

ON THE STRUCTURE OF TOR, II

R. J. NUNKE

The following results are proved:

If A and B are abelian p -groups and the length of A is greater than the length of B , then $\text{Tor}(A, B)$ is a direct sum of countable groups if and only if (i) B is a direct sum of countable groups and (ii) if the β -th Ulm invariant of B is not zero, then every $p^\beta A$ -high subgroup of A is a direct sum of countable groups.

If β is an ordinal, A is a p -group, and if one $p^\beta A$ -high subgroup of A is a direct sum of countable groups then every $p^\beta A$ -high subgroup of A is a direct sum of countable groups.

If A and B are p -groups of cardinality $\leq \aleph_1$ without elements of infinite height, then $\text{Tor}(A, B)$ is a direct sum of cyclic groups.

For each n with $1 \leq n < \omega$, there is a p -group G without elements of infinite height such that G is not itself a direct sum of cyclic groups but every subgroup of G having cardinality $\leq \aleph_n$ is a direct sum of cyclic groups.

If A and B are (abelian) p -groups, when is $\text{Tor}(A, B)$ a direct sum of countable groups (d.s.c. group)? This paper contains a complete answer for this question when A and B have different lengths.

If A and B have the same length the situation is much more complicated. The simplest case occurs when A and B have no elements of infinite height. Then $\text{Tor}(A, B)$ has no elements of infinite height and it is a d.s.c. group if and only if it is a direct sum of cyclic groups (Σ -cyclic). Here, although there is no satisfactory answer to the question, some partial results are obtained. For example it is shown that if A and B are p -groups without elements of infinite height having cardinalities $\leq \aleph_1$, then $\text{Tor}(A, B)$ is Σ -cyclic.

Finally some examples of strange groups are constructed. Let a p -group be called λ -cyclic, where λ is a cardinal number, if every subgroup with cardinality $< \lambda$ is Σ -cyclic. Every p -group without elements of infinite height is \aleph_1 -cyclic. For each n with $1 \leq n < \omega$, a group is constructed which is \aleph_n -cyclic but not Σ -cyclic.

These results are obtained by homological methods together with the concept of N -high subgroup due to John Irwin [2].

In diagrams $\triangleright \longrightarrow$ denotes a monomorphism and $\longrightarrow \triangleright$ an epimorphism. An extension $C \triangleright \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow \triangleright A$ is p^α -pure where p is a prime and α an ordinal number if it belongs to $p^\alpha \text{Ext}(A, C)$. A monomorphism $f: C \triangleright \longrightarrow E$ is p^α -pure if the extension $C \triangleright \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow \triangleright \text{Coker } f$ is. Similarly $C \subseteq E$ is a p^α -pure subgroup of E if the extension

$C \twoheadrightarrow E \twoheadrightarrow E/C$ is p^α -pure. If E is a p -group, then p^ω -purity coincides with the ordinary concept of purity. More generally, if $C \twoheadrightarrow E \twoheadrightarrow A$ is p^α -pure then:

(1°) $(p^\beta A)[p] = (C + (p^\beta E)[p])/C$ for all $\beta < \alpha$, and

(2°) $C \cap p^\beta E = p^\beta C$ for all $\beta \leq \alpha$.

An easy transfinite induction shows that (1°) \Rightarrow (2°). If $\alpha \leq \omega$, then (2°) \Rightarrow p^α -purity. If A is a divisible p -group then (1°) \Rightarrow p^α -purity for all ordinals α . This last implication holds in certain other situations but not in general. These facts are proved in [8].

If N is a subgroup of the group G , a subgroup H of G is called *N-high in G* if H is maximal with respect to the property $H \cap N = 0$.

PROPOSITION 1. If G is a p -group, $N \subseteq p^\alpha G$, and H is N -high in G , then H is $p^{\alpha+1}$ -pure in G . If $N \subseteq p^\omega G$, then G/H is divisible.¹

Proof. We prove first that if G is a p -group, then H is N -high in G if and only if $H \cap N = 0$ and $(G/H)[p] = (H + N[p])/H$. To see this suppose H is N -high in G . Clearly $H \cap N = 0$ and $(H + N[p])/H \subseteq (G/H)[p]$. Let $0 \neq x \in (G/H)[p]$ and let $g \in G$ map onto $x \pmod H$. Then $g \notin H$, $pg \in H$, and by the maximality of H there is a nonzero $a \in H \cap (H + \{g\})$. Thus $a = h + kg$ with k an integer. Moreover p does not divide k for otherwise $a \in H \cap N = 0$. Hence $1 = rk + sp$ for suitable integers r and s and $g = rkg + spg = ra + (spg - rh)$. Now $spg - rh \in H$ and $pra \in GH \cap N = 0$ so that $x \in (H + N[p])/H$ as desired.

