

## ADDITIONAL RESULTS ON MODULES OVER POLYDISC ALGEBRAS

E. L. STOUT

This paper deals with a class  $\mathcal{H}_N$  of domains in Stein manifolds and with certain algebras of holomorphic functions naturally associated with them.

The class  $\mathcal{H}_N$  consists of those relatively compact domains  $\Delta$  in  $N$ -dimensional Stein manifolds such that for some neighborhood  $\Omega$  of  $\bar{\Delta}$  and some neighborhood  $W$  of  $\bar{U}^N$ , the closure of  $U^N = \{(z_1, \dots, z_N) \in \mathbb{C}^N: |z_1|, \dots, |z_N| < 1\}$ , the unit polydisc in  $\mathbb{C}^N$ , there exists a proper holomorphic map  $\Phi: \Omega \rightarrow W$  which is nonsingular at every point of  $\Phi^{-1}(T^N)$ ,  $T^N$  the distinguished boundary of  $U^N$ , and which has, in addition, the property that  $\Delta = \Phi^{-1}(U^N)$ . The collection of all such maps  $\Phi$  is denoted by  $\mathcal{M}(\Delta, U^N)$ , and if  $\Delta, \Delta' \in \mathcal{H}_N$ ,  $\mathcal{M}(\Delta, \Delta')$  denotes the set of all maps  $\Psi: \Delta \rightarrow \Delta'$  such that if  $\Phi \in \mathcal{M}(\Delta', U^N)$ , then  $\Phi \circ \Psi \in \mathcal{M}(\Delta, U^N)$ . For  $\Delta \in \mathcal{H}_N$  let  $\mathcal{A}(\Delta) = \{f \in \mathcal{C}(\bar{\Delta}): f \text{ is holomorphic in } \Delta\}$ , and let  $H^\infty(\Delta) = \{f: f \text{ is holomorphic and bounded in } \Delta\}$ . If  $\Phi \in \mathcal{M}(\Delta, \Delta')$ , then  $\mathcal{A}(\Delta)$  is a module over its subalgebra  $\Phi^* \mathcal{A}(\Delta') = \{f \circ \Phi: f \in \mathcal{A}(\Delta')\}$ , and this paper treats the structure of  $\mathcal{A}(\Delta)$  as a  $\Phi^* \mathcal{A}(\Delta')$ -module. The first section of the paper presents an example to show that  $\mathcal{A}(\Delta)$  need not be free over  $\Phi^* \mathcal{A}(\Delta')$ , and the second section shows that it is a finitely generated, projective  $\Phi^* \mathcal{A}(\Delta')$ -module. The final section establishes certain conditions sufficient for the freeness of  $\mathcal{A}(\Delta)$ . Parallel results obtain for  $H^\infty(\Delta)$  as a  $\Phi H^\infty(\Delta')$ -module.

These results supplement results obtained in [7]. In that paper some of these questions were treated for the special case that  $\Delta = U^N$ . For example, it was shown there that if  $\Phi \in \mathcal{M}(\Delta, U^N)$ , then  $\mathcal{A}(\Delta)$  is a free module over  $\Phi^* \mathcal{A}(U^N)$ . We refer to this paper for some of the elementary properties of the elements of  $\mathcal{H}_N$  and of  $\mathcal{M}(\Delta', \Delta)$ .

Given  $\Delta', \Delta \in \mathcal{H}_N$ , and  $\Psi \in \mathcal{M}(\Delta; \Delta')$ , the triple  $(\Delta; \Psi | \Delta', \Delta)$  is an analytic cover in the sense of [5] and consequently has a well defined multiplicity  $\lambda$ :  $\lambda$  is that integer such that for all points  $\mathfrak{z} \in \Delta'$  off a variety, the set  $\Psi^{-1}(\mathfrak{z})$  consists of  $\lambda$  points.

If  $M$  is a complex manifold,  $\mathcal{S}$  a sheaf on  $M$ , and  $\mathfrak{z}$  a point of  $M$ , then  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{z}}$  denotes the stalk of  $\mathcal{S}$  at  $\mathfrak{z}$  and  $\mathcal{O}_M$  denotes the sheaf of germs of functions holomorphic on  $M$ . We will usually write  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{z}}$  instead of  $(\mathcal{O}_M)_{\mathfrak{z}}$ . If  $K$  is a subset of  $M$ ,  $\mathcal{O}(K)$  denotes the sections of  $\mathcal{O}_M$  over  $K$ .

1. An example. If  $\Delta, \Delta' \in \mathcal{H}_1$  and  $\Psi \in \mathcal{M}(\Delta', \Delta)$ , then [1]  $\mathcal{A}(\Delta')$

is a free module over  $\Psi^* \mathcal{A}(\Delta)$  whose rank is the multiplicity of  $\Psi$ . In higher dimensions the analogous result is not true as the following example shows.

We will show that for  $N = 4, 5, 6, \dots$ , there exist  $\Delta, \Delta' \in \mathcal{K}_N$  and  $\Psi \in \mathcal{M}(\Delta', \Delta)$  such that  $\mathcal{A}(\Delta')$  admits no set of generators over  $\Psi^* \mathcal{A}(\Delta)$  consisting of  $\lambda$  elements,  $\lambda$  the multiplicity of  $\Psi$ . In our example  $\Psi$  will be two-to-one and a local homeomorphism at each point of  $\Delta$ . Denote by  $P_N(\mathbb{C})$  and  $P_N(\mathbb{R})$  respectively  $N$ -dimensional complex and real projective space. In  $P_N(\mathbb{C})$ ,  $N \geq 4$ , consider the manifold  $V$  consisting of those points with homogeneous coordinates  $(z_0, \dots, z_N)$  such that  $z_0^2 + \dots + z_N^2 \neq 0$ . In the case  $N = 2$ , this manifold was considered in another connection by Forster [3]. The manifold  $V$  is connected since it is the complement in  $P_N(\mathbb{C})$  of a variety, and, as Forster remarked, it is a Stein manifold. The space  $P_N(\mathbb{R})$  is contained in a natural way in  $V$ :  $P_N(\mathbb{R})$  is the set of all points which admit real homogeneous coordinates, and, moreover,  $P_N(\mathbb{R})$  is a deformation retract of  $V$ . This was the fact which made  $V$  useful for Forster, and it is the essential point in the present example. A deformation of  $V$  onto  $P_N(\mathbb{R})$  can be given explicitly as follows [3]. If  $\mathfrak{z} \in V$ , let  $(z_0, \dots, z_N)$  be homogeneous coordinates for  $\mathfrak{z}$  such that  $z_0^2 + \dots + z_N^2 > 0$ . Given  $t \in [0, 1]$ , define  $H_t(\mathfrak{z})$  to be the point with homogeneous coordinates  $(x_0 + ity_0, \dots, x_N + ity_N)$  if  $z_j = x_j + iy_j$ . Thus,  $V$  and  $P_N(\mathbb{R})$  are of the same homotopy type and in particular they have the same fundamental group,  $Z_2^1$ . Consequently, if  $\tilde{V}$  denotes the universal covering manifold of  $V$  and  $\eta: \tilde{V} \rightarrow V$  the natural projection, then  $\eta$  is a local homeomorphism and each fiber  $\eta^{-1}(p)$  consists of exactly two points. The manifold  $\tilde{V}$  admits a complex structure with respect to which  $\eta$  is a holomorphic map, and when  $\tilde{V}$  is endowed with this complex structure, it becomes a Stein manifold. (For the fact that  $\tilde{V}$  is Stein, see [8]).

