

Janusz Matkowski, Institute of Mathematics, University of Zielona Góra,  
PL-65-246 Zielona Góra, Poland. email: J.Matkowski@im.uz.zgora.pl

## CONVEX FUNCTIONS WITH RESPECT TO A MEAN AND A CHARACTERIZATION OF QUASI-ARITHMETIC MEANS

### Abstract

Let  $M : (0, \infty)^2 \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  be a homogeneous strict mean such that the function  $h := M(\cdot, 1)$  is twice differentiable and  $0 \neq h'(1) \neq 1$ . It is shown that if there exists an  $M$ -affine function, continuous at a point which is neither constant nor linear, then  $M$  must be a weighted power mean. Moreover the homogeneity condition of  $M$  can be replaced by  $M$ -convexity of two suitably chosen linear functions. With the aid of iteration groups, some generalizations characterizing the weighted quasi-arithmetic means are given. A geometrical aspect of these results is discussed.

### 1 Introduction

A real function  $M$  defined on the Cartesian product  $J \times J$  of an interval  $J \subset \mathbb{R}$  is said to be a *mean* if it is internal; that is, if  $\min \leq M \leq \max$ . A function  $\varphi$  mapping a subinterval  $I$  of  $J$  into  $J$  is called,  $M$ -affine,  $M$ -convex, and  $M$ -concave, if, respectively,

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi(M(x, y)) &= M(\varphi(x), \varphi(y)) \\ \varphi(M(x, y)) &\leq M(\varphi(x), \varphi(y)) \\ \varphi(M(x, y)) &\geq M(\varphi(x), \varphi(y))\end{aligned}$$

for all  $x, y \in I$  (cf. G. Aumann [5] where even two different means are involved; also J. Aczél [1], and [12], [13]). For  $M = A$  where  $A$  is the arithmetic mean, we obtain the classical notions of Jensen convexity, concavity and affinity. It

---

Key Words: mean, homogeneous function,  $M$ -affine function,  $M$ -convex function, power mean, quasi-arithmetic mean, differential equation, iteration group

Mathematical Reviews subject classification: Primary 26A51, 26E60, 39B22, Secondary 39B12

Received by the editors December 16, 2002

Communicated by: B. S. Thomson

is well known that every measurable, or one-sided bounded at a point, Jensen affine function is of the form  $\varphi(x) = ax + b$  for some real  $a, b$ . The family of all  $A$ -affine functions is rich in the following sense. For any two distinct points from the domain of  $A$  there exists exactly one  $A$ -affine function the graph of which passes through these points. This fact allows the acquisition of the epigraph of an  $A$ -convex function as the intersection of all the epigraphs of its supporting  $A$ -affine functions. This property is also shared by functions convex with respect to the weighed quasi-arithmetic means. (In this connection, in the last section, we introduce a notion of “ $M$ -affinely convex function”.) In [11] it is shown that the logarithmic mean  $L$  does not have this property, because every  $L$ -affine function is either constant or linear (that is, of the form  $\varphi(x) = ax$ ).

The main result of Section 3 says that if a mean  $M$  is homogeneous, the function  $M(\cdot, 1)$  is twice differentiable, and there is an  $M$ -affine function, continuous at least at one point, which is neither linear nor constant, then  $M$  must be a power mean. In Section 4 we generalize this result replacing the homogeneity of  $M$  by the assumption that two suitably chosen linear functions are  $M$ -convex. A mean  $M$  on  $(0, \infty)$  is homogeneous iff for every  $a > 0$  the linear function  $\varphi(x) = ax$  is  $M$ -affine and, moreover, the family of these functions forms a (multiplicative) iteration group. In Section 5, replacing the homogeneity condition of  $M$  in the main result of Section 3 by the assumption that there is a family of  $M$ -affine functions which form an iteration group, we prove that  $M$  must be a weighted quasi-arithmetic mean, which is a new characterization of this kind of means. In the last section, to discuss some consequences of these results in relation to classically convex functions we define a function to be “ $M$ -affinely convex”. Finally we mention a recent result by J. Aczél and R. Duncan Luce [3], motivated by some problems in utility theory and psychophysics, in which the functional equation  $H[K(s, t)] = L[h(s), h(t)]$  is considered, and we formulate an open problem.

Note that some questions related to a characterization of  $L^p$ -norm [9] and the Euler gamma function [6], [7] in a natural way lead to the  $M$ -convexity with  $M \neq A$ .

## 2 Preliminaries

Let  $J \subset \mathbb{R}$  be an interval. A function  $M : J^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is said to be a *mean on  $J$*  if  $\min(x, y) \leq M(x, y) \leq \max(x, y)$ ,  $x, y \in J$ . Moreover, if for all  $x, y \in J$ ,  $x \neq y$ , these inequalities are strict,  $M$  is called a *strict mean* and if  $M(x, y) = M(y, x)$  for all  $x, y \in J$ ,  $M$  is called *symmetric*.

If  $M : J^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a mean, then  $M$  is *reflexive*; that is,  $M(x, x) = x$ ,  $x \in J$ .

It is easy to see that every reflexive function  $M : J^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  which is (strictly) increasing with respect to each variable is a (strict) mean. The reflexivity of a mean  $M$  implies that  $M(I^2) = I$  for every interval  $I \subset J$ , and  $M|_{I \times I}$  is a mean on  $I$ . This property permits to generalize the classical notions of the convex, concave and affine functions in the following way (cf. [1], [5], [12], [13]).

**Definition 1.** Let  $J \subset \mathbb{R}$  be an interval,  $M : J^2 \rightarrow J$  a mean on  $J$ , and  $I \subset J$  an interval. A function  $\varphi : I \rightarrow J$  is said to be:

*convex with respect to  $M$  on  $I$* , or simply,  *$M$ -convex on  $I$* , if

$$\varphi(M(x, y)) \leq M(\varphi(x), \varphi(y)), \quad x, y \in I,$$

*$M$ -concave on  $I$* , if the inequality is reversed and

*$M$ -affine on  $I$* , if it is both  $M$ -convex and  $M$ -concave; i.e., if,

$$\varphi(M(x, y)) = M(\varphi(x), \varphi(y)), \quad x, y \in I.$$

**Remark 1.** Suppose that  $M : J^2 \rightarrow J$  is a mean. Then

1. every constant function  $\varphi : J \rightarrow J$  and the identity function  $\varphi = id|_J$  is  $M$ -affine,
2. for  $M = \min$  or  $M = \max$  every increasing function  $\varphi : J \rightarrow J$  is  $M$ -affine. Thus, if  $M$  is not strict, then the class of  $M$ -affine functions is, in general, essentially larger,
3. if  $\varphi : J \rightarrow J$  is  $M$ -convex, strictly increasing and onto, then the inverse function  $\varphi^{-1}$  is  $M$ -concave.

