

Stanisław Kowalczyk, Institute of Mathematics, Academia Pomeraniensis,  
Arciszewskiego 22b, Slupsk, Poland. email: [stkowalcz@onet.eu](mailto:stkowalcz@onet.eu)

## WEAK OPENNESS OF MULTIPLICATION IN THE SPACE $C(0, 1)$

### Abstract

Let  $C(0, 1)$  be the space of all continuous real-valued functions defined on an open interval  $(0, 1)$ . We shall show that the multiplication is a weakly open operation in  $C(0, 1)$ .

Let  $C(0, 1)$  be the space of all continuous real-valued functions in  $(0, 1)$  with the metric  $\text{dist}(f, g) = \min\{\sup\{|f(t) - g(t)| : t \in (0, 1)\}, 1\}$ . There are some natural operations on  $C(0, 1)$ , for example, addition, multiplication, minimum and maximum. In [1, 4, 5] such operations were investigated in the space  $C([0, 1])$  of all continuous real-valued functions defined on  $[0, 1]$ . All the operations are continuous but only addition, minimum and maximum are open as mappings from  $C([0, 1]) \times C([0, 1])$  to  $C([0, 1])$ . It is interested that multiplication is not continuous in  $C(0, 1)$ . Namely, convergence in  $C(0, 1)$  is equivalent to the uniform convergence. Consider  $f_n(x) = \frac{1}{n}$  and  $g_n(x) = \frac{1}{x}$  for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $x \in (0, 1)$ . Then the sequence  $(f_n \cdot g_n)$  is not uniformly convergent to  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n \cdot \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g_n = 0$ .

**Definition 1.** [1, 2] *A map between topological spaces is weakly open if the image of every non-empty open set has a non-empty interior.*

In [1] it is shown that the multiplication in  $C([0, 1])$  is a weakly open operation. In [3] there are considered some properties of multiplication in the algebra  $C(X)$  of real-valued continuous functions defined on a compact topological space  $X$ .

During 22<sup>th</sup> SUMMER CONFERENCE ON REAL FUNCTION THEORY, Stará Lesná, Slovakia 31.08-05.09 2009 Artur Wachowicz showed that multiplication in  $C(0, 1)$  is not an open operation and asked the question:

---

Mathematical Reviews subject classification: Primary: 26A99; Secondary: 26A15

Key words: multiplication, open mapping, weakly open mapping, space of continuous functions

Received by the editors January 22, 2009

Communicated by: Alexander Olevskii

**Does multiplication is a weakly open mapping in the space  $C(0, 1)$ ?**

In the present paper we give a positive answer to this question.

Let  $B(f, r)$  ( $\bar{B}(f, r)$ ) denote an open ( respectively, closed ) ball centered at  $f$  and of radius  $r > 0$  in  $C(0, 1)$  and let  $\|\cdot\|$  stand for the standard euclidean norm in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $f, g \in C(0, 1)$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  be such that  $\|(f(t), g(t))\| \geq \varepsilon$  for every  $t \in (0, 1)$ . Then for every  $h \in C(0, 1)$  satisfying condition  $\text{dist}(h, fg) \leq \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2}$ , there exist  $f_1, g_1 \in C(0, 1)$  such that  $\text{dist}(f, f_1) \leq \varepsilon$ ,  $\text{dist}(g, g_1) \leq \varepsilon$  and  $f_1 g_1 = h$ .*

PROOF. Let  $D = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : \|(x, y)\| \geq \varepsilon\}$ . We define a function  $\alpha: D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$

$$\alpha(x, y) = \left( x + \varepsilon \frac{y}{\sqrt{x^2+y^2}}, y + \varepsilon \frac{x}{\sqrt{x^2+y^2}} \right).$$

Next, for every  $(x, y) \in D$  we define a function  $\varphi_{(x,y)}: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$\varphi_{(x,y)}(t) = \left( x + t\varepsilon \frac{y}{\sqrt{x^2+y^2}} \right) \left( y + t\varepsilon \frac{x}{\sqrt{x^2+y^2}} \right).$$