Conversely suppose $(G/H)[p] = (H + N[p])/H$ and $H \cap N = 0$. To show the maximality of H it is enough to show that $(H + \{g\}) \cap N \neq 0$ whenever $g \notin H$ but $pg \in H$. If g has these properties then the hypothesis gives $g = a + h$ with $a \in N$ and $h \in H$. Then $a \neq 0$ because $g \notin H$ and $a = g - h \in (H + \{g\}) \cap N$.

Now suppose H is N -high in G and $N \subseteq p^\alpha G$. Then by the result just proved we have $(G/H)[p] \subseteq (H + (p^\beta G)[p])/H$ for all $\beta \leq \alpha$. Since $(p^\beta(G/H))[p] \subseteq (G/H)[p]$ and $(H + (p^\beta G)[p])/H \subseteq (p^\beta G/H)[p]$ we have

$$(p^\beta(G/H))[p] = (H + (p^\beta G)[p])/H \quad \text{for all } \beta \leq \alpha.$$

If $\alpha < \omega$ the discussion preceding the statement of this proposition shows that H is $p^{\alpha+1}$ -pure in G . The same discussion shows $p^{\alpha+1}$ -purity for $\alpha \geq \omega$ once we know that G/H is divisible.

If $N \subseteq p^\omega G$, then $(G/H)[p] \subseteq (H + p^\omega G)/H \subseteq p^\omega(G/H)$ which implies that G/H is divisible.

PROPOSITION 2. If $C \twoheadrightarrow E \twoheadrightarrow A$ is p^α -pure with $\alpha \geq \omega$ and B is any p -group, then

¹ The first statement of this proposition and the first statement of [3] Theorem 2 read the same, however the term p^α -purity has different meanings in the two places.

$$\text{Tor}(C, B) \twoheadrightarrow \text{Tor}(E, B) \twoheadrightarrow \text{Tor}(A, B)$$

is exact and p^α -pure.

Proof. The condition $\alpha \geq \omega$ is needed only to show that $\text{Tor}(E, B) \rightarrow \text{Tor}(A, B)$ is epic. We use the description of $\text{Tor}(A, B)$ in terms of generators and relations given in [6, p. 150]. The generators are triples $\langle a, n, b \rangle$ with $n \in Z$ (the group of integers), $a \in A[n]$ and $b \in B[n]$. The relations require $\langle a, n, b \rangle$ to be bilinear as a function of a and b and also require $\langle ka, n, b \rangle = \langle a, kn, b \rangle$ for $k, n \in z$, $a \in A[kn]$, $b \in B[n]$, and $\langle a, n, kb \rangle = \langle a, kn, b \rangle$ for $k, n \in z$, $a \in A[n]$, $b \in B[kn]$.

If $\alpha \geq 0$, then $C \twoheadrightarrow E \twoheadrightarrow A$ is p^α -pure and it follows that each $a \in A[p^\alpha]$ can be lifted to an element $e \in E$ with the same order. Since B is a p -group, $\text{Tor}(A, B)$ is generated by the elements $\langle a, p^n, b \rangle$ with $p^n a = 0 = p^n b$. Letting $e \in E[p^n]$ map onto a we have $\langle e, p^n, b \rangle \in \text{Tor}(E, B)$ mapping onto $\langle a, p^n, b \rangle$ as required to show that $\text{Tor}(E, B) \rightarrow \text{Tor}(A, B)$ is epic.

The sequence with Tor is now exact because Tor is left-exact.

For a given α , the functor p^α is represented by an exact sequence $Z \twoheadrightarrow G \twoheadrightarrow H$ (See [7] or [8] for the definitions and details). For a group A let $\partial_A: \text{Tor}(H, A) \rightarrow A$ be the connecting homomorphism induced by this sequence. We then have

$$\partial_A \langle x, n, a \rangle = (ny)a$$

where y is any element of G mapping onto x . Since $nx = 0$, $ny \in Z$ so that the term $(ny)a$ makes sense.

The extension

$$C \twoheadrightarrow E \xrightarrow{\lambda} A$$

is p^α -pure if and only if there is a map $\varphi: \text{Tor}(H, A) \rightarrow E$ such that $\lambda\varphi = \partial_A$.

MacLane shows in [5] that, for groups A, B, C , the group $\text{Tor}(\text{Tor}(A, B), C)$ is generated by the elements $\langle \langle a, n, b \rangle, n, c \rangle$ with $n \in Z$ $na = nb = nc = 0$. Similarly $\text{Tor}(A, \text{Tor}(B, C))$ is generated by the elements $\langle a, n, \langle b, n, c \rangle \rangle$. Moreover there is a natural isomorphism

$$\theta: \text{Tor}(\text{Tor}(A, B), C) = \text{Tor}(A, \text{Tor}(B, C))$$

such that

$$\theta \langle \langle a, n, b \rangle, n, c \rangle = \langle a, n, \langle b, n, c \rangle \rangle.$$

For groups A, B we have a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \text{Tor}(\text{Tor}(H, A), B) & \xrightarrow{\theta} & \text{Tor}(H, \text{Tor}(A, B)) \\
 \text{Tor}(\partial_A, B) \downarrow & \swarrow \partial_{\text{Tor}(A, B)} & \\
 \text{Tor}(A, B) & &
 \end{array}$$

