

D. K. Ganguly and Ranu Mukherjee, Department of Pure Mathematics,
University of Calcutta, 35, Ballygunge Circular Road, Calcutta -700019,
India. email: gangulydk@yahoo.co.in and ranumukherjee05@yahoo.co.in

ON CONVERGENCE OF THE GAP-INTEGRAL

Abstract

The concept of the GAP-integral was introduced by the authors [5].
In this paper some convergence theorems for the GAP-integral are pre-
sented.

1 Introduction.

The Approximately Continuous Perron integral was introduced by Burkill [1] and its Riemann-type definition was given by Bullen [2]. Schwabik [6] presented a generalized version of the Perron integral leading to the new approach to a generalized ordinary differential equation. The authors introduced the concept of the Generalized Approximately Continuous Perron integral together with some important properties of the integral in [5]. In the present paper we obtain some convergence theorems of the GAP-integral. First we obtain the uniform convergence theorem. Then we prove the monotone convergence theorem and the basic convergence theorem for the GAP-integral. As an application of the basic convergence theorem, we obtain the mean convergence theorem for the GAP-integral.

2 Preliminaries.

Definition 2.1. A collection Δ of closed subintervals of $[a, b]$ is called an approximate full cover (AFC) if for every $x \in [a, b]$ there exists a measurable set $D_x \subset [a, b]$ such that $x \in D_x$ and D_x has density 1 at x , with $[u, v] \in \Delta$ whenever $u, v \in D_x$ and $u \leq x \leq v$.

Key Words: Approximate full cover, density point, Δ -division, δ -fine division, Locally Small Riemann Sum, AP-integral, GAP-integral, Henstock integral, Saks-Henstock lemma
Mathematical Reviews subject classification: 26A39
Received by the editors June 13, 2005
Communicated by: Stefan Schwabik

For all approximate full covers that occur in this paper the sets $D_x \subset [a, b]$ are the same. Then the relations $\Delta_1 \subseteq \Delta_2$ or $\Delta_1 \cap \Delta_2$ for approximate full covers Δ_1, Δ_2 are clear.

A division of $[a, b]$ obtained by $a = x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_n = b$ and $\{\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_n\}$ is called a Δ -division if Δ is an approximate full cover with $[x_{i-1}, x_i]$ coming from Δ or more precisely, if we have $x_{i-1} \leq \xi_i \leq x_i$ and $x_{i-1}, x_i \in D_{\xi_i}$ for all i . We call ξ_i the associated point of $[x_{i-1}, x_i]$ and x_i ($i = 0, 1, \dots, n$) the division points.

A division of $[a, b]$ given by $a \leq y_1 \leq \zeta_1 \leq z_1 \leq y_2 \leq \zeta_2 \leq z_2 \leq \dots \leq y_m \leq \zeta_m \leq z_m \leq b$ is called a Δ -partial division if Δ is an approximate full cover with $([y_i, z_i], \zeta_i) \in \Delta$, for $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$.

In [5], the GAP-integral is defined as follows :

Definition 2.2. A function $U : [a, b] \times [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be generalized AP (GAP)-integrable to a real number A if for every $\epsilon > 0$ there is an AFC Δ of $[a, b]$ such that for every Δ -division $D = ([\alpha, \beta], \tau)$ of $[a, b]$ we have

$$|(D) \sum \{U(\tau, \beta) - U(\tau, \alpha)\} - A| < \epsilon$$

and we write $A = (GAP) \int_a^b U$.

The set of all functions U which are Generalized Approximate Perron integrable on $[a, b]$ is denoted by $GAP[a, b]$. We use the notation

$$S(U, D) = (D) \sum \{U(\tau, \beta) - U(\tau, \alpha)\}$$

for the Riemann-type sum corresponding to the function U and the Δ -division $D = ([\alpha, \beta], \tau)$ of $[a, b]$. Note that the integral is uniquely determined.