We set  $S = \eta^{-1}(P_N(\mathbb{R}))$ , and we shall show that  $S$  is, topologically, the  $N$ -sphere. Since  $\eta$  is a local homeomorphism,  $S$  is evidently an  $N$ -dimensional manifold. A priori it is not clear that  $S$  is connected; let  $S_0$  be a component of  $S$ . Then  $\eta$  carries  $S_0$  onto  $P_N(\mathbb{R})$ , and with the projection  $\eta$ ,  $S_0$  is a covering space of  $P_N(\mathbb{R})$ . Let  $p_0 \in P_N(\mathbb{R})$  and let  $s_0 \in \eta^{-1}(p_0) \cap S_0$ . Let  $i: (P_N(\mathbb{R}), p_0) \rightarrow (V, p_0)$  and  $j: (S_0, s_0) \rightarrow (\tilde{V}, s_0)$  be inclusion maps. We then have induced homomorphisms of the fundamental groups

$$\begin{aligned} i_* &: \pi_1(P_N(\mathbb{R}), p_0) \rightarrow \pi_1(V, p_0), \\ j_* &: \pi_1(S_0, s_0) \rightarrow \pi_1(\tilde{V}, s_0), \\ \eta_* &: \pi_1(\tilde{V}, s_0) \rightarrow \pi_1(V, p_0), \\ (\eta|S_0)_* &: \pi_1(S_0, s_0) \rightarrow \pi_1(P_N(\mathbb{R}), p_0). \end{aligned}$$

<sup>1</sup> The integers mod 2.

There is the commutativity relation  $\eta_* j_* = i_*(\eta|S_0)_*$ . Since  $\tilde{V}$  is the universal covering space of  $V$ ,  $\eta_* = 0$ , and since  $P_N(\mathbb{R})$  is a deformation retract of  $V$ ,  $i_*$  is an isomorphism. Consequently  $(\eta|S_0)_* = 0$ . From the uniqueness of covering spaces corresponding to a given subgroup of the fundamental group (see, e.g. [6, Th. 6.6.16]) it follows that  $S_0$  is homeomorphic to the universal covering space of  $P_N(\mathbb{R})$ , i.e., to the  $N$ -sphere and that  $\eta|S_0$  is two-to-one. Since  $\eta$  is two-to-one on  $\tilde{V}$ , we have that  $S = S_0$  and consequently that  $S$  is an  $N$ -sphere.

Next we show the existence of a  $\Delta \in \mathcal{K}_N$  which contains  $P_N(\mathbb{R})$  and which is contained in  $V$ . For this purpose, define a map  $\Phi: V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^N$  by setting

$$\Phi(\mathfrak{z}) = \left( \frac{z_1^2}{z_0^2 + \dots + z_N^2}, \dots, \frac{z_N^2}{z_0^2 + \dots + z_N^2} \right)$$

if  $\mathfrak{z} \in V$  has homogeneous coordinates  $(z_0, \dots, z_N)$ . The  $\Phi$  so defined is proper. If not, there is a sequence  $\{\zeta^{(k)}\}_{k=1}^\infty$  in  $\mathbb{C}^N$  which converges to  $\zeta^{(0)} \in \mathbb{C}^N$  such that for some choice of points  $\mathfrak{z}_k \in \Phi^{-1}(\zeta^{(k)})$ ,  $\mathfrak{z}_k \rightarrow \mathfrak{z}_0 \in P_N(\mathbb{C}) \setminus V$ . Let  $\mathfrak{z}_0$  have homogeneous coordinates  $(z_0^{(0)}, \dots, z_N^{(0)})$ . Then  $\Sigma(z_j^{(0)})^2 = 0$ . We can choose homogeneous coordinates  $(z_0^{(k)}, \dots, z_N^{(k)})$  for  $\mathfrak{z}_k$  so that for fixed  $j$ ,  $0 \leq j \leq N$ ,  $z_j^{(k)} \rightarrow z_j^{(0)}$ . Let  $\zeta^{(0)} = (\zeta_1^{(0)}, \dots, \zeta_N^{(0)})$ . Since  $\Phi(\mathfrak{z}_k) \rightarrow \zeta^{(0)}$ , we have, for  $1 \leq j \leq N$ ,

$$\zeta_j^{(0)} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (z_j^{(k)})^2 / ((z_0^{(k)})^2 + \dots + (z_N^{(k)})^2)^{-1},$$

and since  $\mathfrak{z}_k \rightarrow \mathfrak{z}_0$  and  $\mathfrak{z}_0 \notin V$ , this implies that  $z_j^{(k)} \rightarrow 0$ . From  $z_j^{(k)} \rightarrow z_j^{(0)}$ , we conclude that  $z_j^{(0)} = 0$  for  $1 \leq j \leq N$ . The fact that  $\Sigma(z_j^{(0)})^2 = 0$  implies that  $z_0^{(0)} = 0$ , so  $(z_0^{(0)}, \dots, z_N^{(0)}) = (0, \dots, 0)$  which is impossible. Thus  $\Phi$  is proper. A short calculation shows that with the exception of the points in the variety

