

RANK PRESERVERS OF SKEW-SYMMETRIC MATRICES

M. J. S. LIM

It is possible to study the structure of rank preservers on n -square skew-symmetric matrices over an algebraically closed field F by considering instead the linear transformations on the second Grassmann Product Space $\wedge^2 \mathcal{U}$ (\mathcal{U} an n -dimensional vector space) over F into itself, which preserve the irreducible lengths of the products. In this paper, it is shown that preservers of irreducible length 2 are also preservers of all irreducible lengths of the products. Correspondingly, rank 4 preservers are rank $2k$ preservers for all positive integer values of k . The structure of the preservers in each case is deduced from the fact that these preservers are in particular irreducible length 1 and rank 2 preservers respectively, whose structures are known.

A nonzero vector in $\wedge^2 \mathcal{U}$ is said to have *irreducible length* k if it can be written as a sum of k and *not less than* k pure (decomposable) nonzero products in $\wedge^2 \mathcal{U}$. The set of such products is denoted by \mathcal{L}_k and $z \in \mathcal{L}_k$ if and only if $\mathcal{L}(z) = k$. A linear transformation \mathcal{T} of $\wedge^2 \mathcal{U}$ into itself is an \mathcal{L} - k preserver if and only if $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{L}_k) \subseteq \mathcal{L}_k$.

A linear transformation \mathcal{S} which takes the set of rank $2k$ n -square skew-symmetric matrices into itself is a ρ - $2k$ preserver.

In [7], it is shown that \mathcal{L}_k is isomorphic to the set of all rank $2k$ n -square skew-symmetric matrices. If this isomorphism is denoted by φ , then $\mathcal{S} = \varphi \mathcal{T} \varphi^{-1}$ is a ρ - $2k$ preserver if and only if \mathcal{T} is a \mathcal{L} - k preserver.

To obtain the results of this paper, much use is made of \mathcal{L} -2 subspaces of $\wedge^2 \mathcal{U}$. An \mathcal{L} - k subspace of $\wedge^2 \mathcal{U}$ is a vector subspace whose nonzero members are in \mathcal{L}_k . An \mathcal{L} -2 subspace H is called a $(1, 1)$ -type subspace if there exist fixed nonzero vectors $x \neq y$ such that each nonzero $f \in H$ can be written

$$f = x \wedge x_f + y \wedge y_f.$$

1. Intersection of $(1, 1)$ -type subspaces.

LEMMA 1. *If V_1, V_2 are distinct $(1, 1)$ -type subspaces of dimension ≥ 2 and $\dim V_1 \cap V_2 \geq 2$, then the 2-dimensional subspaces of \mathcal{U} determined by V_1, V_2 are equal.*

Proof. Let f_1, f_2 be independent in $V_1 \cap V_2$. Then $f_1 = x \wedge x_1 + y \wedge y_1$,

$f_2 = x \wedge x_2 + y \wedge y_2$ in V_1 ; and $f_1 = u \wedge u_1 + v \wedge v_1$, $f_2 = u \wedge u_2 + v \wedge v_2$ in V_2 . Now $\langle x, y \rangle \subset \langle u, u_1, v, v_1 \rangle \cap \langle u, u_2, v, v_2 \rangle$ which has dimension 2 or 3 (Theorem 5 of [2], and Lemma 5 of [3]), and hence $\dim \langle x, y \rangle \cap \langle u, v \rangle \leq 1$. Without loss of generality, let x be in this intersection; in fact, we can take $x = u$; and $\langle u_1, v, v_1 \rangle = \langle x_1, y, y_1 \rangle$ and $\langle u_2, v, v_2 \rangle = \langle x_2, y, y_2 \rangle$ (Lemma 9 of [2]). Since $x \wedge y \wedge f_i = 0$, $i = 1, 2$, then $y \in \langle v, v_1 \rangle$ and $y \in \langle v, v_2 \rangle$ (proof of Lemma 7 in [3]). If $\langle v, v_2 \rangle = \langle v, v_1 \rangle$, then some linear combination of f_1 and f_2 has irreducible length at most one, which is impossible since f_1, f_2 are independent in \mathcal{L} -2 subspaces. Hence $\langle y \rangle = \langle v, v_1 \rangle \cap \langle v, v_2 \rangle$, and $\langle y \rangle = \langle v \rangle$, which implies $\langle x, y \rangle = \langle u, v \rangle$.