This diagram commutes for we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Tor}(\partial_A, B) \langle \langle x, n, a \rangle, n, b \rangle &= \langle \partial_A \langle x, n, a \rangle, n, b \rangle \\
 &= \langle (ny)a, n, b \rangle \\
 &= (ny) \langle a, n, b \rangle \\
 &= \partial_{\text{Tor}(A, B)} \langle x, n, \langle a, n, b \rangle \rangle \\
 &= \partial_{\text{Tor}(A, B)} \theta \langle \langle x, n, a \rangle, n, b \rangle.
 \end{aligned}$$

Now suppose $C \twoheadrightarrow E \twoheadrightarrow A$ is p^α -pure with $\lambda: E \rightarrow A$. Hence $\partial_A = \lambda\varphi$. Applying Tor we get $\text{Tor}(\partial_A, B) = \text{Tor}(\lambda, B)\text{Tor}(\varphi, B)$ and therefore $\partial_{\text{Tor}(A, B)} = \text{Tor}(\lambda, B)\text{Tor}(\varphi, B)\theta^{-1}$. Thus the sequence

$$\text{Tor}(C, B) \twoheadrightarrow \text{Tor}(E, B) \twoheadrightarrow \text{Tor}(A, B)$$

is p^α -pure.

For the purposes of this paper we define the *length* $\lambda(A)$ of the p -group A to be the least ordinal α such that $p^\alpha A = 0$ and ∞ if there is no such ordinal. The symbol ∞ is assumed to be larger than any ordinal. According to [7] $p^\alpha \text{Tor}(A, B) = \text{Tor}(p^\alpha A, p^\alpha B)$ so that the length of $\text{Tor}(A, B)$ is the minimum of the lengths of A and of B . The group A is p^α -projective if each p^α -pure extension $C \twoheadrightarrow E \twoheadrightarrow A$ splits. A d.s.c. group is p^α -projective if and only if it has length $\leq \alpha$ ([7] or [8]).

In the proofs of the next few theorems we shall refer repeatedly to the following situation. Let β be an infinite ordinal and let M be a $p^\beta A$ -high subgroup of A . Then by Proposition 1 the sequence

$$M \twoheadrightarrow A \twoheadrightarrow A/M$$

is $p^{\beta+1}$ -pure and A/M is divisible. If B is a p -group, then by Proposition 2 the sequence

$$(*) \quad \text{Tor}(M, B) \twoheadrightarrow \text{Tor}(A, B) \twoheadrightarrow \text{Tor}(A/M, B)$$

is also $p^{\beta+1}$ -pure. Moreover if $A/M \neq 0$, then $A/M = \Sigma Z(p^\infty)$ and hence $\text{Tor}(A/M, B) = \Sigma \text{Tor}(Z(p^\infty), B) = \Sigma B$.

In the remainder of the paper we shall use without further reference Kaplansky's theorem [4] that a direct summand of a d.s.c. group is itself one.

PROPOSITION 3. If β is an infinite countable ordinal, A has a $p^\beta A$ -high subgroup which is a d.s.c. group, and B is a countable p -group of length $\leq \beta + 1$, then $\text{Tor}(A, B)$ is a d.s.c. group.

Proof. Let M be the $p^\beta A$ -high subgroup called for and refer to the $p^{\beta+1}$ -pure sequence (*) above. Since B is countable of length $\leq \beta + 1$ it is $p^{\beta+1}$ -projective. Hence $\text{Tor}(A/M, B) = \Sigma B$ is also $p^{\beta+1}$ -projective and the sequence (*) splits. But then $\text{Tor}(A, B)$ is a direct sum of the d.s.c. groups $\text{Tor}(M, B)$ and $\text{Tor}(A/M, B)$ and is therefore a d.s.c. group. $\text{Tor}(M, B)$ is a d.s.c. group because M is a d.s.c. group, Tor commutes with direct sums and $\text{Tor}(G, B)$ is countable whenever G and B are.

PROPOSITION 4. Let $\text{Tor}(A, B)$ be a d.s.c. group.

- (i) If $\lambda(A) > \lambda(B)$, then B is a d.s.c. group.
- (ii) If $\lambda(A) \geq \lambda(B) = \beta + 1$ with β an infinite countable ordinal, and B is a d.s.c. group, then every $p^\beta A$ -high subgroup of A is a d.s.c. group.

Proof. To show (i) let $\beta = \lambda(B)$. If $\beta < \omega$ then B has bounded order and is clearly a d.s.c. group. Hence suppose $\beta \geq \omega$. Let M be a $p^\beta A$ -high subgroup of A and consider the $p^{\beta+1}$ -pure sequence (*). Since $\text{Tor}(A, B)$ is a d.s.c. group of length β it is p^β -projective. According to [8, Proposition 3.1] the $p^{\beta+1}$ -purity of (*) then implies that the sequence (*) splits. Hence $\text{Tor}(A/M, B)$ is a d.s.c. group. Since $\lambda(A) > \beta$, $A/M \neq 0$ so that B is a direct summand of $\text{Tor}(A/M, B)$ and therefore a d.s.c. group.

