Aleksander Maliszewski; Department of Mathematics, Bydgoszcz Academy, pl. Weyssenhoffa 11, 85–072 Bydgoszcz, Poland. e-mail: AMal@ab-byd.edu.pl

# COMPARABLE ALMOST CONTINUOUS FUNCTIONS

#### Abstract

The main goal of this paper is to characterize the family of functions which are the averages of two comparable almost continuous functions.

### 1 Preliminaries

The letters  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathbb{N}$  denote the real line and the set of positive integers, respectively. We consider cardinals as ordinals not in one-to-one correspondence with the smaller ordinals. For each  $A \subset \mathbb{R}$  let |A| denote the cardinality of A. We write  $\mathfrak{c} = |\mathbb{R}|$  and use the symbol  $\mathrm{cf}(\mathfrak{c})$  to denote the cofinality of  $\mathfrak{c}$ . The projection of a set  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  onto the x-axis will be denoted by  $\mathrm{dom}(A)$ .

The word function denotes a mapping from  $\mathbb{R}$  into  $\mathbb{R}$  unless otherwise explicitly stated. We say that functions  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  are comparable if either  $\varphi < \psi$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  or  $\varphi > \psi$  on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Functions will be identified with their graphs. We say that a function f is Darboux and write  $f \in \mathbf{D}$  if the set f[J] is connected for every interval J. We will say that a function f is almost continuous in the sense of Stallings [6] and write  $f \in \mathbf{A}$  if for every open set  $U \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  containing f there is a continuous function  $h \colon \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  with  $h \subset U$ .

Let f be a function and  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . We define

$$\mathfrak{c}\text{-}\underline{\lim}(f,x^-) = \lim_{\delta \to 0^+} \inf \{ y \in \mathbb{R} \colon |\{t \in (x-\delta,x) \colon f(t) < y\}| = \mathfrak{c} \}$$

and  $\mathfrak{c}\text{-}\overline{\lim}(f,x^-) = -\mathfrak{c}\text{-}\underline{\lim}(-f,x^-)$ . The symbols  $\mathfrak{c}\text{-}\underline{\lim}(f,x^+)$  and  $\mathfrak{c}\text{-}\overline{\lim}(f,x^+)$  we define analogously.

 $<sup>\</sup>mbox{Key Words:}$  Darboux function, almost continuous function, comparable functions, average of functions.

Mathematical Reviews subject classification: Primary 26A21, 54C30. Secondary 26A15, 54C08

Received by the editors November 29, 2001

<sup>\*</sup>Supported by Bydgoszcz Academy.

The symbol  $\square$  denotes the end of the proof of a theorem or of a corollary. We use the symbol  $\triangleleft$  to denote the end of the proof of an auxiliary claim within such a proof.

# 2 Introduction

In 1974 A. M. Bruckner, J. G. Ceder, and T. L. Pearson proved that a function f is the average of two comparable Darboux functions  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  if and only if for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  we have both  $\max\{\mathfrak{c}\text{-}\underline{\lim}(f,x^-),\mathfrak{c}\text{-}\underline{\lim}(f,x^+)\}<\infty$  and  $\min\{\mathfrak{c}\text{-}\overline{\lim}(f,x^-),\mathfrak{c}\text{-}\overline{\lim}(f,x^+)\}>-\infty$  [1, Theorem 2]. A similar problem is to determine, for a given function f on  $\mathbb{R}$ , a necessary and sufficient condition for there to exist a Darboux function  $\psi$  such that  $\psi>f$  on  $\mathbb{R}$ . (The answer to this question can be easily obtained using the proof of [1, Theorem 2].) In both cases we ask whether there is a positive function g such that both f+g and (-f)+g are Darboux (the first problem) or such that f+g is Darboux (the second problem). It suggests a similar problem for classes of functions consisting of more than two functions. Several results related to this problem can be found in [4].