The function  $\varphi_{(x,y)}$  is just the restriction of the multiplication to the line segment starting at  $(x, y)$  and ending at  $\alpha(x, y)$ . We will show that the following properties are fulfilled:

- a1)  $\alpha$  is continuous,
- a2)  $\|\alpha(x, y) - (x, y)\| = \varepsilon$  for every  $(x, y) \in D$ ,
- a3) for every  $(x, y) \in D$  the function  $\varphi_{(x,y)}$  is strictly increasing,
- a4)  $\left( x + \varepsilon \frac{y}{\sqrt{x^2+y^2}} \right) \left( y + \varepsilon \frac{x}{\sqrt{x^2+y^2}} \right) - xy \geq \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2}$  (equivalently,  $\varphi_{(x,y)}(1) - \varphi_{(x,y)}(0) \geq \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2}$ ) for every  $(x, y) \in D$ .

Property a1) follows directly from the definition of  $\alpha$ .

a2) We have

$$\|\alpha(x, y) - (x, y)\| = \left\| \left( \varepsilon \frac{y}{\sqrt{x^2+y^2}}, \varepsilon \frac{x}{\sqrt{x^2+y^2}} \right) \right\| = \varepsilon \sqrt{\frac{y^2}{x^2+y^2} + \frac{x^2}{x^2+y^2}} = \varepsilon$$

for every  $(x, y) \in D$ .

a3) We easily compute  $\varphi_{(x,y)}(t) = xy + t\varepsilon \frac{x^2+y^2}{\sqrt{x^2+y^2}} + t^2\varepsilon^2 \frac{xy}{x^2+y^2}$ . Hence

$$\varphi'_{(x,y)}(t) = \varepsilon \frac{x^2+y^2}{\sqrt{x^2+y^2}} + 2t\varepsilon^2 \frac{xy}{x^2+y^2} = \varepsilon \left( \sqrt{x^2+y^2} + t\varepsilon \frac{2xy}{x^2+y^2} \right).$$

And since  $\frac{2|xy|}{x^2+y^2} \leq 1$  and  $\varepsilon \leq \sqrt{x^2+y^2}$  for every  $(x, y) \in D$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi'_{(x,y)}(t) &\geq \varepsilon \left( \sqrt{x^2+y^2} - t\varepsilon \frac{2|xy|}{x^2+y^2} \right) \geq \\ &\geq \varepsilon \left( \sqrt{x^2+y^2} - t\sqrt{x^2+y^2} \right) \geq \varepsilon \sqrt{x^2+y^2}(1-t). \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\varphi'_{(x,y)}(t) \geq 0$  for  $t \in [0, 1]$  and  $\varphi'_{(x,y)}(t) > 0$  for  $t \in [0, 1)$ . Therefore  $\varphi_{(x,y)}$  is a strictly increasing function for every  $(x, y) \in D$ .

a4) For every  $(x, y) \in D$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left( x + \varepsilon \frac{y}{\sqrt{x^2+y^2}} \right) \left( y + \varepsilon \frac{x}{\sqrt{x^2+y^2}} \right) - xy = xy + \varepsilon \frac{x^2+y^2}{\sqrt{x^2+y^2}} + \varepsilon^2 \frac{xy}{x^2+y^2} - xy = \\ &= \varepsilon \left( \frac{x^2+y^2}{\sqrt{x^2+y^2}} + \varepsilon \frac{xy}{x^2+y^2} \right) \geq \varepsilon \left( \sqrt{x^2+y^2} - \varepsilon \frac{|xy|}{x^2+y^2} \right) \geq \\ &\geq \varepsilon \left( \sqrt{x^2+y^2} - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right) \geq \varepsilon \left( \sqrt{x^2+y^2} - \frac{\sqrt{x^2+y^2}}{2} \right) = \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \sqrt{x^2+y^2} \geq \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus properties a1) – a4) are proven.