To prove (ii) we again let M be $p^\beta A$ -high and refer to (*). Now B is $p^{\beta+1}$ -projective so that $\text{Tor}(A, B)$ is $p^{\beta+1}$ -projective. Hence the sequence (*) splits. Therefore $\text{Tor}(M, B)$ is a d.s.c. group. Since $\lambda(M) = \beta < \lambda(B)$, M is a d.s.c. group by (i) and the commutativity of Tor .

COROLLARY 5. If β is an infinite ordinal and the p -group A has one $p^\beta A$ -high subgroup which is a d.s.c. group, then every $p^\beta A$ -high subgroup of A is a d.s.c. group.

Proof. If $\lambda(A) \leq \beta$, then A is the only $p^\beta A$ -high subgroup so the result is trivial. Therefore assume $\lambda(A) > \beta$. Next observe that if $\beta > \Omega$, then a $p^\beta A$ -high subgroup cannot be a d.s.c. group because it has length β and the length of a d.s.c. group is either $\leq \Omega$ or is ∞ .

If $\beta = \Omega$ and M is $p^\beta A$ -high, then $M \twoheadrightarrow A \twoheadrightarrow A/M$ is in $p^\Omega \text{Ext}(A/M, M)$. If M is a d.s.c. group, then $p^\Omega \text{Ext}(Z(p^\infty), M) = 0$ by [8] Lemma 3.10. Since $A/M = \Sigma Z(p^\infty)$,

$$\text{Ext}(A/M, M) = \Pi \text{Ext}(Z(p^\infty), M)$$

so that $p^\Omega \text{Ext}(A/M, M) = 0$. Thus M is a direct summand of A .

Since M is $p^\alpha A$ -high in A we have $(A/M)[p] \cong (p^\alpha A)[p]$ and it follows easily that $p^\alpha A$ is the maximal divisible subgroup of A . Hence $M \cong A/p^\alpha A$ in this case. Since $A/p^\alpha A$ is independent of M it follows that all $p^\alpha A$ -high subgroups are isomorphic (if one is a d.s.c. group) and hence all are d.s.c. groups.

Finally if β is infinite and countable, let B be a countable p -group of length $\beta + 1$. By Proposition 3 $\text{Tor}(A, B)$ is a d.s.c. group because A has a $p^\beta A$ -high subgroup which is a d.s.c. group. By Proposition 4 (ii) every $p^\beta A$ -high subgroup of A is a d.s.c. group.

THEOREM 6. *If $\lambda(A) > \lambda(B) \geq \omega$, then $\text{Tor}(A, B)$ is a d.s.c. group if and only if*

- (i) B is a d.s.c. group, and
- (ii) if β is an infinite ordinal such that the β -th Ulm invariant of B is $\neq 0$, then every $p^\beta A$ -high subgroup of A is a d.s.c. group.

Proof. We need two easy consequences of Ulm's theorem and Zippin's theorem (cf. [1] p. 135):

(1) If B is a d.s.c. group whose β -th Ulm invariant is not zero, then B has a countable direct summand B' of length $\beta + 1$.

(2) If B is a d.s.c. group, then $B = \Sigma B_i$ where i ranges over some index set and B_i is countable of length $\beta_i + 1$.

Suppose $\text{Tor}(A, B)$ is a d.s.c. group. We get (i) by proposition 4(i). Suppose further that β is infinite and the β -th Ulm invariant of B is not zero. Let B' be a countable direct summand of B with length $\beta + 1$ as provided by (1) above. Then $\text{Tor}(A, B')$ is a direct summand of $\text{Tor}(A, B)$, hence a d.s.c. group and (ii) follows from Proposition 4(ii).

Suppose $\lambda(A) > \lambda(B) \geq \omega$ and (i) (ii) are satisfied. Using (2) above we write $B = \Sigma B_i$ with B_i countable of length $\beta_i + 1$. Then $\text{Tor}(A, B) = \Sigma \text{Tor}(A, B_i)$. If $\beta_i < \omega$, then B_i is a direct sum of cyclic groups so $\text{Tor}(A, B_i)$ is a d.s.c. group. If $\beta_i \geq \omega$, then $\text{Tor}(A, B_i)$ is a d.s.c. group by Proposition 3. Hence $\text{Tor}(A, B)$ is a d.s.c. group.

In order to continue we must derive further properties of Tor . The inclusions $A' \subseteq A$, $B' \subseteq B$ induce a monomorphism $\text{Tor}(A', B') \rightarrow \text{Tor}(A, B)$. We shall identify $\text{Tor}(A', B')$ with its image in $\text{Tor}(A, B)$.

LEMMA 7.