$$E = \{(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_N) \in \mathbb{C}^N: \zeta_1 \dots \zeta_N = 0\},$$

every point of  $\mathbb{C}^N$  has exactly  $2^N$  preimages under  $\Phi$  so the multiplicity of  $\Phi$  is  $2^N$ . This remark also indicates that  $\Phi$  is regular at each point of the sets  $\Phi^{-1}(\{(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_N): |\zeta_1| = \dots = |\zeta_N| = R\})$ . If  $\mathfrak{z} \in V$  has real homogeneous coordinates, the definition of  $\Phi(\mathfrak{z})$  shows that  $\Phi(\mathfrak{z})$  lies in  $\bar{U}^N$ , so if  $\varepsilon > 0$ , then the set

$$\Delta_\varepsilon = \Phi^{-1}\{(z_1, \dots, z_N) \in \mathbb{C}^N: |z_j| < 1 + \varepsilon \text{ for } j = 1, \dots, N\}$$

is an element of  $\mathcal{K}_N$  with the desired property.

Let  $P_N(\mathbb{R}) \subset \Delta \subset V$ ,  $\Delta \in \mathcal{K}_N$ , and let  $\Delta' = \eta^{-1}(\Delta)$ . The mapping  $\eta: \tilde{V} \rightarrow V$  is a covering map and so is certainly an element of  $\mathcal{M}(\Delta', \Delta)$ . Assume that  $\mathcal{A}(\Delta')$  is generated as a module over  $\eta^*\mathcal{A}(\Delta)$  by two elements,  $F_1$  and  $F_2$ , so that if  $f \in \mathcal{A}(\Delta')$  then for some  $g_1, g_2 \in \mathcal{A}(\Delta)$

we have that  $f(\mathfrak{z}) = F_1(\mathfrak{z})g_1(\eta(\mathfrak{z})) + F_2(\mathfrak{z})g_2(\eta(\mathfrak{z}))$ . In particular, the functions  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  must separate points on each of the fibers  $\eta^{-1}(\mathfrak{z})$ ,  $\mathfrak{z} \in P_N(\mathbb{R})$ . Let  $S^N$  be the standard  $N$ -sphere in  $\mathbb{R}^{N+1}$  and denote by  $\xi: S^N \rightarrow P_N(\mathbb{R})$  the usual covering map which identifies antipodal points. If  $\tau: S^N \rightarrow S$  is a homeomorphism such that  $\xi = \eta \circ \tau$ , then the mapping  $S^N \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$  given by  $\mathfrak{z} \rightarrow (F_1(\tau(\mathfrak{z})), F_2(\tau(\mathfrak{z})))$  is continuous and separates antipodal points. Since  $\mathbb{C}^2$  is, topologically,  $\mathbb{R}^4$ , and since we have assumed  $N \geq 4$ , we have obtained a contradiction to the Borsuk-Ulam Theorem [6, Corollary 4.3.7]. Consequently,  $\mathcal{A}(\Delta')$  is not generated by two elements over  $\eta^*\mathcal{A}(\Delta)$ . This argument shows, in fact, that any set of generators for  $\mathcal{A}(\Delta')$  must contain more than  $N/2$  generators.

2.  $\mathcal{A}(\Delta')$  as a module over  $\Psi^*\mathcal{A}(\Delta)$ . Complementing the previous example, we have the following result.

**THEOREM 2.1.** *If  $\Delta', \Delta \in \mathcal{H}_N$  and  $\Psi \in \mathcal{M}(\Delta', \Delta)$ , then  $\mathcal{A}(\Delta')$  and  $H^\infty(\Delta')$  are finitely generated projective modules over  $\Psi^*\mathcal{A}(\Delta)$  and  $\Psi^*H^\infty(\Delta)$  respectively.*

*Proof.* It is easy to see that  $\mathcal{A}(\Delta')$  is finitely generated over  $\Psi^*\mathcal{A}(\Delta)$  and that a similar result obtains concerning  $H^\infty(\Delta')$ . Let  $\Psi \in \mathcal{M}(\Delta, U^N)$ . Then  $\Phi \circ \Psi \in \mathcal{M}(\Delta', U^N)$ , and consequently  $\mathcal{A}(\Delta')$  is finitely generated over  $(\Phi \circ \Psi)^*\mathcal{A}(U^N)$ : We know by [7, Th. I. 4] that for some  $B_1, \dots, B_m \in \mathcal{O}(\bar{\Delta}')$ , each  $f \in \mathcal{A}(\Delta)$  is of the form

$$f = \sum B_j f_j \circ \Phi \circ \Psi$$

for some choice of  $f_j$  in  $\mathcal{A}(U^N)$ . Since  $f \circ \Phi$  is in  $\mathcal{A}(\Delta)$ , this shows that  $\mathcal{A}(\Delta')$  is finitely generated over  $\Psi^*\mathcal{A}(\Delta)$ . The case of  $H^\infty(\Delta')$  can be treated in the same way. Somewhat more is required to show that these modules are projective.

Since  $\Psi \in \mathcal{M}(\Delta', \Delta)$ , there is a neighborhood  $\Omega'$  of  $\bar{\Delta}'$  which is mapped properly onto a neighborhood  $\Omega$  of  $\bar{\Delta}$  by  $\Psi$ . We know [7, Lemma 1. 2] that the direct image sheaf  $\Psi_* \mathcal{O}_{\Omega'}$  is locally free of rank  $\lambda$ ,  $\lambda$  the multiplicity of  $\Psi$  on  $\Omega$ . Let  $W$  be a relatively compact open set which is a Stein manifold and which satisfies  $\Omega \supset \bar{W} \supset W \supset \bar{\Delta}$ . By Cartan's Theorem A and compactness, there exist

$$\tilde{F}_1, \dots, \tilde{F}_q \in \Gamma(\Omega, \Psi_* \mathcal{O}_{\Omega'})$$

such that if  $\mathfrak{z} \in \bar{W}$ , then the germs  $(\tilde{F}_j)_{\mathfrak{z}}$  generate  $(\Psi_* \mathcal{O}_{\Omega'})_{\mathfrak{z}}^2$ . Thus, if  $H$  is the sheaf homomorphism  $\mathcal{O}^q \rightarrow \Psi_* \mathcal{O}_{\Omega'}$  given by  $H(\mathbf{f}_1, \dots, \mathbf{f}_q) = \sum \mathbf{f}_j (\tilde{F}_j)_{\mathfrak{z}}$  for all  $\mathbf{f}_j \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{z}}$  then

---

<sup>2</sup> By a result of Forster and Ramspott [4, Satz 2], we can take  $q = \lambda + [N/2]$ .

$$(1) \quad \mathcal{O}^q \xrightarrow{H} \Psi_* \mathcal{O}_{\Omega'} \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact over  $W$ .