It is well-known that  $\mathbf{A} \subset \mathbf{D}$  [6], and that the algebraic properties of the classes  $\mathbf{A}$  and  $\mathbf{D}$  are very similar. (See, e.g., [5] or [3].) In this paper I will show that most results proved in [4] hold true if we replace the family  $\mathbf{D}$  with  $\mathbf{A}$ . In particular, Corollary 3.4 is a significant improvement both of the main part of [1, Theorem 2] and of [5, Theorem 7.2].

Recall that by [2, Example 4.1], there exists a family of functions,  $\mathfrak{A}$ , such that  $|\mathfrak{A}| = \mathrm{cf}(\mathfrak{c})$ ,  $|f| \leq 1$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  for each  $f \in \mathfrak{A}$  (so, in particular, condition ii) of Theorem 3.2 holds), but for each nonnegative function g there is an  $f \in \mathfrak{A}$  with  $f + g \notin \mathbf{D}$ . Thus we cannot weaken the assumption " $|\mathfrak{A}| < \mathrm{cf}(\mathfrak{c})$ " in Theorem 3.2. However, I do not know whether the assumption " $|\mathfrak{A}| \leq \mathfrak{c}$ " is necessary in Theorem 3.1.

## 3 Main results

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a family of functions with  $|\mathfrak{A}| \leq \mathfrak{c}$ . The following are equivalent:

- i) there is a nonnegative function g such that  $f + g \in \mathbf{D}$  for each  $f \in \mathfrak{A}$ ;
- ii) there is a nonnegative function  $\bar{g}$  such that for each  $f \in \mathfrak{A}$  and each  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  we have

$$\max\{\mathbf{c}-\underline{\lim}(f+\bar{g},x^-),\mathbf{c}-\underline{\lim}(f+\bar{g},x^+)\} \le (f+\bar{g})(x);\tag{1}$$

 $\triangleleft$ 

iii) there is a positive function g such that  $f + g \in \mathbf{A}$  for each  $f \in \mathfrak{A}$ .

*Proof.* The implications iii)  $\Rightarrow$  i) and i)  $\Rightarrow$  ii) are evident. To prove the implication ii)  $\Rightarrow$  iii) define for each  $f \in \mathfrak{A}$ 

$$U_f = \bigcup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left[ \{x\} \times ((f + \bar{g})(x), \infty) \right] = \left\{ \langle x, y \rangle \in \mathbb{R}^2 \colon y > (f + \bar{g})(x) \right\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{K}_f = \big\{ K \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \colon K \text{ is closed and } |\mathrm{dom}(K \cap U_f)| = \mathfrak{c} \big\}.$$

Let  $\{\langle f_{\xi}, K_{\xi} \rangle \colon \xi < \mathfrak{c} \}$  be an enumeration of all pairs  $\langle f, K \rangle$  such that  $f \in \mathfrak{A}$  and  $K \in \mathcal{K}_f$ . Proceeding by transfinite induction choose for each  $\xi < \mathfrak{c}$  a point  $\langle x_{\xi}, y_{\xi} \rangle \in K_{\xi} \cap U_{f_{\xi}}$  such that  $x_{\xi} \neq x_{\zeta}$  for  $\zeta < \xi$ . Define the function  $g \colon \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  by

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} y_{\xi} - f_{\xi}(x) & \text{if } x = x_{\xi} \text{ for some } \xi < \mathfrak{c}, \\ \bar{g}(x) + 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Fix an  $f \in \mathfrak{A}$ . We will prove that  $f + g \in \mathbf{A}$ . Let  $V \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  be an open set containing f + g. Notice that  $K \cap (f + g) \neq \emptyset$  for each  $K \in \mathcal{K}_f$ . Hence  $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus V \notin \mathcal{K}_f$ . So if

$$E = \operatorname{dom}(U_f \setminus V) = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R} \colon \left[ \{x\} \times ((f + \bar{g})(x), \infty) \right] \not\subset V \right\},\,$$

then  $|E| < \mathfrak{c}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{J}$  be the family of all intervals J = [a,b] for which there is a continuous function  $h \colon J \to \mathbb{R}$  such that  $h \subset V$  and h = f + g on  $\{a,b\}$ . The first claim is obvious.

