mu(E_i)$ . Then  $\mu$  is finitely additive on  $\alpha(\mathcal{S})$  if and only if  $\mu$  is finitely additive on  $\mathcal{S}$  and  $\mu$  is countably additive on  $\alpha(\mathcal{S})$  if and only if  $\mu$  is countably additive on  $\mathcal{S}$ . According to the Hopf Extension Theorem, if a nonnegative extended real-valued set function  $\mu$  on an algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  of subsets of a set  $X$  with  $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$  is countably additive on  $\mathcal{A}$  then  $\mu$  is extendible to a measure on the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\sigma(\mathcal{A})$  generated by  $\mathcal{A}$ , that is, the smallest  $\sigma$ -algebra of subsets of  $X$  containing  $\mathcal{A}$ . Moreover the extension of  $\mu$  to a measure on  $\sigma(\mathcal{S}) = \sigma(\alpha(\mathcal{S}))$  is unique when  $\mu$  is  $\sigma$ -finite on  $\mathcal{S}$ . For proofs of these statements regarding an extension of a set function on a semialgebra  $\mathcal{S}$  to a measure on the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\sigma(\mathcal{S}) = \sigma(\alpha(\mathcal{S}))$  we refer to [4].

**Definition 1.** Let  $X$  be a non-empty set. Let  $(\mathcal{D}_k : k \in \mathbb{Z}_+)$  be a sequence of decompositions of  $X$  into arbitrary subsets of  $X$  and let  $\gamma$  be a set function on  $\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \mathcal{D}_k$ . We call  $(\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \mathcal{D}_k, \gamma)$  a mass distribution on  $X$  if  $(\mathcal{D}_k : k \in \mathbb{Z}_+)$  and  $\gamma$  satisfy the following conditions;

- 1° For each  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ ,  $\mathcal{D}_k$  is a finite disjoint collection of non-empty subsets of  $X$  whose union is equal to  $X$ .
- 2° For each  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ ,  $\mathcal{D}_{k+1}$  is a refinement of  $\mathcal{D}_k$ , that is, every member of  $\mathcal{D}_k$  is a union of some members of  $\mathcal{D}_{k+1}$ .
- 3°  $\mathcal{D}_0 = \{X\}$ .
- 4°  $\gamma(X) \in (0, \infty)$ .
- 5°  $\gamma(E) \in [0, \infty)$  for every  $E \in \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \mathcal{D}_k$ .
- 6°  $\gamma(E) = \sum_{i=1}^p \gamma(E_i)$  for every  $E \in \mathcal{D}_k$  such that  $E = \bigcup_{i=1}^p E_i$  where  $\{E_1, \dots, E_p\} \subset \mathcal{D}_{k+1}$ .

**Lemma 2.** Let  $(\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \mathcal{D}_k, \gamma)$  be a mass distribution on a non-empty set  $X$ . Let  $\mathcal{S} = \{\emptyset\} \cup \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \mathcal{D}_k$  and let  $\gamma(\emptyset) = 0$ . Then we have:

- (a)  $\mathcal{S}$  is a semialgebra of subsets of  $X$ .
- (b) If  $E, F \in \mathcal{S}$  then either  $E \cap F = \emptyset$  or else  $E \subset F$  or  $F \subset E$ .
- (c)  $\gamma$  is a countably additive set function on the semialgebra  $\mathcal{S}$ .