Note that taking in these definitions  $M = A$ , where  $A : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  denotes the arithmetic mean,  $A(x, y) = \frac{x+y}{2}$ , we obtain the classical Jensen affine and Jensen convex functions.

**Remark 2.** Suppose that a mean  $M : (0, \infty)^2 \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  is a homogeneous function of an order  $p \in \mathbb{R}$ ; that is,  $M(tx, ty) = t^p M(x, y)$ ,  $t, x, y > 0$ . Then

1.  $p = 1$ ,
2. setting  $h(t) := M(t, 1)$ ,  $t > 0$ , we have

$$M(x, y) = yh\left(\frac{x}{y}\right), \quad x, y > 0; \quad h(1) = 1$$

$$0 \leq \frac{h(x) - 1}{x - 1} \leq 1, \quad x > 0, \quad x \neq 1,$$

and these inequalities are strict iff  $M$  is a strict mean. Moreover, if  $h$  is differentiable at the point 1, then  $0 \leq h'(1) \leq 1$ ,

3. besides the constant functions, every linear function  $\varphi(x) = \varphi(1)x$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , is  $M$ -affine,
4. if  $c \in (0, \infty)$  and  $\varphi : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  is  $M$ -affine, then so is  $c\varphi$ .

**Remark 3.** Suppose that  $M : J^2 \rightarrow J$  is a mean and  $I_1, I_2 \subseteq J$  are intervals. If  $\varphi_1 : I_1 \rightarrow I_2$ ,  $\varphi_2 : I_2 \rightarrow J$  are  $M$ -affine, then clearly, the composition  $\varphi_2 \circ \varphi_1$  is also  $M$ -affine.

Let us note the following.

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $J \subset \mathbb{R}$  be an interval and  $M : J^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  a strict and continuous mean. Suppose that  $M$  is strictly monotonic with respect to one of the variables (in a neighborhood of the diagonal  $\{(x, x) : x \in J\}$ ). If  $I \subset J$  is an interval and  $\varphi, \psi : I \rightarrow J$  are  $M$ -affine, continuous, and  $\varphi(x_1) = \psi(x_1)$ ,  $\varphi(x_2) = \psi(x_2)$  for some  $x_1, x_2 \in I$ ,  $x_1 \neq x_2$ , then  $\varphi = \psi$ .*

PROOF. Assume that  $M$  is strictly monotonic with respect to the first variable. Put  $I_0 := \{x \in I : \varphi(x) = \psi(x)\}$ . By the continuity of  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  the set  $I_0$  is closed in  $I$ . Assume that  $x_1 < x_2$ . We shall show that  $[x_1, x_2] \subset I_0$ . Indeed, in the opposite case the set  $[x_1, x_2] \setminus I_0$  would be at most countable sum of nonempty intervals. If  $(a, b)$  is one of such an intervals, then  $\varphi(a) = \psi(a)$ ,  $\varphi(b) = \psi(b)$ . Hence we get

$$\varphi(M(a, b)) = M(\varphi(a), \varphi(b)) = M(\psi(a), \psi(b)) = \psi(M(a, b)).$$

Since  $M$  is a strict mean, we have  $a < M(a, b) < b$  and consequently,  $M(a, b) \in I_0$ ; that is, a desired contradiction. In particular we have proved that  $I_0$  is an interval. Suppose that  $I_0 \neq I$ . Then at least one of the endpoints of the interval  $I_0$  would be an interior point of  $I$ . Assume, for instance, that  $c := \min I_0$  belongs to  $I$ . Let us take  $x_0 \in I_0$ ,  $x_0 > c$ . Since  $M$  is strict, we have  $c < M(c, x_0) < x_0$ . The continuity of the function  $I \ni x \rightarrow M(x, x_0)$  implies that there is a  $\delta > 0$  such that  $[c - \delta, x_0] \subset I$  and  $M(x, x_0) \in [c, x_0]$  for all  $x \in [c - \delta, x_0]$ . Hence for  $x \in [c - \delta, x_0]$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} M(\psi(x), \varphi(x_0)) &= M(\psi(x), \psi(x_0)) = \psi(M(x, x_0)) \\ &= \varphi(M(x, x_0)) = M(\varphi(x), \varphi(x_0)). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $M$  is strictly increasing with respect to the first variable, we infer that  $\psi(x) = \varphi(x)$  for all  $x \in [c - \delta, x_0]$ , which contradicts to the definition of  $c$ . (Choosing  $x_0$  close enough to  $c$ , we can argue similarly in the case when  $M$  is increasing with respect to the first variable in a neighborhood of the diagonal.)  $\square$

### 3 A Basic Result for Homogeneous Means

The main result of this section reads as follows.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $M : (0, \infty)^2 \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  be a strict and homogeneous mean. Suppose that the function  $h : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  defined by  $h(x) := M(x, 1)$ ,  $x > 0$ , is twice differentiable, and  $0 \neq h'(1) \neq 1$ . If there exists an  $M$ -affine function, continuous at a point which is neither constant nor linear, then there is a  $p \in \mathbb{R}$  such that*

$$M(x, y) = \begin{cases} (wx^p + (1-w)y^p)^{1/p} & \text{for } p \neq 0 \\ x^w y^{1-w} & \text{for } p = 0 \end{cases}, \quad x, y > 0,$$

where  $w := h'(1)$ .

PROOF. Let  $\varphi : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  be continuous at a point  $x_0$ , and  $M$ -affine function; i.e.,

$$\varphi(M(x, y)) = M(\varphi(x), \varphi(y)), \quad x, y > 0. \tag{1}$$

Suppose that  $\varphi$  is nontrivial; that is, it is neither linear nor constant in  $(0, \infty)$ . By Remark 2 we have  $0 < h'(1) < 1$ . The continuity of  $h'$  implies that  $h$  is strictly monotonic in a neighborhood of 1. It follows that in a neighborhood of the diagonal  $M$  is locally strictly increasing with respect to both variables. To show it note that there is an  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $0 < h'(t) < 1$ ,  $t \in (1 - \varepsilon, 1 + \varepsilon)$ . Let us fix an arbitrary  $y > 0$ . Since, by the homogeneity of  $M$ ,

$$M(x, y) = yh\left(\frac{x}{y}\right), \quad x, y > 0, \tag{2}$$

we have

$$\frac{\partial M}{\partial x}(x, y) = h'\left(\frac{x}{y}\right), \quad x, y > 0,$$

and, consequently, there is an  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $\frac{\partial M}{\partial x}(x, y) > 0$  for all  $x, y > 0$  such that  $1 - \varepsilon < \frac{x}{y} < 1 + \varepsilon$ . which proves that  $M(\cdot, y)$  is increasing in a neighborhood of  $y$  for every  $y > 0$ . Similarly, since

$$\frac{\partial M}{\partial y}(x, y) = h\left(\frac{x}{y}\right) - \frac{x}{y}h'\left(\frac{x}{y}\right), \quad x, y > 0,$$

and,  $h(1) = 1$ , we infer that, there is an  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $\frac{\partial M}{\partial y}(x, y) > 0$  for all  $x, y > 0$  such that  $1 - \varepsilon < \frac{x}{y} < 1 + \varepsilon$ . This proves that our mean  $M$  is strictly increasing with respect to both variables in a neighborhood of the diagonal.