Similarly, define a function  $\beta: D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ :

$$\beta(x, y) = \left( x - \varepsilon \frac{y}{\sqrt{x^2+y^2}}, y - \varepsilon \frac{x}{\sqrt{x^2+y^2}} \right),$$

and for every  $(x, y) \in D$ , define a function  $\psi_{(x,y)}: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\psi_{(x,y)}(t) = \left( x - t\varepsilon \frac{y}{\sqrt{x^2+y^2}} \right) \left( y - t\varepsilon \frac{x}{\sqrt{x^2+y^2}} \right).$$

The function  $\psi_{(x,y)}$  is just the restriction of the multiplication to the line segment starting at  $(x, y)$  and ending at  $\beta(x, y)$ . We shall show that the following properties are fulfilled:

b1)  $\beta$  is continuous,

b2)  $\|\beta(x, y) - (x, y)\| = \varepsilon$  for every  $(x, y) \in D$ ,

b3) for every  $(x, y) \in D$  the function  $\psi_{(x,y)}$  is strictly decreasing,

$$\text{b4) } xy - \left( x - \varepsilon \frac{y}{\sqrt{x^2+y^2}} \right) \left( y - \varepsilon \frac{x}{\sqrt{x^2+y^2}} \right) \geq \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2} \text{ (equivalently, } \psi_{(x,y)}(0) - \psi_{(x,y)}(1) \geq \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2} \text{ for every } (x, y) \in D.$$

The proofs of b1) – b4) are analogous to those for a1) – a4) and we omit them.

Now, let us take any  $h \in C(0, 1)$  such that  $\text{dist}(h, fg) \leq \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2}$ . For every  $t \in (0, 1)$  we have

$$f(t)g(t) - \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2} \leq h(t) \leq f(t)g(t) + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2},$$

hence by a4) and b4)

$$\begin{aligned} \left( f(t) - \varepsilon \frac{g(t)}{\sqrt{(f(t))^2+(g(t))^2}} \right) \left( g(t) - \varepsilon \frac{f(t)}{\sqrt{(f(t))^2+(g(t))^2}} \right) &\leq h(t) \leq \\ \left( f(t) + \varepsilon \frac{g(t)}{\sqrt{(f(t))^2+(g(t))^2}} \right) \left( g(t) + \varepsilon \frac{f(t)}{\sqrt{(f(t))^2+(g(t))^2}} \right) & \end{aligned}$$

(or equivalently  $\psi_{(f(t),g(t))}(1) \leq h(t) \leq \varphi_{(f(t),g(t))}(1)$ ). Therefore (by a1), a3), b1), b3) and the Darboux property) for every  $t \in (0, 1)$  there exists exactly one point  $v^t = (v_x^t, v_y^t)$  lying on the broken line with vertices  $\beta(f(t), g(t))$ ,  $(f(t), g(t))$  and  $\alpha(f(t), g(t))$  such that  $v_x^t v_y^t = h(t)$ . Now, we may define functions  $f_1, g_1: (0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  as  $f_1(t) = v_x^t$  and  $g_1(t) = v_y^t$  for every  $t \in (0, 1)$ . It follows directly from the definitions of  $f_1$  and  $g_1$  that  $f_1 g_1 = h$  and by a2) and b2)

$$\begin{aligned} & \| (f_1(t), g_1(t)) - (f(t), g(t)) \| \leq \\ & \leq \max \left\{ \| \alpha(f(t), g(t)) - (f(t), g(t)) \|, \| \beta(f(t), g(t)) - (f(t), g(t)) \| \right\} = \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

for every  $t \in (0, 1)$ . Hence  $\text{dist}(f, f_1) \leq \varepsilon$  and  $\text{dist}(g, g_1) \leq \varepsilon$ . It remains to show that  $f_1$  and  $g_1$  are continuous.