Remark 2.3. If the AFC Δ in Definition 2.2 is replaced by an *ordinary full cover*, that is, the family of all $([\alpha, \beta], \tau)$ which are δ -fine for some $\delta(\tau) > 0$, i.e., $\tau \in [\alpha, \beta]$, $[\alpha, \beta] \subset [\tau - \delta(\tau), \tau + \delta(\tau)]$, then we have a general definition of Henstock integral [4].

Setting $U(\tau, t) = f(\tau)t$ and $U(\tau, t) = f(\tau)g(t)$ where $f, g : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $\tau, t \in [a, b]$, we obtain Riemann-type and Riemann-Stieltjes type integrals respectively for the functions f, g and a given Δ -division D of $[a, b]$.

Considering $U(\tau, t) = f(\tau)t$ in Definition 2.2, we obtain the classical approximately continuous Perron integral.

This definition is given in a more general form because of the general form of the function U .

For a given function $U : [a, b] \times [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and a tagged interval (τ, J) with $\tau \in J = [\alpha, \beta] \subset [a, b]$ we will use the notation

$$U(\tau, J) = U(\tau, \beta) - U(\tau, \alpha) \tag{2.1}$$

for the point-interval function which corresponds to U .

Setting $U(\tau, t) = f(\tau)t$, $t \in [a, b]$, (2.1) becomes $U(\tau, J) = f(\tau)(\beta - \alpha) = f(\tau)|J|$ ($|J|$ denotes the length of the interval $J = [\alpha, \beta]$).

Let $U : [a, b] \times [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and let δ be a positive function on $[a, b]$. Let D be an ordinary full cover of an interval $I \subset [a, b]$, that is, a δ -fine division $D = (J, \tau)$ of the interval $I \subset [a, b]$. We define the following interval functions, if they exist.

$$V(I) = \sup (D) \sum U(\tau, J)$$

and

$$W(I) = \inf (D) \sum U(\tau, J),$$

where the supremum and the infimum are over all δ -fine divisions $D = (J, \tau)$ of $I \subset [a, b]$.

The functions V and W serve as major and minor functions for U in a particular form.

We remark that if f has the Locally Small Riemann Sum (*LSRS*) property, then in view of Theorem 17.3 from [4], there exists a positive function δ such that both V and W exist for $I \subset [a, b]$.

Let

$$\underline{D}V(t) = \sup_{t \in I \subset [a, b]} \inf_{\delta > 0} \frac{V(I)}{|I|}$$

and

$$\overline{D}W(t) = \inf_{\delta > 0} \sup_{t \in I \subset [a, b]} \frac{W(I)}{|I|},$$

where \underline{D} and \overline{D} denote respectively the lower and the upper derivative of V and W at $t \in [a, b]$, respectively.

With the notion of a partial division we have proved in [5] the following theorem.

Theorem 2.4. (Saks-Henstock Lemma) *Let $U : [a, b] \times [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be GAP-integrable over $[a, b]$. Then, given $\epsilon > 0$, there is an approximate full cover Δ of $[a, b]$ such that for every Δ -division $D = \{([\alpha_{j-1}, \alpha_j], \tau_j); j = 1, 2, \dots, q\}$ of $[a, b]$, we have*

$$\left| \sum_{j=1}^q \{U(\tau_j, \alpha_j) - U(\tau_j, \alpha_{j-1})\} - (\text{GAP}) \int_a^b U \right| < \epsilon.$$

Then, if $\{([\beta_j, \gamma_j], \zeta_j); j = 1, 2, \dots, m\}$ represents a Δ -partial division of $[a, b]$, we have

$$\left| \sum_{j=1}^m [U(\zeta_j, \gamma_j) - U(\zeta_j, \beta_j)] - (GAP) \int_{\beta_j}^{\gamma_j} U \right| < \epsilon.$$

The above theorem has an important use in the theory of generalized Peron integral.

3 Some Convergence Results.

We now give some convergence theorems for the GAP-integral.