Suppose that  $\varphi$  is continuous at a point  $x_0 > 0$ . Choose  $y > 0$ ,  $y \neq x_0$ , such that  $M$  is strictly increasing with respect to both variables in a joint neighborhood of the points  $(x_0, x_0), (x_0, y), (y, y)$ . Assume, for instance, that  $x_0 < y$ . Then  $x_0 < M(x_0, y) < y$ . Take an arbitrary point  $z_0 \in (x_0, M(x_0, y))$ . By the continuity and the strict increasing monotonicity of the function  $M(x_0, \cdot)$ , there is a unique  $y_0 \in (x_0, y)$  such that  $z_0 = M(x_0, y_0)$  and the function  $M(\cdot, y_0)$  is strictly increasing in a neighborhood of  $x_0$ . Let  $(z_n)$  be an arbitrary sequence such that  $z_n \rightarrow z_0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and  $z_n \in (x_0, M(x_0, y))$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Hence, for every  $n$  there is a unique  $x_n \in (x_0, y)$  such that  $M(x_n, y_0) = z_n$ . Moreover we have  $x_n \rightarrow x_0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . In fact, in the opposite case, for a subsequence of  $(x_{n_k})$ , by the continuity of  $M$ , we would get

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} M(x_{n_k}, y_0) = M(\bar{x}, y_0) = z_0,$$

for some  $\bar{x} \neq x_0$ , which contradicts to the strict monotonicity of  $M(\cdot, y_0)$  in  $[x_0, y]$ . Now, making use of the  $M$ -affinity of  $\varphi$ , the continuity of  $M$ , and the continuity of  $\varphi$  at  $x_0$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(z_n) &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(M(x_n, y_0)) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} M(\varphi(x_n), \varphi(y_0)) \\ &= M(\varphi(x_0), \varphi(y_0)) = \varphi(M(x_0, y_0)) = \varphi(z_0) \end{aligned}$$

which proves that  $\varphi$  is right-continuous at  $z_0$ . Assuming that  $y < M(x_0, y) < x_0$  in the same way we can show that  $\varphi$  is left-continuous at  $z_0$ . Thus we have shown that  $\varphi$  is continuous in a neighborhood of the point  $x_0$ . (The argument used in the proof of the continuity is similar to that applied in [10].)

Let  $(a, b)$  denote the maximal open interval of the continuity of  $\varphi$  such that  $x_0 \in (a, b)$ . Suppose that  $b < \infty$ . Since  $M$  is strictly increasing in a neighborhood of  $(b, b)$ , choosing  $z_0$  sufficiently close to  $b$ , and the numbers  $x_0, y_0$ ,  $x_0 < b \leq z_0 < y_0$ , we can argue as in the previous step to show that  $\varphi$  is continuous in a right neighborhood of  $b$ . This contradicts the definition of  $b$  and proves that  $b = \infty$ . A similar argument shows that  $a = 0$ . Thus  $\varphi$  is continuous on  $(0, \infty)$  is completed.

Since the constant and linear functions are  $M$ -affine, Lemma 1 implies that  $\varphi$  is strictly monotonic and there is no interval  $I \subset (0, \infty)$  such that  $\varphi|_I$  is constant or linear. Moreover equation (1) can be written in the form

$$\varphi\left(yh\left(\frac{x}{y}\right)\right) = \varphi(y)h\left(\frac{\varphi(x)}{\varphi(y)}\right), \quad x, y > 0. \quad (3)$$

The function  $\varphi$ , being monotonic, is differentiable almost everywhere. Let  $x > 0$  be a differentiability point of  $\varphi$ . Relation (3) and the assumed differentiability

of  $h$  imply that, for arbitrarily fixed  $y > 0$ , the function  $\varphi$  is differentiable at a point  $yh\left(\frac{x}{y}\right)$ . Consequently,  $\varphi$  is differentiable everywhere.

Differentiation of both sides with respect to  $x$  and  $y$  gives, respectively,

$$\varphi' \left( yh \left( \frac{x}{y} \right) \right) h' \left( \frac{x}{y} \right) = \varphi'(x)h' \left( \frac{\varphi(x)}{\varphi(y)} \right), \quad x, y > 0 \tag{4}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\varphi' \left( yh \left( \frac{x}{y} \right) \right) \left[ h \left( \frac{x}{y} \right) - h' \left( \frac{x}{y} \right) \frac{x}{y} \right] \\ &= \varphi'(y)h \left( \frac{\varphi(x)}{\varphi(y)} \right) - h' \left( \frac{\varphi(x)}{\varphi(y)} \right) \frac{\varphi(x)\varphi'(y)}{\varphi(y)}, \quad x, y > 0. \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

(Note that the continuity of the right-hand side of (4) with respect to  $y$  implies the continuity of  $\varphi' \left( yh \left( \frac{x}{y} \right) \right)$  with respect to  $y$  and, consequently, the continuity of  $\varphi'$ .) Suppose that  $\varphi'(x_0) = 0$  for some  $x_0 > 0$ . Since  $h'$  is continuous at 1 and  $h'(1) \neq 0$ , relation (4) implies that  $\varphi' \left( yh \left( \frac{x_0}{y} \right) \right) = 0$  for all  $y$  from a neighborhood of the point  $x_0$ . Moreover, the function  $y \rightarrow yh \left( \frac{x_0}{y} \right)$  maps every interval neighborhood of  $x_0$  on a nontrivial interval. In fact, in the opposite case, this function would be constant on some neighborhood of  $x_0$ ; i.e.,  $h \left( \frac{x_0}{y} \right) = \frac{c}{y}$ . Since  $h(1) = 1$ , we infer that  $c = x_0$  and  $h(t) = t$  in a neighborhood of the point 1. Consequently,  $M(x, y) = x$  in a neighborhood of the point  $(x_0, x_0)$ . This is a contradiction because  $M$  is a strict mean. Hence  $\varphi'(x) \neq 0$  in a neighborhood of  $x_0$ , and  $\varphi$  would be constant in this neighborhood. By Lemma 1,  $\varphi$  would be constant on  $(0, \infty)$ . This contradicts the assumption that  $\varphi$  is nontrivial. Thus we have shown that  $\varphi' \neq 0$  in  $(0, \infty)$ .

