

Yves Bolle, Rue du Midi 12, 1196 Gland, Switzerland.
email: yves.bolle@providentia.ch

DARBOUX-INTEGRABILITY AND UNIFORM CONVERGENCE

Abstract

In 1992, Šikić gives a characterization of Riemann-integrable functions as uniform limits of simple functions. The aim of this article is to prove an extension to the case of functions defined on a *basic space* (X, \mathcal{D}, μ) and with values in *any* Banach space F .

0 Introduction

In the article [6], the author gives a characterization of Riemann-integrable functions as uniform limits of simple functions; more exactly, he proves the following assertion:

Theorem (Šikić). *The function $f : [a, b] \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ is Riemann-integrable if and only if f is the uniform limit of a sequence of functions*

$$f_n = \sum_{i=1}^{l_n} a_{i,n} \cdot 1_{A_{i,n}}$$

where $A_{i,n} \in \mathcal{A}$, the algebra of subsets of $[a, b]$ formed by the Lebesgue-measurable subsets A of $[a, b]$ with $\Lambda(\text{Fr}(A)) = 0$, where Fr denotes the boundary and Λ is the Lebesgue measure.

Note that exercise 116 of § 7 from [2] presents a generalization of this result to the case of functions with values in a Banach space of *finite* dimension. The aim of this article is to prove Theorem 2.5, which gives an extension to the case of functions defined on a *basic space* (X, \mathcal{D}, μ) and with values in *any* Banach space F . We precise that the proofs - most of them are simple - of the results quoted in this paper are in the thesis [1].

Key Words: simple functions, Riemann integrable, uniform limit
Mathematical Reviews subject classification: 46G12, 26A42
Received by the editors June 28, 2001
Communicated by: R. Daniel Mauldin

1 Preliminaries

1.1 Conventions and Notation

If a_i denotes an element of a vector space and A_i a subset of a set, we use the following conventions: $\sum_{i \in \emptyset} a_i = 0$, and $\bigcup_{i \in \emptyset} A_i = \emptyset$. Moreover, the notation \coprod denotes *disjoint union*.

The Banach spaces we consider are over the field \mathbb{R} of real numbers. Let F be a Banach space with norm $\|\cdot\|$, and P a non-empty subset of F ; we call *diameter* of P the quantity $\text{diam}(P) = \sup_{y, z \in P} \|y - z\|$.

1.2 Semi-Ring

Given a set X , a *semi-ring* \mathcal{D} of subsets of X is a family of subsets of X such that

- $\emptyset \in \mathcal{D}$;
- if $A, B \in \mathcal{D}$, then $A \cap B \in \mathcal{D}$;
- if $A, B \in \mathcal{D}$, then $A \setminus B = A \cap B^c = \coprod_{j=1}^n C_j$, where $C_j \in \mathcal{D}$, $1 \leq j \leq n$.

Note that, generally, $A \setminus B \notin \mathcal{D}$.

1.3 Finite \mathcal{D} -Partition

Given a non-empty set X and \mathcal{D} a semi-ring of subsets of X , every finite family $\pi = \{D_1, \dots, D_n\}$ of non-empty disjoint elements of \mathcal{D} and such that $X = \coprod_{j=1}^n D_j$, is called a *finite \mathcal{D} -partition* of X . We write Π_X for the set of all the finite \mathcal{D} -partitions of X .

1.4 Fineness on Π_X

Suppose that π_1 is a finite \mathcal{D} -partition of X ; a finite \mathcal{D} -partition π_2 of X is said to be *finer* than π_1 , which we note by $\pi_2 \gg \pi_1$, if every element of π_1 is the union of elements of π_2 .

1.5 Remark

Given π_1 and π_2 any two finite \mathcal{D} -partitions of X , there exists a finite \mathcal{D} -partition π of X finer than π_1 and π_2 . Indeed, if $\pi_1 = \{D_1, \dots, D_m\}$ and $\pi_2 = \{E_1, \dots, E_n\}$, it suffices to consider the set of the $D_i \cap E_j$ which are non-empty, $1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n$.

1.6 Lemma

Let X be a non-empty set, \mathcal{D} a semi-ring of subsets of X such that there exists a finite \mathcal{D} -partition of X . Then,

$$\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{D}) = \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^n D_i : D_i \in \mathcal{D}, 1 \leq i \leq n, n \in \mathbb{N}^* \right\}$$

is the algebra (of subsets of X) generated by \mathcal{D} .

1.7 Remark

(to be used in the proof of Theorem 2.5)

In the hypothesis of Lemma 1.6, if $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and $D_1, \dots, D_m \in \mathcal{D} \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ with $D_i \cap D_j = \emptyset$ if $i \neq j$, then there exists $\pi \in \Pi_X$ such that every $D_i \in \pi$, $1 \leq i \leq m$. Indeed, if $A = \prod_{i=1}^m D_i = X$, then $\pi = \{D_1, \dots, D_m\}$. And if $A = \prod_{i=1}^m D_i \neq X$, then $A^c \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{D})$ and $A^c \neq \emptyset$, thus there exists $D_{m+1}, \dots, D_n \in \mathcal{D} \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ such that $A^c = \prod_{i=m+1}^n D_i$; so that $\pi = \{D_1, \dots, D_n\} \in \Pi_X$.