2. The \mathcal{L} -2 preservers. The structure of \mathcal{L} -1 preservers is known. In fact, in [8], it is shown that if \mathcal{T} is an \mathcal{L} -1 preserver, then \mathcal{T} is a compound (i. e., if $x \wedge y \in \mathcal{L}_1$, then there exists a nonsingular matrix A such that $\mathcal{T}(x \wedge y) = Ax \wedge Ay$), except when $\dim \mathcal{U} = 4$, in which case it may possibly be the composite of a compound and a linear transformation induced by a correlation of the 2-dimensional subspaces of \mathcal{U} . Thus if \mathcal{T} is an \mathcal{L} -1 preserver, it is also an \mathcal{L} - k preserver for all k .

We shall show that if \mathcal{T} is an \mathcal{L} -2 preserver, then it is also an \mathcal{L} -1 preserver. Since we shall make use of \mathcal{L} -2 subspaces and these are varied (see [3]), it will be necessary to consider several cases.

2a. $\dim \mathcal{U} \geq 7$. In [3], it is shown that if $\dim \mathcal{U} = n \geq 7$, then the maximal \mathcal{L} -2 subspaces have dimension $(n-3)$ and are all (1, 1)-type subspaces.

LEMMA 2. Let \mathcal{T} be an \mathcal{L} -2 preserver, $\dim \mathcal{U} \geq 7$. Then $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{L}_1) \subset \mathcal{L}_1 \cup \mathcal{L}_2 \cup \{0\}$.

Proof. Let $u \wedge v \in \mathcal{L}_1$. Then $u \wedge v$ is expressible as $u \wedge (\alpha x_1 - x_2)$ where $\{u, x_1, x_2\}$ is independent in \mathcal{U} and $0 \neq \alpha \in F$, $\alpha \neq 1$. Now $\{u, x_1, x_2\}$ can be extended to a set $\{u, x_1, \dots, x_6\}$ of seven independent vectors in \mathcal{U} . Then the following 2 subspaces:

$$V_1 = \langle u \wedge x_1 + v \wedge x_4, u \wedge x_5 + v \wedge x_6, u \wedge x_3 + v \wedge x_4 \rangle,$$

$$V_2 = \langle u \wedge x_2 + v \wedge \alpha x_4, u \wedge x_5 + v \wedge x_6, u \wedge x_3 + v \wedge x_4 \rangle$$

are both \mathcal{L} -2 subspaces and $\dim V_1 \cap V_2 = 2$. Moreover

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}(u \wedge v) &= \mathcal{T}(u \wedge \alpha x_1 - x_2) \\ &= \mathcal{T}(u \wedge \alpha x_1 + \alpha v \wedge x_4 - u \wedge x_2 - \alpha v \wedge x_4) \\ &= \mathcal{T}(u \wedge \alpha x_1 + \alpha v \wedge x_4) - \mathcal{T}(u \wedge x_2 + \alpha v \wedge x_4). \end{aligned}$$

The first vector is in $\mathcal{T}(V_1)$, the second in $\mathcal{T}(V_2)$. Now V_1, V_2 can be extended to $(n-3)$ -dimensional \mathcal{L} -2 subspaces (necessarily of $(1, 1)$ -type). Hence $\mathcal{T}(V_1), \mathcal{T}(V_2)$ are $(1, 1)$ -type subspaces of dimension $(n-3)$ since \mathcal{T} is an \mathcal{L} -2 preserver, and their intersection has dimension at least two. Hence the 2-dimensional subspaces (of \mathcal{U}) determined by $\mathcal{T}(V_1)$ and $\mathcal{T}(V_2)$ are equal, implying that $\mathcal{T}(u \wedge v)$ has irreducible length ≤ 2 .

THEOREM 1. *Let $\dim \mathcal{U} = n \geq 7$. Then \mathcal{T} is an \mathcal{L} -2 preserver if and only if \mathcal{T} is an \mathcal{L} -1 preserver, and \mathcal{T} is a compound. Moreover, $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{L}_k) \subseteq \mathcal{L}_k$ for all k .*

Proof. Suppose \mathcal{T} is an \mathcal{L} -2 preserver. If $f \in \mathcal{L}_1$ and $\mathcal{T}(f) = 0$, then there exists $g \in \mathcal{L}_1$ such that $\mathcal{L}(f + g) = 2$ (use Theorem 7 of [2]). Then $\mathcal{T}(f + g) = \mathcal{T}(g) \in \mathcal{L}_2$. Hence it is sufficient to show $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{L}_1)$ does not intersect \mathcal{L}_2 .