Let  $(\alpha, \beta) \subset (0, \infty)$  be the maximal interval such that  $1 \in (\alpha, \beta)$  and  $h'(t) \neq 0$  for all  $t \in (\alpha, \beta)$ . Take arbitrary  $t \in (\alpha, \beta)$  and  $x, y > 0$  such that  $\frac{x}{y} = t$ . Since  $\varphi' \neq 0$ , from (4) we infer that  $\frac{\varphi(x)}{\varphi(y)} \in (\alpha, \beta)$ . Now from (5) and (4) we obtain

$$\frac{h \left( \frac{x}{y} \right) - h' \left( \frac{x}{y} \right) \frac{x}{y}}{h' \left( \frac{x}{y} \right)} = \frac{\varphi'(y)}{\varphi'(x)} \left( \frac{h \left( \frac{\varphi(x)}{\varphi(y)} \right)}{h' \left( \frac{\varphi(x)}{\varphi(y)} \right)} - \frac{\varphi(x)}{\varphi(y)} \right);$$

i.e.,

$$\frac{h(t)}{h'(t)} - t = \frac{\varphi'(y)}{\varphi'(ty)} \left( \frac{h \left( \frac{\varphi(ty)}{\varphi(y)} \right)}{h' \left( \frac{\varphi(ty)}{\varphi(y)} \right)} - \frac{\varphi(ty)}{\varphi(y)} \right), \quad t \in (\alpha, \beta); \quad y > 0. \tag{6}$$

Setting  $H(t) := \frac{h(t)}{h'(t)} - t$ ,  $t \in (\alpha, \beta)$ , we get

$$H(t) = \frac{\varphi'(y)}{\varphi'(ty)} H\left(\frac{\varphi(ty)}{\varphi(y)}\right), \quad t \in (\alpha, \beta); \quad y > 0, \quad (7)$$

and, of course,  $H$  is differentiable in  $(\alpha, \beta)$ . Suppose that there is a  $t_0 \in (\alpha, \beta)$ ,  $t_0 \neq 1$ , such that  $H(t_0) = 0$ . Then we would have  $H\left(\frac{\varphi(t_0 y)}{\varphi(y)}\right) = 0$  for all  $y > 0$ . Hence either  $H(t) = 0$  in a neighborhood of  $t_0$  or  $\frac{\varphi(t_0 y)}{\varphi(y)} = t_0$  for all  $y > 0$ . The first case cannot occur because, by the definition of  $H$ , we would have  $h(t) = ct$  in a neighborhood of  $t_0$ , and, consequently, by (2),  $M(x, y) = yh\left(\frac{x}{y}\right) = kx$  for some  $k > 0$  and for all  $x, y > 0$  such that  $\frac{x}{y}$  belongs to the neighborhood of  $t_0$ . Since  $M$  is a strict mean, we have  $k < 1$ . Hence, by (1),  $\varphi(kx) = \varphi(M(x, y)) = M(\varphi(x), \varphi(y)) = k\varphi(x)$ ; that is,  $\frac{\varphi(kx)}{kx} = \frac{\varphi(x)}{x}$  for all  $x > 0$ . Thus  $\varphi$  coincides with a linear function at the points  $x$  and  $kx$ . By Lemma 1, the function  $\varphi$  must be linear, which is the desired contradiction. In the second case we would have  $\frac{\varphi(t_0 y)}{t_0 y} = \frac{\varphi(ty)}{y}$  for all  $y > 0$ , and again,  $\varphi$  would be a linear function. Thus we have shown that  $H(t) \neq 0$  for all  $t \in (\alpha, \beta)$ ,  $t \neq 1$ .

Setting  $y = 1$  here we get  $\varphi'(t) = \varphi'(1) \frac{H(\varphi(t))}{H(t)}$ ,  $t \in (\alpha, \beta)$ ,  $t \neq 1$ . Whence, the differentiability of  $H$  implies that  $\varphi$  is twice differentiable in  $(\alpha, \beta) \setminus \{1\}$ . Taking (7) into account, we infer that  $\varphi$  is twice differentiable in  $(0, \infty)$ . Differentiating both sides of (7) with respect to  $t \in (\alpha, \beta)$  we obtain

$$H'(t) = -\frac{\varphi'(y)\varphi''(ty)y}{[\varphi'(ty)]^2} H\left(\frac{\varphi(ty)}{\varphi(y)}\right) + \frac{\varphi'(y)y}{\varphi(y)} H'\left(\frac{\varphi(ty)}{\varphi(y)}\right)$$

for all  $t \in (\alpha, \beta)$ ;  $y > 0$ . Taking  $t := 1$  here and replacing  $y$  by  $x$ , we get

$$H(1)x \frac{\varphi''(x)}{\varphi'(x)} - H'(1)x \frac{\varphi'(x)}{\varphi(x)} + H'(1) = 0, \quad x > 0. \quad (8)$$

Note that  $H(1) \neq 0$  as, in the opposite case, we would get

$$H'(1)x \frac{\varphi'(x)}{\varphi(x)} - H'(1) = 0, \quad x > 0.$$

Since  $h(1) = 1$  and, by assumption,  $h'(1) \neq 1$ , we have

$$H'(1) = \frac{h(t)}{h'(t)} - t = \frac{1}{h'(1)} - 1 \neq 0.$$



Hence  $x \frac{\varphi'(x)}{\varphi(x)} - 1 = 0$ ,  $x > 0$ , and, consequently, there would exist a  $c > 0$  such that  $\varphi(x) = cx$ ,  $x > 0$ , which is a contradiction.

Putting  $p := 1 - \frac{H'(1)}{H(1)}$ , we can write equation (8) in the following equivalent form

$$\frac{\varphi''(x)}{\varphi'(x)} - (1-p) \frac{\varphi'(x)}{\varphi(x)} + \frac{1-p}{x} = 0, \quad x > 0.$$

For  $p = 1$  the only functions satisfying this differential equations are linear. Solving this differential equation for  $p \neq 1$  we obtain

1. if  $0 \neq p \neq 1$ , then, for some  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $a > 0$ ,  $b > 0$ ,

$$\varphi(x) = (ax^p + b)^{1/p}, \quad x > 0; \quad (9)$$

2. if  $p = 0$ , then, for some  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $0 \neq a \neq 1$ ,  $b \neq 0$ ,

$$\varphi(x) = bx^a, \quad x > 0, \quad (10)$$

(we have excluded here the constant and linear functions).