Let  $t_0 \in (0, 1)$  and let  $(t_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be any sequence convergent to  $t_0$ . By the continuity of  $f$ ,  $g$ ,  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , we have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (f(t_n), g(t_n)) = (f(t_0), g(t_0))$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha(f(t_n), g(t_n)) = \alpha(f(t_0), g(t_0))$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta(f(t_n), g(t_n)) = \beta(f(t_0), g(t_0))$ . Every point  $v^{t_n}$  lies on the broken line with vertices  $\beta(f(t_n), g(t_n))$ ,  $(f(t_n), g(t_n))$  and  $\alpha(f(t_n), g(t_n))$ . Hence  $(v^{t_n})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a bounded sequence in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Thus it has a convergent subsequence  $(v^{t_{n_k}})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ . Let  $v_0 = (v_x^0, v_y^0) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} v^{t_{n_k}}$ . Again, using the facts that every point  $v^{t_{n_k}}$  lies on the broken line with vertices  $\beta(f(t_{n_k}), g(t_{n_k}))$ ,  $(f(t_{n_k}), g(t_{n_k}))$  and  $\alpha(f(t_{n_k}), g(t_{n_k}))$

and that vertices of those broken lines converge to  $\beta(f(t_0), g(t_0))$ ,  $(f(t_0), g(t_0))$  and  $\alpha(f(t_0), g(t_0))$  respectively, we get that  $v^0$  lies on the broken line with vertices  $\beta(f(t_0), g(t_0))$ ,  $(f(t_0), g(t_0))$  and  $\alpha(f(t_0), g(t_0))$ . Next, by the continuity of  $h$  and by the continuity of multiplication we get

$$v_x^0 v_y^0 = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} v_x^{t_{n_k}} v_y^{t_{n_k}} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} h(t_{n_k}) = h(t_0).$$

But on the broken line with vertices  $\beta(f(t_0), g(t_0))$ ,  $(f(t_0), g(t_0))$  and  $\alpha(f(t_0), g(t_0))$  there is only one point  $v^{t_0}$  such that  $v_x^{t_0} v_y^{t_0} = h(t_0)$ . Thus  $v_0 = v^{t_0}$ . It follows that  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f_1(t_{n_k}) = f_1(t_0)$  and  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} g_1(t_{n_k}) = g_1(t_0)$ . It proves that  $f_1$  and  $g_1$  are continuous functions.  $\square$

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $f, g \in C(0, 1)$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  be such that  $\|(f(t), g(t))\| \geq \varepsilon$  for every  $t \in (0, 1)$ . Then  $\overline{B}(fg, \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2}) \subset \overline{B}(f, \varepsilon)\overline{B}(g, \varepsilon)$ , where*

$$\overline{B}(f, \varepsilon)\overline{B}(g, \varepsilon) = \{\tilde{f}\tilde{g} : \tilde{f} \in \overline{B}(f, \varepsilon), \tilde{g} \in \overline{B}(g, \varepsilon)\}.$$

**Lemma 1.** *For any continuous functions  $f, g: (0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exist continuous functions  $\tilde{f}, \tilde{g}: (0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\text{dist}(f, \tilde{f}) \leq 2\varepsilon$ ,  $\text{dist}(g, \tilde{g}) \leq 2\varepsilon$  and  $\|(f(t), \tilde{g}(t))\| \geq \varepsilon$  for every  $t \in (0, 1)$ .*

PROOF. Let  $A = \{t \in (0, 1) : |f(t)| < \varepsilon\}$  and  $B = \{t \in (0, 1) : |g(t)| < \varepsilon\}$ . Since  $f$  and  $g$  are continuous functions, the sets  $A$  and  $B$  are open. Hence  $A = \bigcup_{k \in K} (a_k, b_k)$ , where intervals  $(a_k, b_k)$  are pairwise disjoint and  $K$  is a countable set. Moreover  $|f(a_k)| = |f(b_k)| = \varepsilon$  for every  $k \in K$ . Next, let

$$K_1 = \{k \in K : (a_k, b_k) \cap B = \emptyset\},$$

$$K_2 = \{k \in K : (a_k, b_k) \cap B \neq \emptyset \wedge f(a_k) = f(b_k)\},$$

and

$$K_3 = \{k \in K : (a_k, b_k) \cap B \neq \emptyset \wedge f(a_k) \neq f(b_k)\}.$$