- (i) If $A', A'' \subseteq A$, then $\text{Tor}(A' \cap A'', B) = \text{Tor}(A', B) \cap \text{Tor}(A'', B)$.
- (ii) If $A' \subseteq A$ and $B' \subseteq B$, then

$$\text{Tor}(A', B') = \text{Tor}(A', B) \cap \text{Tor}(A, B').$$

(iii) If $A', A'' \subseteq A$ and $B', B'' \subseteq B$, then

$$\text{Tor}(A' \cap A'', B' \cap B'') = \text{Tor}(A', B') \cap \text{Tor}(A'', B'').$$

Proof. If $A', A'' \subseteq A$, then there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A' \cap A'' & \xrightarrow{\quad} & A' & \longrightarrow & A'/A' \cap A'' \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ A'' & \xrightarrow{\quad} & A & \longrightarrow & A/A'' \end{array}$$

with exact rows and monic vertical maps. Applying Tor we get

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{Tor}(A' \cap A'', B) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \text{Tor}(A', B) & \longrightarrow & \text{Tor}(A'/A' \cap A'', B) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Tor}(A'', B) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \text{Tor}(A, B) & \longrightarrow & \text{Tor}(A/A'', B) \end{array}$$

with exact rows and monic vertical maps. Conclusion (i) follows from this diagram.

To prove (ii) we note the existence of the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{Tor}(A', B') & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \text{Tor}(A, B') & \longrightarrow & \text{Tor}(A/A', B') \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Tor}(A', B) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \text{Tor}(A, B) & \longrightarrow & \text{Tor}(A/A', B) \end{array}$$

with exact rows and monic vertical maps and proceed as before.

For (iii) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Tor}(A' \cap A'', B' \cap B'') \\ &= \text{Tor}(A' \cap A'', B) \cap \text{Tor}(A, B' \cap B'') \\ &= \text{Tor}(A', B) \cap \text{Tor}(A'', B) \cap \text{Tor}(A, B') \cap \text{Tor}(A, B'') \\ &= \text{Tor}(A', B') \cap \text{Tor}(A'', B'') \end{aligned}$$

using in order (ii), (i), (ii).

Lemma 7 holds for any left exact covariant functor of two variables.

PROPOSITION 8. For $x \in \text{Tor}(A, B)$, there are unique finite subgroups $A_x \subseteq A$ and $B_x \subseteq B$ such that

- (i) $x \in \text{Tor}(A_x, B_x)$ and
- (ii) if $x \in \text{Tor}(A', B')$ with $A' \subseteq A$ and $B' \subseteq B$, then $A_x \subseteq A'$ and $B_x \subseteq B'$.

Proof. There exist finite subgroups $G \subseteq A, H \subseteq B$ such that $x \in \text{Tor}(G, H)$. Let $G_1, H_1; G_2, H_2; \dots; G_n, H_n$ enumerate the pairs of

subgroups of G and H respectively such that $x \in \text{Tor}(G_i, H_i)$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. By Lemma 7 (iii) we have $x \in \text{Tor}(G_1 \cap \dots \cap G_n, H_1 \cap \dots \cap H_n)$. Put $A_x = G_1 \cap \dots \cap G_n$ and $B_x = H_1 \cap \dots \cap H_n$. Thus (i) is satisfied.

If $x \in \text{Tor}(A', B')$ we have by Lemma 7 (iii) that

$$x \in \text{Tor}(G \cap A', H \cap B').$$

Then $G \cap A' = G_i$ and $H \cap B' = H_i$ for some i so that $A_x \subseteq A'$ and $B_x \subseteq B'$ proving (ii).

COROLLARY 9. *If $a \in A, b \in B$ have the same order and*

$$\text{Tor}(\{a\}, \{b\}) \subseteq \text{Tor}(A', B')$$

with $A' \subseteq A$ and $B' \subseteq B$, then $a \in A'$ and $b \in B'$.

Proof. If the common order of a and b is n , then $\text{Tor}(\{a\}, \{b\})$ is cyclic of order n . Let x be a generator. Then $x \in \text{Tor}(\{a\} \cup A', \{b\} \cap B')$ by hypothesis and Lemma 7 (iii). If either $\{a\} \cap A'$ or $\{b\} \cap B'$ had order $< n$, then $\text{Tor}(\{a\} \cap A', \{b\} \cap B')$ being cyclic would have order $< n$. Thus x would have order $< n$ contradicting the fact that its order is n . It follows that $\{a\} \cap A' = \{a\}$ and $\{b\} \cap B' = \{b\}$ so that $a \in A'$ and $b \in B'$.

PROPOSITION 10. *If $A' \subseteq A$ and $B' \subseteq B$ with A, B p -groups, B' has unbounded order, and $\text{Tor}(A', B')$ is pure in $\text{Tor}(A, B)$, then A' is pure in A .*

Proof. Let $a \in A' \cap p^n A$. Since B' has unbounded order there is a $b \in p^n B'$ having the same order as a . In [7] it was shown that $p^n \text{Tor}(A, B) = \text{Tor}(p^n A, p^n B)$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tor}(\{a\}, \{b\}) &\subseteq \text{Tor}(A' \cap p^n A, B' \cap p^n B) \\ &\subseteq \text{Tor}(A', B') \cap p^n \text{Tor}(A, B) \\ &\supseteq p^n \text{Tor}(A', B') = \text{Tor}(p^n A', p^n B'). \end{aligned}$$

By Corollary 9 $a \in p^n A'$. Since a and n were arbitrary we have $A' \cap p^n A = p^n A'$ for all n and A' is pure in A .