1.8 Functions \mathcal{D} -Simple

Let \mathcal{D} be a semi-ring of subsets of a set X (such that $\Pi_X \neq \emptyset$), and F a Banach space. Consider $V = \mathbb{R}_+$ or $V = F$, and let

$$\mathcal{S}_V(\mathcal{D}) = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m v_i \cdot 1_{D_i} : v_i \in V, \{D_1, \dots, D_m\} \in \Pi_X \right\},$$

where 1_D denotes the indicator function of D . The elements of $\mathcal{S}_V(\mathcal{D})$ are called \mathcal{D} -simple functions with values in V .

1.9 (Jordan) Content

Given X a non-empty set, and \mathcal{D} a semi-ring of subsets of X such that there exists a finite \mathcal{D} -partition of X , we call (Jordan) *content*, any monotone function of sets μ defined on $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{D})$ which is finite, positive and additive, that is $\mu : \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{D}) \mapsto \mathbb{R}_+$, $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$, $\mu(A) \leq \mu(B)$ if $A \subset B$, and $\mu\left(\prod_{i=1}^n A_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n \mu(A_i)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$.

1.10 Basic Space

We call *basic space* any triple (X, \mathcal{D}, μ) , where X is a non-empty set provided with a semi-ring \mathcal{D} of subsets of X such that there exists a finite \mathcal{D} -partition of X , and μ is a (Jordan) content defined on $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{D})$.

1.11 Lemma

Let (X, \mathcal{D}, μ) be a basic space. Then, $\mu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \mu(A_i)$, for every $A_i \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{D})$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$.

1.12 Example

Consider $a < b \in \mathbb{R}$, and $X = [a, b]$; let

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D} &= \left\{ [a, b] \cap]\alpha, \beta] : \alpha < \beta \in \mathbb{R} \right\} \\ &= \{ [a, \beta] : a \leq \beta \leq b \} \cup \{]\alpha, \beta] : a \leq \alpha \leq \beta \leq b \}, \text{ with} \end{aligned}$$

$\mu([a, \beta]) = (\beta - a)$ and $\mu(]\alpha, \beta]) = (\beta - \alpha)$. Then, (X, \mathcal{D}, μ) is a basic space.

1.13 Darboux-Integrability

Consider (X, \mathcal{D}, μ) a basic space and F a Banach space. A function $f : X \mapsto F$ is said to be *Darboux-integrable*, what we will note by \mathfrak{D} -*integrable* or \mathfrak{D} -*F-integrable*, or \mathfrak{D} - $(X, \mathcal{D}, \mu; F)$ -*integrable* if there is a risk of confusion, if

- (a) $\text{diam}(f(X)) < \infty$ (what is equivalent to f bounded);
- (b) for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\pi_\varepsilon = \{D_1, \dots, D_n\}$ a finite \mathcal{D} -partition of X such that $\sum_{i=1}^n \text{diam}(f(D_i))\mu(D_i) < \varepsilon$.

1.14 Lemma

The set $\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{D}}(X, \mathcal{D}, \mu; F)$ of the \mathfrak{D} - $(X, \mathcal{D}, \mu; F)$ -integrable functions is a vector subspace of $B(X; F)$, the set of the bounded functions from X to F , and moreover $\mathcal{S}_F(\mathcal{D})$ is a subset of $\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{D}}(X, \mathcal{D}, \mu; F)$.

The proposition 1.16 below will allow us to give the definition of the Darboux-integral of a Darboux-integrable function.

1.15 Notations and Remarks

Let (X, \mathcal{D}, μ) be a basic space, F a Banach space, and $f : X \mapsto F$ a bounded function. For each $D \in \mathcal{D}$ with $D \neq \emptyset$, let

$$E_f(D) = \{y \in F : \exists a \in \text{Conv}(f(D)) \text{ such that } \|y - a\| \leq \text{diam}(f(D))\},$$

where $\text{Conv}(f(D))$ is the convex hull of $f(D)$, that is,

$$\text{Conv}(f(D)) = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i f(x_i) : 0 \leq \lambda_i \leq 1, \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i = 1, x_i \in D, 1 \leq i \leq m, m \in \mathbb{N}^* \right\}.$$

Note that $f(D) \subset \text{Conv}(f(D)) \subset E_f(D)$; moreover, for every $x \in D$, we have $\{y \in F : \|y - f(x)\| \leq \text{diam}(f(D))\} \subset E_f(D)$. In addition, we observe that if $f(D) = \{a\}$, then $E_f(D) = \{a\}$.

1.16 Proposition

Given (X, \mathcal{D}, μ) a basic space and F a Banach space, a bounded function $f : X \mapsto F$ is \mathfrak{D} - F -integrable if and only if there exists $I \in F$ such that for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\pi_\varepsilon = \{D_1, \dots, D_l\}$ a finite \mathcal{D} -partition of X such that

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^l y_i \cdot \mu(D_i) - I \right\| < \varepsilon \text{ for every } y_i \in E_f(D_i), 1 \leq i \leq l. \text{ Moreover, in that case, } I \text{ is unique.}$$

1.17 Darboux-Integral

Let $f : X \mapsto F$ be a \mathfrak{D} - F -integrable function. Then, the unique element $I = I(f)$ established in Proposition 1.16 is called the *Darboux-integral* of f and is noted $\mathfrak{D}\text{-}\int_X f(x)d\mu(x)$ or $I_{\mathfrak{D}}(f)$ (to simplify the writing).