**Proof. 1.** Let us show that  $\mathcal{S}$  is a semialgebra of subsets of  $X$ . Clearly  $\emptyset, X \in \mathcal{S}$ . Let us show that  $\mathcal{S}$  is closed under intersections. Let  $E, F \in \mathcal{S}$ . If at least one of the two sets is the empty set then their intersection is the empty set which is a member of  $\mathcal{S}$ . Suppose neither of the two sets  $E$  and  $F$  is the empty set. Then  $E, F \in \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \mathcal{D}_k$  so that  $E \in \mathcal{D}_m$  and  $F \in \mathcal{D}_n$  for some  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ . We may assume without loss of generality that  $m \leq n$ . If  $m = n$  then  $E, F \in \mathcal{D}_m$  so that either  $E = F$  so that  $E \cap F = E \in \mathcal{S}$  or  $E \cap F = \emptyset \in \mathcal{S}$ . Now consider the case  $m < n$ . In this case  $F \in \mathcal{D}_n$  is contained in a member of  $\mathcal{D}_m$ . If our  $E \in \mathcal{D}_m$  is the member of  $\mathcal{D}_m$  containing  $F$  then  $E \cap F = F \in \mathcal{S}$ . If  $E$  does not contain  $F$  then  $F$  is contained in another member of  $\mathcal{D}_m$  which is disjoint from  $E$  so that  $E \cap F = \emptyset \in \mathcal{S}$ . This shows that  $\mathcal{S}$  is closed under intersections. We have shown also that if  $E, F \in \mathcal{S}$  then either  $E \cap F = \emptyset$  or else  $E \subset F$  or  $F \subset E$ .

Let us show that for every  $E \in \mathcal{S}$  the complement  $E^c$  is a finite disjoint union of members of  $\mathcal{S}$ . Let  $E \in \mathcal{S}$ . If  $E = \emptyset$  then  $E^c = X \in \mathcal{S}$  so that  $E^c$  is trivially a finite disjoint union of members of  $\mathcal{S}$ . If  $E \neq \emptyset$  then  $E \in \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \mathcal{D}_k$  so that  $E \in \mathcal{D}_k$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ . Then since  $\mathcal{D}_k$  is a finite disjoint collection of sets the union of all of which is equal to  $X$ ,  $E^c$  is equal to the union of all the members of  $\mathcal{D}_k$  other than  $E$ . Then  $E^c$  is a finite disjoint union of members of  $\mathcal{S}$ . Thus we have verified (a) and (b).

**2.** Let us show that  $\gamma$  is countably additive on  $\mathcal{S}$ , that is, if  $(E_n : n \in \mathbb{N})$  is a disjoint sequence in  $\mathcal{S}$  such that  $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} E_n \in \mathcal{S}$  then  $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \gamma(E_n) = \gamma(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} E_n)$ . Since  $\gamma(\emptyset) = 0$ , it suffices to consider the case  $(E_n : n \in \mathbb{N}) \subset \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \mathcal{D}_k$ . Now  $\gamma(X) \in (0, \infty)$ . Let  $J = [0, \gamma(X))$ , an interval in  $\mathbb{R}$  with

length  $\ell(J) = \gamma(X)$ . Let  $\mathcal{J}$  be the collection of all left-closed and right-open subintervals of  $J$ . We construct below that a mapping  $\varphi$  of  $\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \mathcal{D}_k$  into  $\mathcal{J}$  satisfying the following conditions:

- 1°  $\varphi$  is one-to-one,
- 2° if  $E_1, E_2 \in \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \mathcal{D}_k$  and  $E_1 \supset E_2$  then  $\varphi(E_1) \supset \varphi(E_2)$ ,
- 3° if  $E_1, E_2 \in \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \mathcal{D}_k$  and  $E_1 \cap E_2 = \emptyset$  then  $\varphi(E_1) \cap \varphi(E_2) = \emptyset$ ,
- 4°  $\ell(\varphi(E)) = \gamma(E)$  for  $E \in \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \mathcal{D}_k$ .

Consider  $\mathcal{D}_1 = \{E_{1,1}, \dots, E_{1,p_0}\}$ , a finite disjoint collection of non-empty subsets of  $X$  such that  $\bigcup_{j=1}^{p_0} E_{1,j} = X$  and  $\sum_{j=1}^{p_0} \gamma(E_{1,j}) = \gamma(X)$ . Decompose  $J$  into  $p_0$  disjoint left-closed and right-open subintervals of  $J$ ,  $\{J_{1,1}, \dots, J_{1,p_0}\}$  with  $\ell(J_{1,j}) = \gamma(E_{1,j})$  for  $j = 1, \dots, p_0$  so that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{p_0} \ell(J_{1,j}) = \sum_{j=1}^{p_0} \gamma(E_{1,j}) = \gamma(X) = \ell(J).$$

