

## ON A PAPER OF RAO

MYRON GOLDSTEIN

In this paper, we give an internal proof of Rao's theorem on meromorphic functions of bounded characteristic, i.e., a proof not using uniformization.

In addition, we discuss the classification theory of Riemann surfaces as it pertains to the class  $O_L$  of hyperbolic Riemann surfaces which admit no nonconstant Lindelöfian meromorphic functions. In particular, we show that  $U_{HB} \subset O_L$  where  $U_{HB}$  denotes the class of hyperbolic Riemann surfaces on which there exist at least one bounded *MHB* minimal function.

We also show that there is no inclusion relation between  $O_L$  and  $O_{HD}^n$ ,  $n$  a natural number, where  $O_{HD}^n$  denotes the class of hyperbolic Riemann surfaces for which the dimension of the vector lattice  $HD$  is at most  $n$ .

Finally, we generalize the *F.* and *M* Riesz theorem for  $H_1$  of the unit disc to arbitrary open hyperbolic Riemann surfaces.

Let  $R$  be hyperbolic and  $R'$  be an arbitrary Riemann surface. The mapping  $\varphi: R \rightarrow R'$  is called a Lindelöfian mapping, if for each  $a' \in R'$ ,

$$G(z, a', \varphi) = \sum_{\varphi(a)=a'} n(a)g_R(z, a)$$

is convergent for  $\varphi(z) \neq a'$  where  $n(a)$  denotes the multiplicity of  $\varphi$  at  $a$  and  $g_R(z, a)$  is the Green's function of  $R$  with pole at  $a$ . If  $R'$  is the Riemann sphere, then  $\varphi$  is called a Lindelöfian meromorphic function. Sario and Noshiro [6] have generalized the Nevanlinna theory to the class of meromorphic functions on an arbitrary Riemann surface and have shown that for hyperbolic surfaces, the meromorphic functions of bounded characteristic are precisely the Lindelöfian meromorphic functions. Furthermore, they have shown that a meromorphic function  $\varphi(z)$  on a hyperbolic Riemann surface  $R$  has bounded characteristic if and only if for each complex number  $a'$

$$\log |\varphi(z) - a'| = G(z, \infty, \varphi) - G(z, a', \varphi) + \varphi_{a'}(z) - \varphi'_{a'}(z)$$

where  $\varphi_{a'}(z)$  and  $\varphi'_{a'}(z)$  are positive harmonic functions on  $R$ .

Let us now turn to the theorem of Rao.

**THEOREM 1.** *If a nonconstant meromorphic function  $\varphi$  on a hyperbolic Riemann surface  $R$  has bounded characteristic, then there exists at most one complex number  $a'$  such that the difference between*

the quasibounded components of  $\varphi_a$ , and  $\varphi'_a$ , is constant.

As stated in the introduction, we now give an internal proof of Rao's theorem. For the proof, we need the following lemmas.

Let  $\Delta_1$  denote the set of points in the Martin boundary  $\Delta$  of  $R$  where the Martin function  $k_b$  cannot be represented as the sum of two nonproportional potentials. In addition, let  $\chi$  denote the canonical measure of 1 and  $f$  a continuous mapping of  $R$  into a compact space. Denote by  $I_b$  the class of open sets  $G \subset R$  for which  $(k_b)_{R-G}$ , the infimum of the class of positive superharmonic functions on  $R$  which are quasi everywhere on  $R - G$  no smaller than  $k_b$ , is a potential. Let  $\hat{f}(b) = \bigcap_{G \in I_b} \overline{f(G)}$ . If  $\hat{f}(b)$  consists of a single point, we denote the point by  $\hat{f}(b)$ . We shall now establish the following result.

LEMMA 1. *If  $s$  is a singular positive harmonic function on  $R$ , then  $s$  is defined  $\chi$  a.e. on  $\Delta_1$  and is 0  $\chi$  a.e.*

*Proof.* Since  $s$  is singular, its quasibounded component is 0. It follows from a result in [2] that  $s$  is defined  $\chi$  a.e. on  $\Delta_1$  and that

$$0 = \int_{\Delta_1} \hat{s}(b)k_b d\chi(b).$$

Since  $\hat{s}$  and  $k_b$  are positive, it follows that  $\hat{s} = 0$   $\chi$  a.e.

A different proof of this result can be found in [3]. In addition to Lemma 1, we will use the following, which are proved in [3].

LEMMA 2.  *$G(z, a', \varphi)$  has the fine limit 0  $\chi$  a.e. on  $\Delta_1$*

LEMMA 3. *If  $\varphi$  is a nonconstant Lindelöfian meromorphic function on a hyperbolic Riemann surface  $R$ , then  $\hat{\varphi}$  is defined  $\chi$  a.e. on  $\Delta_1$  and the set of points  $\hat{\varphi}(b)$  is a set of positive capacity.*

We are now able to prove Theorem 1.