Theorem 3.1. (Uniform Integrability Theorem) *Let*

(i) $U, U_n : [a, b] \times [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$ be such that $U_n \in GAP[a, b]$ for all $n = 1, 2, \dots$,

(ii) there be an approximate full cover Δ_0 of $[a, b]$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [U_n(\tau, t_2) - U_n(\tau, t_1)] = U(\tau, t_2) - U(\tau, t_1)$$

for each $\tau \in [a, b]$, and for every interval-point pair $([t_1, t_2], \tau) \in \Delta_0$,

(iii) for every $\eta > 0$ there be an approximate full cover Δ of $[a, b]$ such that

$$|S(U_n, D) - (GAP) \int_a^b U_n| < \eta$$

for every Δ -division D of $[a, b]$ and every $n = 1, 2, \dots$.

Then $(GAP) \int_a^b U$ exists, and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (GAP) \int_a^b U_n = (GAP) \int_a^b U.$$

PROOF. Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given and $A_n = (GAP) \int_a^b U_n$. By (iii), there is an approximate full cover $\Delta \subseteq \Delta_0$ of $[a, b]$ such that for every Δ -division $D = ([\alpha, \beta], \tau)$ of $[a, b]$ we have

$$|S(U_n, D) - A_n| < \epsilon/2 \text{ for } n = 1, 2, \dots$$

By (ii), for every fixed Δ -division D of $[a, b]$ there exists a positive integer m_1 such that for $n > m_1$, we get $|S(U_n, D) - S(U, D)| =$

$$\left| \sum \{U_n(\tau, \beta) - U_n(\tau, \alpha)\} - \sum \{U(\tau, \beta) - U(\tau, \alpha)\} \right| < \epsilon/2$$

That is, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S(U_n, D) = S(U, D)$. Therefore, for any Δ -division D of $[a, b]$ there is a positive integer m_1 such that for $n > m_1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |S(U, D) - A_n| \\ \leq & |S(U, D) - S(U_n, D)| + |S(U_n, D) - A_n| < \epsilon/2 + \epsilon/2 = \epsilon \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

First, we get from (3.1) that for all positive integers $n, p > m_1$

$$|A_n - A_p| \leq |A_n - S(U, D)| + |S(U, D) - A_p| < \epsilon + \epsilon = 2\epsilon.$$

Thus, $\{A_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in \mathbb{R} and let $A = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n$. Then, given $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a positive integer m_2 such that

$$|A_n - A| < \epsilon \text{ for all } n > m_2. \tag{3.2}$$

Let $m = \max(m_1, m_2)$. Then we get from (3.1) and (3.2) for $n > m$, that

$$|S(U, D) - A| \leq |S(U, D) - A_n| + |A_n - A| < \epsilon + \epsilon = 2\epsilon.$$

Hence, $U \in \text{GAP}[a, b]$ with $(\text{GAP}) \int_a^b U$, and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\text{GAP}) \int_a^b U_n = (\text{GAP}) \int_a^b U. \quad \square$$

Lemma 3.2. *Let $U, V : [a, b] \times [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be such that $U, V \in \text{GAP}[a, b]$ and if there be an approximate full cover Δ_0 of $[a, b]$ such that*

$$U(\tau, t) - U(\tau, \tau) \leq V(\tau, t) - V(\tau, \tau)$$

for every interval-point pair $([\tau, t], \tau) \in \Delta_0$ where $\tau < t$ and

$$U(\tau, \tau) - U(\tau, t) \leq V(\tau, \tau) - V(\tau, t)$$

for every interval-point pair $([t, \tau], \tau) \in \Delta_0$ where $t < \tau$, then

$$(\text{GAP}) \int_a^b U \leq (\text{GAP}) \int_a^b V.$$

PROOF. Let $\epsilon > 0$ be arbitrary. Since $U, V \in GAP[a, b]$ given $\epsilon > 0$, there exists an approximate full cover Δ of $[a, b]$ with $\Delta \subseteq \Delta_0$ such that for every Δ -division $D = ([\alpha, \beta], \tau)$ of $[a, b]$ we have

$$\left| \sum \{U(\tau, \beta) - U(\tau, \alpha)\} - (GAP) \int_a^b U \right| < \epsilon/2,$$

$$\left| \sum \{V(\tau, \beta) - V(\tau, \alpha)\} - (GAP) \int_a^b V \right| < \epsilon/2.$$