1.18 Remark

Given f a \mathfrak{D} - F -integrable function and $\varepsilon_n \searrow 0$, if $\pi_n = \{D_{1,n}, \dots, D_{l_n,n}\} \in \Pi_X$ with $\sum_{i=1}^{l_n} \text{diam}(f(D_{i,n}))\mu(D_{i,n}) < \varepsilon_n$, then if $x_{i,n} \in D_{i,n}, 1 \leq i \leq l_n, n \geq 1$, we obtain

$$I_{\mathfrak{D}}(f) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{l_n} f(x_{i,n})\mu(D_{i,n}) \right).$$

1.19 Proposition

Let (X, \mathcal{D}, μ) be a basic space and Θ a topology on X . Suppose that for every $D \in \mathcal{D}$ with $\overline{D} \neq D$ and for every $\delta > 0$, there exists $E_1, \dots, E_{K(D, \delta)} \in \mathcal{D} \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ (which depend on D and δ) pairwise disjoint, $E_k \subset D$, $1 \leq k \leq K(D, \delta)$, with

$$\sum_{k=1}^{K(D, \delta)} \mu(E_k) < \delta, \text{ and } \overline{\left(D \setminus \prod_{k=1}^{K(D, \delta)} E_k \right)} \subset D.$$

Consider a Banach space F and $f : X \mapsto F$ a bounded function. Then, f is \mathfrak{D} - F -integrable if and only if for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\pi_\varepsilon = \{D_1, \dots, D_m\} \in \Pi_X$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^m \text{diam}(f(\overline{D_i}))\mu(D_i) < \varepsilon$.

Moreover, in that case, $I_{\mathfrak{D}}(f) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{l_n} f(x_{i,n})\mu(D_{i,n}) \right)$, where $x_{i,n} \in \overline{D_{i,n}}$, $1 \leq i \leq l_n$, and $\sum_{i=1}^{l_n} \text{diam}(f(\overline{D_{i,n}}))\mu(D_{i,n}) < \varepsilon_n \searrow 0$.

1.20 An Application

Consider the basic space $(X = [a, b], \mathcal{D}, \mu)$ of Example 1.12, and X provided with the usual topology.

If $D =]\alpha, \beta]$, then $\overline{D} = D$. If $D =]\alpha, \beta]$ with $\alpha < \beta$, and if $\delta > 0$, let $E_\delta =]\alpha, \gamma_\delta]$, where $\gamma_\delta = \min\{\alpha + \frac{\delta}{2}; \frac{\alpha + \beta}{2}\}$; then $\overline{D} \setminus E_\delta = [\gamma_\delta, \beta] \subset]\alpha, \beta] = D$, and moreover $\mu(E_\delta) \leq \frac{\delta}{2} < \delta$.

Consequently, by Proposition 1.19, if $f : X \mapsto F$ is a bounded function with values in a Banach space F , then f is \mathfrak{D} - F -integrable if and only if for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\pi_\varepsilon = \{D_1, \dots, D_m\} \in \Pi_X$ with $\sum_{i=1}^m \text{diam}(f(\overline{D_i}))\mu(D_i) < \varepsilon$. In particular, if $F = \mathbb{R}$, then f is \mathfrak{D} - F -integrable if and only if for each $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\pi_\varepsilon = \{D_1, \dots, D_m\} \in \Pi_X$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon &> \sum_{i=1}^m \text{diam}(f(\overline{D_i} = [\alpha_i, \beta_i]))\mu(D_i) = \sum_{i=1}^m \sup_{x, y \in [\alpha_i, \beta_i]} |f(x) - f(y)|(\beta_i - \alpha_i) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m \left(\sup_{x \in [\alpha_i, \beta_i]} f(x) - \inf_{x \in [\alpha_i, \beta_i]} f(x) \right) (\beta_i - \alpha_i), \end{aligned}$$

in other words, f is \mathfrak{D} - \mathbb{R} -integrable if and only if f is Riemann-integrable.

Moreover, we have $I_{\mathfrak{D}}(f) = \int_a^b f(x)dx$.

1.21 Proposition

Consider a basic space (X, \mathcal{D}, μ) and F a Banach space. Then, a bounded function $f : X \mapsto F$ is \mathfrak{D} - F -integrable if and only if there exists a sequence $(\pi_n = \{D_{1,n}, \dots, D_{k_n,n}\})_{n \geq 1}$ of finite \mathcal{D} -partitions of X such that $\pi_{n+1} \gg \pi_n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, and such that for every $\varepsilon > 0$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A_n(f; \varepsilon)) = 0$, where $A_n(f; \varepsilon) = \coprod_{j \in J_n(\varepsilon)} D_{j,n}$, with $J_n(\varepsilon) = \{1 \leq j \leq k_n : \text{diam}(f(D_{j,n})) > \varepsilon\}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$.

