

ON TOPOLOGICALLY INDUCED GENERALIZED PROXIMITY RELATIONS II

MICHAEL W. LODATO

In the theory of proximity spaces of Efremovic, (The geometry of proximity, Mat. Sbornic, N.S. 31 (73), (1952), 189-200,) the result:

A set X with a binary relation "A close to B" is a proximity space if and only if there exists a compact Hausdorff space Y in which X can be imbedded so that A is close to B in X if and only if \bar{A} meets \bar{B} in Y (\bar{A} denotes the closure of the set A) (*Y.M. Smirnov, on proximity spaces, Mat. Sbornic, N.S. 31 (73), (1952), 543-574.*)

Raises the question: Can we display a set of axioms for a binary relation δ on the power set of a set X so that the system (X, δ) satisfies these axioms if and only if there is a topological space Y in which X can be imbedded so that

$$(1.1) \quad A\delta B \text{ in } X \text{ if and only if } \bar{A} \cap \bar{B} \neq \phi \text{ in } Y.$$

In (M.W. Lodato, On topologically induced generalized proximity relations, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 15, no. 3, June 1964, pp. 417-422), it is shown that an affirmative answer can be given if Y is T_1 and if X is *regularly dense* in Y . The clusters of S. Leader, On clusters in proximity spaces, Fund. Math. 47 (1959), 205-213, were used in (M.W. Lodato, On topologically induced generalized proximity relations, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 15, no. 3, June 1964, pp. 417-422). The present paper generalizes this notion and thus relaxes the condition that X be regularly dense in Y . We actually characterize every system (X, δ) for which there exists a mapping f (not necessarily one-to-one) of X into a Hausdorff space Y such that

$$(1.2) \quad A\delta B \text{ in } X \text{ if and only if } \overline{Af} \cap \overline{fB} \neq \phi \text{ in } Y.$$

2. P_s -Spaces. Recall from [3] that a *symmetric generalized proximity space* or P_s -space is a system (X, δ) where δ is a binary operation on the power set of X satisfying

- (P. 1) $A\delta(B \cup C)$ implies that either $A\delta B$ or $A\delta C$
- (P. 2) $A\delta B$ implies that $A \neq \phi$ and $B \neq \phi$
- (P. 3) $A \cap B \neq \phi$ implies $A\delta B$
- (P. 4) $A\delta B$ and $b\delta C$ for all points b in B imply that $A\delta C$
- (P. 5) $A\delta B$ implies $B\delta A$

We read the symbols " $A\delta B$ " as " A is close to B "; and we say that " A is remote from B "-in symbols, " $A\phi B$ "-if A is not close to B .

(2.1) The following facts are evident: (1) If $A\delta B$, $A\subset C$, and $B\subset D$ then $C\delta D$. (2) Define

$$A^\delta = \{x \in X : x\delta A\}$$

then in a P_s -space $(A^\delta)\delta(B^\delta)$ if and only if $A\delta B$.

3. Bunches. Let X be a P_s -space. A *bunch* over X is a class σ of subsets of X satisfying:

- (B.1) $A\delta B$ for all $A, B \in \sigma$
- (B.2) $A \cup B \in \sigma$ implies that $A \in \sigma$ or $B \in \sigma$
- (B.3) $X \in \sigma$
- (B.4) If $A \in \sigma$ and $a\delta B$ for all a in A then $B \in \sigma$.

(3.1) The following facts are easily established:

- (1) Every cluster is a bunch.
- (2) For x , a point in a P_s -space X , the class σ_x of all subsets A of X such that $x\delta A$ is a bunch over X .
- (3) If a point x of X belongs to a bunch σ , then σ is identical to the class σ_x of all subsets A of X such that $x\delta A$.
- (4) Any bunch σ from a P_s -space (X, δ) is closed under the operation of supersets: If σ is a bunch from X , $A \in \sigma$ and $A \subseteq B$, then $B \in \sigma$.

4. Extensions characterized by bunches.

(4.1) THEOREM. Given a set X and some binary relation δ on the power set of X , the following are equivalent:

(I) There exists a T_2 topological space Y and a mapping f of X into Y with $\overline{fx} = Y$ and such that (1.2) holds.

(II) δ is a P_s -relation satisfying the additional axiom:

(P.7) There exists a family Σ of bunches from X such that

(i) $A\delta B$ implies that there exists a $\sigma \in \Sigma$ such that $A, B \in \sigma$, and

(ii) if σ and σ' are in Σ and either $A \in \sigma$ or $B \in \sigma'$ for all subsets A and B of X such that $A \cup B = X$, then $\sigma = \sigma'$.