Claim 1. If  $[a,b] \in \mathcal{J}$  and  $[b,c] \in \mathcal{J}$ , then  $[a,c] \in \mathcal{J}$ .

**Claim 2.** Let a < b. There are  $a', b' \in (a, b) \setminus E$  such that  $[a', b] \in \mathcal{J}$  and  $[a, b'] \in \mathcal{J}$ .

Choose an  $\varepsilon \in (0, b-a)$  with  $[(b-\varepsilon, b+\varepsilon) \times ((f+g)(b)-\varepsilon, (f+g)(b)+\varepsilon)] \subset V$ . (Recall that V is open.) By (1), there is an  $a' \in (b-\varepsilon, b) \setminus E$  such that

$$(f + \bar{a})(a') < (f + \bar{a})(b) + \varepsilon/2 < (f + a)(b) + \varepsilon/2.$$

Let L be the interval with end points (f+g)(a') and  $(f+g)(b)+\varepsilon/2$ . Since  $a' \notin E$ , we have  $[\{a'\} \times L] \subset V$ . Thus there is a  $\delta \in (0, b-a')$  such that

$$\left[ (a' - \delta, a' + \delta) \times L \right] \subset V.$$

Define h = f + g on  $\{a', b\}$ ,  $h(a' + \delta) = (f + g)(b) + \varepsilon/2$ , and let h be linear in intervals  $[a', a' + \delta]$  and  $[a' + \delta, b]$ . Clearly h proves  $[a', b] \in \mathcal{J}$ .

Similarly we can show that there is a  $b' \in (a,b) \setminus E$  such that  $[a,b'] \in \mathcal{J}$ .

**Claim 3.** Let  $(b_n) \subset \mathbb{R} \setminus E$  and  $b_n \nearrow b$ . If  $[a, b_n] \in \mathcal{J}$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $[a, b] \in \mathcal{J}$ .

By Claim 2, we can find an  $a' \in (a,b) \setminus E$  with  $[a',b] \in \mathcal{J}$ . Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  be such that  $b_n > a'$ , and let  $h_1 : [a,b_n] \to \mathbb{R}$  and  $h_2 : [a',b] \to \mathbb{R}$  correspond to  $[a,b_n] \in \mathcal{J}$  and  $[a',b] \in \mathcal{J}$ , respectively. We consider three cases.

Case 1. If  $h_1(a') \geq h_2(a')$ , then let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be such that

$$S = \left[ (a' - \varepsilon, a' + \varepsilon) \times (h_1(a') - \varepsilon, h_1(a') + \varepsilon) \right] \subset V.$$

(Recall that  $h_1 \subset V$ .) Choose an  $\eta \in (0, a' - a)$  with  $h_1 \upharpoonright [a' - \eta, a'] \subset S$ . Put  $L = [h_2(a'), h_1(a')]$ . Since  $a' \notin E$ , we have  $[\{a'\} \times L] \subset V$ . Thus there is a  $\delta \in (0, \eta)$  such that  $[(a' - \delta, a' + \delta) \times L] \subset V$ . Define  $h = h_1$  on  $[a, a' - \delta]$ ,  $h = h_2$  on [a', b], and let h be linear in  $[a' - \delta, a']$ . Clearly h proves  $[a, b] \in \mathcal{J}$ .

Case 2. Proceeding similarly we can show that  $h_2(b_n) \geq h_1(b_n)$  implies  $[a, b] \in \mathcal{J}$ .