Now we shall find the form of the mean  $M$  in each of these two cases. In the first case, when  $0 \neq p \neq 1$ , from (3) we have

$$\left( a \left[ yh \left( \frac{x}{y} \right) \right]^p + b \right)^{1/p} = (ay^p + b)^{1/p} h \left( \frac{(ax^p + b)^{1/p}}{(ay^p + b)^{1/p}} \right), \quad x, y > 0.$$

Replacing  $a^{1/p}x$  and  $a^{1/p}y$ , here respectively by  $x$  and  $y$  we obtain

$$\left( \left[ yh \left( \frac{x}{y} \right) \right]^p + b \right)^{1/p} = (y^p + b)^{1/p} h \left( \left( \frac{x^p + b}{y^p + b} \right)^{1/p} \right), \quad x, y > 0.$$

Multiplying both sides by an arbitrary  $c > 0$  (cf. Remark 2, part 4) we get, for all  $x, y > 0$ ,

$$\left( \left[ cyh \left( \frac{cx}{cy} \right) \right]^p + c^p b \right)^{1/p} = ((cy)^p + c^p b)^{1/p} h \left( \left( \frac{(cx)^p + c^p b}{(cy)^p + c^p b} \right)^{1/p} \right).$$

Replacing  $cx$ ,  $cy$ ,  $c^p b$ , here respectively, by  $x, y$  and  $r$ , we obtain

$$\left[ yh \left( \frac{x}{y} \right) \right]^p + r = (y^p + r) \left[ h \left( \left( \frac{x^p + r}{y^p + r} \right)^{1/p} \right) \right]^p \quad \text{for all } r, x, y > 0.$$

Hence, for all  $r, x, y > 0$ ,

$$[M(x, y)]^p = \left[ yh \left( \frac{x}{y} \right) \right]^p = (y^p + r) \left[ h \left( \left( \frac{x^p + r}{y^p + r} \right)^{1/p} \right) \right]^p - r.$$

Taking into account that the right hand side does not depend on  $r > 0$ , and the relation  $h(1) = 1$ , we obtain, for all  $x, y > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} [M(x, y)]^p &= \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ (y^p + r) \left[ h \left( \left( \frac{x^p + r}{y^p + r} \right)^{1/p} \right) \right]^p - r \right\} \\ &= y^p \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} h \left( \left( \frac{x^p + r}{y^p + r} \right)^{1/p} \right)^p + \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left[ h \left( \left( \frac{x^p + r}{y^p + r} \right)^{1/p} \right) \right]^p - 1}{\frac{1}{r}} \\ &= h(1)y^p + \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left( \frac{x^p + r}{y^p + r} \right)^{1/p} - 1}{\frac{1}{r}} \frac{\left[ h \left( \left( \frac{x^p + r}{y^p + r} \right)^{1/p} \right) \right]^p - [h(1^{1/p})]^p}{\left( \frac{x^p + r}{y^p + r} \right)^{1/p} - 1} \\ &= y^p - h'(1)(y^p - x^p). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,  $M(x, y) = (wx^p + (1 - w)y^p)^{1/p}$ ,  $x, y > 0$ , where  $w := h'(1)$ . Since  $w \in (0, 1)$ ,  $M$  is a weighted power mean.

Now consider the second case when  $p = 0$ . From (3) we have

$$b \left[ yh \left( \frac{x}{y} \right) \right]^a = by^a h \left( \frac{bx^a}{by^a} \right), \quad x, y > 0.$$

Putting  $t := \frac{x}{y}$  for  $x, y > 0$ , we obtain the functional equation

$$[h(t)]^a = h(t^a), \quad t > 0.$$

Define  $F : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by  $F := \log \circ h \circ \exp$ . Then  $F(0) = 0$ ,  $F$  is differentiable at 0,  $F(0) = h'(1)$ , and  $F$  satisfies the functional equation  $F(au) = aF(u)$ ,  $u \in \mathbb{R}$ . Since this equation is equivalent to  $a^{-1}F(u) = F(a^{-1}u)$ , ( $u \in \mathbb{R}$ ), we can assume, without loss of generality, that  $|a| < 1$ . Hence, by induction,  $F(a^n u) = a^n F(u)$  for all  $u \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Thus  $F(u) = \frac{F(a^n u)}{a^n} u$ ,  $u \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$  we get  $F(u) = F'(0)u$ ,  $u \in \mathbb{R}$ , and, consequently,  $h(t) = t^w$ ,  $t > 0$ . Of course we have  $0 < w < 1$ . Thus in this case  $M(x, y) = x^w y^{1-w}$ ,  $x, y > 0$ , where  $w := h'(1)$  which proves that  $M$  is a weighted geometric mean.  $\square$

**Remark 4.** Note that in the case  $p \neq 0$  every function  $\varphi$  of the form (9) with positive  $a$  and  $b$  is  $M$ -affine, and in the case  $p = 0$ , every function of the form (10) with positive  $a$  and  $b$  is  $M$ -affine.

**Remark 5.** Let  $M : (0, \infty)^2 \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  be a homogeneous mean and let  $h, h^\star : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  be defined by  $h(x) := M(x, 1)$ ,  $h^\star(x) := M(1, x)$ ,  $x > 0$ . Then  $h^\star(x) = xh\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)$ ,  $x > 0$ . If moreover  $h$  is differentiable at the point 1 and  $h'(1) = 0$ , then  $(h^\star)'(1) = 1$  and vice versa.

To show that the assumption  $0 \neq h'(1) \neq 1$  is essential consider the following.

**Remark 6.** Let  $M : (0, \infty)^2 \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  be a homogeneous mean. Suppose that  $h : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  defined by  $h(x) := M(x, 1)$ ,  $x > 0$ , is twice differentiable (in a neighborhood of 1) and  $h'(1) = 0$ ,  $h''(1) \neq 0$ . If  $\varphi : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  is a twice differentiable  $M$ -affine function, then either  $\varphi$  is linear or constant. The same remains true if twice differentiability is replaced by  $n$ th differentiability and  $h'(1) = h''(1) = \dots = h^{(n-1)}(1) = 0$ ,  $h^{(n)}(1) \neq 0$ .

PROOF. Differentiating twice both sides of (3) with respect to  $x$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \varphi''\left(yh\left(\frac{x}{y}\right)\right) \left[h'\left(\frac{x}{y}\right)\right]^2 + \frac{2}{y}\varphi'\left(yh\left(\frac{x}{y}\right)\right)h''\left(\frac{x}{y}\right) \\ &= h''\left(\frac{\varphi(x)}{\varphi(y)}\right) \frac{[\varphi'(x)]^2}{\varphi(y)} + h'\left(\frac{\varphi(x)}{\varphi(y)}\right)\varphi''(x). \end{aligned}$$

Taking here  $y := x$  and making use of the assumptions  $h'(1) = 0$ ,  $h''(1) \neq 0$ , we get  $h''(1)\varphi'(x)\left(\frac{[\varphi'(x)]}{\varphi(x)} - \frac{1}{x}\right) = 0$ . If  $\varphi$  is not constant, then  $\frac{[\varphi'(x)]}{\varphi(x)} = \frac{1}{x}$ , and, consequently,  $\varphi$  is linear. The same argument works in the case  $n \geq 3$  as after  $n$  times differentiation of both sides of (3) and the substitution  $y := x$  only two summands do not disappear and we again get the above differential equation.  $\square$

As a consequence of Theorem 1 we obtain the following.