Proof. Suppose that (I) holds and define δ by (1.2). (P.1), (P.2), (P.3), and (P.5) are trivial consequences of the properties of closure. For (P.4) suppose that $A\delta B$ and $b\delta C$ for all b in B . Then $\overline{fA} \cap \overline{fB} \neq \phi$, $\overline{fb} \cap \overline{fC} \neq \phi$ for all b in B , which since Y is T_2 , implies that $fb \in \overline{fC}$ for all b in B . Thus $fB \subset \overline{fC}$ or $\overline{fB} \subset \overline{fC}$ so that $\overline{fA} \cap \overline{fC} \neq \phi$ showing that $A\delta C$. For (P.7), define $\sigma_y = \{A \subseteq X : y \in \overline{fA}\}$ for each point $y \in Y$. Clearly, σ_y is a bunch.

Now let $\Sigma = \{\sigma_y : y \in Y\}$ and we will show that Σ satisfies (i) and (ii).

(i) If $A\delta B$, then $f\bar{A}$ meets $f\bar{B}$ in Y so we can take a point y in $f\bar{A} \cap f\bar{B}$ and σ_y will be a bunch containing both A and B .

(ii) Suppose $\sigma_x \neq \sigma_y$. Then $x \neq y$ in Y so that, using the T_2 property, there exist disjoint open sets U and V , containing x and y respectfully. Thus, $y \notin Y - V = \overline{Y - V}$ and $x \notin Y - U = \overline{Y - U}$ so that $y \notin fX - \bar{V}$ and $x \notin fX - \bar{U}$. Hence, $A = f^{-1}(fX - V) \notin \sigma_y$ and $B = f^{-1}(fX - U) \notin \sigma_x$ and

$$f(A \cup B) = (fX - V) \cup (fX - U) = fX - (V \cap U) = fX$$

so that $A \cup B = X$.

For the converse suppose that (II) holds. Given x in X the class $\sigma_x = \{A \subseteq X: x\delta A\}$ is a bunch from X , by (3.1), (2.). Thus for any subset A of X , let \mathcal{A} be the set of all bunches σ_a determined by the points a in A and let \mathcal{A}^- be the set of all bunches in Σ which have A as a number. Define the correspondence, $f(x) = \sigma_x$ between X and $\mathcal{X} = fX$ by identifying each x in X with the bunch σ_x determined by it. Let $Y = \Sigma$, the family of bunches satisfying (i) and (ii).

We first show that $fX \subseteq \Sigma$. Consider any σ_x in fX . Then since by (P. 3) $x\delta x$, by (i) there exists a σ in Y such that $x \in \sigma$. But by (3.1), (3.), $\sigma_x = \sigma$, hence $\sigma_x \in Y$ and $fX \subseteq Y$.

By (P. 3), $A \in \sigma_a$ for each a in A and so $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{A}^-$.

A subset A of X absorbs a subset Φ of Y if and only if A belongs to every bunch in Φ , i.e., if and only if \mathcal{A}^- contains Φ . For any subset Φ of Y we define the closure, $cl(\Phi)$, of Φ by

(4.2) $\sigma \in cl(\Phi)$ if and only if every subset E of X which absorbs Φ is in σ .

We next show that

$$(4.3) \quad cl(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{A}^-.$$

For if $\sigma \in cl(\mathcal{A})$ then since A absorbs \mathcal{A} , $A \in \sigma$ so that $\sigma \in \mathcal{A}^-$. On the other hand, if $\sigma \in \mathcal{A}^-$ then $A \in \sigma$. Now let P be in every σ_a in \mathcal{A} , i.e., $P\delta a$ for every a in A and hence $A \subset P^\delta$. Thus, by (B.4), $P \in \sigma$ so that $\sigma \in cl(\mathcal{A})$.

We now show that the Kuratowski closure axioms are satisfied by the closure defined by (4.2).

(K. 1) $\Phi \subset cl(\Phi)$: This is trivial since if E absorbs Φ then $E \in \sigma$ for every $\sigma \in \Phi$.

(K. 2) $cl(\phi) = \phi$: Suppose $\sigma \in cl(\phi)$. Since it is vacuously true that every subset of X absorbs ϕ , we then have that every subset of X is in σ . In particular, ϕ and X are in σ . Thus, $\phi\delta X$, by (B. 1), contradicting (P. 2).

