

TORSION IN BBSO

JAMES D. STASHEFF

The cohomology of BBSO, the classifying space for the stable Grassmanian BSO, is shown to have torsion of order precisely 2^r for each natural number r . Moreover, the elements of order 2^r appear in a pattern of striking simplicity.

Many of the stable Lie groups and homogeneous spaces have torsion at most of order 2 [1, 3, 5]. There is one such space, however, with interesting torsion of higher order. This is $BBSO = SU/\text{Spin}$ which is of interest in connection with Bott periodicity and in connection with the J-homomorphism [4, 7]. By the notation SU/Spin we mean that $BBSO$ can be regarded as the fibre of $B \text{ Spin} \rightarrow BSU$ or that, up to homotopy, there is a fibration

$$SU \rightarrow BBSO \rightarrow B \text{ Spin}$$

induced from the universal SU bundle by $B \text{ Spin} \rightarrow BSU$. The mod 2 cohomology $H^*(BBSO; Z_2)$ has been computed by Clough [4]. The purpose of this paper is to compute enough of $H^*(BBSO; Z)$ to obtain the mod 2 Bockstein spectral sequence [2] of $BBSO$.

Given a ring R , we shall denote by $R[x_i | i \in I]$ the polynomial ring on generators x_i indexed by elements of a set I . The set I will often be described by an equation or inequality in which case i is to be understood to be a natural number. Similarly $E(x_i | i \in I)$ will denote the exterior algebra on generators x_i . In this case, we will need only $R = Z_2$.

Let us recall the results on $B \text{ Spin}$ as given by Thomas [6] and on $BBSO$ as given by Clough [4].

$$H^*(B \text{ Spin}; Z_2) \approx Z_2[w_i | i \neq 2^j + 1]$$

where w_i is (the image of) the Stiefel-Whitney class w_i .

$$H^*(B \text{ Spin}; Z) \approx Z[Q_i | i > 0] \oplus \hat{T}$$

where $2\hat{T} = 0$ and $Q_i \in H^{4i}$.

$$H^*(BBSO; Z_2) \approx E(e_i | i \geq 3)$$

where $e_i \in H^i$ and is the image of w_i if $i \neq 2^j + 1$ while e_{2^j+1} maps to an indecomposable element in $H^*(SU; Z_2)$.

Now let ${}_\beta E_r$ denote the mod 2 Bockstein spectral sequence of $BBSO$ [2]. In particular, ${}_\beta E_2 = \text{Ker } Sq^1 / \text{Im } Sq^1$. Now $Sq^1 w_{2i} = w_{2i+1}$ in BSO and $Sq^1 w_{2i+1} = 0$ while $Sq^1 e_{2^j} = 0$ in $B \text{ Spin}$. We will see that

e_{2^j+1} can be chosen to have $Sq^1 e_{2^j+1} = 0$ except for $Sq^1 e_3 = e_4$. Thus

$$\beta E_2 = E(e_3 e_4, e_{2^2+i}, v_{4i+1} \mid i > 0)$$

where $v_{4i+1} = e_{2^i} e_{2^{i+1}}$ except $v_{2^j+1} = e_{2^j+1}; j > 1$.

THEOREM 1.

$$\beta E_r \approx E(e_3 e_4 \cdots e_{2^r}, e_{2^r+i}, v_{4i+1} \mid i > 0)$$

and $d_r(e_3 \cdots e_{2^r}) = e_{2^r+1}$ modulo decomposable elements.

To prove Theorem 1, we will exhibit torsion of order 2^r for all r .

THEOREM 2. *In $H^*(BBSO; Z)$, we have*

$$2^r Q_{2^r} \neq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad 2^{r+1} Q_{2^r} = 0 .$$

