

Michelle L. Knox, Department of Mathematics, Midwestern State University,
3410 Taft Blvd., Wichita Falls, TX, 76308, USA. email:
michelle.knox@mwsu.edu

CONDITIONAL COMPLETENESS OF $C(X, \mathbb{R}_\tau)$ FOR WEAK P -SPACES \mathbb{R}_τ

Abstract

Let τ be a topology on the real numbers which is finer than the usual topology such that \mathbb{R}_τ is a weak P -space. In this paper, conditional completeness and conditional σ -completeness of $C(X)$ and $C(X, \mathbb{R}_\tau)$ are compared. In particular, it is shown for zero-dimensional spaces that $C(X, \mathbb{R}_\tau)$ is conditionally σ -complete if and only if X is a P -space and that $C(X, \mathbb{R}_\tau)$ is conditionally complete if and only if X is an extremally disconnected P -space.

1 Introduction.

Given two topological spaces X and Y , $C(X, Y)$ denotes the set of continuous functions $f : X \rightarrow Y$. When $Y = \mathbb{R}$ we write $C(X)$ instead and this set is a ring under pointwise addition and multiplication. Furthermore $C(X)$ is a lattice, where the least upper bound of two functions $f, g \in C(X)$ is defined as $(f \vee g)(x) = \max\{f(x), g(x)\}$ and the greatest lower bound is defined as $(f \wedge g)(x) = \min\{f(x), g(x)\}$.

Let \mathbb{R}_τ denote the real numbers with topology τ . In this paper we will be investigating completeness properties of $C(X, \mathbb{R}_\tau)$ when \mathbb{R}_τ is a *weak P -space*, that is when every countable subset of \mathbb{R}_τ is closed. Recall that a lattice L is *conditionally (σ -)complete* if every (countable) nonempty subset of L which is bounded above has a supremum. These completeness properties can be characterized using the topology on X . Before giving this characterization we will need the following topological definitions.

Mathematical Reviews subject classification: Primary: 54C30; Secondary: 26A15
Key words: conditional completeness, P -space, density topology
Received by the editors July 23, 2007
Communicated by: Ciesielski

In a topological space X the closure of a subset A of X is denoted by $cl_X A$ and the interior of A is denoted by $int_X A$. When a set is both open and closed, it is called clopen. Given $f \in C(X)$, the *zeroset* of f (denoted by $Z(f)$) is the set of $x \in X$ such that $f(x) = 0$. A subset Z of X is called a zeroset if $Z = Z(f)$ for some $f \in C(X)$. The set $X \setminus Z(f)$ is called the *cozeroset* of f and is denoted by $coz(f)$. $Z[X] = \{Z(f) : f \in C(X)\}$ is the set of zerosets of X . We will assume that all domain spaces X in $C(X, Y)$ are Tychonoff, that is completely regular and Hausdorff. In a Tychonoff space the cozerosets form a base for the topology. A space is called *zero-dimensional* if it has a base of clopen sets.

The well-known Stone-Nakano Theorem, stated below, characterizes when $C(X)$ is conditionally (σ -) complete using topological properties of X . A space X is called *basically disconnected* if $cl_X coz(f)$ is open for every $f \in C(X)$ and X is called *extremally disconnected* if $cl_X O$ is open for every open subset O of X . This theorem can be found in [5], [6], and [7].

Theorem 1.1 (Stone-Nakano). *A space X is extremally disconnected if and only if $C(X)$ is conditionally complete. A space X is basically disconnected if and only if $C(X)$ is conditionally σ -complete.*

In this paper we will provide a similar characterization for when $C(X, \mathbb{R}_\tau)$ is conditionally (σ -) complete for any weak P -space \mathbb{R}_τ . One example of a topology on \mathbb{R} which makes \mathbb{R}_τ a weak P -space is the density topology, which we will now define. Let M be a Lebesgue measurable subset of \mathbb{R} and let $m(M)$ denote the Lebesgue measure of M . Let $M' = \mathbb{R} \setminus M$. A point $p \in \mathbb{R}$ is a *density point* of M if

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{m(M \cap (p-h, p+h))}{2h} = 1.$$

We also say that p is a *dispersion point* of M if p is a density point of M' . The set M is called *density open* if every $p \in M$ is a point of density of M . The collection of density open subsets of \mathbb{R} is a topology called the *density topology* and we denote \mathbb{R} with this topology by \mathbb{R}_d . We use \mathbb{R}^d to denote the real numbers with the discrete topology and we denote the natural numbers by \mathbb{N} . It is known that the density topology is strictly finer than the usual topology on \mathbb{R} . As a result we see that $C(X, \mathbb{R}_d) \subseteq C(X)$ for any space X . Elements of $C(X, \mathbb{R}_d)$ will be called *density continuous* functions. A proof that \mathbb{R}_d is a Tychonoff space which is not normal can be found in [2].