An indexed family $\{A_\alpha\}_{\alpha < \rho}$ of subgroups of A will be called a *sequence* of subgroups if α ranges over the set of ordinals less than some ordinal ρ and $A_\alpha \subseteq A_\beta$ whenever $\alpha \leq \beta < \rho$. If $\{A_\alpha\}_{\alpha < \rho}$ is a sequence of subgroups of A , then $\bigcup A_\alpha$ (the set theoretical union) is the subgroup generated by the A_α .

PROPOSITION 11. *If $\{A_\alpha\}_{\alpha < \rho}$ and $\{B_\alpha\}_{\alpha < \rho}$ are sequences of subgroups of A and B respectively, then $\{\text{Tor}(A_\alpha, B_\alpha)\}_{\alpha < \rho}$ is a sequence of*

subgroups of $\text{Tor}(A, B)$ and

$$\bigcup \text{Tor}(A_\alpha, B_\alpha) = \text{Tor}(\bigcup A_\alpha, \bigcup B_\alpha).$$

Proof. It is clear that $\{\text{Tor}(A_\alpha, B_\alpha)\}_{\alpha < \rho}$ is a sequence of subgroups of $\text{Tor}(A, B)$. Since $A_\alpha \subseteq \bigcup A_\alpha$ and $B_\alpha \subseteq \bigcup B_\alpha$ for all $\alpha < \rho$ we have

$$\bigcup \text{Tor}(A_\alpha, B_\alpha) \subseteq \text{Tor}(\bigcup A_\alpha, \bigcup B_\alpha).$$

Suppose $x \in \text{Tor}(\bigcup A_\alpha, \bigcup B_\alpha)$ and let A_x, B_x be the subgroups defined by Proposition 8. Then $A_x \subseteq \bigcup A_\alpha$ and $B_x \subseteq \bigcup B_\alpha$. Since A_x and B_x are finite, there is a $\beta < \rho$ such that $A_x \subseteq A_\beta$ and $B_x \subseteq B_\beta$. Hence $x \in \text{Tor}(A_\beta, B_\beta) \subseteq \bigcup \text{Tor}(A_\alpha, B_\alpha)$.

By the term Σ -cyclic we shall mean a direct sum of cyclic groups. A p -group is Σ -cyclic if and only if it is a d.s.c. group without elements of infinite height. In view of Proposition 4 if $\text{Tor}(A, B)$ is Σ -cyclic and A has elements of infinite height, then B is Σ -cyclic.

The following theorem gives a necessary condition for $\text{Tor}(A, B)$ to be Σ -cyclic. The symbol $|A|$ denotes the cardinality of A .

THEOREM 12. *If $\text{Tor}(A, B)$ is Σ -cyclic and B is not Σ -cyclic, then*

- (i) $p^\omega A = 0$, and
- (ii) *if $A' \subseteq A$ with $|A'| \geq |B|$, then A' is contained in a pure subgroup A'' of the same cardinality, such that $p^\omega(A/A'') = 0$ and $\text{Tor}(A/A'', B)$ is Σ -cyclic.*

Proof. As stated above conclusion (i) follows from Proposition 4.

Recall that if A and B are infinite p -groups, then $|\text{Tor}(A, B)| = |A||B|$. Now let $A' \subseteq A$ with $|A'| \geq |B|$ and let $\text{Tor}(A, B) = \Sigma C_i$ with the sum direct and each C_i cyclic.

If G is an infinite subgroup of $\text{Tor}(A, B)$, then, since each element has nonzero component in but a finite number of the summands C_i , G is contained in a subgroup $G' = \Sigma_{j \in J} C_j$ where J is a subset of the index set and $|G'| = |G|$. Moreover there are subgroups $A_0 \subseteq A$, $B_0 \subseteq B$ such that $|A_0| = |B_0| = |G|$ and $G \subseteq \text{Tor}(A_0, B_0)$. This is so because each $x \in \text{Tor}(A, B)$ is a finite sum of elements of the form $\langle a, n, b \rangle$.

We define recursively a sequence

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tor}(A', B) \subseteq G_1 \subseteq \text{Tor}(A_1, B) \subseteq G_2 \subseteq \dots \\ \subseteq G_n \subseteq \text{Tor}(A_n, B) \subseteq G_{n+1} \subseteq \dots \end{aligned}$$

of subgroups all having the same cardinality such that G_n is the sum of a set of the C_i appearing in the chosen direct sum decomposition of $\text{Tor}(A, B)$.