$H^*(BBSO; Z_2)$. We recall some of Clough's observations on $H^*(BBSO; Z_2)$. We know $H^*(SU; Z_2) = E(y_i \mid i > 1)$ where $y_i \in H^{2i+1}$ transgresses universally to the mod 2 reduction of the Chern class c_i and hence to the image of w_i^2 in $B \text{ Spin}$. Thus $w_i^2 = 0$ in $BBSO$ for $i \neq 2^j + 1$ and y_{2^j} is the restriction of a class e_{2^j+1+1} . In particular since $Sq^{2^j}(w_{2^j-1+1})^2 = (w_{2^j+1})^2$ we can take e_{2^j+1} to be $Sq^{2^j-1} Sq^{2^j-2} \cdots Sq^4 Sq^2 e_3$. The class e_3 is uniquely determined ($H^3(BBSO; Z_2) \approx Z_2$) and this definition of e_{2^j+1} implies $Sq^1 e_{2^j+1+1} = (e_{2^i+1})^2 = 0$ if $e_3^2 = 0$. The only alternative to $e_3^2 = 0$ is $e_3^2 = e_6$; there is no other class in this dimension. Since $Sq^1 w_6 = w_7$ in $B \text{ Spin}$ and w_6, w_7 map to e_6, e_7 , we have $Sq^1 e_6 = e_7$ but $Sq^1(e_3)^2 = 0$; therefore e_3^2 must be zero.

$H^*(BBSO, Z)$. Consider $BBSO$ as the fibre of $B \text{ Spin} \rightarrow BSU$. The latter map factors: $B \text{ Spin} \xrightarrow{\pi} BSO \rightarrow BSU$. Recall that

$$H^*(BSU; Z) = Z[c_i \mid i > 1] \quad \text{and} \quad H^*(BSO; Z) = Z[P_i] \oplus T$$

where T is the torsion ideal, $2T = 0$, c_{2^i+1} maps into T and c_{2^i} maps to P_i . To determine $\text{Im}(H^*(B \text{ Spin}))$ in $H^*(BBSO)$, we need to know $\pi^*[P_i]$ in $H^*(B \text{ Spin})$.

THEOREM 3 (Thomas [6]). *If i is not a power of 2, $\pi^* P_i = Q_i$. If $j = 2^r, r > 0, \pi^* P_{2^j} = 2Q_{2^j} + Q_j^2 - \pi^* \Phi_{2^j}$. $\pi^* P_1 = 2Q_1$.*

LEMMA. $\pi^* \Phi_{2^j}$ maps into $\text{Im } T \subset H^*(BBSO)$.

Proof. $H^*(BSO; Z)$ maps onto $\text{Im } T$ in $H^*(BBSO)$ since $H^*(BSU)$ maps onto the $Z[P_i]$ part.

Since $\pi^* P_j$ goes to zero in $BBSO$, we have in $H^*(BBSO; Z)$

$$2Q_{2^j} = -Q_{2^j}^2 + t \quad \text{where } 2t = 0 \quad \text{and } j = 2^r .$$

$$2Q_1 = 0 .$$

By iteration we find

$$2^{r+1}Q_{2^r} = \pm 2Q_{2^r}Q_{2^{r-1}} \cdots Q_2(Q_1)^2 = 0 .$$

To determine the order of Q_{2^i} in $BBSO$, consider $\Gamma(u \mid 2u = 0)$, a divided polynomial algebra on a single generator u of dimension 4 and order 2; i.e., additively Γ has generators $\gamma_i(u)$ in dimension $4i$ and the multiplication table is $\gamma_i(u)\gamma_j(u) = (i, j)\gamma_{i+j}(u)$ where (i, j) is the binomial coefficient $\{(i + j)!/i!j!\}$.

In particular $i!\gamma_i(u) = u^i$.

We construct a map f from $\text{Im}(H^*(B\text{Spin}; Z) \rightarrow H^*(BBSO; Z))$ to Γ by mapping \hat{T} to zero, Q_i to zero for $i \neq 2^j$ and Q_{2^j} to $-\gamma_2(f(Q_{2^{j-1}}))$ with $f(Q_1) = u$. Since $2Q_{2^j} = -Q_{2^{j-1}}^2 + \pi^*\Phi_{2^j}$, and Φ_{2^j} goes into $\text{Im } \hat{T}$ in $BBSO$, the map f is well defined. Since for any x , the order of $\gamma_2(x)$ is twice the order of x , we have

$$\text{ord } f(Q_{2^j}) = 2 \text{ord } f(Q_{2^{j-1}}) = 2^j \text{ord } f(Q_1) = 2^{j+1} .$$

Thus the order of Q_{2^j} is at least 2^{j+1} and that $2^{j+1}Q_{2^j}$ is in fact zero we have already seen.

Thus we have 2^r torsion for each r . From the exact cohomology sequence derived from $0 \rightarrow Z \xrightarrow{2^r} Z \rightarrow Z_{2^r} \rightarrow 0$, we see that $Q_{2^{r-1}} = \beta_{2^r}^\infty x_r$ for some class $x_r \in H^*(BBSO; Z_{2^r})$, where $\beta_{2^r}^\infty$ is the connecting homomorphism $H^*(; Z_{2^r}) \rightarrow H^{*+1}(; Z)$.