Although the set $C(X, \mathbb{R}_d)$ is a lattice, it cannot be assumed that it is a group or a ring. In [3] a space X for which $C(X, \mathbb{R}_d)$ is a group or a ring is called a density P -space. The following theorem from [3] classifies when a space X is a density P -space.

Theorem 1.2. *For a space X , the following are equivalent:*

- (1) $C(X, \mathbb{R}_d) = C(X, \mathbb{R}^d)$, i.e. every density continuous function is locally constant.
- (2) $C(X, \mathbb{R}_d)$ is a ring.
- (3) $C(X, \mathbb{R}_d)$ is closed under multiplication.
- (4) $C(X, \mathbb{R}_d)$ is a group.
- (5) $Z(f)$ is open for each $f \in C(X, \mathbb{R}_d)$.

Examples of density P -spaces include pseudocompact spaces and separable spaces. Since \mathbb{R} is connected as well as separable, it follows from the previous theorem that the only elements of $C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}_d)$ are the constant functions.

Recall that a topological space X is called a P -space if $Z(f)$ is open for all $f \in C(X)$. It is clear from the definitions that P -spaces are basically disconnected. Every P -space is a *weak P -space*: a space in which every countable subset is closed. The space \mathbb{R}_d is an example of a weak P -space which is not a P -space. The next theorem gives a useful condition for checking when a topological space X is a P -space.

Theorem 1.3. [4] *A zero-dimensional space X is a P -space if and only if every countable union of clopen sets is again clopen if and only if every countable intersection of clopen sets is again clopen.*

2 Conditional σ -Completeness and Completeness.

Let τ be a topology on \mathbb{R} which is finer than the usual topology. We will use \mathbb{R}_τ to denote the real numbers equipped with this topology. It follows that $C(X, \mathbb{R}_\tau)$ is a sublattice of $C(X)$. Henceforth, unless stated otherwise, τ is a topology on \mathbb{R} which is finer than the usual topology such that \mathbb{R}_τ is a weak P -space. In this section we will determine when $C(X, \mathbb{R}_\tau)$ is conditionally complete and when it is conditionally σ -complete.

Observe that it is possible for $C(X, \mathbb{R}_\tau)$ to be conditionally σ -complete without $C(X)$ being conditionally σ -complete. One example is \mathbb{R} , which is not basically disconnected so that $C(\mathbb{R})$ is not conditionally σ -complete. However the elements of $C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}_d)$ are precisely the constant functions. Hence $C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}_d)$ is conditionally σ -complete. We would like to know if conditional σ -completeness of $C(X)$ implies the same condition for $C(X, \mathbb{R}_\tau)$. The next example illustrates that a countable subset of $C(X, \mathbb{R}_\tau)$ which is bounded above may have a supremum in $C(X)$ without having a supremum in $C(X, \mathbb{R}_\tau)$. In particular it is possible for $C(X)$ to be conditionally σ -complete while $C(X, \mathbb{R}_\tau)$ is not. To construct this example we need a few definitions.

Definition 2.1. A family \mathcal{B} of zerosets of X is called a z -filter if the following conditions hold:

- (1) $\emptyset \notin \mathcal{F}$;
- (2) if $Z_1, Z_2 \in \mathcal{F}$, then there exists $Z_3 \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $Z_3 \subseteq Z_1 \cap Z_2$;
- (3) if $Z \in \mathcal{F}$ and $Z' \in Z[X]$ with $Z \subseteq Z'$, then $Z' \in \mathcal{F}$.

A z -ultrafilter on X is a z -filter which is not contained in any other distinct z -filter. A straightforward Zorn's Lemma argument shows that every z -filter is contained in a z -ultrafilter.