2 Darboux-Integrability and Uniform Convergence

The aim of this paragraph is Theorem 2.5. However we first give some preliminary results. Add that Lemma 2.1 can be proved in a classical way, but Corollary 3.4 gives another proof.

2.1 Lemma

Given a basic space (X, \mathcal{D}, μ) and a Banach space F , let $(f_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be a sequence of \mathfrak{D} - F -integrable functions and f be a function such that f is the uniform limit of the f_n . Then, f is \mathfrak{D} - F -integrable.

2.2 Remark

If f is the uniform limit of \mathcal{C} -simple functions, where \mathcal{C} is a semi-ring of subsets of X , then $\overline{f(X)}$ is totally bounded and then, as F is a Banach space, we deduce that $\overline{f(X)}$ is compact. Indeed, let $\varepsilon > 0$; we have $\|f - f_n\|_\infty < \varepsilon$, $n \geq n_0 = n_0(\varepsilon) \in \mathbb{N}^*$, where $f_n = \sum_{i=1}^{l_n} c_{i,n} \cdot 1_{C_{i,n}} \in \mathcal{S}_F(\mathcal{C})$. Then, as $\{C_{1,n_0}, \dots, C_{l_{n_0},n_0}\} \in \Pi_X$, we have $\overline{f(X)} \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{l_{n_0}} B(c_{i,n_0}, \varepsilon)$.

2.3 Definition of the Algebra \mathcal{B} (of Subsets of X)

Given $B \subset X$ and $\pi = \{D_1, \dots, D_n\}$ a finite \mathcal{D} -partition of X , let

$$\Delta_{\pi,B} = \left\{ 1 \leq i \leq n : D_i \cap B \neq \emptyset \text{ and } D_i \cap B^c \neq \emptyset \right\}.$$

Let $\mathcal{B} = \left\{ B \subset X \text{ such that for every } \varepsilon > 0, \text{ there exists } \pi_\varepsilon = \{D_1, \dots, D_n\} \text{ a finite } \mathcal{D}\text{-partition of } X \text{ such that } \sum_{i \in \Delta_{\pi_\varepsilon,B}} \mu(D_i) < \varepsilon \right\}$.

2.4 Lemma

- (a) The family \mathcal{B} is an algebra (of subsets of X) containing \mathcal{D} .
 (b) If F is a Banach space, then $\overline{\mathcal{S}_F(\mathcal{B})}^{\|\cdot\|_\infty} \subset \mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{D}}(X, \mathcal{D}, \mu; F)$.

2.5 Theorem

Given a basic space (X, \mathcal{D}, μ) and a Banach space F , let $f : X \mapsto F$ be a function. Then, $f \in \overline{\mathcal{S}_F(\mathcal{B})}^{\|\cdot\|_\infty}$ **if and only if** $\overline{f(X)}$ is compact and f is \mathfrak{D} - F -integrable.

PROOF.

Necessity. From (b) of Lemma 2.4, f is \mathfrak{D} - F -integrable; moreover, from Remark 2.2, we deduce that $\overline{f(X)}$ is compact.

Sufficiency. Suppose that f is \mathfrak{D} - F -integrable; from Proposition 1.21, there exists a sequence $(\pi_n = \{D_{1,n}, \dots, D_{l_n,n}\})_{n \geq 1}$ of finite \mathcal{D} -partitions of X such that $\pi_{n+1} \gg \pi_n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, and such that for every $\varepsilon > 0$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A_n(\varepsilon)) = 0$, where $A_n(\varepsilon) = \prod_{j \in J_n(\varepsilon)} D_{j,n}$, where $J_n(\varepsilon) = \{1 \leq j \leq l_n : \text{diam}(f(D_{j,n})) > \varepsilon\}$.

Consider $\varepsilon > 0$ and let $B_\varepsilon = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n(\varepsilon)$. Prove that $B \in \mathcal{B}$ for every $B \subset B_\varepsilon$. Now, for each $\eta > 0$, there exists $n_0 = n_0(\eta) \in \mathbb{N}^*$ such that $\mu(A_n(\varepsilon)) < \eta$ for every $n \geq n_0$; consider $A_{n_0}(\varepsilon)$.

As $B \subset A_{n_0}(\varepsilon)$, we deduce $\Delta_{\pi_{n_0}, B} \subset J_{n_0}(\varepsilon)$ (because if $D_{j,n_0} \cap B \neq \emptyset$, then $D_{j,n_0} \cap A_{n_0}(\varepsilon) \neq \emptyset$, and therefore $D_{j,n_0} \subset A_{n_0}(\varepsilon)$). It follows that $\sum_{j \in \Delta_{\pi_{n_0}, B}} \mu(D_{j,n_0}) \leq \mu(A_{n_0}(\varepsilon)) < \eta$. Thus, as $\eta > 0$ is arbitrary, we obtain $B \in \mathcal{B}$ for every $B \subset B_\varepsilon$.