We now need a lemma which is surely well known but for which we are unable to provide a reference. (Remarks of the referee have enabled us to abbreviate our discussion of this lemma).

**LEMMA 2.2.** *If  $\mathcal{S}_1 \xrightarrow{H} \mathcal{S}_2 \rightarrow 0$  is an exact sequence of locally free sheaves over a complex manifold  $M$ , then  $\ker H$  is locally free.*

*Proof.* The sheaves  $\mathcal{S}_1$  and  $\mathcal{S}_2$  are locally free and the question is local, so we can suppose  $\mathcal{S}_1 = \mathcal{O}^p$ ,  $\mathcal{S}_2 = \mathcal{O}^q$ . Since  $\ker H$  is coherent, it suffices to prove that for each  $z \in M$ , the stalk  $(\ker H)_z$  is a free  $\mathcal{O}_z$ -module. (See Lemma 1.2 of [7]). We have the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \ker H \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}^p \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}^q \longrightarrow 0$$

so we can invoke [2, I. 2.5, Proposition 5 and II. 5.2, Corollary 2] to conclude that  $(\ker H)_z$  is a projective  $\mathcal{O}_z$ -module. Since projective modules over local rings are free, we can conclude that  $(\ker H)_z$  is free as desired.

To continue with the proof of the theorem, we apply the lemma to the sequence

$$(2) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \ker H \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}^q \xrightarrow{H} \Psi_* \mathcal{O}_{\Omega'} \longrightarrow 0$$

over  $W$  obtained from (1), and we find that this is an exact sequence of locally free sheaves. Consequently [4, Th. VIII C 7], the sequence (2) splits, and in particular there is a sheaf isomorphism  $L$  of  $\Psi_* \mathcal{O}_{\Omega'}$  into  $\mathcal{O}^q$  such that  $H \circ L$  is the identity on  $\Psi_* \mathcal{O}_{\Omega'}$  and  $L \circ H$  is a projection of  $\mathcal{O}^q$  onto the range of  $L$ . Apply this to the spaces of sections over  $\bar{A}$  and pull the resulting statement back to  $\bar{A}'$  by way of the map  $\Psi$ . We find that there are exact sequences of  $\Psi^* \mathcal{O}(\bar{A})$ -module homomorphisms

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}(\bar{A}') \xrightarrow{L'} (\Psi^* \mathcal{O}(\bar{A}))^q$$

and

$$(\Psi^* \mathcal{O}(\bar{A}))^q \xrightarrow{H'} \mathcal{O}(\bar{A}') \longrightarrow 0.$$

Here  $H'(f_1 \circ \Psi, \dots, f_q \circ \Psi) = \sum F_j f_j \circ \Psi$  where  $F_j \in \mathcal{O}(\bar{A}')$  corresponds to the section  $\bar{F}_j$  of  $\Psi_* \mathcal{O}_{\Omega'}$ ,  $L' \circ H'$  projects  $\Psi^* \mathcal{O}(\bar{A})$  onto the range of  $L'$ , and  $H' \circ L'$  is the identity on  $\mathcal{O}(\bar{A}')$ . This establishes  $\mathcal{O}(\bar{A}')$  as a finitely generated, projective  $\Psi^* \mathcal{O}(\bar{A})$ -module.

To treat  $\mathcal{A}(A')$  and  $H^\infty(A')$ , note that since  $L$  is a sheaf isomorphism,  $L'$  extends to an isomorphism  $L''$  of  $\mathcal{O}(A')$  into  $(\Psi^* \mathcal{O}(A))^q$  and

that  $H'$  extends to a homomorphism  $H''$  of  $(\Psi^* \mathcal{O}(\Delta))^q$  to  $\mathcal{O}(\Delta')$ . The form of  $H$  shows that  $H''$  carries  $(\Psi^* \mathcal{A}(\Delta))^q$  into  $\mathcal{A}(\Delta')$  and  $(\Psi^* H^\infty(\Delta))^q$  into  $H^\infty(\Delta')$ . In fact  $H''$  carries  $(\Psi^* \mathcal{A}(\Delta))^q$  and  $(\Psi^* H^\infty(\Delta))^q$  onto  $\mathcal{A}(\Delta')$  and  $H^\infty(\Delta')$  respectively. As we noted at the beginning of the proof, if  $f \in \mathcal{A}(\Delta')$ , then  $f = \sum B_j f_j \circ \Psi$  for some  $f_j \in \mathcal{A}(\Delta)$  and some  $B_j \in \mathcal{O}(\bar{\Delta})$ . We have  $B_j = H'(\tilde{B}_j)$  for some  $\tilde{B}_j \in (\Psi^* \mathcal{O}(\bar{\Delta}))^q$ . On  $(\Psi^* \mathcal{A}(\Delta))^q$ ,  $H''$  acts as a  $\Psi^* \mathcal{A}(\Delta)$ -module homomorphism, so we have  $f = H''(\sum \tilde{B}_j f_j \circ \Psi)$ . The case that  $f$  lies in  $H^\infty(\Delta')$  may be treated in a similar way.

Also,  $L''$  carries  $\mathcal{A}(\Delta')$  into  $(\Psi^* \mathcal{A}(\Delta))^q$  and  $H^\infty(\Delta')$  into  $(\Psi^* H^\infty(\Delta))^q$ . If  $f \in \mathcal{A}(\Delta')$ , we write  $f = \sum B_j f_j \circ \Psi$  as above. Then  $L''f = \sum f_j \circ L''B_j$ . We have  $L''B_j \in (\Psi^* \mathcal{O}(\bar{\Delta}))^q \subset (\Psi^* \mathcal{A}(\Delta))^q$ . Thus  $L''f$  is in  $(\Psi^* \mathcal{A}(\Delta))^q$  as asserted. The  $H^\infty$  case follows in the same way.