Suppose $x_1 \wedge x_n \in \mathcal{L}_1$ and $\mathcal{T}(x_1 \wedge x_n) \in \mathcal{L}_2$. Consider the subspace V generated by $\{z_1 = x_1 \wedge x_n, z_i = x_1 \wedge x_{i+1} + x_2 \wedge x_{i+2}\}, 2 \leq i \leq n-2$, where $\mathcal{U} = \langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle$. Any linear combination $z = \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} \alpha_i z_i$ has irreducible length 2 except when $\alpha_2 = \dots = \alpha_{n-2} = 0$, in which case $z = \alpha_1 z_1$ and $\mathcal{T}(\alpha_1 z_1)$ has irreducible length 2. Hence $\mathcal{T}(V)$ is an \mathcal{L} -2 subspace of dimension $(n-2)$, which contradicts the fact that the maximal \mathcal{L} -2 subspaces have dimension $(n-3)$. Hence $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{L}_1) \subseteq \mathcal{L}_1$. The converse is easy to see (cf. beginning of § 2).

2b. $\dim \mathcal{U} = 4, 5$. By Theorem 7 of [2], it is clear that $\mathcal{L}_k, k \geq 3$, is trivial when $\dim \mathcal{U} \leq 5$. The following lemma is immediate.

LEMMA 3. *Let $\dim \mathcal{U} \leq 5, \mathcal{T}$ an \mathcal{L} -2 preserver. Then $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{L}_1) \subseteq \mathcal{L}_1 \cup \mathcal{L}_2 \cup \{0\}$.*

THEOREM 2. *Let $\dim \mathcal{U} = 4$. Then \mathcal{T} is an \mathcal{L} -2 preserver if and only if \mathcal{T} is an \mathcal{L} -1 preserver.*

Proof. Suppose \mathcal{T} is an \mathcal{L} -2 preserver. Suppose $x_1 \wedge x_2 \in \mathcal{L}_1$ and $\mathcal{T}(x_1 \wedge x_2) = 0$. Extend $\{x_1, x_2\}$ to a basis $\{x_1, \dots, x_4\}$ of \mathcal{U} . Then $x_1 \wedge x_2 + x_3 \wedge x_4$ has irreducible length 2 and hence

$$\mathcal{T}(x_1 \wedge x_2 + x_3 \wedge x_4) = \mathcal{T}(x_3 \wedge x_4).$$

has irreducible length 2. Hence the above and Lemma 3 imply it is sufficient to show only that $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{L}_1) \cap \mathcal{L}_2$.

Suppose $\mathcal{T}(x_1 \wedge x_3)$ has irreducible length 2 for $x_1 \wedge x_3 \in \mathcal{L}_1$. Consider the subspace V generated by the products $z_1 = x_1 \wedge x_3$;

$$z_2 = x_1 \wedge x_2 + x_3 \wedge x_4 \text{ where } \mathcal{U} = \langle x_1, \dots, x_4 \rangle.$$

Then any linear combination $z = \alpha z_1 + \beta z_2$ has irreducible length 2 unless $\beta = 0$, in which case $\mathcal{F}(z) = \mathcal{F}(\alpha z_1)$ which has irreducible length 2 by assumption. Hence $\mathcal{F}(V)$ is an \mathcal{L} -2 subspace of dimension 2. But this contradicts the fact that the \mathcal{L} -2 subspaces have dimension one and no more (Theorem 10 of [2]). The result follows. The converse is easy to see.

THEOREM 3. *Let $\dim \mathcal{U} = 5$. Then \mathcal{F} is an \mathcal{L} -2 preserver if and only if \mathcal{F} is an \mathcal{L} -1 preserver.*

Proof. As in the proof of Theorem 2, it is sufficient to show $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{L}_1) \not\subseteq \mathcal{L}_2$. Let $\mathcal{U} = \langle u_1, \dots, u_5 \rangle$. Suppose $u_1 \wedge u_5 \in \mathcal{L}_1$ and $\mathcal{F}(u_1 \wedge u_5) \in \mathcal{L}_2$. Then consider the subspace V generated by the products

$$\begin{aligned} z_1 &= u_1 \wedge u_5, \\ z_2 &= u_1 \wedge u_4 + u_2 \wedge u_3, \\ z_3 &= u_1 \wedge u_3 + u_2 \wedge u_5, \\ z_4 &= u_2 \wedge u_4 + u_3 \wedge u_5. \end{aligned}$$

Then $z = \sum_{i=1}^4 \alpha_i z_i$ has irreducible length 2 except when $\alpha_2 = 0 = \alpha_3 = \alpha_4$, in which case $z = \alpha_1 z_1$ and $\mathcal{F}(\alpha_1 z_1) \in \mathcal{L}_2$. Hence $\mathcal{F}(V)$ is an \mathcal{L} -2 subspace of dimension 4. But this contradicts the fact that the maximal \mathcal{L} -2 subspaces have dimension 3 (see Theorem 1 of [3]).