Example 2.2. This example comes from Problem 4M of [1]. Let $\mathcal{F} = \{S \subset \mathbb{N} : S \text{ is cofinite}\}$. It is easy to check that \mathcal{F} is a z -filter on \mathbb{N} which means there exists a z -ultrafilter \mathcal{U} containing \mathcal{F} . Let $\Sigma = \mathbb{N} \cup \{\sigma\}$ where $\sigma \notin \mathbb{N}$ and define a topology on Σ as follows: all points of \mathbb{N} are isolated and the open neighborhoods of σ are the sets $U \cup \{\sigma\}$ for $U \in \mathcal{U}$. Then according to 4M of [1], Σ is an extremally disconnected topological space. Note that $C(\Sigma)$ is conditionally complete because Σ is extremally disconnected.

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ define a function $f_n : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as

$$f_n(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{n} & \text{for } x = 2n \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It is easy to see that each $f_n \in C(\Sigma, \mathbb{R}_\tau) \subset C(\Sigma)$. The space Σ is extremally disconnected, so by the Stone-Nakano Theorem the set $G = \{f_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ has a supremum in $C(\Sigma)$. It is straightforward to check that the function $f : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined as

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{n} & \text{if } x = 2n \text{ for some } n \in \mathbb{N} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

is a continuous function. If G has a supremum in $C(\Sigma, \mathbb{R}_\tau)$, then that supremum must be f . However $f \notin C(\Sigma, \mathbb{R}_\tau)$. Thus G does not have a supremum in $C(\Sigma, \mathbb{R}_\tau)$.

From the previous example we see that $C(X)$ can be conditionally complete without $C(X, \mathbb{R}_\tau)$ being even conditionally σ -complete. The property of conditional σ -completeness of $C(X, \mathbb{R}_\tau)$ is related to P -spaces, as we see in the next theorem.

Theorem 2.3. *If X is a zero-dimensional space, then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) X is a P -space.
- (2) $C(X, \mathbb{R}_\tau) = C(X)$.

- (3) $C(X, \mathbb{R}^d) = C(X, \mathbb{R}_\tau) = C(X)$.
- (4) $C(X, \mathbb{R}_\tau)$ is conditionally σ -complete.
- (5) $C(X, \mathbb{R}^d)$ is conditionally σ -complete.

PROOF. Since X is a P -space if and only if $Z(f)$ is open for all $f \in C(X)$, X is a P -space if and only if $C(X, \mathbb{R}^d) = C(X, \mathbb{R}_\tau) = C(X)$. Hence (1) and (3) are equivalent. Clearly (3) implies (2). To show (2) implies (1) we will apply Theorem 1.3. Assume X is not a P -space. Then there exists a sequence $\{C_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of disjoint clopen subsets of X such that $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n$ is not closed. Define $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$f_n(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{n} & \text{if } x \in C_n \text{ for some } n \in \mathbb{N} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then $f \in C(X)$. Note that $A = \{\frac{1}{n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a closed subset of \mathbb{R}_τ because \mathbb{R}_τ is a weak P -space. However, $f^{-1}(A) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n$ is not closed in X , so $f \notin C(X, \mathbb{R}_\tau)$. As a result we see that $C(X, \mathbb{R}_\tau) \neq C(X)$ and hence (2) implies (1).

Next suppose (1) holds. We will show (4) and (5). X is zero-dimensional and basically disconnected because it is a P -space. Since $C(X, \mathbb{R}^d) = C(X, \mathbb{R}_\tau) = C(X)$, $C(X, \mathbb{R}^d)$ and $C(X, \mathbb{R}_\tau)$ are also conditionally σ -complete.

Now assume (4) is true. We will use Theorem 1.3 to show (1). Let $\{C_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of disjoint clopen subsets of X and for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ define a function $f_n : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as

$$f_n(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{n} & \text{if } x \in C_n \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It is easy to see that $f_n \in C(X, \mathbb{R}^d) \subseteq C(X, \mathbb{R}_\tau)$ for all n . By hypothesis the set $\{f_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ has a supremum and it follows that the supremum must be the function f defined above. The set $A = \{\frac{1}{n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is closed in \mathbb{R}_τ since it is a weak P -space. Then continuity of f implies $f^{-1}(A) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n$ is also closed as needed.

The proof of (5) implies (1) is identical to that of (4) implies (1); simply note that $f_n \in C(X, \mathbb{R}^d)$ for all n . □

Observe that it is necessary to assume X is zero-dimensional in the previous theorem. Consider the space \mathbb{R} , which is neither zero-dimensional or a P -space. The only elements of $C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}_\tau)$ are the constant functions so that $C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}_\tau) = C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^d)$ is conditionally σ -complete.