These give

$$\begin{aligned} (GAP) \int_a^b U - \epsilon/2 &< \sum \{U(\tau, \beta) - U(\tau, \alpha)\} \\ &= \sum [\{U(\tau, \beta) - U(\tau, \tau)\} + \{U(\tau, \tau) - U(\tau, \alpha)\}] \\ &\leq \sum [\{V(\tau, \beta) - V(\tau, \tau)\} + \{V(\tau, \tau) - V(\tau, \alpha)\}] \\ &= \sum \{V(\tau, \beta) - V(\tau, \alpha)\} < (GAP) \int_a^b V + \epsilon/2. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\epsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, we obtain

$$(GAP) \int_a^b U \leq (GAP) \int_a^b V. \quad \square$$

Theorem 3.3. (Monotone Convergence Theorem) *Let*

(i) $U, U_n : [a, b] \times [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$ be such that $U_n \in GAP[a, b]$ for all $n = 1, 2, \dots$ with $\sup (GAP) \int_a^b U_n < \infty$,

(ii) there be an approximate full cover Δ_0 of $[a, b]$ such that

$$U_n(\tau, t) - U_n(\tau, \tau) \leq U_{n+1}(\tau, t) - U_{n+1}(\tau, \tau)$$

for every interval-point pair $([\tau, t], \tau) \in \Delta_0$ where $\tau < t$ and

$$U_n(\tau, \tau) - U_n(\tau, t) \leq U_{n+1}(\tau, \tau) - U_{n+1}(\tau, t)$$

for every interval-point pair $([t, \tau], \tau) \in \Delta_0$ where $t < \tau$, ($n = 1, 2, \dots$),

(iii) there be an approximate full cover Δ' of $[a, b]$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [U_n(\tau, t_2) - U_n(\tau, t_1)] = U(\tau, t_2) - U(\tau, t_1)$$

for each $\tau \in [a, b]$ and every interval-point pair $([t_1, t_2], \tau) \in \Delta'$.

Then, $U \in GAP[a, b]$ and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (GAP) \int_a^b U_n = (GAP) \int_a^b U.$$

PROOF. Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. Since each $U_n \in GAP[a, b]$ for each positive integer n , there is an approximate full cover Δ_n of $[a, b]$ such that for any Δ_n -division $D = ([\alpha, \beta], \tau)$ of $[a, b]$ we have

$$\sum |\{U_n(\tau, \beta) - U_n(\tau, \alpha)\} - (GAP) \int_\alpha^\beta U_n| < \epsilon/2^n.$$

By (iii), given $\epsilon > 0$, for every fixed Δ' -division $D = ([\alpha, \beta], \tau)$ of $[a, b]$, there exists an integer $M(\tau)$ such that whenever $m(\tau)$ is an integer with $m(\tau) \geq M(\tau)$ we have

$$|\{U_{m(\tau)}(\tau, \beta) - U_{m(\tau)}(\tau, \alpha)\} - \{U(\tau, \beta) - U(\tau, \alpha)\}| < \epsilon/2^{m(\tau)}$$

for every $\tau \in [a, b]$. Since $\{(GAP) \int_a^b U_n\}$ is non-decreasing by Lemma 3.2 and bounded above, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (GAP) \int_a^b U_n$ exists. Let $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (GAP) \int_a^b U_n = A$. For each $\tau \in [a, b]$, we choose any integer $m(\tau) \geq M(\tau)$ and we take $\Delta = \Delta' \cap \Delta_0 \cap \Delta_{m(\tau)}$. Then, for any Δ -division $D = ([\alpha, \beta], \tau)$ of $[a, b]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \sum \{U(\tau, \beta) - U(\tau, \alpha)\} - A \right| \\ & \leq \left| \sum [\{U(\tau, \beta) - U(\tau, \alpha)\} - \{U_{m(\tau)}(\tau, \beta) - U_{m(\tau)}(\tau, \alpha)\}] \right| \\ & \quad + \sum \left| \{U_{m(\tau)}(\tau, \beta) - U_{m(\tau)}(\tau, \alpha)\} - (GAP) \int_\alpha^\beta U_{m(\tau)} \right| \quad (3.3) \\ & \quad + \left| \sum (GAP) \int_\alpha^\beta U_{m(\tau)} - A \right| \\ & < \sum \epsilon/2^{m(\tau)} + \sum \epsilon/2^{m(\tau)} + \left| \sum (GAP) \int_\alpha^\beta U_{m(\tau)} - A \right|, \end{aligned}$$