**Corollary 1.** Let  $M : (0, \infty)^2 \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  be a strict, symmetric, and homogeneous mean. Suppose that the function  $h : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  defined by  $h(x) := M(x, 1)$ ,  $x > 0$ , is twice differentiable. If there exists an  $M$ -affine function, continuous at a point which is neither constant nor linear, then there is a  $p \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$M(x, y) = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{x^p + y^p}{2}\right)^{1/p} & \text{for } p \neq 0 \\ \sqrt{xy} & \text{for } p = 0. \end{cases}$$

#### 4 A Generalization Involving $M$ -Convex Functions

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $M : (0, \infty)^2 \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  be a strict continuous mean. Suppose that:*

1. *there are  $a, b > 0$ ,  $a < 1 < b$ ,  $\frac{\log b}{\log a} \notin \mathbb{Q}$ , such that the linear functions  $(0, \infty) \ni x \rightarrow ax$ ,  $(0, \infty) \ni x \rightarrow bx$  are both  $M$ -convex (or both  $M$ -concave),*
2. *the function  $h(x) := M(x, 1)$ ,  $x > 0$ , is twice differentiable, and  $0 \neq h'(1) \neq 1$ .*

*If there exists an  $M$ -affine function, continuous at least at one point, which is neither constant nor linear, then there is a  $p \in \mathbb{R}$  such that*

$$M(x, y) = \begin{cases} (wx^p + (1-w)y^p)^{1/p} & \text{for } p \neq 0. \\ x^w y^{1-w} & \text{for } p = 0 \end{cases}, \quad x, y > 0,$$

where  $w := h'(1)$ .

PROOF. The assumed convexity of the functions  $(0, \infty) \ni x \rightarrow ax$  and  $(0, \infty) \ni x \rightarrow bx$  implies that

$$aM(x, y) \leq M(ax, ay), \quad bM(x, y) \leq M(bx, by), \quad x, y > 0.$$

Hence, by induction, for all  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $x, y > 0$ ,

$$a^m M(x, y) \leq M(a^m x, a^m y); \quad b^n M(x, y) \leq M(b^n x, b^n y),$$

whence

$$a^m b^n M(x, y) \leq M(a^m b^n x, a^m b^n y); \quad m, n, \in \mathbb{N}, x, y > 0.$$

The assumptions on  $a$  and  $b$  imply that the set  $\{a^m b^n : m, n, \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is dense in  $(0, \infty)$ . The continuity of  $M$  implies that  $tM(x, y) \leq M(tx, ty)$ ;  $t, x, y > 0$ , which, obviously yields the homogeneity of  $M$ . Now our theorem follows from Theorem 1.  $\square$

#### 5 Non-Homogeneous Means - A Characterization of Weighted Quasi-Arithmetic Means

By Remark 3, if  $g : J \rightarrow J$  is  $M$ -affine, then, for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , its  $n$ th iterate  $g^n$  is  $M$ -affine. If, moreover,  $g$  is invertible, then the inverse  $g^{-1}$  is  $M$ -affine on  $g(J)$ , and the family of iterates  $\{g^k : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  is a group consisting of  $M$ -affine functions.

We begin with recalling the following.

**Definition 2.** Let  $J \subset \mathbb{R}$  be an interval. A one-parameter family  $\{g^u : u \in \mathbb{R}\}$  of continuous functions  $g^u : J \rightarrow J$  such that  $g^u \circ g^v = g^{u+v}$ ,  $u, v \in \mathbb{R}$ ;  $g^0 = id|_J$  is said to be an iteration group (cf. M. Kuczma [8], p.197-198). If for every  $x \in J$  the function  $(-\infty, \infty) \ni u \rightarrow g^u(x)$  is continuous or measurable, the iteration group is called, respectively, continuous or measurable.

**Remark 7.** Suppose that  $\{g^u : u \in \mathbb{R}\}$  is an iteration group in an interval  $J$ . Then the function  $F : J \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow J$ ,  $F(x, u) := g^u(x)$ , satisfies the translation equation  $F(F(x, u), v) = F(x, u + v)$ ,  $x \in J$ ,  $u, v \in \mathbb{R}$ . If  $J$  is open and  $\{g^t : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$  is a continuous iteration group, then (J. Aczél, [2], p. 248), there is a surjective homeomorphic function  $\gamma : J \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , determined uniquely up to an additive constant (cf. [2], p. 246), such that  $F(x, u) = \gamma^{-1}(\gamma(x) + u)$ ,  $x \in J$ ,  $u \in \mathbb{R}$  and, consequently,  $g^u(x) = \gamma^{-1}(\gamma(x) + u)$ ,  $x \in J$ ,  $u \in \mathbb{R}$ . Setting  $\alpha := \exp \circ \gamma$  we can write this iteration group in the form  $g^u(x) = \alpha^{-1}(e^u \alpha(x))$ ,  $x \in J$ ;  $u \in \mathbb{R}$ , where  $\alpha : J \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  is a surjective homeomorphism determined uniquely up to a multiplicative positive constant. The function  $\alpha$  is referred to as a *generator* of the iteration group. Note that the family  $\{f^t : t > 0\}$  defined by  $f^t := g^{\log t}$ ,  $t > 0$ , is a “multiplicative” iteration group; that is,  $f^s \circ f^t = f^{st}$ ,  $s, t > 0$ , and

$$f^t(x) = \alpha^{-1}(t\alpha(x)), \quad t > 0, x \in J. \tag{11}$$

In the sequel it is convenient to write the iteration groups in their multiplicative forms.

Let us mention that M. C. Zdun [14] proved that every measurable iteration group is continuous.

A motivation for the present section is the following obvious comment.

**Remark 8.** The family  $\{f^t : t > 0\}$  of linear functions  $f^t : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ ,  $f^t(x) := tx$ ,  $x > 0$  is a continuous (multiplicative) iteration group. Moreover, a mean  $M : (0, \infty)^2 \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  is homogeneous if, and only if, every function of this family is  $M$ -affine.

Now we prove this assertion.

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $J \subset \mathbb{R}$  be an open interval and  $M : J^2 \rightarrow J$  a strict mean. Suppose that there exists a continuous iteration group  $\{f^t : t > 0\}$  of the form (11) which consists of  $M$ -affine functions. Furthermore, suppose that  $h : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  defined by  $h(u) := \alpha(M(\alpha^{-1}(u), 1))$ ,  $u > 0$  is twice differentiable, and  $0 \neq h'(1) \neq 1$ . If there exists an  $M$ -affine function, continuous at a point, that is neither constant nor an element of the iteration group  $\{f^t : t > 0\}$ , then*

$$M(x, y) = \beta^{-1}(w\beta(x) + (1 - w)\beta(y)), \quad x, y \in J$$

for some continuous and strictly monotonic function  $\beta : J \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  and  $w = h'(1)$ ; that is,  $M$  is a weighted quasi-arithmetic mean.