Case 3. Finally suppose that  $h_1(a') < h_2(a')$  and  $h_1(b_n) > h_2(b_n)$ . Since  $h_1$  and  $h_2$  are continuous on  $[a', b_n]$ , there is a  $c \in (a', b_n)$  with  $h_1(c) = h_2(c)$ . Define  $h = h_1$  on [a, c] and  $h = h_2$  on [c, b]. Clearly h proves  $[a, b] \in \mathcal{J}$ .

**Claim 4.** For each a < b we have  $[a, b] \in \mathcal{J}$ .

Put  $B = \{b' \in (a,b) \setminus E : [a,b'] \in \mathcal{J}\}$ . By Claim 2, B is nonempty. Set  $s = \sup B$ . By Claim 3, we obtain  $[a,s] \in \mathcal{J}$ . If s < b, then by Claim 2, there is a  $b' \in (s,b) \setminus E$  with  $[s,b'] \in \mathcal{J}$ . But then Claim 1 implies  $b' \in B$ , an impossibility.

Using Claim 4 one can easily construct a continuous function  $h: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  contained in V. This completes the proof.

The proofs of the results below mimic the arguments used in [4].

**Theorem 3.2.** Let  $\mathfrak A$  be a family of functions with  $|\mathfrak A| < \mathrm{cf}(\mathfrak c)$ . The following are equivalent:

- i) there is a positive function g such that  $f + g \in \mathbf{D}$  for each  $f \in \mathfrak{A}$ ;
- ii) the inequality  $\sup\{\max\{\mathfrak{c}-\underline{\lim}(f,x^-),\mathfrak{c}-\underline{\lim}(f,x^+)\}-f(x)\colon f\in\mathfrak{A}\}<\infty$  holds for each  $x\in\mathbb{R};$
- iii) there is a positive function g such that  $f + g \in \mathbf{A}$  for each  $f \in \mathfrak{A}$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.3.** For each function f the following are equivalent:

i) there is a function  $\psi \in \mathbf{D}$  such that  $\psi > f$  on  $\mathbb{R}$ ;

- ii) for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  we have  $\max\{\mathfrak{c}\text{-}\underline{\lim}(f,x^-),\mathfrak{c}\text{-}\underline{\lim}(f,x^+)\}<\infty$ ;
- iii) there is a function  $\psi \in \mathbf{A}$  such that  $\psi > f$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $\psi f \in \mathbf{A}$ .

**Corollary 3.4.** For each function f the following are equivalent:

- i) there are functions  $\varphi, \psi \in \mathbf{D}$  such that  $\varphi < f < \psi$  on  $\mathbb{R}$ ;
- ii) for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  we have both  $\max\{\mathfrak{c}-\underline{\lim}(f,x^-),\mathfrak{c}-\underline{\lim}(f,x^+)\}<\infty$  and  $\min\{\mathfrak{c}-\overline{\lim}(f,x^-),\mathfrak{c}-\overline{\lim}(f,x^+)\}>-\infty;$
- iii) there are functions  $\varphi, \psi \in \mathbf{A}$  such that  $\varphi < f < \psi$  and  $f = (\varphi + \psi)/2$  on  $\mathbb{R}$ , and  $f \varphi = \psi f \in \mathbf{A}$ .

### References

- [1] A. M. Bruckner, J. G. Ceder, and T. L. Pearson, *On Darboux functions*, Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl. **19** (1974), 977–988.
- [2] K. Ciesielski and A. Maliszewski, Cardinal invariants concerning bounded families of extendable and almost continuous functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **126** (1998), 471–479.
- [3] R. Gibson, T. Natkaniec, *Darboux like functions*, Real Anal. Exchange **22** (1996/97), 492–533.
- [4] A. Maliszewski, On the averages of Darboux functions, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **350** (1998), 2833–2846.
- [5] T. Natkaniec, Almost continuity, Real Anal. Exchange 17 (1991–92), 462–520.
- [6] J. Stallings, Fixed point theorems for connectivity maps, Fund. Math. 47 (1959), 249–263.