

Craig Cowan\*, Department of Mathematics, Simon Fraser University,  
Vancouver, BC, Canada. email: ctcowan@sfu.ca

## AN ELEMENTARY REMARK ON THE INTERSECTION OF SETS

### Abstract

In this paper, we will investigate the following question: Given  $C \in (0, 1)$  and a sequence  $A_n \subseteq [0, 1]$  with  $\lambda(A_n) = C$ , when does there exist a subsequence  $A_{n_i}$  such that  $\lambda(\cap_i A_{n_i}) > 0$ ? We will show that the answer to this question can be characterized by the properties of a function  $g$  which will be a weak  $L^1$  limit of characteristic functions.

Before we get started, let's mention what notation we will be using.  $L^p$  will denote  $L^p[0, 1]$  with Lebesgue measure  $\lambda$ , and  $\chi_A$  will denote the indicator function of  $A$ . We will use  $\rightharpoonup$  to denote weak convergence. All sets will be taken to be Lebesgue measurable.

The main result of this paper is given by the following theorem:

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $C \in (0, 1)$  and  $A_n \subseteq [0, 1]$  with  $\lambda(A_n) = C$  for all  $n$ . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) *There exists a subsequence of  $A_n$  whose intersection has positive measure.*
- (ii) *There exists a subsequence of  $\chi_{A_n}$  with a weak  $L^1$  limit  $g$  and  $g = 1$  on a set of positive measure.*

Before we prove theorem 1, we will need the following result:

**Lemma 1.** *Given  $B_n \subseteq [0, 1]$  with  $\lambda(B_n) = C$ , there exists  $B_{n_i}$  and a measurable function  $g$  with  $0 \leq g \leq 1$  a.e. such that  $\chi_{B_{n_i}} \rightharpoonup g$  in  $L^1$ .*

**PROOF.** By a weak compactness argument in  $L^2$ , we see there exists some  $g \in L^2$  and  $\chi_{B_{n_i}}$  such that  $\chi_{B_{n_i}} \rightharpoonup g$  in  $L^2$ . Since  $L^\infty \subseteq L^2$ , we see that  $\chi_{B_{n_i}} \rightharpoonup g$  in  $L^1$ .

---

Key Words: Weak  $L^1$  convergence  
Mathematical Reviews subject classification: 28A05  
Received by the editors January 6, 2006  
Communicated by: Paul D. Humke

\*Craig Cowan was an undergraduate at Simon Fraser University during the research on this topic.

Define  $E := \{x : g(x) < 0\}$  and  $F := \{x : g(x) > 1\}$ . Then we have

$$0 \leq \int_0^1 \chi_{B_{n_i}} \chi_E dx \rightarrow \int_0^1 g \chi_E dx,$$

and from this we see  $\lambda(E) = 0$ . Similarly, we have

$$0 \leq \int_0^1 (1 - \chi_{B_{n_i}}) \chi_F dx \rightarrow \int_0^1 (1 - g) \chi_F dx,$$

and from this we see  $\lambda(F) = 0$ . Hence, we have  $0 \leq g \leq 1$  a.e. □

Let's now prove theorem 1.

PROOF.

(i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii)

Let  $A = \cap_i A_{n_i}$  have positive measure. By lemma 1, there exists a measurable  $g$  with  $0 \leq g \leq 1$  a.e. and a subsequence of  $\chi_{A_{n_i}}$  (which won't be renamed) such that  $\chi_{A_{n_i}} \rightarrow g$  in  $L^1$ . By standard arguments, it can be shown that  $\chi_{A_{n_i}} \rightarrow g$  in  $L^1(A)$ .

Since  $\chi_{A_{n_i}} = 1$  on  $A$  and  $0 \leq g \leq 1$  a.e., we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_A |1 - g| dx &= \int_A |\chi_{A_{n_i}} - g| dx \\ &= \int_A \chi_{A_{n_i}} dx - \int_A g dx \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{since } \chi_{A_{n_i}} \rightarrow g \text{ in } L^1(A). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $g = 1$  a.e. on  $A$ .

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i)

Let  $\chi_{A_{n_i}} \rightarrow g$  in  $L^1$  where  $g = 1$  on  $A$ , and  $A$  has positive measure. Then we have

$$\int_0^1 \chi_{A_{n_i}} \chi_A dx \rightarrow \int_0^1 g \chi_A dx \quad \text{or} \quad \lambda(A_{n_i} \cap A) \rightarrow \lambda(A),$$

which implies the result. □

Let's now look at an example and see if it agrees with what theorem 1 says.

**Example 1.** Let  $C \in (0, 1)$  and define

$$A_n := \bigcup_{k=0}^{n-1} \left[ \frac{k}{n}, \frac{k+C}{n} \right].$$

Then  $\lambda(A_n) = C$ , and it is possible to show that

$$\chi_{A_n} \rightarrow C \quad \text{in} \quad L^1.$$

If we apply Theorem 1, we see that  $\lambda(A) = 0$  where  $A := \bigcap_i A_{n_i}$ , and  $A_{n_i}$  is any subsequence.

Let's now manually check this. Fix  $x \in [0, 1)$ , and let  $\epsilon > 0$ , but with  $x + \epsilon \leq 1$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(A \cap [x, x + \epsilon]) &\leq \lambda(A_{n_i} \cap [x, x + \epsilon]) \\ &= \int_0^1 \chi_{A_{n_i}} \chi_{[x, x + \epsilon]} dx \rightarrow \int_0^1 C \chi_{[x, x + \epsilon]} dx = C\epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Now divide by  $\epsilon$  to get

$$\frac{\lambda(A \cap [x, x + \epsilon])}{\epsilon} \leq C.$$

So we see

$$\limsup_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\lambda(A \cap [x, x + \epsilon])}{\epsilon} \leq C < 1$$

for all  $x \in [0, 1)$ , and it follows from the Lebesgue Density Theorem that  $\lambda(A) = 0$ .

## References

- [1] A. Bruckner, J. Bruckner, B. Thomson, *Real Analysis*, Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, 1996.