PROOF. By assumption each function of the iteration group  $\{f^t : t > 0\}$  is  $M$ -affine; i.e.,  $f^t(M(x, y)) = M(f^t(x), f^t(y))$ ,  $t > 0, x, y \in J$ . There exists (cf. Remark 7) a surjective homeomorphism  $\alpha : J \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  such that  $f^t(x) = \alpha^{-1}(t\alpha(x))$ ,  $t > 0, x \in J$ . Hence

$$\alpha^{-1}(t\alpha(M(x, y))) = M(\alpha^{-1}(t\alpha(x)), \alpha^{-1}(t\alpha(y))), \quad t > 0, x, y \in J.$$

Take arbitrary  $u, v > 0$ . There are  $x, y \in J$  such that  $x = \alpha^{-1}(u)$  and  $y = \alpha^{-1}(v)$ . Setting these numbers into the above formula, we obtain

$$\alpha(M(\alpha^{-1}(tu), \alpha^{-1}(tv))) = t\alpha(M(\alpha^{-1}(u), \alpha^{-1}(v))), \quad t, u, v > 0,$$

which shows that the function  $K : (0, \infty)^2 \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  defined by  $K(u, v) := \alpha(M(\alpha^{-1}(u), \alpha^{-1}(v)))$ ,  $u, v > 0$ , is homogeneous. It is also obvious that  $K$  is a strict mean. By Theorem 1,  $K$  is a weighted power mean with a power  $p \in \mathbb{R}$  and the weight  $w = h'(1)$ . Whence

$$M(x, y) = \begin{cases} \alpha^{-1} \left[ (w[\alpha(x)]^p + (1-w)[\alpha(y)]^p)^{1/p} \right] & \text{for } p \neq 0 \\ \alpha^{-1} [\alpha(x)^w \alpha(y)^{1-w}] & \text{for } p = 0 \end{cases}, \quad x, y \in J.$$

To complete the proof it is enough to take  $\beta(x) := \alpha(x)^p$ ,  $x \in J$ , in the case  $p \neq 0$ , and  $\beta := \ln \circ \alpha$  in the case  $p = 0$ .  $\square$

**Remark 9.** If  $M$  is a weighted quasi-arithmetic mean with generator  $\beta$ , then the family  $\{\beta^{-1} \circ t \circ \beta : t > 0\}$  is an iteration group and every function of this family is  $M$ -affine.

The following counterpart of Theorem 2 for non-homogeneous means is a characterization of the weighted quasi-arithmetic means.

**Theorem 4.** Let  $J \subset \mathbb{R}$  be an open interval and  $M : J^2 \rightarrow J$  a strict continuous mean. Suppose that there is a homeomorphism  $\alpha : J \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  such that

1. for some  $a, b > 0$ ,  $a < 1 < b$ , the number  $\frac{\log b}{\log a}$  is irrational and the functions  $\alpha^{-1} \circ (\alpha a)$  and  $\alpha^{-1} \circ (\alpha b)$  are both  $M$ -convex (or both  $M$ -concave);
2. the function  $h : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  defined by  $h(x) := \alpha(M(\alpha^{-1}(x), 1))$ ,  $x > 0$ , is twice differentiable and  $0 \neq h'(1) \neq 1$ .

If there exists an  $M$ -affine function, continuous at a point which is neither constant nor of the form  $\alpha^{-1} \circ (t\alpha)$  for a  $t > 0$ , then

$$M(x, y) = \beta^{-1}(w\beta(x) + (1 - w)\beta(y)), \quad x, y \in J,$$

for some continuous and strictly monotonic function  $\beta : J \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  and  $w = h'(1)$ ; that is,  $M$  is a weighted quasi-arithmetic mean.

PROOF. By the  $M$ -convexity of the functions  $\alpha^{-1} \circ (a\alpha)$  and  $\alpha^{-1} \circ (b\alpha)$  we have

$$\alpha^{-1}(a\alpha(M(x, y))) \leq M(\alpha^{-1}(a(\alpha^{-1}(x))), \alpha^{-1}(a(\alpha^{-1}(y))))$$

and

$$\alpha^{-1}(b\alpha(M(x, y))) \leq M(\alpha^{-1}(b(\alpha^{-1}(x))), \alpha^{-1}(b(\alpha^{-1}(y))))$$

for all  $x, y > 0$ . Hence, taking into account that  $\alpha^{-1} \circ (a\alpha)$  and  $\alpha^{-1} \circ (b\alpha)$  are increasing, by induction, we obtain, for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $x, y > 0$ ,

$$\alpha^{-1}(a^m\alpha(M(x, y))) \leq M(\alpha^{-1}(a^m(\alpha^{-1}(x))), \alpha^{-1}(a^m(\alpha^{-1}(y))))$$

and for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $x, y > 0$ ,

$$\alpha^{-1}(b^n\alpha(M(x, y))) \leq M(\alpha^{-1}(b^n(\alpha^{-1}(x))), \alpha^{-1}(b^n(\alpha^{-1}(y))))$$

From these two inequalities we get, for all  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $x, y > 0$ ,

$$\alpha^{-1}(a^m b^n \alpha(M(x, y))) \leq M(\alpha^{-1}(a^m b^n (\alpha^{-1}(x))), \alpha^{-1}(a^m b^n (\alpha^{-1}(y))))$$

Now the density of the set  $\{a^m b^n : m, n, \in \mathbb{N}\}$  in  $(0, \infty)$  and the continuity of  $M$  imply that, for all  $t, x, y > 0$ ,

$$\alpha^{-1}(t\alpha(M(x, y))) \leq M(\alpha^{-1}(t(\alpha^{-1}(x))), \alpha^{-1}(t(\alpha^{-1}(y))))$$

that is, for every  $t > 0$  the function  $\alpha^{-1} \circ (t\alpha)$  is  $M$ -convex. Since, for every  $t > 0$ , the function  $\alpha^{-1} \circ (t\alpha)$  is increasing, its inverse,  $\alpha^{-1} \circ (t^{-1}\alpha)$  is  $M$ -concave (cf. Remark 3). It follows that  $\alpha^{-1} \circ (t\alpha)$  is  $M$ -affine for every  $t > 0$ . Since the family  $\{f^t : t > 0\}$  with  $f^t := \alpha^{-1} \circ (t\alpha)$  is an iteration group, our result follows from Theorem 3.  $\square$

## 6 Some Conclusions for $M$ -Convex and “ $M$ -Affinely Convex” Functions

Let us introduce the following notion.

**Definition 3.** Let  $J \subset \mathbb{R}$  and  $I \subset J$  be intervals and  $M : J^2 \rightarrow J$  a mean. A function  $f : I \rightarrow J$  is said to be  $M$ -affinely convex if for every  $x_0 \in I$  there is an  $M$ -affine function  $\varphi : J \rightarrow J$  such that  $f(x_0) = \varphi(x_0)$  and  $\varphi(x) \leq f(x)$  for all  $x \in I$ .