2c. $\dim \mathcal{U} = 6$. The following lemma is clear from Theorem 7 of [2].

LEMMA 4. *Let $\dim \mathcal{U} = 6$, \mathcal{F} an \mathcal{L} -2 preserver. Then*

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{L}_1) \subset \left\{ \bigcup_{i=1}^3 \mathcal{L}_1 \right\} \cup \{0\}.$$

It is thus necessary to consider also the \mathcal{L} -3 subspaces.

If $z \in \mathcal{L}_k$, then we can associate a unique $2k$ -dimensional subspace $[z]$ of \mathcal{U} with z (Theorem 5 of [2]).

LEMMA 5. *Let $z \in \mathcal{L}_k$ and $x_1 \in [z]$. Then there is a representation $z = x_1 \wedge u_2 + u_3 \wedge u_4 + \dots + u_{2k-1} \wedge u_{2k}$ where $\langle u_2, \dots, u_{2k} \rangle = [z] - \langle x_1 \rangle$.*

Proof. Let x_1 be extended to a basis $\{x_1, \dots, x_{2k}\}$ of $[z]$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} z &= \sum \alpha_{ij} x_i \wedge x_j \quad (1 \leq i < j \leq 2k) \\ &= x_1 \wedge \left(\sum_{j=2}^{2k} \alpha_{1j} x_j \right) + \sum \alpha_{ij} x_i \wedge x_j \quad (2 \leq i < j \leq 2k). \end{aligned}$$

By Corollary 8 of [2] and the fact that $\mathcal{L}(z) = k$, the second term

in the expression of z has irreducible length $(k-1)$. The result follows.

THEOREM 4. *Let $\dim \mathcal{U} = 6$. H an \mathcal{L} -3 subspace. Then $\dim H = 1$.*

Proof. If $u_1 \in \mathcal{U}$ and f is any nonzero member of H , then $u_1 \in [f]$. Hence f can be represented $f = u_1 \wedge u + y$, where $u \in \mathcal{U}$ and $y \in \mathcal{L}_2$, $[y] \subset \mathcal{U} - \langle u_1 \rangle$; (Lemma 5). This latter subspace has dimension 5. Thus, if f_1, f_2 are any 2 nonzero members of H , then $f_1 = u_1 \wedge u_2 + u_3 \wedge u_4 + u_5 \wedge u_6$, where $\mathcal{U} = \langle u_1, \dots, u_6 \rangle$, and f_2 can be expressed as $f_2 = u_1 \wedge y_1 + u_3 \wedge y_2 + u_5 \wedge y_3$ where $y_i = \sum_{j=2}^6 a_{ij}u_j$, using the fact that $\langle f_1, f_2 \rangle$ is an \mathcal{L} -3 subspace, Corollary 8 of [2] and Corollary 1 of [3].

Consider $f = \gamma f_1 + f_2$, $\gamma \in F$. Now $f = u_1 \wedge [(\gamma + a_{12})u_2 + a_{13}u_3 + a_{14}u_4 + a_{15}u_5 + a_{16}u_6] + u_3 \wedge [a_{22}u_2 + (\gamma + a_{24})u_4 + a_{25}u_5 + a_{26}u_6] + u_5 \wedge [a_{32}u_2 + a_{33}u_3 + a_{34}u_4 + (\gamma + a_{36})u_6] = w_1 \wedge w_2 + w_3 \wedge w_4 + w_5 \wedge w_6$, putting $w_1 = u_1$, $w_2 = [(\gamma + a_{12})u_2 + a_{13}u_3 + a_{14}u_4 + a_{15}u_5 + a_{16}u_6]$, and so on. Then $\mathcal{L}(f) = 3$ if and only if the vectors w_1, \dots, w_6 are independent (Theorem 7 of [2]); i. e., if and only if the determinant of the matrix (a_{ij}) , where a_{ij} is the coefficient of u_i in w_j ; $i, j = 1, \dots, 6$; is nonzero. However this determinant is a monic polynomial in γ of degree 3; viz., $(\gamma + a_{12})((\gamma + a_{24})(\gamma + a_{36}) - a_{34}a_{26}) - a_{22}(a_{14}(\gamma + a_{36}) - a_{34}a_{16}) + a_{32}(a_{14}a_{26} - a_{16}(\gamma + a_{24}))$, whose constant term *must* be nonzero since the vectors $u_1, u_2, u_3, y_1, y_2, y_3$ are independent. Hence there is a nonzero value of γ in F for which the determinant is zero (since F is algebraically closed). For this value of γ , $\mathcal{L}(f) < 3$. Hence there is at most one basis member in H .