Considering first (if necessary) $g = f - f(x_0)$, where $x_0 \in X$, we can suppose, without loss of generality, that there exists $x \in X$ with $f(x) = 0$. As $\overline{f(X)}$ is compact, there exists $a_1, \dots, a_p \in F$ such that $f(X) \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^p B(a_i; \varepsilon) = \bigcap_{j=1}^q V_j$, where $q \leq p$, $V_j \neq \emptyset$, and $\|y - z\| < 2\varepsilon$ if $y, z \in V_j$, $1 \leq j \leq q$, (where $B(a_i; \varepsilon)$ denotes the open ball of center a_i and radius ε). Indeed, let $U_m = \bigcup_{i=1}^m B(a_i; \varepsilon)$, $1 \leq m \leq p$. Then,

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^p B(a_i; \varepsilon) = U_1 \prod_{m=2}^p (U_m \setminus U_{m-1}),$$

and we have the existence of the V_j .

Let $f = f_1 + f_2$, where $f_1 = f \cdot 1_{B_\varepsilon}$ and $f_2 = f \cdot 1_{B_\varepsilon^c}$. For every $1 \leq j \leq q$, let $B_j = f_1^{-1}(V_j)$. There exists (one and only one) $j_0 \in \{1, \dots, q\}$ with $0 \in V_{j_0}$. So, for every $1 \leq j \leq q$ with $j \neq j_0$, we have $B_j \subset B_\varepsilon$; therefore, $B_j \in \mathcal{B}$, $j \neq j_0$. As \mathcal{B} is an algebra and from the fact that $X = \prod_{j=1}^q B_j$, it follows that $B_{j_0} \in \mathcal{B}$.

For each $1 \leq j \leq q$, consider $b_j \in V_j$ and let $\varphi_\varepsilon = \sum_{j=1}^q b_j \cdot 1_{B_j}$. We obtain $\varphi_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{S}_F(\mathcal{B})$ and $\|f_1 - \varphi_\varepsilon\|_\infty \leq 2\varepsilon$. Consider the case of $f_2 = f \cdot 1_{B_\varepsilon^c}$. Observe that $B_\varepsilon^c = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty (A_n(\varepsilon))^c = (A_1(\varepsilon))^c \prod_{n=1}^\infty (A_n(\varepsilon) \setminus A_{n+1}(\varepsilon))$. Now, we have $(A_1(\varepsilon))^c = D_{i_1(1),1} \prod \dots \prod D_{i_{k_1}(1),1} = E_1 \prod \dots \prod E_{k_1}$ with $E_k = D_{i_k(1),1} \in \mathcal{D}$ (maybe \emptyset , but only if $(A_1(\varepsilon))^c = \emptyset$), and $\text{diam}(f(D_{i_k(1),1})) \leq \varepsilon$ ($1 \leq k \leq k_1$); with the convention $\text{diam}(\emptyset) = 0$; and for every $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} A_n(\varepsilon) \setminus A_{n+1}(\varepsilon) &= D_{i_1(n+1),n+1} \prod \dots \prod D_{i_{k_{n+1}}(n+1),n+1} \\ &= E_{\left(\sum_{r=1}^n k_r\right)+1} \prod \dots \prod E_{\left(\sum_{r=1}^n k_r\right)+k_{n+1}}, \end{aligned}$$

with $\text{diam}(f(D_{i_k(n+1),n+1})) \leq \varepsilon$ ($1 \leq k \leq k_{n+1}$); in other words $B_\varepsilon^c = \prod_{l=1}^\infty E_l$ with $E_l \in \mathcal{D}$ and $\text{diam}(f(E_l)) \leq \varepsilon$, $l \in \mathbb{N}^*$. Let $l \in \mathbb{N}^*$; E_l corresponds to a $D_{i_j(l)(n_l),n_l}$ (which can be \emptyset), for a $n_l \in \mathbb{N}^*$; if $E_l = D_{i_j(l)(n_l),n_l} \neq \emptyset$, let $\alpha_l = f(\tilde{x}_l)$ for $\tilde{x}_l \in E_l$; if $E_l = \emptyset$, let $\alpha_l = 0$.

Note that $\|f \cdot 1_{E_l} - \alpha_l \cdot 1_{E_l}\|_\infty \leq \text{diam}(f(E_l)) \leq \varepsilon$. Let $f_3 = \sum_{l=1}^\infty \alpha_l \cdot 1_{E_l}$; so, we have $\|f_2 - f_3\|_\infty = \left\| \sum_{l=1}^\infty f \cdot 1_{E_l} - \sum_{l=1}^\infty \alpha_l \cdot 1_{E_l} \right\|_\infty \leq \varepsilon$. Given $S \subset \mathbb{N}^*$, $S \neq \emptyset$, let $B_S = \prod_{s \in S} E_s$. Prove that $B_S \in \mathcal{B}$. If S is finite, then $B_S \in \mathcal{B}$ (because \mathcal{B} is an algebra containing \mathcal{D} and $E_s \in \mathcal{D}$, $s \in S$). If S is infinite, write $S = \{s_1, s_2, \dots\}$ with $s_i < s_j$ if $i < j$.