Let $A'' = \bigcup A_n$. Then by Proposition 11,

$$\text{Tor}(A'', B) = \text{Tor} \bigcup (A_n, B) = \bigcup G_n .$$

Hence $\text{Tor}(A'', B)$ is the sum of a set of the C_i and is therefore a direct summand of $\text{Tor}(A, B)$. Hence $\text{Tor}(A'', B)$ is pure in $\text{Tor}(A, B)$. Since B is not Σ -cyclic, it is unbounded and A'' is pure in A by Proposition 10. Hence the sequence

$$\text{Tor}(A'', B) \twoheadrightarrow \text{Tor}(A, B) \twoheadrightarrow \text{Tor}(A/A'', B)$$

is exact and splits. Thus $\text{Tor}(A/A'', B)$ is Σ -cyclic and $p^\omega(A/A'') = 0$ by part (i).

COROLLARY 13. *If A and B are p -groups without elements of infinite height, B is not Σ -cyclic, $|A| > |B|$, and A has greater cardinality than a basic subgroup, then $\text{Tor}(A, B)$ is not Σ -cyclic.*

Proof. Suppose $\text{Tor}(A, B)$ is Σ -cyclic and let C be a basic subgroup of A such that $|C| < |A|$. There is a subgroup A' with $C \subseteq A' \subseteq A$ and $|A| > |A'| \geq |B|$. By Theorem 12 there is a subgroup A'' with $A' \subseteq A'' \subseteq A$, $|A''| = |A'|$, and $p^\omega(A/A'') = 0$. Now A/A'' is divisible because $C \subseteq A''$ and A/C is divisible. Moreover $A/A'' \neq 0$ because $|A''| < |A|$. Hence $p^\omega(A/A'') \neq 0$ contradicting the construction of A'' . Therefore $\text{Tor}(A, B)$ is not Σ -cyclic.

LEMMA 14. *Let A be a p -group and let ρ be the least ordinal having the same cardinality as $|A|$. Then there is a sequence $\{A_\alpha\}_{\alpha < \rho}$ of subgroups of A such that $\bigcup_{\alpha < \rho} A_\alpha = A$ and*

- (i) each A_α is pure in A ,
- (ii) $A_\alpha = \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} A_\beta$ if β is a limit ordinal $< \rho$,
- (iii) $|A_\alpha| = \aleph_0$ if $\alpha < \omega$, and
- (iv) $|A_\alpha| = |\alpha|$ if $\omega \leq \alpha < \rho$.

Proof. Well order A as $\{a_\alpha\}_{\alpha < \rho}$. Let A_0 be a countable pure subgroup of A containing a_0 . Suppose A_β has been defined for all $\beta < \alpha$ satisfying (i)-(iv) above and also (v) $a_\gamma \in A_\beta$ if $\gamma < \beta$ and β is a singular ordinal $< \alpha$. If α is a limit ordinal (ii) forces the definition $A_\alpha = \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} A_\beta$. Then (i)-(v) follow easily. If $\alpha = \gamma + 1$, then $A_\gamma + \{a_\gamma\}$ has the same cardinality as A_γ and there is a pure subgroup A_α of A having the same cardinality as $A_\gamma + \{a_\gamma\}$. Then (i)-(v) are still satisfied. If $\alpha < \rho$, then $|A_\alpha| = |\alpha| < |A|$ so that the construction can be continued as long as $\alpha < \rho$. Since $a_\alpha \in A_{\alpha+1}$ it follows that $\bigcup_{\alpha < \rho} A_\alpha = A$.

THEOREM 15. *If A and B are p -groups with the same cardinality such that every subgroup of either with smaller cardinality is a Σ -group, then $\text{Tor}(A, B)$ is Σ -cyclic.*

Proof. Let $\{A_\alpha\}_{\alpha < \rho}$, $\{B_\alpha\}_{\alpha < \rho}$, be sequences of subgroups of A and of B satisfying the conditions of Lemma 14. Since $|A_\alpha| = |B_\alpha| < |A|$ we have A_α and B_α Σ -cyclic for all $\alpha < \rho$. Set $G_\alpha = \text{Tor}(A_\alpha, B_\alpha)$. Since A_α is pure in A and B_α pure in B , G_α is pure in $\text{Tor}(A, B)$. Moreover by Proposition 11 and Lemma 14 (ii), $G_\alpha = \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} G_\beta$ whenever α is a limit ordinal $< \rho$.

Since Tor is left exact, there is an exact sequence

$$G_\alpha \longrightarrow G_{\alpha+1} \longrightarrow \text{Tor}(A_{\alpha+1}/A_\alpha, B_{\alpha+1}) \oplus \text{Tor}(A_{\alpha+1}, B_{\alpha+1}/B_\alpha).$$

The term on the right is Σ -cyclic because $A_{\alpha+1}$ and $B_{\alpha+1}$ are Σ -cyclic. Thus $G_{\alpha+1}/G_\alpha$ is Σ -cyclic and therefore $G_{\alpha+1} = G_\alpha \oplus C_\alpha$ with C_α Σ -cyclic because G_α is pure in $G_{\alpha+1}$. Hence we have a sequence $\{G_\alpha\}_{\alpha < \rho}$ of subgroups of $\text{Tor}(A, B)$ such that

(1°) $G_\alpha \subseteq G_{\alpha+1}$ for $\alpha < \rho$ and $G_\alpha = \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} G_\beta$ if α is a limit ordinal $< \rho$;

(2°) $G_{\alpha+1} = G_\alpha \oplus C_\alpha$ with C_α a Σ -group for all $\alpha < \rho$;

(iii) $\text{Tor}(A, B) = \bigcup_{\alpha < \rho} G_\alpha$.