where all the sums involved run over all elements of the division D ($\sum = (D) \sum$). Therefore, if we can show that the last term $|(D) \sum (GAP) \int_\alpha^\beta U_{m(\tau)} - A| < \epsilon$, then the proof will be complete.

The number of associated points τ in the division D is finite and so is the number of those different $m(\tau)$ in the above sum over D . Let p denote the

minimum of those $m(\tau)$ and q be the maximum. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} (GAP) \int_a^b U_p &= (D) \sum (GAP) \int_\alpha^\beta U_p \leq (D) \sum (GAP) \int_\alpha^\beta U_{m(\tau)} \\ &\leq (D) \sum (GAP) \int_\alpha^\beta U_q = (GAP) \int_a^b U_q \leq A. \end{aligned}$$

We can also find a positive integer m_0 such that

$$0 \leq A - (GAP) \int_a^b U_m < \epsilon \text{ for all } m \geq m_0,$$

while defining $m(\tau)$ we always take $m(\tau) \geq m_0$ and so $p \geq m_0$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum (GAP) \int_\alpha^\beta U_{m(\tau)} - A \right| &= A - \sum (GAP) \int_\alpha^\beta U_{m(\tau)} \\ &\leq A - \sum (GAP) \int_\alpha^\beta U_p = A - (GAP) \int_a^b U_p < \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $U \in GAP[a, b]$ by (3.3) and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (GAP) \int_a^b U_n = A = (GAP) \int_a^b U. \quad \square$$

In [5] the indefinite GAP-integral is defined as follows.

Definition 3.4. Let $U \in GAP[a, b]$. The function $\phi : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$\phi(s) = (GAP) \int_a^s U, \quad a < s \leq b, \quad \phi(a) = 0$$

is called the indefinite GAP-integral of U .

For $[\alpha, \beta] \subset [a, b]$ put $\phi(\alpha, \beta) = \phi(\beta) - \phi(\alpha) = (GAP) \int_\alpha^\beta U$.

Theorem 3.5. (Basic Convergence Theorem) Let

(i) $U_n : [a, b] \times [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be GAP-integrable on $[a, b]$ with the primitives ϕ_n , $n = 1, 2, \dots$,

(ii) there be an approximate full cover Δ' of $[a, b]$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [U_n(\tau, t_2) - U_n(\tau, t_1)] = U(\tau, t_2) - U(\tau, t_1)$$

for each $\tau \in [a, b]$ and every interval-point pair $([t_1, t_2], \tau) \in \Delta'$,

(iii) ϕ_n converge point-wise to a limit function ϕ .

Then $U \in GAP[a, b]$ with primitive ϕ if and only if for every $\epsilon > 0$ there is a function $M(\tau)$ defined on $[a, b]$ taking integer values such that for infinitely many $m(\tau) \geq M(\tau)$ there is an approximate full cover Δ such that for any Δ -division $D = ([\alpha, \beta], \tau)$ of $[a, b]$ we have

$$\left| \sum \{ \phi_{m(\tau)}(\alpha, \beta) - \phi(\alpha, \beta) \} \right| < \epsilon.$$

PROOF. Suppose $U \in GAP[a, b]$ with the primitive ϕ . Then there is an approximate full cover Δ_0 of $[a, b]$ such that for any Δ_0 -division $D = ([\alpha, \beta], \tau)$ of $[a, b]$ we have

$$\left| \sum [\{ U(\tau, \beta) - U(\tau, \alpha) \} - \phi(\alpha, \beta)] \right| < \epsilon.$$