LEMMA. $(\beta_{2^r}^\infty x_r)_2 = d_r(x_r)_2$ where $()_2$ means reduction mod 2.

Proof. Recall how d_r is defined: $d_r(x) = (\beta_2^\infty(x)/2^{r-1})_2$. From the commutativity of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} Z & \xrightarrow{2^r} & Z & \longrightarrow & Z_{2^r} \\ & & \downarrow 2^{r-1} & \parallel & \downarrow \\ Z & \xrightarrow{2} & Z & \longrightarrow & Z_2 \end{array}$$

it follows that $\beta_2^\infty = 2^{r-1}\beta_{2^r}^\infty$. In particular, $d_r(x_r)_2 = (Q_{2^{r-1}})_2$. According to Thomas, $(Q_{2^{r-1}})_2 = \pi^*(w_{2^r+1} + \psi_{2^r+1})$ where ψ_{2^r+1} is decomposable. In particular, $(Q_1)_2 = W_4$.

We prove Theorem 2 by induction. Since

$$Sq^1 w_{2i} = w_{2i+1} \quad \text{and} \quad Sq^1 w_{2i+1} = 0 ,$$

we know $Sq^1 e_{2i} = e_{2i+1}$ and $Sq^1 e_{2i+1} = 0$ unless $i = 2^j$. Since we have chosen $e_{2^{j+1}} = Sq^{2^j-1} \cdots Sq^2 e_3$, we have $Sq^1 e_{2^{j+1}} = (e_{2^j-1+1})^2 = 0$ for

$j \geq 2$. For $j = 1$, we have $Sq^1 e_3 = e_4$ because $e_4 = (Q_1)_2$ which is in the image of Sq^1 since $2Q_1 = 0$.

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \beta E_2 &= \text{Ker } Sq^1 / \text{Im } Sq^1 \\ &= E(e_3 e_4) \otimes E(e_{2i} e_{2i+1} \mid 2 < i \neq 2^j) \otimes E(e_{2^j+1}, e_{2^j+1} \mid j \geq 2). \end{aligned}$$

Since $d_2(x_2)_2 = (Q_2)_2 = e_3$, we must have $x_2 = e_3 e_4$.

In general $d_r(x_r)_2 = (Q_{2^{r-1}})_2 = e_{2^{r+1}}$ modulo decomposables. Now consider $H^*(BBSO; Q)$. Since $H^*(BSO; Q) = Q[P_i]$ with the usual diagonal $m^*(P_i) = \sum_{j+k=i} P_j \otimes P_k$, we have $H^*(BBSO; Q) = E(R_i)$ where $\dim R_i \in H^{4j+1}$. Thus $\beta E_\infty = E(S_{4i+1})$ and the only possibility is

$$\begin{aligned} S_{4i+1} &= e_{2i} e_{2i+1} \quad i \neq 2^j, \\ S_{2^i+1} &= e_{2^i+1} \end{aligned}$$

modulo terms decomposable in terms of the S_{4i+1} . This leaves $e_3 e_4 \cdots e_{2^r}$ as the only possibility for x_r , i.e., $d_r(e_3 e_4 \cdots e_{2^r}) = e_{2^r+1}$ mod decomposables as claimed.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. A. Borel, *La cohomologie mod 2 des certains espaces homogènes*, Comm. Math. Helv. **27** (1953), 165-197.
2. W. Browder, *Torsion in H-spaces*, Ann. of Math. **74** (1961), 24-51.
3. H. Cartan, *Séminaire—1959/60*, Exposés 3, 17, Paris, 1961.
4. R. R. Clough, *Calculation of $H^*(B_{\text{Im}(j)}; Z_2)$* (to appear).
5. E. Dyer and R. K. Lashof, *A topological proof of the Bott periodicity theorem*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4) **54** (1961), 231-254.
6. E. Thomas, *On the cohomology groups of the classifying space for the stable spinor group*, Bol. Soc. Mat. Mex. (1962), 57-69.
7. G. W. Whitehead, *On the homotopy groups of spheres and rotation groups*, Ann. of Math. **43** (1942), 634-640.

Received May 3, 1968. Research supported in part by NSF Grant GP-6101. The author is an Alfred P. Sloan Fellow.