We define a mapping  $\varphi$  of  $\mathcal{D}_1$  into  $\mathcal{J}$

$$\varphi(E_{1,j}) = J_{1,j} \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, p_0.$$

Next consider  $\mathcal{D}_2$ , a refinement of  $\mathcal{D}_1$ . Thus each  $E_{1,i}$  in  $\mathcal{D}_1$  is decomposed into a subcollection  $\{E_{1,i,1}, \dots, E_{1,i,p_{1,i}}\}$  of  $\mathcal{D}_2$ . By the definition of  $\gamma$  we have  $\sum_{j=1}^{p_{1,i}} \gamma(E_{1,i,j}) = \gamma(E_{1,i})$ . Decompose  $J_{1,i} = \varphi(E_{1,i})$  into  $p_{1,i}$  disjoint left-closed and right-open intervals  $\{J_{1,i,1}, \dots, J_{1,i,p_{1,i}}\}$  with  $\ell(J_{1,i,j}) = \gamma(E_{1,i,j})$  for  $j = 1, \dots, p_{1,i}$ . Then we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^{p_{1,i}} \ell(J_{1,i,j}) = \sum_{j=1}^{p_{1,i}} \gamma(E_{1,i,j}) = \gamma(E_{1,i}) = \ell(J_{1,i}).$$

We define we extend the definition of  $\varphi$  to  $\mathcal{D}_2$  by setting

$$\varphi(E_{1,i,j}) = J_{1,i,j} \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, p_{1,i}.$$

We extend the definition of  $\varphi$  to  $\mathcal{D}_3$  in the same manner and so on for  $\mathcal{D}_k$  for  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ . The mapping  $\varphi$  thus defined on  $\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \mathcal{D}_k$  satisfies conditions 1° to 4°.

Let us show the countable additivity of the set function  $\gamma$  on the semi-algebra  $\mathcal{S}$ . Let  $(E_n : n \in \mathbb{N})$  be a disjoint sequence in  $\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \mathcal{D}_k$  such that  $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} E_n \in \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \mathcal{D}_k$ . Then  $(\varphi(E_n) : n \in \mathbb{N})$  is a disjoint sequence in  $\mathcal{J}$  and

$\varphi(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} E_n) \in \mathcal{J}$ . Let  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{M}_L, \mu_L)$  be the Lebesgue measure space on  $\mathbb{R}$ . By the fact that  $\mathcal{J} \subset \mathcal{M}_L$  and the fact that  $\mu_L(J) = \ell(J)$  for  $J \in \mathcal{J}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma\left(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} E_n\right) &= \ell\left(\varphi\left(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} E_n\right)\right) = \mu_L\left(\varphi\left(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} E_n\right)\right) \\ &= \mu_L\left(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \varphi(E_n)\right) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mu_L(\varphi(E_n)) \\ &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \ell(\varphi(E_n)) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \gamma(E_n). \end{aligned}$$

This proves the countable additivity of  $\gamma$  on the semialgebra  $\mathcal{S}$ . □

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $(\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \mathcal{D}_k, \gamma)$  be a mass distribution on a non-empty set  $X$ . Let  $\mathcal{S} = \{\emptyset\} \cup \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \mathcal{D}_k$  and let  $\gamma(\emptyset) = 0$ . Then there exists a measure space  $(X, \sigma(\mathcal{S}), \mu)$  such that  $\mu = \gamma$  on  $\mathcal{S}$ .*

**Proof.** By Lemma 2,  $\gamma$  is a nonnegative real-valued countably additive set function on the semialgebra  $\mathcal{S}$  of subsets of  $X$  with  $\gamma(\emptyset) = 0$ . Thus  $\gamma$  has a unique extension to a measure  $\mu$  on  $\sigma(\mathcal{S})$ . (See Theorem 21.10, [4] for instance.) □