Obviously,  $K = K_1 \cup K_2 \cup K_3$  and  $K_1, K_2, K_3$  are pairwise disjoint. Since  $f$  is continuous,  $f((a_k, b_k)) \supset (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$  for every  $k \in K_3$ . Therefore, again by the continuity of  $f$ , the family  $\{(a_k, b_k)\}_{k \in K_3}$  is locally finite in  $(0, 1)$ . For every  $k \in K_3$  we may choose open intervals  $(\alpha_k, \beta_k)$  and  $(\gamma_k, \delta_k)$  such that

$$[\gamma_k, \delta_k] \subset (\alpha_k, \beta_k) \subset [a_k, b_k] \subset (a_k, b_k)$$

and  $(\alpha_k, \beta_k) \subset B$ . Now, we may define functions  $\tilde{f}, \tilde{g}: (0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ :

$$\tilde{f}(t) = \begin{cases} f(x) & \text{for } t \in ((0, 1) \setminus A) \cup \bigcup_{k \in K_1} (a_k, b_k), \\ f(a_k) & \text{for } t \in (a_k, b_k) \text{ and } k \in K_2, \\ f(a_k) & \text{for } t \in (a_k, \gamma_k] \text{ and } k \in K_3, \\ f(b_k) & \text{for } t \in [\delta_k, b_k) \text{ and } k \in K_3, \\ \text{linear on intervals } [\gamma_k, \delta_k] & \text{for every } k \in K_3, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\tilde{g}(t) = \begin{cases} g(t) & \text{for } t \in (0, 1) \setminus \bigcup_{k \in K_3} (\alpha_k, \beta_k), \\ \varepsilon & \text{for } t \in \bigcup_{k \in K_3} [\gamma_k, \delta_k], \\ \text{linear on intervals } [\alpha_k, \gamma_k] \text{ and } [\delta_k, \beta_k] & \text{for every } k \in K_3. \end{cases}$$

(It may happen that  $a_k = 0$  or  $b_k = 1$  for some  $k \in K$  and then  $f(a_k)$  or  $f(b_k)$  do not exist. In this case, we take simply  $\lim_{t \rightarrow a_k} f(t)$  and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow b_k} f(t)$  instead of  $f(a_k)$  and  $f(b_k)$  in the definition of  $\tilde{f}$ .)

Since  $\tilde{f}|_{(0,1) \setminus A} = f|_{(0,1) \setminus A}$  and  $|\tilde{f}(t)| \leq \varepsilon \geq |f(t)|$  for  $t \in A$ , we immediately get  $\text{dist}(f, \tilde{f}) \leq 2\varepsilon$ . Similarly, since  $\tilde{g}|_{(0,1) \setminus B} = g|_{(0,1) \setminus B}$  and  $|\tilde{g}(t)| \leq \varepsilon \geq |g(t)|$  for  $x \in B$ , we have  $\text{dist}(g, \tilde{g}) \leq 2\varepsilon$ . Obviously,  $\|(\tilde{f}(t), \tilde{g}(t))\| \geq \varepsilon$  for every  $t \in (0, 1)$ , because if  $|\tilde{f}(t)| < \varepsilon$  then  $|\tilde{g}(t)| \geq \varepsilon$ , and if  $|\tilde{g}(t)| < \varepsilon$  then  $|\tilde{f}(t)| \geq \varepsilon$ . It remains to prove the continuity of  $\tilde{f}$  and  $\tilde{g}$ . By definition, the restrictions of  $\tilde{g}$  to  $\bigcup_{k \in K_3} [\alpha_k, \beta_k]$  and to  $(0, 1) \setminus \bigcup_{k \in K_3} (\alpha_k, \beta_k)$  are continuous, and by local finiteness of  $\{[\alpha_k, \beta_k]\}_{k \in K_3}$ ,  $\tilde{g}$  is continuous on the whole interval  $(0, 1)$ . Similarly, the function  $\tilde{f}$  is continuous on  $((0, 1) \setminus A) \cup \bigcup_{k \in K_1} (a_k, b_k)$  and on  $\bigcup_{k \in K_2 \cup K_3} [a_k, b_k]$ . Since  $\tilde{f}((a_k, b_k)) = \{\lim_{t \rightarrow a_k} f(t)\}$  for  $k \in K_2$  and since  $\{[a_k, b_k] : k \in K_3\}$  is locally finite, we get that the function  $\tilde{f}$  is continuous on  $(0, 1)$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.** *Multiplication in the space  $C(0, 1)$  is a weakly open mapping.*