The operator  $L'' \circ H''$  acts on  $(\Psi^* \mathcal{A}(\Delta))^q$  as a projection with range the range of  $L''$  on  $\mathcal{A}(\Delta')$ . To see this, note first that the range of  $L'' \circ H''$  is  $L''(\mathcal{A}(\Delta'))$ , for  $H''$  carries  $(\Psi^* \mathcal{A}(\Delta))^q$  onto  $\mathcal{A}(\Delta')$ . If  $f \in \mathcal{A}(\Delta')$ , then since  $H'' \circ L''$  is the identity, we find that  $L'' \circ H''(L''f) = L''f$ , so  $L'' \circ H''$  is a projection. Since  $L''$  takes  $\mathcal{A}(\Delta')$  isomorphically into  $(\Psi^* \mathcal{A}(\Delta))^q$ , we have proved that  $\mathcal{A}(\Delta')$  is a projective  $\Psi^* \mathcal{A}(\Delta)$ -module. In the same way, it follows that  $H^\infty(\Delta')$  is a projective  $\Psi^* H^\infty(\Delta)$ -module, and the proof of the theorem is concluded.

3. **Criteria for the freeness of  $\mathcal{A}(\Delta')$  over  $\Psi^* \mathcal{A}(\Delta)$ .** There are certain cases in which  $\mathcal{A}(\Delta')$  is necessarily free over  $\Psi^* \mathcal{A}(\Delta)$ . To introduce some of these we need to consider products. Suppose  $\Delta_1 \in \mathcal{K}_{N_1}$ ,  $\Delta_2 \in \mathcal{K}_{N_2}$ , and let  $\Phi_j \in \mathcal{M}(\Delta_j, U^{N_j})$ . If  $\Omega_j$  is a neighborhood of  $\bar{\Delta}_j$  which is mapped properly into the neighborhood  $W_j$  of  $\bar{U}^{N_j}$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ , then the map  $\Psi: \Omega_1 \times \Omega_2 \rightarrow W_1 \times W_2$  given by

$$\Psi(\mathfrak{z}_1, \mathfrak{z}_2) = (\Phi_1(\mathfrak{z}_1), \Phi_2(\mathfrak{z}_2)) \in W_1 \times W_2$$

is proper, and  $\Delta_1 \times \Delta_2 = \Psi^{-1}(U^{N_1+N_2})$ . Moreover,  $\Psi$  is a local homeomorphism at each point of  $\Psi^{-1}(U^{N_1+N_2})$ . Thus  $\Delta_1 \times \Delta_2 \in \mathcal{K}_{N_1+N_2}$ , and  $\Psi \in \mathcal{M}(\Delta_1 \times \Delta_2, U^{N_1+N_2})$ . Similarly, if we are given  $\Delta'_1$  and  $\Delta'_2$  in  $\mathcal{K}_{N_1}$  and  $\mathcal{K}_{N_2}$  respectively and if  $\Phi_j \in \mathcal{M}(\Delta'_j, \Delta_j)$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ , then the map  $\Phi_1 \times \Phi_2$  from  $\Delta'_1 \times \Delta'_2$  to  $\Delta_1 \times \Delta_2$  defined by  $\Phi_1 \times \Phi_2(\mathfrak{z}_1, \mathfrak{z}_2) = (\Phi_1(\mathfrak{z}_1), \Phi_2(\mathfrak{z}_2))$  is an element of  $\mathcal{M}(\Delta'_1 \times \Delta'_2, \Delta_1 \times \Delta_2)$ . If  $\Phi_j$  has multiplicity  $\lambda_j$ , then  $\Phi_1 \times \Phi_2$  has multiplicity  $\lambda_1 \lambda_2$ .

**THEOREM 3.1.** *If for  $j = 1, 2$ ,  $\Delta_j, \Delta'_j \in \mathcal{K}_{N_j}$ , if  $\Phi_j \in \mathcal{M}(\Delta'_j, \Delta_j)$  is of multiplicity  $\lambda_j$ , and if  $\mathcal{A}(\Delta'_j)$  is free of rank  $\lambda_j$  over  $\Psi^* \mathcal{A}(\Delta_j)$ , then  $\mathcal{A}(\Delta'_1 \times \Delta'_2)$  is free of rank  $\lambda_1 \lambda_2$  over  $(\Phi_1 \times \Phi_2)^* \mathcal{A}(\Delta_1 \times \Delta_2)$ .*

Before giving the proof of this theorem, let us mention that by Theorem 2.3 of [7], if  $\Phi \in \mathcal{M}(U^N, U^N)$ , then in an obvious extension

of the above notation,  $\Phi = \varphi_1 \times \cdots \times \varphi_N$  where each  $\varphi_j \in \mathcal{M}(U, U)$  is a finite Blaschke product.

*Proof.* Let  $\{F_1^{(1)}, \dots, F_{\lambda_1}^{(1)}\}$  be a free basis for  $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{A}'_1)$  over  $\Phi_1^* \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{A}_1)$  and let  $\{F_1^{(2)}, \dots, F_{\lambda_2}^{(2)}\}$  be one for  $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{A}'_2)$  over  $\Phi_2^* \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{A}_2)$ . We assert that the set  $\{F_j^{(1)} F_k^{(2)}: 1 \leq j \leq \lambda_1, 1 \leq k \leq \lambda_2\}$  is a free basis for  $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{A}'_1 \times \mathcal{A}'_2)$  over  $(\Phi_1 \times \Phi_2)^* \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{A}_1 \times \mathcal{A}_2)$ .