*Proof.* Suppose the conclusion of the theorem is false. Then for some function  $\varphi$  of bounded characteristic on  $R$  and two complex numbers  $a'_1 \neq a'_2$ ,

$$\log |\varphi(z) - a'_i| = G(z, \infty, \varphi) - G(z, a_i, \varphi) + k_i + s_i(z) - s'_i(z)$$

for  $i = 1$  and  $2$ .  $s_i$  and  $s'_i$  are singular positive harmonic functions on  $R$  and  $k_i$  is a constant.

Since  $\varphi$  has bounded characteristic, it is Lindelöfian, and we deduce from Lemmas 1, 2, and 3 that

$$\log |\hat{\varphi}(b) - a'_i| = k_i, \quad i = 1, 2,$$

for almost all  $b \in \mathcal{A}_1$ .

Hence the points  $\hat{\varphi}(b)$  lie on both circles

$$|\zeta - a'_i| = e^{k_i}, \quad i = 1, 2, a'_1 \neq a'_2.$$

In view of the second part of Lemma 3, this is a contradiction and the theorem is proved.

2. We now turn to the class  $O_L$ . Rao [5] has shown that  $O_{HB} \subset O_L$ . We shall prove the much stronger result that  $U_{HB} \subset O_L$ . In addition, we shall prove a stronger form of Rao's Corollary 2.

Let  $\varphi$  be an analytic mapping from a Riemann surface  $R$  into a Riemann surface  $R'$ .  $\varphi$  is called a Fatou mapping if  $\varphi$  has a continuous extension to a mapping from the Wiener compactification of  $R$  into the Wiener compactification of  $R'$ .

It is shown in [2] that every Lindelöfian mapping is a Fatou mapping. It is also shown in [2] that if  $R \in U_{HB}$ , there exists no nonconstant Fatou mapping of  $R$  into a parabolic surface. As immediate consequences of these results, we obtain the following:

**THEOREM 2.**  $U_{HB} \subset O_L$ .

**THEOREM 3.** *If there exists a nonconstant Lindelöfian map  $\varphi$  from a hyperbolic Riemann surface  $R$  into a parabolic Riemann surface  $R'$ , then  $R \notin U_{HB}$ .*

3. Constantinescu and Cornea [2] have shown that if  $R$  is of class  $U_{HB}$ , then  $R \in U_{HD}$  where  $U_{HD}$  denotes the class of Riemann surfaces on which there exist at least one bounded MHD minimal function. Punch out  $n$  pairwise disjoint discs  $F_1, \dots, F_n$  from  $R$  and reflect  $R - \bar{F}_i$  about  $\partial F_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Weld the reflections to  $R - \bigcup_{i=1}^n F_i$  and denote the resulting surface by  $W$ . If  $R \in U_{HB}$ , then  $W \in U_{HB}$ . Since  $R$  is also of class  $U_{HD}$ , it follows that the Royden harmonic boundary of  $W$  possesses at least  $n + 1$  points of positive harmonic measure and hence that  $W \notin O_{HD}^n$ . But by Theorem 2,  $W \in O_L$ . Hence  $O_L \not\subset O_{HD}^n$ . Since  $O_{HD}^n \not\subset O_L$ , we have established the following result.

**THEOREM 4.** *There is no inclusion relation between  $O_{HD}^n$  and  $O_L$ .*

We remark that Theorem 4 contains the result of Rao that there is no inclusion relation between  $O_{HD}$  and  $O_L$ .

It would be interesting to know if Theorem 4 can be strengthen-

ed to read that there is no inclusion relation between  $U_{HD}$  and  $O_L$ . The author has investigated this question but has been unable to settle it.

4. Let us now turn to the class  $H_1$  of analytic functions on an open hyperbolic Riemann surface  $R$  whose moduli possess a harmonic majorant. We shall prove the following result.

**THEOREM 5.** *If  $f \in H_1$ , then  $f$  is defined  $\chi$  a.e. on  $\Delta_1$  and*

$$f = \int_{\Delta_1} f(b)k_b d\chi_b .$$

*Proof.* Since  $f \in H_1$ , it follows that the Ref and the Imf can be written as the difference of quasibounded harmonic functions. Hence

$$\text{Ref} = \int_{\Delta_1} \widehat{\text{Ref}}(b)k_b d\chi(b)$$

and

$$\text{Imf} = \int_{\Delta_1} \widehat{\text{Imf}}(b)k_b d\chi(b) .$$

Thus

$$f = \int_{\Delta_1} \widehat{f}(b)k_b d\chi(b) .$$

#### REFERENCES

1. L. V. Ahlfors and L. Sario, *Riemann Surfaces*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1960.
2. C. Constantinescu and A. Cornea, *Ideale Ränder Riemannscher Flächen*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1963.
3. J. L. Doob, *Conformally invariant cluster value theory*, Illinois J. Math. **5** (1961), 521-549.
4. M. Heins, *Lindelöfian maps*, Ann. of Math. (2) **62** (1955), 418-446.
5. K. V. R. Rao, *Lindelöfian meromorphic functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **15** (1964), 109-113.
6. L. Sario and K. Noshiro, *Value Distribution Theory*, D. Van Nostrand and Co., Princeton, 1966.

Received January 17, 1968.