It follows that $\text{Tor}(A, B) = \Sigma C_\alpha$ and is therefore a Σ -group.

COROLLARY 16. *If A and B are p -groups without elements of infinite height whose cardinality is at most \aleph_1 , then $\text{Tor}(A, B)$ is Σ -cyclic.*

If ρ is a cardinal number, call a p -group A ρ -cyclic if every subgroup of cardinal $< \rho$ is Σ -cyclic. Every p -group without elements of infinite height is \aleph_1 -cyclic. Let ρ^+ be the cardinal next larger than ρ .

COROLLARY 17. *If A and B are ρ -cyclic, then $\text{Tor}(A, B)$ is a ρ^+ -cyclic.*

Proof. Let $G \subseteq \text{Tor}(A, B)$ with $|G| = \rho$. Since, for $x \in \text{Tor}(A, B)$, there are finite subgroups A', B' of A and B respectively with $x \in \text{Tor}(A', B')$, there are subgroups $A_0 \subseteq A$, $B_0 \subseteq B$ such that $|A_0| = |B_0| = |G| = \rho$ and $G \subseteq \text{Tor}(A_0, B_0)$. Since every subgroup of a direct sum of cyclic groups is one, A_0 and B_0 satisfy the hypotheses of Theorem 15. Hence $\text{Tor}(A_0, B_0)$ and therefore G is Σ -cyclic. However G was arbitrary with $|G| = \rho$ so the corollary follows.

For groups A_1, \dots, A_n , define $\text{Tor}(A_1, \dots, A_n)$ inductively as $\text{Tor}(\text{Tor}(A_1, \dots, A_{n-1}), A_n)$.

LEMMA 18. If A_1, \dots, A_{2^n} are p -groups without elements of infinite height, then $\text{Tor}(A_1, \dots, A_{2^n})$ is \aleph_{n+1} -cyclic.

Proof. The proof is by induction. We use the associativity of Tor to show that

$$\text{Tor}(A_1, \dots, A_{2^n}) = \text{Tor}(\text{Tor}(A_1, \dots, A_m), \text{Tor}(A_{m+1}, \dots, A_{2^n}))$$

where $m = 2^{n-1}$ and then use Theorem 15 to complete the inductive step.

PROPOSITION 19. For each n with $1 \leq n < \omega$, there is a p -group G_n without elements of infinite height such that G_n is \aleph_n -cyclic but not Σ -cyclic.

Proof. If C is the direct sum of ρ copies of $\Sigma Z(p^n)$ and $\rho \geq \aleph_0$, then the torsion completion of C has cardinality ρ^{\aleph_0} . Hence if $\rho^{\aleph_0} > \rho$, there is a p -group without elements of infinite height which has greater cardinality than a basic subgroup. Since there are arbitrarily large cardinals with this property there exists a sequence $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n, \dots$ of p -groups of increasing cardinality, all without elements of infinite height, and all with greater cardinality than a basic subgroup.

Set $G_n = \text{Tor}(A_1, \dots, A_{2^{n-1}})$ and $G_1 = A_1$. Then G_n is \aleph_n -cyclic by Lemma 18. If A and B are infinite torsion groups $|\text{Tor}(A, B)| = \max\{|A|, |B|\}$ so that $|\text{Tor}(A_1, \dots, A_k)| = |A_k|$. Thus G_n is not Σ -cyclic by Theorem 15.

REFERENCES

1. L. Fuchs, *Abelian Groups*, Budapest, 1958.
2. J. M. Irwin, *High subgroups of abelian torsion groups*, Pacific J. Math. **11** (1961), 1375-1384.
3. J. M. Irwin and E. A. Walker, *On isotype subgroups of abelian groups*, Bull. Soc. Math. de France **89** (1961), 451-460.
4. I. Kaplansky, *Projective modules*, Ann. of Math. **68** (1958), 371-377.
5. S. MacLane, *Triple torsion products and multiple Kunneth formulas*, Math. Ann. **140** (1960), 51-64.
6. ———, *Homology*, Berlin, 1963.
7. R. J. Nunke, *On the structure of Tor*, Proceedings of the Colloquium on Abelian groups, Budapest (1964), 115-124.
8. ———, *Homology and direct sums of countable abelian groups* (to appear)

Received June 8, 1966. This work was supported in part by the National Science Foundation grant GP-5691.

UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
SEATTLE, WASHINGTON