Again, since $U_n \in GAP[a, b]$ with primitive ϕ_n , $n = 1, 2, \dots$, there is an approximate full cover Δ_n of $[a, b]$ such that for any Δ_n -division $D = ([\alpha, \beta], \tau)$ of $[a, b]$ we have

$$\left| \sum [\{ U_n(\tau, \beta) - U_n(\tau, \alpha) \} - \phi_n(\alpha, \beta)] \right| < \epsilon/2^n.$$

Given $\epsilon > 0$, for every fixed Δ' -division $D = ([\alpha, \beta], \tau)$ of $[a, b]$, there exists an integer $M(\tau)$ such that whenever $m(\tau) \geq M(\tau)$ we have

$$\left| \{ U_{m(\tau)}(\tau, \beta) - U_{m(\tau)}(\tau, \alpha) \} - \{ U(\tau, \beta) - U(\tau, \alpha) \} \right| < \epsilon/2^{m(\tau)}$$

for every $\tau \in [a, b]$. Without any loss of generality, we may assume that $\Delta' = \Delta_1 \cap \Delta_2 \cap \dots \cap \Delta_{m(\tau)}$. For each $\tau \in [a, b]$, we choose any integer $m(\tau) \geq M(\tau)$ and we take $\Delta = \Delta' \cap \Delta_0$. Then for any Δ -division $D = ([\alpha, \beta], \tau)$ of $[a, b]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \sum \{ \phi_{m(\tau)}(\alpha, \beta) - \phi(\alpha, \beta) \} \right| \\ & \leq \left| \sum [\phi_{m(\tau)}(\alpha, \beta) - \{ U_{m(\tau)}(\tau, \beta) - U_{m(\tau)}(\tau, \alpha) \}] \right| \\ & \quad + \left| \sum [\{ U_{m(\tau)}(\tau, \beta) - U_{m(\tau)}(\tau, \alpha) \} - \{ U(\tau, \beta) - U(\tau, \alpha) \}] \right| \\ & \quad + \left| \sum [\{ U(\tau, \beta) - U(\tau, \alpha) \} - \phi(\alpha, \beta)] \right| \\ & < \epsilon + \sum \epsilon/2^{m(\tau)} + \epsilon < \epsilon + \epsilon + \epsilon = 3\epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, suppose that the condition is satisfied. Then for every $\epsilon > 0$ there is a function $M(\tau)$ defined on $[a, b]$ taking integer values such that for infinitely many $m(\tau) \geq M(\tau)$ there is an approximate full cover Δ such that for any Δ -division $D = ([\alpha, \beta], \tau)$ of $[a, b]$ we have

$$\left| \sum \{ \phi_{m(\tau)}(\alpha, \beta) - \phi(\alpha, \beta) \} \right| < \epsilon.$$

Also, for every fixed Δ' -division $D = ([\alpha, \beta], \tau)$ of $[a, b]$ we can find $m(\tau) \geq M(\tau)$ such that

$$| \{ U_{m(\tau)}(\tau, \beta) - U_{m(\tau)}(\tau, \alpha) \} - \{ U(\tau, \beta) - U(\tau, \alpha) \} | < \epsilon/2^{m(\tau)}$$

for every $\tau \in [a, b]$. Using the same notation as in the first part, we choose $\Delta = \Delta' \cap \Delta_0$, $\tau \in [a, b]$. Then for any Δ -division $D = ([\alpha, \beta], \tau)$ of $[a, b]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \sum \{ [U(\tau, \beta) - U(\tau, \alpha)] - \phi(\alpha, \beta) \} \right| \\ & \leq \left| \sum \{ [U(\tau, \beta) - U(\tau, \alpha)] - [U_{m(\tau)}(\tau, \beta) - U_{m(\tau)}(\tau, \alpha)] \} \right| \\ & \quad + \left| \sum \{ [U_{m(\tau)}(\tau, \beta) - U_{m(\tau)}(\tau, \alpha)] - \phi_{m(\tau)}(\alpha, \beta) \} \right| \\ & \quad + \left| \sum \{ \phi_{m(\tau)}(\alpha, \beta) - \phi(\alpha, \beta) \} \right| < \epsilon + \epsilon + \epsilon = 3\epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Hence U is GAP-integrable on $[a, b]$. □