Let $\eta > 0$; there exists $n_0 = n_0(\eta) \in \mathbb{N}^*$ such that for every $n \geq n_0$, we have $\mu(A_n(\varepsilon)) < \eta$. Now, for each $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $E_{s_p} = D_{i_j(s_p)(n_{s_p}),n_{s_p}}$ for a $n_{s_p} \in \mathbb{N}^*$. Observe that from the ‘‘construction’’ of the E_l , if $p_1 < p_2$, then $n_{s_{p_1}} \leq n_{s_{p_2}}$. Consider $n_1 \geq \max\{n_0(\eta), n_{s_1}\}$ and let $p_0 = \min\{p \in \mathbb{N}^* : n_{s_p} > n_1\}$. So, we have $n_{s_{p_0}} > n_1$, $p_0 \geq 2$ (because $n_{s_1} \leq n_1$), and $n_{s_{p_0-1}} \leq n_1$. Moreover,

$$B_S = \prod_{p=1}^{p_0-1} E_{s_p} \prod_{p=p_0}^\infty E_{s_p}.$$

But, for every $p \geq p_0 \geq 2$, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} E_{s_p} &= D_{i_{j(s_p)}(n_{s_p}), n_{s_p}} \subset \left(A_{n_{s_p}-1}(\varepsilon) \setminus A_{n_{s_p}}(\varepsilon) \right) \subset A_{n_{s_p}-1}(\varepsilon) \\ &\subset A_{n_{s_{p_0}-1}}(\varepsilon) \subset A_{n_1}(\varepsilon). \end{aligned}$$

It follows $B_S \subset \prod_{p=1}^{p_0-1} E_{s_p} \prod A_{n_1}(\varepsilon) =: U$.

Note that we really have a disjoint union, because $E_{s_p} = D_{i_{j(s_p)}(n_{s_p}), n_{s_p}} \subset (A_{n_{s_p}}(\varepsilon))^c$. Now, for every $1 \leq p \leq p_0 - 1$, we have $n_{s_p} \leq n_1$, and therefore $A_{n_1}(\varepsilon) \subset A_{n_{s_p}}(\varepsilon)$; so that

$$E_{s_p} \cap A_{n_1}(\varepsilon) \subset \left((A_{n_{s_p}}(\varepsilon))^c \cap A_{n_1}(\varepsilon) \right) \subset \left((A_{n_1}(\varepsilon))^c \cap A_{n_1}(\varepsilon) \right) = \emptyset.$$

As $A_{n_1}(\varepsilon) = \prod_{j \in J_{n_1}(\varepsilon)} D_{j, n_1}$, it follows that $U = \prod_{p=1}^{p_0-1} E_{s_p} \prod_{j \in J_{n_1}(\varepsilon)} D_{j, n_1}$.

If $U = \emptyset$, then $B_S = \emptyset \in \mathcal{B}$. Suppose $U \neq \emptyset$. From Remark 1.7, there exists $\pi = \{C_1, \dots, C_r\} \in \Pi_X$ such that the non-empty elements of \mathcal{D} which constitute U appear among the C_j .

Suppose that $C_j \cap B_S \neq \emptyset$ and $C_j \cap (B_S)^c \neq \emptyset$; then, C_j cannot be one of the E_{s_p} , $1 \leq p \leq p_0 - 1$, because $E_{s_p} \subset B_S$. As C_j cannot be in U^c , the only possibility is that C_j is one of the D_{j, n_1} for a $j \in J_{n_1}(\varepsilon)$. Hence, $\prod_{j \in \Delta_{\pi, B_S}} C_j \subset A_{n_1}(\varepsilon)$, and so $0 \leq \sum_{j \in \Delta_{\pi, B_S}} \mu(C_j) \leq \mu(A_{n_1}(\varepsilon)) < \eta$. It follows that $B_S \in \mathcal{B}$ for every $S \subset \mathbb{N}^*$, $S \neq \emptyset$.

Recall that $f_3 = \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \alpha_l \cdot 1_{E_l}$ and $f(X) \subset \prod_{j=1}^q V_j$, where $V_j \neq \emptyset$ and $\|y-z\| < 2\varepsilon$ if $y, z \in V_j$, for $1 \leq j \leq q$. We observe that $f_3(X) \subset \prod_{j=1}^q V_j$ (because $0 \in V_{j_0}$ and if $f_3(x) \neq 0$, then $f_3(x) = \alpha_{l(x)} = f(\tilde{x}_{l(x)}) \in \prod_{j=1}^q V_j$). For every

$1 \leq j \leq q$, let $\tilde{B}_j = f_3^{-1}(V_j)$. Then $\tilde{B}_j \in \mathcal{B}$, $1 \leq j \leq q$. This is true because $\emptyset \in \mathcal{B}$, and if $j \neq j_0$ with $f_3^{-1}(V_j) \neq \emptyset$, then $f_3^{-1}(V_j) = \prod_{l: \alpha_l \in V_j} E_l \in \mathcal{B}$ (from

what precedes), and finally, we have $f_3^{-1}(V_{j_0}) = \prod_{l: \alpha_l \in V_{j_0}} E_l \prod \left(\prod_{l=1}^{\infty} E_l \right)^c \in \mathcal{B}$.