As an example of constructing a measure on an arbitrary set by mass distributions let us consider the space of infinite sequences of finitely many objects. Let  $A = \{a_1, \dots, a_m\}$ . Let  $X$  be the collection of all infinite sequences of elements of  $A$  given by

$$(a_{n_j} : n \in \mathbb{N}) \quad \text{where } n_j = 1, \dots, m \text{ for } n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (1)$$

For  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  consider the  $k$ -term sequences of elements of  $A$  given by

$$(a_{n_1}, \dots, a_{n_k}) \quad \text{where } n_j = 1, \dots, m \text{ for } n = 1, \dots, k. \quad (2)$$

There are  $m^k$  such sequences. Let  $E[a_{n_1}, \dots, a_{n_k}]$  be the collection of all elements of  $X$  whose first  $k$  entries are  $(a_{n_1}, \dots, a_{n_k})$ , that is,

$$E[a_{n_1}, \dots, a_{n_k}] = \{(s_n : n \in \mathbb{N}) \in X : (s_1, \dots, s_k) = (a_{n_1}, \dots, a_{n_k})\}. \quad (3)$$

For  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  let

$$\mathcal{D}_k = \{E[a_{n_1}, \dots, a_{n_k}]; n_j = 1, \dots, m \text{ for } n = 1, \dots, k\} \quad (4)$$

and let

$$\mathcal{D}_0 = \{X\}. \quad (5)$$

Then for each  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ ,  $\mathcal{D}_k$  is a collection of  $m^k$  disjoint non-empty subset of  $X$ . Moreover every member  $E[a_{n_1}, \dots, a_{n_k}]$  of  $\mathcal{D}_k$  is the union of  $m$  members of  $\mathcal{D}_{k+1}$ , that is,

$$E[a_{n_1}, \dots, a_{n_k}] = E[a_{n_1}, \dots, a_{n_k}, a_1] \cup \dots \cup E[a_{n_1}, \dots, a_{n_k}, a_m]. \quad (6)$$

Thus our  $(\mathcal{D}_k : k \in \mathbb{Z}_+)$  satisfies conditions 1°, 2° and 3° of Definition 1.

Let us define a set function  $\gamma$  on the semialgebra  $\mathcal{S} = \{\emptyset\} \cup \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \mathcal{D}_k$  as follows. Let  $p_1, \dots, p_m$  be arbitrary positive numbers satisfying the condition  $\sum_{i=1}^m p_i = 1$ . Let  $\gamma(\emptyset) = 0$ ,  $\gamma(X) = 1$  and for  $E[a_{n_1}, \dots, a_{n_k}] \in \mathcal{D}_k$  let

$$\gamma(E[a_{n_1}, \dots, a_{n_k}]) = p_{n_1} \cdots p_{n_k}.$$

Then for a decomposition of  $E[a_{n_1}, \dots, a_{n_k}]$  into  $m$  members of  $\mathcal{D}_{k+1}$  as given by (6) we have

$$\gamma(E[a_{n_1}, \dots, a_{n_k}]) = p_{n_1} \cdots p_{n_k} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^m p_i = \sum_{i=1}^m \gamma(E[a_{n_1}, \dots, a_{n_k}, a_i]).$$

This shows that  $\gamma$  satisfies conditions 4°, 5° and 6° of Definition 1. Thus by Theorem 3 the set function  $\gamma$  can be extended uniquely to be a measure  $\mu$  on the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\sigma(\mathcal{S})$  generated by the semialgebra  $\mathcal{S} = \{\emptyset\} \cup \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \mathcal{D}_k$  with  $\mu(X) = 1$ .

**Acknowledgment.** The author wishes to thank the referee for the constructive critique of the first draft.

## References

- [1] A. S. Besicovitch, *On existence of subsets of finite measures of sets of infinite measure*, *Indagationes Mathematicae* **14** (1952), 330–344.
- [2] K. Falconer, *The Geometry of Fractal Sets*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, (1985).
- [3] K. Falconer, *Fractal Geometry*, John Wiley and Sons, New York, (1990).
- [4] J. Yeh, *Real Analysis*, 2nd ed., World Scientific, New Jersey, (2006).