Define  $E_1(\mathfrak{z})$  for  $\mathfrak{z} \in \bar{\mathcal{A}}'_1$  to be the set  $\Phi_1^{-1}(\Phi_1(\mathfrak{z}))$ , and define  $E_2(\mathfrak{z})$  for  $\mathfrak{z} \in \bar{\mathcal{A}}'_2$  in an analogous way. In general  $E_1(\mathfrak{z})$  will consist of  $\lambda_1$  points. Since  $\{F_k^{(1)}\}$  is a free basis for  $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{A}'_1)$  over  $\Phi_1^* \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{A}_1)$ , each  $f \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{A}'_1)$  has a unique expression in the form

$$f(\mathfrak{z}) = F_1^{(1)}(\mathfrak{z}) f_1(\Phi_1(\mathfrak{z})) + \cdots + F_{\lambda_1}^{(1)}(\mathfrak{z}) f_{\lambda_1}(\Phi_1(\mathfrak{z}))$$

with  $f_1, \dots, f_{\lambda_1} \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{A}_1)$ . The functions  $f_j \circ \Phi_1$  can be computed explicitly by Cramer's rule:  $f_j(\Phi_1(\mathfrak{z})) = D_j(\mathfrak{z}) D^{-1}(\mathfrak{z})$  where, for  $\mathfrak{z} \in \bar{\mathcal{A}}'$  such that  $E_1(\mathfrak{z})$  consists of  $\lambda_1$  distinct points, say  $E_1(\mathfrak{z}) = \{\mathfrak{z}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{z}_{\lambda_1}\}$ , we have

$$D(\mathfrak{z}) = \det (F_j^{(1)}(\mathfrak{z}_k))_{1 \leq j, k \leq \lambda_1},$$

and  $D_j(\mathfrak{z})$  is obtained from  $D(\mathfrak{z})$  by replacing the  $j^{\text{th}}$  column by the column vector  $(f(\mathfrak{z}_1), \dots, f(\mathfrak{z}_{\lambda_1}))$ .

If we are given an element  $G \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{A}'_1 \times \mathcal{A}'_2)$ , then for fixed  $w \in \bar{\mathcal{A}}'_2$ , the preceding remarks may be applied to the element  $G(\cdot, w)$  of  $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{A}'_1)$ :

$$G(\mathfrak{z}, w) = \sum_{j=1}^{\lambda_1} F_j^{(1)}(\mathfrak{z}) f_j(\Phi_1(\mathfrak{z}), w)$$

where, for fixed  $w$ ,  $f_j(\cdot, w) \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{A}_1)$ . The expression for  $f_j$  as a certain quotient of determinants shows that  $f_j(\Phi_1(\mathfrak{z}), w)$  is in fact an element of  $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{A}'_1 \times \mathcal{A}'_2)$ . Thus, for fixed  $\mathfrak{z}$ , we can write

$$f_j(\Phi_1(\mathfrak{z}), w) = \sum_{k=1}^{\lambda_2} F_k^{(2)}(w) g_{j,k}(\mathfrak{z}, \Phi_2(w)).$$

Again, we can compute the functions  $g_{j,k}$  explicitly: If  $E_2(w) = \{w_1, \dots, w_{\lambda_2}\}$ , then  $g_{j,k}(\mathfrak{z}, \Phi_2(w)) = \tilde{D}_k(\mathfrak{z}, \Phi_2(w)) \tilde{D}(\mathfrak{z}, \Phi_2(w))^{-1}$  where, as before,

$$\tilde{D}(\mathfrak{z}, \Phi_2(w)) = \det (F_k^{(2)}(w_m))_{1 \leq k, m \leq \lambda_2}$$

and  $\tilde{D}_k(\mathfrak{z}, \Phi_2(w))$  is obtained by replacing the  $k^{\text{th}}$  column of  $\tilde{D}$  by the column vector  $(f_j(\Phi_1(\mathfrak{z}), w_1), \dots, f_j(\Phi_1(\mathfrak{z}), w_{\lambda_2}))$ . This representation for  $g_{j,k}$  shows that for fixed  $w$ ,  $g_{j,k}(\mathfrak{z}, \Phi_2(w))$  is, as a function of  $\mathfrak{z}$ , constant on the set  $E_1(\mathfrak{z})$ . Thus, we can write  $g_{j,k}(\mathfrak{z}, \Phi_2(w)) = h_{j,k}(\Phi_1(\mathfrak{z}), \Phi_2(w))$  for some suitably chosen  $h_{j,k} \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{A}_1 \times \mathcal{A}_2)$ . We now have the representation

$$G(\mathfrak{z}, w) = \sum F_j^{(1)}(\mathfrak{z}) F_k^{(2)}(w) h_{j,k}(\Phi_1(\mathfrak{z}), \Phi_2(w))$$

for  $G$ . Thus,  $\{F_j^{(1)}F_k^{(2)}\}$  is a set of generators for  $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{A}'_1 \times \mathcal{A}'_2)$  over  $(\Phi_1 \times \Phi_2)^* \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{A}_1 \times \mathcal{A}_2)$ .

That  $F_j^{(1)}F_k^{(2)}$  are free generators is now clear: If there were a nontrivial relation

$$0 = \sum F_j^{(1)}(\mathfrak{z})F_k^{(2)}(w)h_{j,k}(\Phi_1(\mathfrak{z}), \Phi_2(w)) .$$

Then for some fixed choice of  $w$  we could regard this as a nontrivial relation among the functions  $F_1^{(1)}, \dots, F_{\lambda_1}^{(1)}$ . But since  $\{F_j^{(1)}\}$  is a free basis for  $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{A}'_1)$ , no such relation can exist, and the theorem is proved.

It is clear that a similar result obtains for products of more than two elements of  $\mathcal{H}_N$  and that an analogous theorem holds for bounded functions.

We saw in [7] that if  $\Delta \in \mathcal{H}_N$  and  $\Phi \in \mathcal{M}(\Delta, U^N)$ , then  $\mathcal{A}(\Delta)$  is a free module over  $\Phi^* \mathcal{A}(U^N)$ . The essential ingredient of this proof is the fact that for some neighborhood  $\Omega$  of  $\bar{\Delta}$  and some neighborhood  $W$  of  $U^N$ , the sheaf  $\Phi_* \mathcal{O}_\Omega$  is a free sheaf over  $W$ . The relation between the freeness of  $\mathcal{A}(\Delta)$  over  $\Phi^* \mathcal{A}(U^N)$  and the freeness of the sheaf  $\Phi_* \mathcal{O}_\Omega$  is one which persists in more general settings.