Moreover, we have $X = \prod_{j=1}^q \tilde{B}_j$. For each $1 \leq j \leq q$, let $\tilde{b}_j \in V_j$ and consider $\psi_\varepsilon = \sum_{j=1}^q \tilde{b}_j \cdot 1_{\tilde{B}_j} \in \mathcal{S}_F(\mathcal{B})$. So, we have $\|f_3 - \psi_\varepsilon\|_\infty \leq 2\varepsilon$. Let

$\xi_\varepsilon = \varphi_\varepsilon + \psi_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{S}_F(\mathcal{B})$; we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \|f - \xi_\varepsilon\|_\infty &= \|f - \varphi_\varepsilon - \psi_\varepsilon\|_\infty = \|f_1 + f_2 - \varphi_\varepsilon - \psi_\varepsilon\|_\infty \\ &\leq \|f_1 - \varphi_\varepsilon\|_\infty + \|f_2 - f_3\|_\infty + \|f_3 - \psi_\varepsilon\|_\infty \leq 5\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

As $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, we deduce that f is the uniform limit of functions of $\mathcal{S}_F(\mathcal{B})$. \square

2.6 Remarks

(1) If \mathcal{C} is an algebra of subsets of X such that for every $C \in \mathcal{C}$, the function $\varphi = a \cdot 1_C$ is \mathfrak{D} - F -integrable for an $a \in F \setminus \{0\}$ (F is supposed to be non-reduced to $\{0\}$), then $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{B}$. (Indeed, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\pi_\varepsilon = \{D_1, \dots, D_n\}$ a finite \mathfrak{D} -partition of X verifying

$$\varepsilon \cdot \|a\| > \sum_{i=1}^n \text{diam}(\varphi(D_i)) \cdot \mu(D_i) = \sum_{i \in \Delta_{\pi_\varepsilon, C}} \|a\| \cdot \mu(D_i),$$

therefore $\sum_{i \in \Delta_{\pi_\varepsilon, C}} \mu(D_i) < \varepsilon$. It follows that $C \in \mathcal{B}$, and then we have the assertion.)

(2) Note that \mathcal{B} is independent of F . As a matter of fact, \mathcal{B} depends only on (X, \mathfrak{D}, μ) .

The following corollary corresponds to exercise 116 from § 7 of [2] adapted to the case of a basic space.

2.7 Corollary

Let (X, \mathfrak{D}, μ) be a basic space, F a Banach space of finite dimension and $f : X \mapsto F$ a (bounded) function. Then, f is \mathfrak{D} - F -integrable if and only if $f \in \overline{\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{B})}^{\|\cdot\|_\infty}$.

PROOF. As $\overline{f(X)}$ is compact, the result follows from Theorem 2.5. \square

2.8 Examples

(1) Consider $X = [a, b]$ with

$$\mathcal{D} = \{[a, \beta] : a \leq \beta \leq b\} \cup \{[\alpha, \beta] : a \leq \alpha \leq \beta \leq b\},$$

$\mu([a, \beta]) = (\beta - a)$, $\mu([\alpha, \beta]) = (\beta - \alpha)$, where X is provided with the usual topology Θ , and let $F = \mathbb{R}$.

With reference to [6], let $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A}$, the algebra of subsets A of $[a, b]$ such that $\Lambda(\text{Fr}(A)) = 0$, where Λ denotes the Lebesgue measure, which is complete.

Let $B \in \mathcal{B}$. For every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\pi_\varepsilon = \{D_1, \dots, D_l\} \in \Pi_X$ such that $\sum_{i \in \Delta_{\pi_\varepsilon, B}} \mu(D_i) < \varepsilon$. Considering if necessary $\{a\}$ and $]a, \beta]$, we can suppose that $D_1 = \{a\}$ and for $2 \leq i \leq l$, $D_i =]\alpha_i = \beta_{i-1}, \beta_i]$ with $\beta_{i-1} < \beta_i$ and $\alpha_2 = a$. Suppose that $x \in \text{Fr}(B)$. There exists $1 \leq i_x \leq l$ such that $x \in D_{i_x}$. If $x \in]\alpha_{i_x}, \beta_{i_x}[$, then $i_x \in \Delta_{\pi_\varepsilon, B}$, so that $\text{Fr}(B) \subset \left(\prod_{i \in \Delta_{\pi_\varepsilon, B}} D_i \right) \cup \{a; \beta_i : 2 \leq i \leq l\}$. We deduce $0 \leq \Lambda(\text{Fr}(B)) \leq \sum_{i \in \Delta_{\pi_\varepsilon, B}} \mu(D_i) + \Lambda(\{a; \beta_i : 2 \leq i \leq l\}) < \varepsilon + 0 = \varepsilon$, for every $\varepsilon > 0$. Consequently, $\Lambda(\text{Fr}(B)) = 0$. We conclude $B \in \mathcal{A}$. It follows $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{A}$.

But, for every $A \in \mathcal{A}$, the function $f = 1_A : [a, b] \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ is Riemann-integrable by the article [6]; therefore, f is \mathfrak{D} - \mathbb{R} -integrable, as we have seen in Application 1.20. From the remark (1) of 2.6, we obtain $A \in \mathcal{B}$. It follows $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{B}$, and finally $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A}$.

(2) Consider $X = \mathbb{N}$, $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{D}) = \{D \subset X : D \text{ or } D^c \text{ is finite}\}$, $\mu(D) = 0$ if D is finite, and $\mu(D) = 1$ if D^c is finite. Let $E \subset X$ such that E and E^c are infinite. Then, given $D \in \mathcal{D}$ with D^c finite, we deduce that $D \cap E \neq \emptyset$ and $D \cap E^c \neq \emptyset$. So, as $\mu(D) = 1$, E cannot be an element of \mathcal{B} . We conclude from the definition of \mathcal{D} that $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{D}$.