Corollary 2.4. *If X is zero-dimensional and $C(X, \mathbb{R}_\tau)$ is conditionally σ -complete, then $C(X)$ is conditionally σ -complete.*

PROOF. By Theorem 2.3 if X is a zero-dimensional space and $C(X, \mathbb{R}_\tau)$ is conditionally σ -complete, then X is a P -space. Since every P -space is basically disconnected, $C(X)$ is conditionally σ -complete. \square

Example 2.5. To see that the converse of Corollary 2.4 fails, consider again the space Σ from Example 2.2. Since Σ is basically disconnected, Σ is zero-dimensional. As we have already seen $C(\Sigma)$ is conditionally σ -complete. But $C(\Sigma, \mathbb{R}_\tau)$ is not conditionally σ -complete because Σ is not a P -space.

For a zero-dimensional space X , we have established that $C(X, \mathbb{R}_\tau)$ is conditionally σ -complete precisely when X is a P -space. The next result should not be surprising.

Theorem 2.6. *For any space X , the following are equivalent:*

- (1) X is an extremally disconnected P -space.
- (2) X is extremally disconnected and $C(X, \mathbb{R}_\tau) = C(X)$.
- (3) $C(X, \mathbb{R}_\tau)$ is conditionally complete and X is zero-dimensional.
- (4) $C(X, \mathbb{R}^d)$ is conditionally complete and X is zero-dimensional.

PROOF. The equivalence of (1) and (2) follows from Theorem 2.3.

We will now show that (2) implies (3). Every extremally disconnected space is zero-dimensional. Therefore X is zero-dimensional. Since X is extremally disconnected, we know $C(X)$ is conditionally complete by the Stone-Nakano Theorem. Then $C(X, \mathbb{R}_\tau) = C(X)$ where X is extremally disconnected implies $C(X, \mathbb{R}_\tau)$ is conditionally complete.

Next assume (3) holds. If $C(X, \mathbb{R}_\tau)$ is conditionally complete, then $C(X, \mathbb{R}_\tau)$ is conditionally σ -complete. By Theorem 2.3, $C(X, \mathbb{R}^d) = C(X, \mathbb{R}_\tau) = C(X)$ and so $C(X, \mathbb{R}^d)$ is conditionally complete. It follows that (3) implies (4).

Finally assume $C(X, \mathbb{R}^d)$ is conditionally complete and that X is zero-dimensional. It follows from Theorem 2.3 that $C(X, \mathbb{R}_\tau) = C(X)$. Also $C(X)$ is conditionally complete, which implies X is extremally disconnected. Therefore (4) implies (1). \square

Note that if X is of nonmeasurable cardinality and satisfies the conditions of Theorem 2.6, then X is discrete. See Problem 12H of [1] for more information on extremally disconnected P -spaces.

Corollary 2.7. *If X is zero-dimensional and $C(X, \mathbb{R}_\tau)$ is conditionally complete, then $C(X)$ is conditionally complete.*

PROOF. According to Theorem 2.6, if X is zero-dimensional and $C(X, \mathbb{R}_\tau)$ is conditionally complete, then X is extremally disconnected. Hence $C(X)$ is conditionally complete. \square

References

- [1] L. Gillman & M. Jerrison, *Rings of Continuous Functions*, The University Series in Higher Mathematics, D. Van Nostrand, 1960.
- [2] C. Goffman, C.J. Neugebauer, & T. Nishiura, *Density Topology and Approximate Continuity*, Duke Math Journal, **28** (1961), 497–505.
- [3] M. L. Knox, *A Characterization of Rings of Density Continuous Functions*, Real Anal. Exchange, **31(1)** (2005-2006), 165–177.
- [4] W. McGovern, *Singular f -rings Which Are α -G.C.D. Rings*, Top. Appl., **88** (1998), 199–205.
- [5] H. Nakano, *Über Das System Aller Stetigen Funktionen Auf Einem Topologischen Raum*, Proc. Imp. Acad. Tokyo, **17** (1941), 308–301.
- [6] M. H. Stone, *A General Theory of Spectra, I*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., **26** (1940), 280–283.
- [7] M. H. Stone, *Boundedness Properties in Function Lattices*, Canad. J. Math., **1** (1949), 176–186.