**THEOREM 3.2.** *If  $\Delta, \Delta' \in \mathcal{H}_N$ , if  $\Phi \in \mathcal{M}(\Delta', \Delta)$ , and if  $\mathcal{A}(\Delta')$  is free of rank  $\lambda$ ,  $\lambda$  the multiplicity of  $\Phi$ , over  $\Phi^* \mathcal{A}(\Delta)$ , then for some neighborhood  $\Omega$  of  $\bar{\Delta}'$  on which  $\Phi$  is defined, the sheaf  $\Phi_* \mathcal{O}_\Omega$  is free over  $\mathcal{O}_{\Phi(\Omega)}$ .*

Before proving the theorem, a simple preliminary observation is needed.

**LEMMA 3.3.** *If  $\Delta, \Delta' \in \mathcal{H}_N$ , if  $\Phi \in \mathcal{M}(\Delta', \Delta)$ , and if  $\mathcal{A}(\Delta')$  is free over  $\Phi^* \mathcal{A}(\Delta)$ , then there exists a set of free generators for  $\mathcal{A}(\Delta')$  which consists of functions holomorphic on a neighborhood of  $\bar{\Delta}'$ .*

*Proof.* By hypothesis there exists an isomorphism

$$L: \Phi^* \mathcal{A}(\Delta)^q \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(\Delta');$$

it is of the form

$$L(f_1 \circ \Phi, \dots, f_q \circ \Phi) = \sum_{j=1}^q F_j f_j \circ \Phi$$

for some fixed elements  $F_1, \dots, F_q \in \mathcal{A}(\Delta')$ . The operator  $L$  is continuous and so it has a continuous inverse  $L^{-1}$ . If  $S: \Phi^* \mathcal{A}(\Delta)^q \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(\Delta')$  is near  $L$  in the norm topology of  $\mathcal{L}(\Phi^* \mathcal{A}(\Delta)^q, \mathcal{A}(\Delta'))$ ,<sup>3</sup> then  $S \circ L^{-1}$

<sup>3</sup> We use  $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$  to denote the continuous linear operators from the Banach space  $X$  to the Banach space  $Y$ .



is near the identity of  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A}(\Delta'), \mathcal{A}(\Delta'))$  and so is invertible. Thus, if  $S$  is near  $L$ ,  $S$  is also an isomorphism. Therefore if we choose functions  $G_1, \dots, G_q$  holomorphic on a neighborhood of  $\bar{\Delta}'$  so that  $G_j$  is uniformly near  $F_j$  on  $\bar{\Delta}'$  and if we define

$$S: \Phi^* \mathcal{A}(\Delta)^q \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(\Delta')$$

by  $S(f_1 \circ \Phi, \dots, f_q \circ \Phi) = \Sigma G_j f_j \circ \Phi$ , then  $S$  is a  $\Phi^* \mathcal{A}(\Delta)$ -module isomorphism, i.e.,  $\{G_1, \dots, G_q\}$  is a free basis for  $\mathcal{A}(\Delta')$  over  $\Phi^* \mathcal{A}(\Delta)$ . That the desired approximating functions exist is contained in [7, Corollary I. 6].

*Proof of Theorem 3.4.* Let  $F_1, \dots, F_\lambda \in \mathcal{O}(\bar{\Delta}')$  be a free basis for  $\mathcal{A}(\Delta')$  over  $\Phi^* \mathcal{A}(\Delta)$ , and let  $\Omega$  be a neighborhood of  $\bar{\Delta}$  such that  $F_1, \dots, F_\lambda$  are all holomorphic in  $\Omega' = \Phi^{-1}(\Omega)$ . We have a homomorphism  $\mathcal{F}: \mathcal{O}_\Delta^\lambda \rightarrow \Phi_* \mathcal{O}_{\Omega'}$ , defined by  $\mathcal{F}(f_1, \dots, f_\lambda) = \Sigma (\tilde{F}_j)_\mathfrak{z} f_j$  for all

$$(f_1, \dots, f_\lambda) \in \mathcal{O}_\Delta^\lambda, \mathfrak{z} \in \Omega'$$

Here  $\tilde{F}_j$  is the section of  $\Phi_* \mathcal{O}_{\Omega'}$  corresponding to  $F_j$ , and  $(\tilde{F}_j)_\mathfrak{z}$  is its germ at  $\mathfrak{z}$ . We shall show that  $\mathcal{F}$  is a sheaf isomorphism at least when we restrict attention to some, possibly smaller neighborhood of  $\bar{\Delta}$ .

Consider a point  $\mathfrak{z} \in \bar{\Delta}$ . We shall show that the stalk map given by  $\mathcal{F}$  is an isomorphism in the stalk over  $\mathfrak{z}$ . Since  $\Phi_* \mathcal{O}_{\Omega'}$  is locally free of rank  $\lambda$ , there is an isomorphism  $L: \mathcal{O}_\Delta^\lambda \rightarrow (\Phi_* \mathcal{O}_{\Omega'})_\mathfrak{z}$ . Denote by  $e_j$  the element  $(0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$  (1 in the  $j^{\text{th}}$  place) of  $\mathcal{O}_\Delta^\lambda$ , and let  $g_j \in (\Phi_* \mathcal{O}_{\Omega'})_\mathfrak{z}$  be the image of  $e_j$  under  $L$ . There is a neighborhood  $W$  of  $\mathfrak{z}$  in which all the germs  $g_j$  can be represented by sections of  $\Phi_* \mathcal{O}_{\Omega'}$ ; call these sections  $g_j$ . Thus,  $g_j \in \mathcal{O}(\Phi^{-1}(W))$ . If  $V \subset W$  is a small neighborhood of  $\mathfrak{z}$ , then on  $(\Phi^{-1}(V))^-$ , the functions  $g_j$  will admit uniform approximation by functions  $G_j \in \mathcal{O}(\bar{\Delta})$ . We can choose the approximating functions  $G_j$  so that in the expression

$$G_j = \Sigma F_k h_k^{(j)} \circ \Phi \quad (h_k^{(j)} \in \mathcal{A}(\Delta))$$

the functions  $h_k^{(j)}$  lie in  $\mathcal{O}(\bar{\Delta})$ . The  $G_j$  give sections  $\tilde{G}_j$  of  $\Phi_* \mathcal{O}_{\Omega'}$  which lie in the range of  $\mathcal{F}$ . Moreover, for any choice of  $G_j$ , we obtain a homomorphism  $L': \mathcal{O}_\Delta^\lambda \rightarrow (\Phi_* \mathcal{O}_{\Omega'})_\mathfrak{z}$  by setting  $L'(e_j) = (\tilde{G}_j)_\mathfrak{z}$ . If the functions  $G_j$  approximate the functions  $g_j$  sufficiently well, the  $L'$  so obtained will be an isomorphism since  $L$  is. Fix a choice of the  $G_j$  so that  $L'$  is an isomorphism.