3 Darboux-Integrability and Semi-Norm $\|\cdot\|_\mu$

In this paragraph, we only cite some results which are related to the Darboux-integrability and a semi-norm defined on $B(X, F)$. This semi-norm allows, especially, to consider the sequences of \mathfrak{D} - F -integrable functions, and also to characterize the \mathfrak{D} - F -integrable functions by the \mathcal{D} -simple functions.

3.1 Definition

Given a basic space (X, \mathcal{D}, μ) and F a Banach space, for every function $f \in B(X; F)$, let

$$\|f\|_\mu = \inf_{\substack{\gamma \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{R}_+}(\mathcal{D}) \\ \text{and } \gamma \geq \|f\|}} I_{\mathfrak{D}}(\gamma),$$

where $I_{\mathfrak{D}}(\gamma) = \sum_{i=1}^n r_i \cdot \mu(D_i)$ (if $\gamma = \sum_{i=1}^n r_i \cdot 1_{D_i}$), and $\gamma \geq \|f\|$ means $\gamma(x) \geq \|f(x)\|, x \in X$.

We note that this definition extends to the case of a basic space a notion (of superior Riemann-integral) introduced in [5].

3.2 Lemma

- (a) $\|\cdot\|_\mu$ is a semi-norm on $B(X; F)$.
 Moreover, $\|f\|_\mu \leq \|f\|_\infty \cdot \mu(X)$ for every $f \in B(X; F)$.
 (b) For every $f \in \mathcal{S}_F(\mathcal{D})$, $\|f\|_\mu = I_{\mathfrak{D}}(\|f\|)$.
 (c) Let $f : X \mapsto F$ be a bounded function such that $\|f\| : X \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ is \mathfrak{D} - \mathbb{R} -integrable. Then, $\|f\|_\mu = I_{\mathfrak{D}}(\|f\|)$.

3.3 Proposition

Let (X, \mathcal{D}, μ) be a basic space and F a Banach space. Consider $(f_n)_{n \geq 1}$ a sequence of \mathfrak{D} - F -integrable functions, $f_n : X \mapsto F$, and let $f \in B(X; F)$.

Suppose that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f - f_n\|_\mu = 0$. Then, f is \mathfrak{D} - F -integrable and $I_{\mathfrak{D}}(f) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I_{\mathfrak{D}}(f_n)$.

3.4 Corollary

Let $f_n : X \mapsto F$ ($n \geq 1$) be a sequence of \mathfrak{D} - F -integrable functions and $f \in B(X; F)$ such that $f_n \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} f$ uniformly. Then, f is \mathfrak{D} - F -integrable and $I_{\mathfrak{D}}(f) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I_{\mathfrak{D}}(f_n)$.

3.5 Proposition

Let (X, \mathcal{D}, μ) be a basic space, F a Banach space, and $f \in B(X; F)$. Then,

f is \mathfrak{D} - F -integrable if and only if $f \in \overline{\mathcal{S}_F(\mathcal{D})}^{\|\cdot\|_\mu}$,

that is, there exists a sequence $(f_n)_{n \geq 1}$ of functions of $\mathcal{S}_F(\mathcal{D})$ with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f - f_n\|_\mu = 0$.

Remark: From Proposition 3.3, we have $I_{\mathfrak{D}}(f) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I_{\mathfrak{D}}(f_n)$.

3.6 Remarks

(1) Precise however that, even if the notations and the approach used are different, the essential of Proposition 3.5 is in exercise 99 of § 7 of [2].

(2) Note that if the function f is defined on \mathcal{D} instead of X , it is possible to consider an interesting type of integral (similar, but different, to those presented in [1]) as it is suggested by the article [3], where the author establishes, under the continuum hypothesis, an *integral* representation of the second dual of $C([0, 1])$. Add that in [4], the author extends the integral representation in a more general context and in relation with the axioms of the set theory.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I especially want to thank Professor Srishti D. Chatterji for the reading of a first version, for his advice, his remarks, and for the time he devoted to me. I also thank the referee for the examination, and Professor R. Daniel Mauldin for his consideration and his remarks.

References

- [1] Y. Bolle, *Intégrales de Riemann dans les espaces abstraits*, Thèse **2107** (2000), Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne, 1999.
- [2] H. Günzler, *Integration*, Bibliographisches Institut, Mannheim/Wien/Zürich, 1985.
- [3] R. D. Mauldin, *A representation theorem for the second dual of $C[0, 1]$* , *Studia Mathematica*, T. **XLVI** (1973), 197–200.
- [4] R. D. Mauldin, *Some Effects of Set-Theoretical Assumptions in Measure Theory*, *Advances In Mathematics*, **27** (1978), 45–62.
- [5] L. Schwartz, *Cours d'Analyse*, Vol. 1, Chapitre 4, Hermann, Paris, 1981.
- [6] H. Šikić, *Riemann Integral vs. Lebesgue Integral*, *Real Analysis Exchange*, **17**(2) (1991-92), 622–632.