PROOF. Let  $U$  be any nonempty open subset of  $C(0, 1) \times C(0, 1)$ . There exist  $f, g \in C(0, 1)$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $B(f, 4\varepsilon) \times B(g, 4\varepsilon) \subset U$ . By Lemma 1, we can find  $\tilde{f}, \tilde{g} \in C(0, 1)$  for which  $\text{dist}(\tilde{f}, f) \leq 2\varepsilon$ ,  $\text{dist}(\tilde{g}, g) \leq 2\varepsilon$  and  $\|(\tilde{f}(t), \tilde{g}(t))\| \geq \varepsilon$  for  $t \in (0, 1)$ . Then by Theorem 1,

$$B(\tilde{f}\tilde{g}, \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2}) \subset \overline{B}(\tilde{f}, \varepsilon)\overline{B}(\tilde{g}, \varepsilon) \subset \overline{B}(f, 3\varepsilon)\overline{B}(g, 3\varepsilon) \subset B(f, 4\varepsilon)B(g, 4\varepsilon).$$

Hence

$$B(\tilde{f}\tilde{g}, \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2}) \subset \text{Int}\{f_1 f_2 : (f_1, f_2) \in U\} \neq \emptyset.$$

It follows that the multiplication in the space  $C(0, 1)$  is a weakly open mapping.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.** *From Theorem 2 it easily follows that multiplication is weakly open in  $C([0, 1])$  (this yields a new proof of the known result). Namely, consider an open set  $U = B(f, r) \times B(g, r)$  where  $B(f, r)$  and  $B(g, r)$  are balls in  $C([0, 1])$ . By the Tietze Extension Theorem we extend  $f, g$  to  $f^*, g^* \in C(-1, 2)$ . Then applying Theorem 2 to the set  $U^* = B(f^*, r) \times B(g^*, r)$  open in  $C(-1, 2) \times C(-1, 2)$  we find a ball  $B(h, \varepsilon)$  in  $C(-1, 2)$  witnessing that the respective interior is not nonempty. Finally, we "restrict" this ball to  $C([0, 1])$ .*

## References

- [1] M. Balcerzak, A. Wachowicz, and W. Wilczyński *Multiplying balls in the space of continuous functions on  $[0, 1]$* , *Studia Math.* **170** (2005), 203–209.
- [2] M. Burke, *Continuous functions which take a somewhere dense set of values on every open set*, *Topology Appl.* **103** (2000), 95–110.
- [3] A. Komisarski, *A connection between multiplication in  $C(X)$  and the dimension of  $X$* , *Fund. Math.* **189** (2006), 149–154.
- [4] A. Wachowicz, *Baire category and standard operations on pairs of continuous functions*, *Tatra Mt. Math. Publ.* **24** (2002), 141–146.
- [5] A. Wachowicz, *On some residual sets*, PhD dissertation, Łódź Technical Univ., Łódź (2004), (Polish).