Using  $L'$ , we can see that  $\mathcal{F}$  is onto (in the stalk over  $\mathfrak{z}$ ), for the range of  $\mathcal{F}$  contains  $\{(\tilde{G}_1)_\mathfrak{z}, \dots, (\tilde{G}_\lambda)_\mathfrak{z}\}$  and so it contains the module generated by this set. Since  $L'$  is onto, it follows that this module is the whole of  $(\Phi_* \mathcal{O}_{\Omega'})_\mathfrak{z}$ .

The fact that  $\mathcal{F}$  is one-to-one in the stalk over  $\mathfrak{z}$  follows from

Cartan's Theorem A. If  $\mathcal{F}(f_1, \dots, f_\lambda) = 0, (f_1, \dots, f_\lambda) \in \mathcal{O}_z^\lambda$ , then  $\mathcal{R}$ , the sheaf of relations among  $\tilde{F}_1, \dots, \tilde{F}_\lambda$  is nontrivial over  $\bar{D}$ . Thus by Cartan's Theorem A, there is a nontrivial section of  $\mathcal{R}$  over  $\bar{D}$ , i.e., there exist  $h_1, \dots, h_\lambda \in \mathcal{O}(\bar{D})$  not all of which are the zero function, such that  $\sum h_j \tilde{F}_j = 0$ , i.e.,  $\sum F_j h_j \circ \Phi = 0$ . This is impossible since  $\{F_1, \dots, F_\lambda\}$  is a free basis for  $\mathcal{A}(\Delta')$  over  $\Phi^* \mathcal{A}(\Delta)$ .

Thus, for all  $z \in \Delta$ ,  $\mathcal{F}$  carries  $\mathcal{O}_z^\lambda$  isomorphically onto  $(\Phi^* \mathcal{O}_{\Delta'})_z$ . Consequently, the same assertion holds for all  $z$  in a neighborhood of  $\bar{D}$ , and the theorem is proved.

*Note added in proof.* My colleague S.J. Sidney has observed that with a somewhat more careful use of the Borsuk-Ulam theorem, it is possible to show that the example of Section I is valid in dimensions two and three as well as in higher dimensions. Consider, in the notation of that section, a  $\Delta \in \mathcal{K}_N, N \geq 2$  with  $P_N(\mathbb{R}) \subset \Delta \subset V$ , and let  $\Delta' = \eta^{-1}(\Delta)$ . Assume that  $F$  and  $G$  generate  $A(\Delta')$  as a module over  $\eta^* \mathcal{A}(\Delta)$ . If  $f$  is any element of  $\mathcal{A}(\Delta')$ , we can write  $f = f_e + f_o$ ,  $f_e, f_o \in \mathcal{A}(\Delta')$  where  $f_e$  is *even* in the sense that it is constant on the fiber  $\eta^{-1}(z), z \in \Delta$ , and  $f_o$  is *odd* in that if  $\eta^{-1}(z) = \{z', z''\}$ , then  $f_o(z') = -f_o(z'')$ . To obtain such a decomposition, write  $f_e(z) = \frac{1}{2}(f(z') + f(z''))$  where  $\eta^{-1}(\eta(z)) = \{z', z''\}$ , and define  $f_o$  to be  $f - f_e$ . It is clear that  $f_e$  is, in fact, even, and that  $f_o$  is odd. It is easily verified that this decomposition of  $f$  into a sum of even and odd parts is unique.

By hypothesis, if  $h \in \mathcal{A}(\Delta')$ , we have  $h = fF + gG$  for some choice of  $f, g \in \eta^* \mathcal{A}(\Delta)$ . The functions  $f$  and  $g$  are both even, and it follows that if  $h = h_e + h_o$ , then

$$h_e = fF_0 + gG_e$$

and

$$h_o = fF_0 + gG_o.$$

By suitable choice of  $h \in \mathcal{A}(\Delta')$ , we can arrange that the pair  $(h_e(z), h_o(z))$  be any point of  $C^2$ , so it follows that the

determinant  $\delta(z) = \begin{vmatrix} F_e(z) & G_e(z) \\ F_o(z) & G_o(z) \end{vmatrix}$  is zero for no choice of  $z$ .

However,  $\delta$  is an odd function. Thus, continuing with the notation of Section I,  $\delta \circ \xi$  is a  $C$ -valued function on  $S^N$  which is zero-free and odd in that if  $p$  and  $q$  are antipodal points in  $S^N$ , then  $\delta \circ \xi(p) = -\delta \circ \xi(q)$ . Since  $C$  is topologically  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and since  $N \geq 2$ , the Borsuk-Ulam theorem implies that such an odd function has a zero, and the desired contradiction has been reached.

## REFERENCES

1. N. Alling, *Extensions of meromorphic function rings over noncompact Riemann surfaces*, I, Math. Zeit. **89** (1965), 273-299.
2. N. Bourbaki, *Éléments de Mathématique*, Algèbre Communtative, Hermann, 1961, Paris.
3. O. Forster, *Some results on parallelizable Stein manifolds*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **73** (1967), 711-716.
4. O. Forster and K.J. Ramspott, *Über die Darstellung analytischer Mengen*, Sb. Bayer. Akad. Wiss., Math.-Nat. Kl. (1963), 89-99.
5. R. C. Gunning and H. Rossi, *Analytic functions of several complex variables*, Prentice-Hall, Inc., Englewood Cliffs, 1965.
6. P. Hilton and S. Wylie, *Homology theory*, Cambridge University Press, London and New York, 1960.
7. W. Rudin and E.L. Stout, *Modules over polydisc algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. April 1969.
8. J.-P. Serre, *Exposé XX Séminaire H. Cartan. 1951-1952*. Reprinted by W.A. Benjamin, New York and Amsterdam, 1967.

Received June 13, 1968. The author is a Research Associate of the Office of Naval Research.

YALE UNIVERSITY