Theorem 3.6. (Mean Convergence Theorem) *Let*

(i) $U_n : [a, b] \times [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be GAP-integrable on $[a, b]$ with the primitives ϕ_n , $n = 1, 2, \dots$,

(ii) there be an approximate full cover Δ' of $[a, b]$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [U_n(\tau, t_2) - U_n(\tau, t_1)] = U(\tau, t_2) - U(\tau, t_1)$$

for each $\tau \in [a, b]$ and every interval-point pair $([t_1, t_2], \tau) \in \Delta'$,

(iii) $[a, b]$ be the union of a sequence of closed sets X_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots$, and for every i and $\epsilon > 0$ there exist an integer N and an approximate full cover Δ of $[a, b]$ such that for any Δ -division $D = ([\alpha, \beta], \tau)$ of $[a, b]$ tagged in X_i , for each i we have $|\sum \{ \phi_n(\alpha, \beta) - \phi(\alpha, \beta) \}| < \epsilon$ for some function ϕ , whenever $n \geq N$,

(iv) the primitives ϕ_n converge uniformly to ϕ on $[a, b]$.

Then $U \in \text{GAP}[a, b]$ with the primitive ϕ and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\text{GAP}) \int_a^b U_n = (\text{GAP}) \int_a^b U.$$

PROOF. Let $\epsilon > 0$. By (iii) above, for every i and j there exists an integer N_{ij} and an approximate full cover Δ_{ij} of $[a, b]$ such that for any Δ_{ij} -division $D = ([\alpha, \beta], \tau)$ of $[a, b]$ with $\tau \in X_i$ we have

$$\left| \sum \{ \phi_n(\alpha, \beta) - \phi(\alpha, \beta) \} \right| < \epsilon/2^{i+j} \text{ for all } n \geq N_{ij}.$$

Take $n = n(i, j)$ so that the above inequality holds. We may assume that for each i , $\{ \phi_{n(i, j)} \}$ is a subsequence of $\{ \phi_{n(i-1, j)} \}$. Now consider $\phi_{n(j)} = \phi_{n(j, j)}$ in place of ϕ_n and write $Y_1 = X_1$ and

$$Y_i = X_i - (X_1 \cup X_2 \cup \dots \cup X_{i-1}) \text{ for } i = 2, 3, \dots$$

Put $M(\tau) = n(i)$ when $\tau \in Y_i$.

We note that there are infinitely many $m(\tau) \geq M(\tau)$, namely all $n(i) \geq n(j)$. If $m(\tau)$ takes values in $\{n(j) : j \geq i\}$ when $m(\tau) \geq M(\tau) = n(i)$, we put $\Delta = \Delta_{m(\tau)}$. Then for any Δ -division $D = ([\alpha, \beta], \tau)$ of $[a, b]$ with $\tau \in Y_i$, for some i , we have

$$\left| \sum \{ \phi_{m(\tau)}(\alpha, \beta) - \phi(\alpha, \beta) \} \right| \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \epsilon/2^{i+j} = \epsilon.$$

This means that the condition of the basic convergence theorem is satisfied. Hence $U \in \text{GAP}[a, b]$ with the primitive ϕ and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\text{GAP}) \int_a^b U_n = (\text{GAP}) \int_a^b U. \quad \square$$

Acknowledgment. The authors are grateful to the referee for his valuable suggestions for improving the paper.

References

[1] J. C. Burkill, *The Approximately Continuous Perron Integral*, Math. Zeit., **34** (1931), 270–278.

- [2] P. S. Bullen, *The Burkill Approximately Continuous Integral*, J. Australian, Math. Soc. Ser. A., **35** (1983), 236–53.
- [3] R. Henstock, *Linear Analysis*, Butterworths, London, (1983).
- [4] P. Y. Lee, *Lanzhou Lectures on Henstock Integration*, World Scientific, (1989).
- [5] D. K. Ganguly, Ranu Mukherjee, *The Generalized Approximate Perron Integral*, communicated.
- [6] Š. Schwabik, *Generalized Ordinary Differential Equations*, World Scientific, Singapore, (1992).