(K. 3) $cl(cl(\Phi)) \subseteq cl(\Phi)$: Suppose $\sigma \in cl(cl(\Phi))$ and that E absorbs Φ . By (4.2) E absorbing Φ implies that E absorbs $cl(\Phi)$. Hence $E \in \sigma$ showing that $\sigma \in cl(\Phi)$.

(K. 4) $cl(\Phi \cup \Phi') = cl(\Phi) \cup cl(\Phi')$: Suppose that $\sigma \in cl(\Phi \cup \Phi')$ and that A absorbs Φ and A' absorbs Φ' . Then by (3.1), (4.), $A \cup A'$ absorbs $\Phi \cup \Phi'$ so that $A \cup A' \in \sigma$. But by (B. 2) this means that either $A \in \sigma$ or $A' \in \sigma$, i.e., $\sigma \in cl(\Phi)$ or $\sigma \in cl(\Phi')$. On the other hand, $\sigma \in cl(\Phi) \cup cl(\Phi')$ implies that either $\sigma \in cl(\Phi)$ or $\sigma \in cl(\Phi')$. Now if E absorbs $\Phi \cup \Phi'$, then E absorbs Φ and also absorbs Φ' . Hence, $E \in \sigma$ showing that $\sigma \in cl(\Phi \cup \Phi')$ and (K. 4) holds.

Thus, (4.2) defines a topology on Y .

To show that fX is dense in Y , we just note that by (4.3), $cl(\mathcal{X}) = \bar{\mathcal{X}} = Y$.

To show that the topology is T_2 we must show that if σ and σ' are in Y such that $\sigma \neq \sigma'$, then there exist subsets Φ and Φ' of Y such that $\sigma \notin cl(\Phi)$, $\sigma' \in cl(\Phi')$ and $cl(\Phi) \cup cl(\Phi') = Y$.

So suppose $\sigma \neq \sigma'$, then by (ii) there exist subsets A and B of X such that $A \notin \sigma$, $B \in \sigma'$ and $A \cup B = X$. Thus, \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are subsets of Y such that $\sigma \in \bar{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\sigma' \in \bar{\mathcal{B}}$, (since for instance A absorbs \mathcal{A} but $A \notin \sigma$) and $\bar{\mathcal{A}} \cup \bar{\mathcal{B}} = \overline{\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B}} = \bar{X} = Y$.

To finish the proof we need only show that (1.2) holds: $A \delta B$ in X if and only if $\bar{\mathcal{A}}$ meets $\bar{\mathcal{B}}$ in Y . If $A \delta B$ there exists, by (i) a $\sigma \in Y$ to which both A and B belong. Thus, by definition of $\bar{\mathcal{A}}$, we have $\sigma \in \bar{\mathcal{A}} \cap \bar{\mathcal{B}}$. On the other hand, if $\sigma \in \bar{\mathcal{A}} \cap \bar{\mathcal{B}}$ then A and B are in σ so that by (B. 1), $A \delta B$.

The proof is now complete.

5. Symmetric P_1 -Spaces. A P_s -Spaces (X, δ) in which δ satisfies the additional axiom.

(5.1) $x \delta y$ implies $x = y$

is called a *symmetric P_1 -space* (see [4]). The following theorem follows directly from (B. 1) and (5.1).

(5.2) THEOREM. *Every bunch σ from a symmetric P_1 -space (X, δ) possesses at most one point.*

(5.3) THEOREM. *Given a set X and a binary relation, δ , on the power set of X , the following are equivalent:*

(I) *There exists a T_2 topological space Y in which X can be imbedded so that (1.1) holds.*

(II) *δ is a symmetric P_1 -relation satisfying (P. 7).*

Proof. The demonstration is very similar to that of theorem (4.1). To see that (I') implies (5.1), note that $\bar{x} \cap \bar{y} \neq \phi$ implies that $x \cap y \neq \phi$, or $x = y$.

Finally we note that, because of (5.2), the correspondence between X and \mathcal{S} induced by the identification of x with the bunch σ_x determined by it is one-to-one.

REFERENCES

1. V. A. Efremovic, *The geometry of proximity*, Mat. Sbornic, N.S. **31** (73), (1952), 189-200.
2. S. Leader, *On clusters in proximity spaces*, Fund. Math. **47** (1959), 205-213.
3. M. W. Lodato, *On topologically induced generalized proximity relations*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **15**, No. 3, (1964), 417-422.
4. ———, *Generalized proximity Spaces: A generalization of Topology*; forthcoming.
5. Yu. M. Smirnov, *On proximity spaces*, Mat. Sbornic, N.S. **31** (73), (1952), 543-574.