THEOREM 5. *Let $\dim \mathcal{U} = 6$. Then \mathcal{F} is an \mathcal{L} -2 preserver if and only if \mathcal{F} is an \mathcal{L} -1 preserver.*

Proof. It is sufficient to prove that $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{L}_1)$ does not intersect $\mathcal{L}_2 \cup \mathcal{L}_3$ (cf. proof of Theorem 2 and use Lemma 4).

Suppose $\mathcal{U} = \langle u_1, \dots, u_6 \rangle$ and $\mathcal{F}(u_1 \wedge u_6) \in \mathcal{L}_2$. Consider $V = \langle z_1, \dots, z_4 \rangle$ where

$$z_1 = u_1 \wedge u_6; z_2 = u_1 \wedge u_3 + u_2 \wedge u_4; z_3 = u_1 \wedge u_4 + u_2 \wedge u_5;$$

$$z_4 = u_1 \wedge u_5 + u_2 \wedge u_6.$$

Then $\mathcal{F}(V)$ is an \mathcal{L} -2 subspace of dimension 4, contradicting the fact that the maximal \mathcal{L} -2 subspaces have dimension 3 (Theorem 11 of [3]).

Suppose $\mathcal{F}(u_1 \wedge u_5) \in \mathcal{L}_3$. Let $V = \langle z_1, z_2 \rangle$ where $z_1 = u_1 \wedge u_5$; $z_2 = u_1 \wedge u_4 + u_2 \wedge u_3 + u_6 \wedge u_5$. Then $\mathcal{F}(V)$ is an \mathcal{L} -3 subspace of dimension 2, contradicting Theorem 4.

3. The main results. We can now assert :

THEOREM 6. \mathcal{T} is an \mathcal{L} -2 preserver if and only if \mathcal{T} is an \mathcal{L} -1 preserver. If \mathcal{T} is an \mathcal{L} -2 preserver, then \mathcal{T} is an \mathcal{L} - k preserver, $k = 1, 2, \dots, [n/2]$, $\dim \mathcal{U} = n$, and \mathcal{T} is a compound except when $n = 4$, in which case \mathcal{T} may possibly be a composite of a compound and a linear transformation induced by a correlation of the 2-dimensional subspaces of \mathcal{U} .

Using the results in [7], we can also assert the following.

THEOREM 7. \mathcal{S} is a ρ -4 preserver if and only if \mathcal{S} is a ρ -2 preserver. If \mathcal{S} is a ρ -4 preserver, then \mathcal{S} is a ρ - $2k$ preserver, $k = 1, 2, \dots, [n/2]$. Moreover, if A is any n -square skew-symmetric matrix, then $\mathcal{S}(A) = \alpha PAP'$ or $\mathcal{S}(A) = \beta PA' P'$ for α, β nonzero in F and some nonsingular n -square matrix P except when $n = 4$, in which case \mathcal{S} may possibly be of the form

$$\mathcal{S}(A) = \alpha P \begin{vmatrix} 0 & a_{34} & a_{24} & a_{23} \\ -a_{34} & 0 & a_{14} & a_{13} \\ -a_{24} & -a_{14} & 0 & a_{12} \\ -a_{23} & -a_{13} & -a_{12} & 0 \end{vmatrix} P'$$

where $A = (a_{ij})$, $a_{ij} = -a_{ji}$.

REMARK. These results are not necessarily true when the underlying field F is nonalgebraically closed (cf. § 2b. and end of [2]).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. Many thanks are due to Professor R. Westwick for his invaluable help in obtaining these results.

REFERENCES

1. N. Bourbaki, *Elements de Mathematique*, 1948.
2. M. J. S. Lim, *Rank k Grassmann products*, Pacific J. Math. (2) **29** (1969).
3. ———, *L-2 Subspaces of Grassman product spaces* (submitted to Pacific J. Math.)
4. M. Marcus and B. N. Moyls, *Transformations on tensor product spaces*, Pacific J. Math. (4) **9** (1959).
5. ———, *Linear transformations on algebras of matrices*, Canad. J. Math. **11** (1959), 61-66.
6. M. Marcus and R. Purves, *Linear transformations on algebras of matrices: The invariance of the elementary symmetric functions*, Canad. J. Math. **11** (1959), 383-396.
7. M. Marcus and R. Westwick, *Linear maps on skew-symmetric matrices, the invariance of elementary symmetric functions*, Pacific J. Math. **10** (1960), 917.
8. R. Westwick, *Linear transformations on Grassmann spaces*, Pacific J. Math. (3) **14** (1964).

Received May 16, 1969.

MCGILL UNIVERSITY
MONTREAL