For a function  $f : I \rightarrow J$  denote by  $E(f)$  the epigraph of  $f$ ; i.e., the set  $E(f) := \{(x, y) \in I \times \mathbb{R} : f(x) \leq y\}$ .

**Remark 10.** A function  $f : I \rightarrow J$  is  $M$ -affinely convex if, and only if, there is a family  $\Phi$  of  $M$ -affine functions  $\varphi : I \rightarrow J$  such that  $E(f) = \bigcap \{E(\varphi) : \varphi \in \Phi\}$ .

**Theorem 5.** Suppose that  $M : J^2 \rightarrow J$  is a mean in an interval  $J$  which is increasing with respect to each variable. Then every  $M$ -affinely convex function is  $M$ -convex.

PROOF. Let  $I \subset J$  be an interval and suppose that  $f : I \rightarrow J$  is  $M$ -affinely convex. Take  $x, y \in I$ . By Definition 3 there is an  $M$ -affine function  $\varphi : J \rightarrow J$  such that  $f(M(x, y)) = \varphi(M(x, y))$  and  $\varphi(u) \leq f(u)$  for all  $u \in I$ . Hence, by the  $M$ -affinity of  $\varphi$  and the increasing monotonicity of  $M$ , we have  $f(M(x, y)) = \varphi(M(x, y)) = M(\varphi(x), \varphi(y)) \leq M(f(x), f(y))$ .  $\square$

**Remark 11.** Given a continuous and strictly monotonic function  $\beta : J \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $w \in (0, 1)$ , denote by  $M_\beta : J^2 \rightarrow J$  the quasi-arithmetic mean

$$M_\beta(x, y) = \beta^{-1}(w\beta(x) + (1-w)\beta(y)), \quad x, y \in J.$$

Suppose that a function  $f : I \rightarrow J$  is measurable (or the closure of the graph of  $f$  does not have interior points). Then, obviously,

1. if  $\beta$  is increasing, then  $f$  is  $M_\beta$ -convex iff the function  $\beta \circ f \circ \beta^{-1}$  is convex,
2. if  $\beta$  is decreasing, then  $f$  is  $M_\beta$ -convex iff the function  $\beta \circ f \circ \beta^{-1}$  is concave.

Now it is easy to see that

- $f$  is  $M_\beta$ -convex iff it is  $M_\beta$ -affinely convex.

We obtain the following an immediate consequence of Theorem 1.

**Proposition 1.** Let  $M : (0, \infty)^2 \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  be a strict homogeneous non power mean. If  $h := M(\cdot, 1)$  is twice continuously differentiable and  $0 \neq h'(1) \neq 1$ , then the following conditions are equivalent:



1. a function  $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  is  $M$ -affinely convex.
2.  $f$  is either constant or linear or  $f(x) = \max(a, cx)$ ,  $x \in (0, \infty)$ , for some  $a, c > 0$ .

**Example 1.** The logarithmic mean  $L : (0, \infty)^2 \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ ,

$$L(x, y) := \begin{cases} \frac{x-y}{\log x - \log y} & \text{for } x \neq y \\ x & \text{for } x = y \end{cases}$$

is homogeneous and non-power. By Theorem 1 (cf. also [11]), every continuous at a point  $L$ -affine function is either constant or linear. Since the function  $\exp|_{(0, \infty)}$  is  $L$ -convex (cf. [10]), taking into account the above Proposition, we infer that the notions of  $L$ -convexity and  $L$ -affine convexity are not equivalent.

## 7 Open Problems and Final Remarks

In Theorems 1-4 we assume twice differentiability of the mean. It is an open question whether these results remain true under weaker regularity conditions. Let us mention that in a recent paper [3], J. Aczél, R. Duncan Luce motivated by some problems in utility theory and psychophysics, considered the functional equation  $H(K(s, t)) = L(H(s), H(t))$ ,  $s \geq t \geq 1$ , where  $K$  and  $L$  are homogeneous functions, which is more general than (1). Assuming that  $H$  is twice differentiable and strictly increasing, and the functions  $K$  and  $L$  are twice differentiable, the authors determine the forms of  $H$  and  $K$ . According to a personal communication, this functional equation will be also considered in [4].

**Acknowledgement 1.** I am greatly indebted to the referee for several valuable comments, in particular for a simplification of some calculations in the proof of Theorem 1.

## References

- [1] J. Aczél, *A generalization of the notion of convex functions*, Norske Vid. Selsk. Forhdl., **19** Nr. 24 (1947), 87–90.
- [2] J. Aczél, *Lectures on functional equations and their applications*, New York, London, 1966.
- [3] J. Aczél, R. Duncan Luce, *Two functional equations preserving functional forms*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA, **99** (2002), 5212–5216.

- [4] J. Aczél and A. Lundberg, *Isomorphic pairs of homogeneous functions and their morphisms* (in preparation).
- [5] G. Aumann, *Konvexe Funktionen und Induktion bei Ungleichungen zwischen Mittelwerten*, S.-B. math.-naturw. Abt. Bayer. Akad. Wiss. München, (1933), 405–413.
- [6] D. Gronau, J. Matkowski, *Geometrical convexity and generalization of the Bohr-Mollerup theorem on the Gamma function*, Math. Pannonica, **4/2** (1993), 153–160.
- [7] D. Gronau and J. Matkowski, *Geometrically convex solutions of certain difference equation and generalized Bohr-Mollerup type theorems*, Results in Math., **26** (1994), 290–297.
- [8] M. Kuczma, *Functional equations in a single variable*, Monografie Mat 46 Polish Scientific Publishers, Warsaw, 1968
- [9] J. Matkowski,  *$L^p$ -like paranorms*, in Selected Topics in Functional Equations and Iteration Theory, Proceedings of the Austrian-Polish Seminar, Universität Graz, October 24–26, 1991, edited by D. Gronau and L. Reich, Grazer Math. Ber., **316** (1992), 103–139.
- [10] J. Matkowski, *Continuous solutions of a functional equation*, Publicationes Math. Debrecen, **52** (1998), 559–562.
- [11] J. Matkowski, *Affine functions with respect to the logarithmic mean*, Colloq. Math., **95** (2003), 217–230.
- [12] J. Matkowski, J. Rätz, *Convexity of power functions with respect to symmetric homogeneous means*, Internat. Ser. Num. Math., **123** (1997), 231–247.
- [13] J. Matkowski, J. Rätz, *Convex functions with respect to an arbitrary mean*, Internat. Ser. Num. Math., **123** (1997), 249–259.
- [14] M. C. Zdun, *Continuous and differentiable iteration groups*, Prace Nauk. Uniw. Śląski., **308**, Katowice, 1979.